ISSN 1364-0380 **451**

Geometry & Topology
Volume 4 (2000) 451{456
Published: 26 November 2000

Di eomorphisms, symplectic forms and Kodaira brations

Claude LeBrun

Department of Mathematics, SUNY at Stony Brook
Stony Brook, NY 11794-3651, USA

Email: claude@math.sunysb.edu

Abstract

As was recently pointed out by McMullen and Taubes [7], there are 4{manifolds for which the di eomorphism group does not act transitively on the deformation classes of orientation-compatible symplectic structures. This note points out some other 4{manifolds with this property which arise as the orientation-reversed versions of certain complex surfaces constructed by Kodaira [3]. While this construction is arguably simpler than that of McMullen and Taubes, its simplicity comes at a price: the examples exhibited herein all have large fundamental groups.

AMS Classi cation numbers Primary: 53D35

Secondary: 14J29, 57R57

Keywords: Symplectic manifold, complex surface, Seiberg{Witten invariants

Proposed: Ronald Stern — Received: 11 June 2000
Seconded: Yasha Eliashberg, Ronald Fintushel — Accepted: 21 November 2000

Let M be a smooth, compact oriented 4–manifold. If M admits an orientation-compatible symplectic form, meaning a closed 2–form ω such that $\omega \wedge \omega$ is an orientation-compatible volume form, one might well ask whether the space of such forms is connected. In fact, it is not difficult to construct examples where the answer is negative. A more subtle question, however, is whether the group of orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms $M \to M$ acts transitively on the set of connected components of the orientation-compatible symplectic structures of M. As was recently pointed out by McMullen and Taubes [7], there are 4–manifolds M for which this subtler question also has a negative answer. The purpose of the present note is to point out that many examples of this interesting phenomenon arise from certain complex surfaces with Kodaira fibrations.

A *Kodaira fibration* is by definition a holomorphic submersion $f: M \to B$ from a compact complex surface to a compact complex curve, with base B and fiber $F_z = f^{-1}(z)$ both of genus ≥ 2. (In C^∞ terms, f is thus a locally trivial fiber bundle, but nearby fibers of f may well be non-isomorphic as complex curves.) One says that M is a *Kodaira-fibered surface* if it admits such a fibration f. Now any Kodaira-fibered surface M is algebraic, since $K_M \otimes f^* K_B^{\ell}$ is obviously positive for sufficiently large ℓ. On the other hand, recall that a holomorphic map from a curve of lower genus to a curve of higher genus must be constant.[1] If $f: M \to B$ is a Kodaira fibration, it follows that M cannot contain any rational or elliptic curves, since composing f with the inclusion would result in a constant map, and the curve would therefore be contained in a fiber of f; contradiction. The Kodaira–Enriques classification [2] therefore tells us that M is a minimal surface of general type. In particular, the only non-trivial Seiberg–Witten invariants of the underlying oriented 4–manifold M are [8] those associated with the canonical and anti-canonical classes of M. Any orientation-preserving self-diffeomorphism of M must therefore preserve $\{\pm c_1(M)\}$.

We have just seen that M is of Kähler type, so let Ω denote some Kähler form on M, and observe that Ω is then of course a symplectic form compatible with the usual 'complex' orientation of M. Let φ be any area form on B, compatible with *its* complex orientation, and, for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, consider the closed 2–form

$$\omega = \varepsilon\Omega - f^*\varphi.$$

[1] Indeed, by Poincare duality, a continuous map $h: X \to Y$ of non-zero degree between compact oriented manifolds of the same dimension must induce inclusions $h^*: H^j(Y;\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow H^j(X;\mathbb{R})$ for all j. Such a map h therefore cannot exist whenever $b_j(X) < b_j(Y)$ for some j.

Then
$$\frac{\omega\wedge\omega}{\epsilon} = -2(f^*\varphi)\wedge\psi + \epsilon\,\psi\wedge\psi = (\epsilon - \langle f^*\varphi,\psi\rangle)\,\psi\wedge\psi,$$

where the inner product is taken with respect to the Kähler metric corresponding to ψ. Now $\langle f^*\varphi,\psi\rangle$ is a positive function, and, because M is compact, therefore has a positive minimum. Thus, for a sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, $\omega\wedge\omega$ is a volume form compatible with the *non-standard* orientation of M; or, in other words, ω is a symplectic form for the reverse-oriented 4–manifold $\overline{M}$. For related constructions of symplectic structures on fiber-bundles, cf [6].

If follows that $\overline{M}$ carries a unique deformation class of almost-complex structures compatible with ω. One such almost-complex structure can be constructed by considering the (non-holomorphic) orthogonal decomposition

$$TM = \ker(f_*) \oplus f^*(TB)$$

induced by the given Kähler metric, and then reversing the sign of the complex structure on the 'horizontal' bundle $f^*(TB)$. The first Chern class of the resulting almost-complex structure is thus given by

$$c_1(\overline{M},\omega) = c_1(M) - 4(1-\mathrm{g})F,$$

where g is the genus of B, and where F now denotes the Poincare dual of a fiber of f. For further discussion, cf [4, 5, 9].

Of course, the product $B\times F$ of two complex curves of genus ≥ 2 is certainly Kodaira fibered, but such a product also admits orientation-reversing diffeomorphisms, and so, in particular, has signature $\tau = 0$. However, as was first observed by Kodaira [3], one can construct examples with $\tau > 0$ by taking *branched covers* of products; cf [1, 2].

Example Let C be a compact complex curve of genus $k \geq 2$, and let B_1 be a curve of genus $\mathrm{g}_1 = 2k-1$, obtained as an unbranched double cover of C. Let $\sigma: B_1 \to B_1$ be the associated non-trivial deck transformation, which is a free holomorphic involution of B_1. Let $p: B_2 \to B_1$ be the unique unbranched cover of order 2^{4k-2} with $p_*[\pi_1(B_2)] = \ker[\pi_1(B_1) \to H_1(B_1;\mathbb{Z}_2)]$; thus B_2 is a complex curve of genus $\mathrm{g}_2 = 2^{4k-1}(k-1)+1$. Let $\Sigma \subset B_2\times B_1$ be the union of the graphs of p and $\sigma\circ p$. Then the homology class of Σ is divisible by 2. We may therefore construct a ramified double cover $M \to B_2\times B_1$ branched over Σ. The projection $f_1: M \to B_1$ is then a Kodaira fibration, with fiber F_1 of genus $2^{4k-2}(4k-3)+1$. The projection $f_2: M \to B_2$ is also a Kodaira fibration, with fiber F_2 of genus $4k-2$. The signature of this doubly Kodaira-fibered complex surface is $\tau(M) = 2^{4k}(k-1)$.

We now axiomatize those properties of these examples which we will need.

Definition Let M be a complex surface equipped with two Kodaira fibrations $f_j: M \to B_j$, $j = 1, 2$. Let g_j denote the genus of B_j, and suppose that the induced map

$$f_1 \times f_2: M \to B_1 \times B_2$$

has degree $r > 0$. We will then say that (f_1, f_2) is a *Kodaira double-fibration* of M if $\sigma(M) \neq 0$ and

$$(g_2 - 1) \neq r(g_1 - 1).$$

In this case, (M, f_1, f_2) will be called a *Kodaira doubly-fibered* surface.

Of course, the last hypothesis depends on the ordering of (f_1, f_2), and is automatically satisfied, for fixed r, if $g_2 \gg g_1$. The latter may always be arranged by simply replacing M and B_2 with suitable covering spaces.

Note that $r = 2$ in the explicit examples given above.

Given a Kodaira doubly-fibered surface (M, f_1, f_2), let $\overline{M}$ denote M equipped with the non-standard orientation, and observe that we now have two different symplectic structures on $\overline{M}$ given by

$$\omega_1 = \epsilon\omega - f_1^*\varphi_1$$
$$\omega_2 = \epsilon\omega - f_2^*\varphi_2$$

for any given area forms φ_j on B_j and any sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$.

Theorem 1 *Let (M, f_1, f_2) be any Kodaira doubly-fibered complex surface. Then for any self-diffeomorphism $\psi: M \to M$, the symplectic structures ω_1 and $\psi^*\omega_2$ are deformation inequivalent.*

That is, ω_1, $-\omega_1$, $\psi^*\omega_2$, and $-\psi^*\omega_2$ are always in different path components of the closed, non-degenerate 2–forms on $\overline{M}$. (The fact that ω_1 and $-\omega_1$ are deformation inequivalent is due to a general result of Taubes [10], and holds for any symplectic 4–manifold with $b^+ > 1$ and $c_1 \neq 0$.)

Theorem 1 is actually a corollary of the following result:

Theorem 2 *Let (M, f_1, f_2) be any Kodaira doubly-fibered complex surface. Then for any self-diffeomorphism $\psi: M \to M$,*

$$[c_1(\overline{M}, \psi^*\omega_2)] \neq \pm c_1(\overline{M}, \omega_1).$$

Proof Because $\tau(M) \neq 0$, any self-diffeomorphism of M preserves orientation. Now M is a minimal complex surface of general type, and hence, for the standard 'complex' orientation of M, the only Seiberg–Witten basic classes [8] are $\pm c_1(M)$. Thus any self-diffeomorphism ψ of M satisfies

$$\psi^*[c_1(M)] = \pm c_1(M).$$

Letting F_j be the Poincaré dual of the fiber of f_j, and letting g_j denote the genus of B_j, we have

$$c_1(\overline{M}, \omega_j) = c_1(M) + 4(g_j - 1)F_j$$

for $j = 1, 2$. The adjunction formula therefore tells us that

$$[c_1(\overline{M}, \omega_j)] \cdot [c_1(M)] = (2\chi + 3\tau)(M) - 2\chi(M) = 3\tau(M) \neq 0,$$

where the intersection form is computed with respect to the 'complex' orientation of M.

If we had a diffeomorphism $\Psi : M \to M$ with $\Psi^*[c_1(\overline{M}, \omega_2)] = \pm c_1(\overline{M}, \omega_1)$, this computation would tell us that that

$$\psi^*[c_1(M)] = c_1(M) \implies \psi^*[c_1(\overline{M}, \omega_2)] = c_1(\overline{M}, \omega_1)$$

and that

$$\psi^*[c_1(M)] = -c_1(M) \implies \psi^*[c_1(\overline{M}, \omega_2)] = -c_1(\overline{M}, \omega_1).$$

In either case, we would then have

$$4(g_1-1)F_1 = c_1(\overline{M}, \omega_1)-c_1(M) = \pm\psi^*[c_1(\overline{M}, \omega_2)-c_1(M)] = \pm 4(g_2-1)\psi^*(F_2).$$

On the other hand, $F_1 \cdot F_2 = r$, so intersecting the previous formula with F_2 yields

$$4(g_1 - 1)r = 4(g_1 - 1)F_1 \cdot F_2 = \pm 4(g_2 - 1)[\psi^*(F_2) \cdot F_2],$$

and hence

$$(g_2 - 1) \mid r(g_1 - 1),$$

in contradiction to our hypotheses. The assumption that $\psi^*[c_1(\overline{M}, \omega_1)] = \pm c_1(\overline{M}, \omega_2)$ is therefore false, and the claim follows. □

Theorem 1 is now an immediate consequence, since the first Chern class of a symplectic structure is deformation-invariant.

Acknowledgment This work was supported in part by NSF grant DMS-0072591.

References

[1] M F Atiyah, *The signature of bre-bundles*, from \Global Analysis (Papers in honor of K Kodaira)", Univ. Tokyo Press, Tokyo (1969) 73{84

[2] W Barth C Peters, A V de Ven, *Compact complex surfaces*, Springer{Verlag (1984)

[3] K Kodaira, *A certain type of irregular algebraic surfaces*, J. Analyse Math. 19 (1967) 207{215

[4] D Kotschick, *Signatures, monopoles and mapping class groups*, Math. Res. Lett. 5 (1998) 227{234

[5] N C Leung, *Seiberg{Witten invariants and uniformizations*, Math. Ann. 306 (1996) 31{46

[6] D McDu , D Salamon, *Introduction to symplectic topology*, Oxford Science Publications, The Clarendon Press and Oxford University Press, New York (1995)

[7] C T McMullen, C H Taubes, *4{manifolds with inequivalent symplectic forms and 3{manifolds with inequivalent brations*, Math. Res. Lett. 6 (1999) 681{696

[8] J Morgan, *The Seiberg{Witten equations and applications to the topology of smooth four{manifolds*, vol. 44 of Mathematical Notes, Princeton University Press (1996)

[9] J Petean, *Inde nite Kähler{Einstein metrics on compact complex surfaces*, Comm. Math. Phys. 189 (1997) 227{235

[10] C H Taubes, *More constraints on symplectic forms from Seiberg{Witten invariants*, Math. Res. Lett. 2 (1995) 9{14