

Geometry & Topology Monographs

Volume 5 (2002)

Four-manifolds, geometries and knots

J.A.Hillman

The University of Sydney j onh@maths. usyd. edu. au

Geometry & Topology Monographs
ISSN 1464-8997 (on-line) 1464-8989 (printed)
Volume 5 (2002)
Four-manifolds, geometries and knots, by J.A.Hillman
Published 9 December 2002
c Geometry & Topology Publications
All commercial rights reserved

Geometry & Topology is a fully refereed international journal dealing with all aspects of geometry and topology. It is intended to provide free electronic dissemination of high quality research. The Geometry & Topology Monographs series is intended to provide a similar forum for conference proceedings and research monographs. Printed copy is available. Full details, including pricing, are on the journal web site (see below).

Submission must be made electronically. For full instructions visit the journal web site at:

http://www.maths.warwick.ac.uk/gt/

or choose your nearest mirror site from the EMIS network: http://www.emis.de/or use anonymous ftp to: ftp. maths. warwi ck. ac. uk

Academic Editorial Board:

Joan Birman, Martin Bridson, Gunnar Carlsson, Ralph Cohen, Simon Donaldson, Bill Dwyer, Yasha Eliashberg, Steve Ferry, Ron Fintushel, Mike Freedman, David Gabai, Tom Goodwillie, Cameron Gordon, Vaughan Jones, Rob Kirby, Frances Kirwan, Dieter Kotschick, Peter Kronheimer, Wolfgang Metzler, Haynes Miller, John Morgan, Shigeyuki Morita, Tom Mrowka, Walter Neumann, Jean-Pierrre Otal, Ron Stern, Gang Tian

Managing Editors:

Colin Rourke, Brian Sanderson

Geometry and Topology Publications Mathematics Institute University of Warwick Coventry, CV4 7AL, UK

Email: gt@maths.warwick.ac.uk Fax: +44-1203-524182

For printed copy see:

http://www.maths.warwick.ac.uk/qt/qtp-subscription.html

To order use the on-line order form:

http://www.maths.warwick.ac.uk/gt/gtp-online-order-form.html

Or write to Geometry and Topology Orders at the above address or: Email: gtorders@maths.warwick.ac.uk Fax: +44-1203-524182

Contents

Contents

	tents ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::
	ace(ix)
Ackı	nowledgement(xiii)
Part	I: Manifolds and PD-complexes1
Chapt	er 1: Group theoretic preliminaries ::::::3
1.1	Group theoretic notation and terminology $\hdots \hdots \$
1.2	Matrix groups5
1.3	The Hirsch-Plotkin radical6
1.4	Amenable groups ::::::8
	Hirsch length10
	Modules and niteness conditions ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::
	Ends and cohomology with free coe $$ cients $ \cdots \cdots 16$
1.8	Poincare duality groups :::::20
1.9	Hilbert modules22
Chapt	er 2: 2-Complexes and PD_3 -complexes $\cdots 25$
2.1	Notation
2.2	\mathcal{L}^2 -Betti numbers $\cdots 26$
2.3	2-Complexes and nitely presentable groups28
2.4	Poincare duality ::::::32
2.5	<i>PD</i> ₃ -complexes
2.6	The spherical cases
2.7	<i>PD</i> ₃ -groups37
2.8	Subgroups of PD_3 -groups and 3-manifold groups $\cdots 42$
2.9	$_2(P)$ as a $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -module $\cdots \cdots 44$
	er 3: Homotopy invariants of PD_4 -complexes $\cdots \cdots \cdots$
3.1	Homotopy equivalence and asphericity47
3.2	Finitely dominated covering spaces \cdots
	Minimizing the Euler characteristic57
3.4	Euler Characteristic 0

iv Contents

Chapt	ter 4: Mapping tori and circle bundles69
4.1	Some necessary conditions69
4.2	Change of rings and cup products71
4.3	The case = 1 ::::::74
4.4	Duality in in nite cyclic covers ::::::::::::::::::75
4.5	Homotopy mapping tori76
4.6	Products82
4.7	Subnormal subgroups83
4.8	Circle bundles84
Chapt	ter 5: Surface bundles89
5.1	Some general results89
5.2	Bundles with base and bre aspherical surfaces ::::::91
5.3	Bundles with aspherical base and bre S^2 or RP^2 96
5.4	Bundles over S^2
5.5	Bundles over <i>RP</i> ² 105
5.6	Bundles over RP^2 with $@=0$
Chapt	ter 6: Simple homotopy type and surgery ::::::111
6.1	The Whitehead group112
6.2	The s-cobordism structure set ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::
6.3	Stabilization and <i>h</i> -cobordism ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::
6.4	Manifolds with $_1$ elementary amenable and $= 0 : : : : : : : : 122$
6.5	Bundles over aspherical surfaces ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::
Part	II: 4-dimensional Geometries
Chapt	ter 7: Geometries and decompositions131
7.1	Geometries ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::
7.2	Infranilmanifolds
7.3	Infrasolvmanifolds135
7.4	Geometric decompositions ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::
7.5	Orbifold bundles ::::::141
7.6	Realization of virtual bundle groups142
7.7	Seifert brations ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::

Contents

7.8 Complex surfaces and related structures14
Chapter 8: Solvable Lie geometries
8.1 The characterization ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::
8.2 Flat 3-manifold groups and their automorphisms :::::::::::15
8.3 Flat 4-manifold groups with in nite abelianization15
8.4 Flat 4-manifold groups with nite abelianization ::::::::::16
8.5 Distinguishing between the geometries ::::::16
8.6 Mapping tori of self homeomorphisms of \mathbb{E}^3 -manifolds $\cdots 16$
8.7 Mapping tori of self homeomorphisms of $\mathbb{N}il^3$ -manifolds :::::::::16
8.8 Mapping tori of self homeomorphisms of $\mathbb{S}ol^3$ -manifolds $\cdots \cdots 17$
8.9 Realization and classi cation17
8.10 Di eomorphism17
Chapter 9: The other aspherical geometries ::::::::::::17
9.1 Aspherical Seifert bred 4-manifolds ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::
9.2 The Seifert geometries: \mathbb{H}^2 \mathbb{E}^2 and $\widehat{\mathbb{SL}}$ \mathbb{E}^1 18
9.3 \mathbb{H}^3 \mathbb{E}^1 -manifolds
9.4 Mapping tori18
9.5 The semisimple geometries: \mathbb{H}^2 \mathbb{H}^2 , \mathbb{H}^4 and $\mathbb{H}^2(\mathbb{C})$
9.6 Miscellany19
Chapter 10: Manifolds covered by $S^2 = R^2 = 100$
10.1 Fundamental groups ::::::19
10.2 Homotopy type19
10.3 Bundle spaces are geometric20
10.4 Fundamental groups of \mathbb{S}^2 \mathbb{E}^2 -manifolds $\cdots 20$
10.5 Homotopy types of \mathbb{S}^2 \mathbb{E}^2 -manifolds $\cdots 20$
10.6 Some remarks on the homeomorphism types ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::
Chapter 11: Manifolds covered by S^3 R
11.1 Invariants for the homotopy type ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::
11.2 The action of $=F$ on F
11.3 Extensions of <i>D</i> 22
11.4 \mathbb{S}^3 \mathbb{E}^1 -manifolds22

vi Contents

11.5	Realization of the groups ::::::226
11.6	T - and Kb -bundles over RP^2 with @ \neq 0
11.7	Some remarks on the homeomorphism types ::::::231
	er 12: Geometries with compact models233
12.1	The geometries \mathbb{S}^4 and \mathbb{CP}^2 234
12.2	The geometry \mathbb{S}^2 \mathbb{S}^2 235
	Bundle spaces ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::
12.4	Cohomology and Stiefel-Whitney classes ::::::238
12.5	The action of on $_2(M)$ 239
12.6	Homotopy type :::::241
12.7	Surgery244
Chapte	er 13: Geometric decompositions of bundle spaces247
13.1	Mapping tori247
13.2	Surface bundles and geometries252
13.3	Geometric decompositions of torus bundles256
13.4	Complex surfaces and brations ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::
13.5	S^1 -Actions and foliations by circles $\cdots 261$
13.6	Symplectic structures ::::::263
	III: 2-Knots265
Chapte	er 14: Knots and links267
14.1	Knots ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::
	Covering spaces ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::
14.3	Sums, factorization and satellites270
14.4	
14.5	Ribbon and slice knots272
14.6	The Kervaire conditions273
14.7	Weight elements, classes and orbits ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::
14.8	The commutator subgroup :::::276
14.9	De ciency and geometric dimension ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::
14.10	1 3
14.11	
14.12	Link groups286

Contents

14.13	Homology spheres ::::::288
Chapte	er 15: Restrained normal subgroups291
15.1	The group291
15.2	Almost coherent, restrained and locally virtually indicable :::::: 293
15.3	Abelian normal subgroups ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::
15.4	Finite commutator subgroup ::::::299
15.5	The Tits alternative302
15.6	Abelian HNN bases ::::::302
15.7	Locally nite normal subgroups ::::::304
Chapte	er 16: Abelian normal subgroups of rank 2307
16.1	The Brieskorn manifolds $M(p;q;r)$ 307
16.2	Rank 2 subgroups308
16.3	Twist spins of torus knots310
16.4	Solvable <i>PD</i> ₄ -groups314
Chapte	er 17: Knot manifolds and geometries323
17.1	Homotopy classi cation of $M(K)$ 323
17.2	Surgery ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::
17.3	The aspherical cases :::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::
17.4	Quasi bres and minimal Seifert hypersurfaces ::::::327
17.5	The spherical cases :::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::
17.6	Finite geometric dimension 2329
17.7	Geometric 2-knot manifolds
17.8	Complex surfaces and 2-knot manifolds ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::
Chapte	er 18: Reflexivity337
18.1	Reflexivity for bred 2-knots ::::::337
18.2	Cappell-Shaneson knots340
18.3	$\mathbb{N}i/^3$ - bred knots343
18.4	Other geometrically bred knots ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::
Bibli	ography353
	x ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::

Preface ix

Preface

Every closed surface admits a geometry of constant curvature, and may be classi ed topologically either by its fundamental group or by its Euler characteristic and orientation character. It is generally expected that all closed 3-manifolds have decompositions into geometric pieces, and are determined up to homeomorphism by invariants associated with the fundamental group (whereas the Euler characteristic is always 0). In dimension 4 the Euler characteristic and fundamental group are largely independent, and the class of closed 4-manifolds which admit a geometric decomposition is rather restricted. For instance, there are only 11 such manifolds with nite fundamental group. On the other hand, many complex surfaces admit geometric structures, as do all the manifolds arising from surgery on twist spun simple knots.

The goal of this book is to characterize algebraically the closed 4-manifolds that bre nontrivially or admit geometries, or which are obtained by surgery on 2knots, and to provide a reference for the topology of such manifolds and knots. In many cases the Euler characteristic, fundamental group and Stiefel-Whitney classes together form a complete system of invariants for the homotopy type of such manifolds, and the possible values of the invariants can be described explicitly. If the fundamental group is elementary amenable we may use topological surgery to obtain classi cations up to homeomorphism. Surgery techniques also work well \stably" in dimension 4 (i.e., modulo connected sums with copies of S^2 S^2). However, in our situation the fundamental group may have nonabelian free subgroups and the Euler characteristic is usually the minimal possible for the group, and it is not known whether s-cobordisms between such 4-manifolds are always topologically products. Our strongest results are characterizations of manifolds which bre homotopically over S^1 or an aspherical surface (up to homotopy equivalence) and infrasolvmanifolds (up to homeomorphism). As a consequence 2-knots whose groups are poly-Z are determined up to Gluck reconstruction and change of orientations by their groups alone.

We shall now outline the chapters in somewhat greater detail. The rst chapter is purely algebraic; here we summarize the relevant group theory and present the notions of amenable group, Hirsch length of an elementary amenable group, niteness conditions, criteria for the vanishing of cohomology of a group with coe cients in a free module, Poincare duality groups, and Hilbert modules over the von Neumann algebra of a group. The rest of the book may be divided into three parts: general results on homotopy and surgery (Chapters 2-6), geometries

X Preface

and geometric decompositions (Chapters 7-13), and 2-knots (Chapters 14-18).

Some of the later arguments are applied in microcosm to 2-complexes and PD_3 complexes in Chapter 2, which presents equivariant cohomology, L^2 -Betti numbers and Poincare duality. Chapter 3 gives general criteria for two closed 4manifolds to be homotopy equivalent, and we show that a closed 4-manifold Mis aspherical if and only if $_1(M)$ is a PD_4 -group of type FF and $_1(M) = _1(M)$ is a PD_4 -group of type PF and $_1(M) = _1(M)$ is a PD_4 -group of type PF and $_1(M) = _1(M)$ is a PD_4 -group of type PF and $_1(M) = _1(M)$ is a PD_4 -group of type PF and $_1(M) = _1(M)$ is a PD_4 -group of type PF and $_1(M) = _1(M)$ is a PD_4 -group of type PF and $_1(M) = _1(M)$ is a PD_4 -group of type PF and $_1(M) = _1(M)$ is a PD_4 -group of type PF and $_1(M) = _1(M)$ is a PD_4 -group of type PF and $_1(M) = _1(M)$ is a PD_4 -group of type PF and $_1(M) = _1(M)$ is a PD_4 -group of type PF and $_1(M) = _1(M)$ is a PD_4 -group of type PF and $_1(M) = _1(M)$ is a PD_4 -group of type PF and PD_4 -group of type PF-group of type We show that if the universal cover of a closed 4-manifold is nitely dominated then it is contractible or homotopy equivalent to S^2 or S^3 or the fundamental group is nite. We also consider at length the relationship between fundamental group and Euler characteristic for closed 4-manifolds. In Chapter 4 we show that a closed 4-manifold M bres homotopically over S^1 with bre a PD_3 complex if and only if (M) = 0 and $_1(M)$ is an extension of Z by a nitely presentable normal subgroup. (There remains the problem of recognizing which PD_3 -complexes are homotopy equivalent to 3-manifolds). The dual problem of characterizing the total spaces of S^1 -bundles over 3-dimensional bases seems more di cult. We give a criterion that applies under some restrictions on the fundamental group. In Chapter 5 we characterize the homotopy types of total spaces of surface bundles. (Our results are incomplete if the base is RP^2). In particular, a closed 4-manifold M is simple homotopy equivalent to the total space of an F-bundle over B (where B and F are closed surfaces and B is aspherical) if and only if (M) = (B)(F) and $_1(M)$ is an extension of $_{1}(B)$ by a normal subgroup isomorphic to $_{1}(F)$. (The extension should split if $F = RP^2$). Any such extension is the fundamental group of such a bundle space; the bundle is determined by the extension of groups in the aspherical cases and by the group and Stiefel-Whitney classes if the bre is S^2 or RP^2 . This characterization is improved in Chapter 6, which considers Whitehead groups and obstructions to constructing s-cobordisms via surgery.

The next seven chapters consider geometries and geometric decompositions. Chapter 7 introduces the 4-dimensional geometries and demonstrates the limitations of geometric methods in this dimension. It also gives a brief outline of the connections between geometries, Seifert brations and complex surfaces. In Chapter 8 we show that a closed 4-manifold M is homeomorphic to an infrasolvmanifold if and only if (M) = 0 and $_1(M)$ has a locally nilpotent normal subgroup of Hirsch length at least 3, and two such manifolds are homeomorphic if and only if their fundamental groups are isomorphic. Moreover $_1(M)$ is then a torsion free virtually poly-Z group of Hirsch length 4 and every such group is the fundamental group of an infrasolvmanifold. We also consider in detail the question of when such a manifold is the mapping torus of a self homeomorphism of a 3-manifold, and give a direct and elementary derivation of the fundamental

Preface xi

groups of flat 4-manifolds. At the end of this chapter we show that all orientable 4-dimensional infrasolvmanifolds are determined up to di eomorphism by their fundamental groups. (The corresponding result in other dimensions was known).

Chapters 9-12 consider the remaining 4-dimensional geometries, grouped according to whether the model is homeomorphic to R^4 , S^2 R^2 , S^3 compact. Aspherical geometric 4-manifolds are determined up to S-cobordism by their homotopy type. However there are only partial characterizations of the groups arising as fundamental groups of \mathbb{H}^2 \mathbb{E}^2 -, \mathbb{SL} \mathbb{E}^1 -, \mathbb{H}^3 \mathbb{H}^2 -manifolds, while very little is known about \mathbb{H}^4 - or $\mathbb{H}^2(\mathbb{C})$ -manifolds. We show that the homotopy types of manifolds covered by S^2 R^2 are determined up to nite ambiguity by their fundamental groups. If the fundamental group is torsion free such a manifold is S-cobordant to the total space of an S^2 bundle over an aspherical surface. The homotopy types of manifolds covered by R are determined by the fundamental group and rst nonzero k-invariant; much is known about the possible fundamental groups, but less is known about which k-invariants are realized. Moreover, although the fundamental groups are all \good", so that in principle surgery may be used to give a classi cation up to homeomorphism, the problem of computing surgery obstructions seems very di cult. We conclude the geometric section of the book in Chapter 13 by considering geometric decompositions of 4-manifolds which are also mapping tori or total spaces of surface bundles, and we characterize the complex surfaces which bre over S^1 or over a closed orientable 2-manifold.

The nal ve chapters are on 2-knots. Chapter 14 is an overview of knot theory; in particular it is shown how the classication of higher-dimensional knots may be largely reduced to the classi cation of knot manifolds. The knot exterior is determined by the knot manifold and the conjugacy class of a normal generator for the knot group, and at most two knots share a given exterior. An essential step is to characterize 2-knot groups. Kervaire gave homological conditions which characterize high dimensional knot groups and which 2-knot groups must satisfy, and showed that any high dimensional knot group with a presentation of de ciency 1 is a 2-knot group. Bridging the gap between the homological and combinatorial conditions appears to be a delicate task. In Chapter 15 we investigate 2-knot groups with in nite normal subgroups which have no noncyclic free subgroups. We show that under mild coherence hypotheses such 2-knot groups usually have nontrivial abelian normal subgroups, and we determine all 2-knot groups with nite commutator subgroup. In Chapter 16 we show that if there is an abelian normal subgroup of rank > 1 then the knot manifold is either s-cobordant to a \mathbb{L} \mathbb{E}^1 -manifold or is homeomorphic to an infrasolymanifold.

xii Preface

In Chapter 17 we characterize the closed 4-manifolds obtained by surgery on certain 2-knots, and show that just eight of the 4-dimensional geometries are realised by knot manifolds. We also consider when the knot manifold admits a complex structure. The nal chapter considers when a bred 2-knot with geometric bre is determined by its exterior. We settle this question when the monodromy has nite order or when the bre is $R^3 = Z^3$ or is a coset space of the Lie group Nil^3 .

This book arose out of two earlier books of mine, on \2-Knots and their Groups" and \The Algebraic Characterization of Geometric 4-Manifolds", published by Cambridge University Press for the Australian Mathematical Society and for the London Mathematical Society, respectively. About a quarter of the present text has been taken from these books. 1 However the arguments have been improved in many cases, notably in using Bowditch's homological criterion for virtual surface groups to streamline the results on surface bundles, using L^2 methods instead of localization, completing the characterization of mapping tori, relaxing the hypotheses on torsion or on abelian normal subgroups in the fundamental group and in deriving the results on 2-knot groups from the work on 4-manifolds. The main tools used here beyond what can be found in Algebraic Topology [Sp] are cohomology of groups, equivariant Poincare duality and (to a lesser extent) L^2 -(co)homology. Our references for these are the books Homological Dimension of Discrete Groups [Bi], Surgery on Compact Manifolds [WI] and L^2 -Invariants: Theory and Applications to Geometry and K-Theory [Lü], respectively. We also use properties of 3-manifolds (for the construction of examples) and calculations of Whitehead groups and surgery obstructions.

This work has been supported in part by ARC small grants, enabling visits by Steve Plotnick, Mike Dyer, Charles Thomas and Fang Fuquan. I would like to thank them all for their advice, and in particular Steve Plotnick for the collaboration reported in Chapter 18. I would also like to thank Robert Bieri, Robin Cobb, Peter Linnell and Steve Wilson for their collaboration, and Warren Dicks, William Dunbar, Ross Geoghegan, F.T.Farrell, Ian Hambleton, Derek Holt, K.F.Lai, Eamonn O'Brien, Peter Scott and Shmuel Weinberger for their correspondance and advice on aspects of this work.

Jonathan Hillman

¹See the Acknowledment following this preface for a summary of the textual borrowings.

Acknowledgment xiii

Acknowledgment

I wish to thank Cambridge University Press for their permission to use material from my earlier books [H1] and [H2]. The textual borrowings in each Chapter are outlined below.

- 1. x1, Lemmas 1.7 and 1.10 and Theorem 1.11, x6 (up to the discussion of ()), the rst paragraph of x7 and Theorem 1.16 are from [H2:Chapter I]. (Lemma 1.1 is from [H1]). x3 is from [H2:Chapter VI].
- 2. x1, most of x4, part of x5 and x9 are from [H2:Chapter II and Appendix].
- 3. Lemma 3.1, Theorems 3.2, 3.7-3.9 and 3.12 and Corollaries 3.9.1-3.9.3 are from [H2:Chapter II]. (Theorems 3.9 and 3.12 have been improved).
- 4. The rst half of x2, the statements of Corollaries 4.5.1-4.5.3, Theorem 4.6 and its Corollaries, and most of x8 are from [H2:Chapter III]. (Theorem 11 and the subsequent discussion have been improved).
- 5. Part of Lemma 5.15, Theorem 5.16 and x4-x5 are from [H2:Chapter IV]. (Theorem 5.19 and Lemmas 5.21 and 5.22 have been improved).
- 6. x1 (excepting Theorem 6.1), Theorem 6.12 and the proof of Theorem 6.14 are from [H2:Chapter V].
- 8. Part of Theorem 8.1, x6, most of x7 and x8 are from [H2:Chapter VI].
- 9. Theorems 9.1, 9.2 and 9.7 are from [H2:Chapter VI], with improvements.
- 10. Theorems 10.10-10.12 and x6 are largely from [H2:Chapter VII]. (Theorem 10.10 has been improved).
- 11. Theorem 11.1 is from [H2:Chapter II]. Lemma 11.3, χ 3 and the rst three paragraphs of χ 5 are from [H2:Chapter VIII]. χ 6 is from [H2:Chapter IV].
- 12. The introduction, x1-x3, x5, most of x6 (from Lemma 12.5 onwards) and x7 are from [H2:Chapter IX], with improvements (particularly in x7).
- 14. x1-x5 are from [H1:Chapter I]. x6 and x7 are from [H1:Chapter II].
- 16. Most of x3 is from [H1:Chapter V].(Theorem 16.4 is new and Theorems 16.5 and 16.6 have been improved).
- 17. Lemma 2 and Theorem 7 are from [H1:Chapter VIII], while Corollary 17.6.1 is from [H1:Chapter VII]. The $\,$ rst two paragraphs of $\,$ x8 and Lemma 17.12 are from [H2:Chapter X].

Part I Manifolds and PD-complexes

Chapter 1

Group theoretic preliminaries

The key algebraic idea used in this book is to study the homology groups of covering spaces as modules over the group ring of the group of covering transformations. In this chapter we shall summarize the relevant notions from group theory, in particular, the Hirsch-Plotkin radical, amenable groups, Hirsch length, niteness conditions, the connection between ends and the vanishing of cohomology with coe cients in a free module, Poincare duality groups and Hilbert modules.

Our principal references for group theory are [Bi], [DD] and [Ro].

1.1 Group theoretic notation and terminology

We shall reserve the notation Z for the free (abelian) group of rank 1 (with a preferred generator) and \mathbb{Z} for the ring of integers. Let F(r) be the free group of rank r.

Let G be a group. Then G^{\emptyset} and G denote the commutator subgroup and centre of G, respectively. The outer automorphism group of G is Out(G) = Aut(G) = Inn(G), where Inn(G) = G = G is the subgroup of Aut(G) consisting of conjugations by elements of G. If G is a subgroup of G let G if G and G if it is preserved under all automorphisms of G. In particular, G if G if it is preserved under all automorphisms of G in particular, G is a characteristic subgroup of G is a torsion free abelian group of rank G if G is a torsion free abelian group of rank G if G is a torsion free abelian group of G if G is a torsion free abelian group of rank G is a formula closure of a subset G is G is G is G is G in the intersection of the normal subgroups of G which contain G.

If P and Q are classes of groups let PQ denote the class of $(\P by Q")$ groups G which have a normal subgroup H in P such that the quotient G=H is in Q, and let P denote the class of $(\C ally-P")$ groups such that each nitely generated subgroup is in the class P. In particular, if P is the class of nite groups P is the class of P in any group the union of all the locally- nite normal subgroups is the unique maximal locally- nite normal

subgroup. Clearly there are no nontrivial homomorphisms from such a group to a torsion free group. Let poly-P be the class of groups with a nite composition series such that each subquotient is in P. Thus if Ab is the class of abelian groups poly-Ab is the class of solvable groups.

Let P be a class of groups which is closed under taking subgroups. A group is *virtually* P if it has a subgroup of nite index in P. Let vP be the class of groups which are virtually P. Thus a *virtually poly-Z* group is one which has a subgroup of nite index with a composition series whose factors are all in nite cyclic. The number of in nite cyclic factors is independent of the choice of nite index subgroup or composition series, and is called the *Hirsch length* of the group. We shall also say that a space virtually has some property if it has a nite regular covering space with that property.

If p:G! Q is an epimorphism with kernel N we shall say that G is an extension of Q=G=N by the normal subgroup N. The action of G on N by conjugation determines a homomorphism from G to Aut(N) with kernel $C_G(N)$ and hence a homomorphism from G=N to Out(N)=Aut(N)=Inn(N). If G=N=Z the extension splits: a choice of element t in G which projects to a generator of G=N determines a right inverse to p. Let be the automorphism of N determined by conjugation by t in G. Then G is isomorphic to the semidirect product N G0. Every automorphism of G1 arises in this way, and automorphisms whose images in Out(N) are conjugate determine isomorphic semidirect products. In particular, G=N G1 is an inner automorphism.

Lemma 1.1 Let and automorphisms of a group G such that $H_1(\ ;\mathbb{Q})-1$ and $H_1(\ ;\mathbb{Q})-1$ are automorphisms of $H_1(G;\mathbb{Q})=(G=G^\emptyset)$ \mathbb{Q} . Then the semidirect products =G Z and =G Z are isomorphic if and only if is conjugate to or $^{-1}$ in Out(G).

Proof Let t and u be xed elements of and , respectively, which map to 1 in Z. Since $H_1(\ ;\mathbb{Q})=H_1(\ ;\mathbb{Q})=Q$ the image of G in each group is characteristic. Hence an isomorphism h: ! induces an isomorphism e:Z!Z of the quotients, for some e=1, and so $h(t)=u^eg$ for some g in G. Therefore $h((h^{-1}(j)))=h(th^{-1}(j)t^{-1})=u^egjg^{-1}u^{-e}={}^e(gjg^{-1})$ for all f in G. Thus is conjugate to f in f

Conversely, if and are conjugate in Out(G) there is an f in Aut(G) and a g in G such that $(j) = f^{-1} \ ^e f(gjg^{-1})$ for all j in G. Hence F(j) = f(j) for all j in G and $F(t) = u^e f(g)$ de nes an isomorphism F:

5

1.2 Matrix groups

In this section we shall recall some useful facts about matrices over \mathbb{Z} .

Lemma 1.2 Let p be an odd prime. Then the kernel of the reduction modulo (p) homomorphism from $SL(n;\mathbb{Z})$ to $SL(n;\mathbb{F}_p)$ is torsion free.

Proof This follows easily from the observation that if A is an integral matrix and $k = p^{\nu}q$ with q not divisible by p then $(I + p^{r}A)^{k}$ $I + kp^{r}A \mod (p^{2r+\nu})$, and $kp^{r} 6 \mod (p^{2r+\nu})$ if r = 1.

The corresponding result for p = 2 is that the kernel of reduction mod (4) is torsion free.

Since $SL(n;\mathbb{F}_p)$ has order $(\int_{j=0}^{j=n-1}(p^n-p^j))=(p-1)$, it follows that the order of any nite subgroup of $SL(n;\mathbb{Z})$ must divide the highest common factor of these numbers, as p varies over all odd primes. In particular, nite subgroups of $SL(2;\mathbb{Z})$ have order dividing 24, and so are solvable.

Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $A^2 = B^3 = -I$ and $A^4 = B^6 = I$. The matrices A and R generate a dihedral group of order 8, while B and R generate a dihedral group of order 12.

Theorem 1.3 Let G be a nontrivial nite subgroup of $GL(2;\mathbb{Z})$. Then G is conjugate to one of the cyclic groups generated by A, A^2 , B, B^2 , R or RA, or to a dihedral subgroup generated by one of the pairs fA; Rg, fA^2 ; Rg, fA^2 ; Rg, fB^2 ; Rg or fB^2 ; Rg.

Proof If $M \ 2 \ GL(2;\mathbb{Z})$ has nite order then its characteristic polynomial has cyclotomic factors. If the characteristic polynomial is $(X \ 1)^2$ then M = I. (This uses the nite order of M.) If the characteristic polynomial is $X^2 - 1$ then M is conjugate to R or RA. If the characteristic polynomial is $X^2 + 1$, $X^2 - X + 1$ or $X^2 + X + 1$ then M is irreducible, and the corresponding ring of algebraic numbers is a PID. Since any \mathbb{Z} -torsion free module over such a ring is free it follows easily that M is conjugate to A, B or B^2 .

The normalizers in $SL(2;\mathbb{Z})$ of the subgroups generated by A, B or B^2 are easily seen to be nite cyclic. Since $G \setminus SL(2;\mathbb{Z})$ is solvable it must be cyclic also. As it has index at most 2 in G the theorem follows easily.

Although the 12 groups listed in the theorem represent distinct conjugacy classes in $GL(2;\mathbb{Z})$, some of these conjugacy classes coalesce in $GL(2;\mathbb{R})$. (For instance, R and RA are conjugate in $GL(2;\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}])$.)

Corollary 1.3.1 Let G be a locally nite subgroup of $GL(2;\mathbb{R})$. Then G is nite, and is conjugate to one of the above subgroups of $GL(2;\mathbb{Z})$.

Proof Let L be a lattice in \mathbb{R}^2 . If G is nite then $\lceil g_{2G}gL \rceil$ is a G-invariant lattice, and so G is conjugate to a subgroup of $GL(2;\mathbb{Z})$. In general, as the nite subgroups of G have bounded order G must be nite.

The main results of this section follow also from the fact that $PSL(2;\mathbb{Z}) = SL(2;\mathbb{Z}) = h$ Ii is a free product (Z=2Z) (Z=3Z), generated by the images of A and B. (In fact hA;B j $A^2 = B^3;$ $A^4 = 1i$ is a presentation for $SL(2;\mathbb{Z})$.) Moreover $SL(2;\mathbb{Z})^{\emptyset} = PSL(2;\mathbb{Z})^{\emptyset}$ is freely generated by the images of $B^{-1}AB^{-2}A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $B^{-2}AB^{-1}A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, while the abelianizations are generated by the images of $B^4A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. (See x6.2 of [Ro].)

Let $= \mathbb{Z}[t; t^{-1}]$ be the ring of integral Laurent polynomials. The next theorem is a special case of a classical result of Latimer and MacDu ee.

Theorem 1.4 There is a 1-1 correspondence between conjugacy classes of matrices in $GL(n;\mathbb{Z})$ with irreducible characteristic polynomial (t) and isomorphism classes of ideals in =((t)). The set of such ideal classes is nite.

Proof Let $A \ 2 \ GL(n;\mathbb{Z})$ have characteristic polynomial (t) and let R = (t). As (A) = 0, by the Cayley-Hamilton Theorem, we may de ne an R-module M_A with underlying abelian group Z^n by t:Z = A(z) for all $z \ 2 \ Z^n$. As R is a domain and has rank n as an abelian group M_A is torsion free and of rank 1 as an R-module, and so is isomorphic to an ideal of R. Conversely every R-ideal arises in this way. The isomorphism of abelian groups underlying an R-isomorphism between two such modules M_A and M_B determines a matrix $C \ 2 \ GL(n;\mathbb{Z})$ such that CA = BC. The nall assertion follows from the Jordan-Zassenhaus Theorem.

1.3 The Hirsch-Plotkin radical

The *Hirsch-Plotkin radical* $\stackrel{\textstyle p}{\overline G}$ of a group G is its maximal locally-nilpotent normal subgroup; in a virtually poly-Z group every subgroup is nitely generated, and so $\stackrel{\textstyle p}{\overline G}$ is then the maximal nilpotent normal subgroup. If H is

normal in G then \overline{H} is normal in G also, since it is a characteristic subgroup of H, and in particular it is a subgroup of \overline{G} .

For each natural number q 1 let q be the group with presentation

$$hx; y; z j xz = zx; yz = zy; xy = z^q yxi$$
:

Every such group q is torsion free and nilpotent of Hirsch length 3.

Theorem 1.5 Let G be a nitely generated torsion free nilpotent group of Hirsch length h(G) 4. Then either

- (1) G is free abelian; or
- (2) h(G) = 3 and G = q for some q = 1; or
- (3) h(G) = 4, $G = Z^2$ and G = q Z for some q 1; or
- (4) h(G) = 4, G = Z and G = G = q for some q = 1.

In the latter case G has characteristic subgroups which are free abelian of rank 1, 2 and 3. In all cases G is an extension of Z by a free abelian normal subgroup.

Proof The centre G is nontrivial and the quotient G = G is again torsion free, by Proposition 5.2.19 of [Ro]. We may assume that G is not abelian, and hence that G = G is not cyclic. Hence h(G = G) = 2, so h(G) = 3 and h(G) = h(G) = 2. In all cases G is free abelian.

If h(G) = 3 then G = Z and $G = G = Z^2$. On choosing elements x and y representing a basis of G = G and z generating G we quickly G is isomorphic to one of the groups G0, and thus is an extension of G1 by G2.

If h(G) = 4 and $G = Z^2$ then $G = G = Z^2$, so $G^0 = G$. Since G may be generated by elements x; y; t and u where x and y represent a basis of G = G and t and u are central it follows easily that G^0 is in nite cyclic. Therefore G is not contained in G^0 and G has an in nite cyclic direct factor. Hence G = Z = Q, for some Q = Q, and thus is an extension of Z by Z^3 .

The remaining possibility is that h(G) = 4 and G = Z. In this case G = G is torsion free nilpotent of Hirsch length 3. If G = G were abelian G^{\emptyset} would also be in nite cyclic, and the pairing from G = G G = G into G^{\emptyset} de ned by the commutator would be nondegenerate and skewsymmetric. But there are no such pairings on free abelian groups of odd rank. Therefore G = G = g, for some g = 1.

Let $_2G$ be the preimage in G of (G=G). Then $_2G=Z^2$ and is a characteristic subgroup of G, so $C_G(_2G)$ is also characteristic in G. The quotient $G=_2G$ acts by conjugation on $_2G$. Since $Aut(Z^2)=GL(2;\mathbb{Z})$ is virtually free and $G=_2G=_q=_q=Z^2$ and since $_2G\not\in G$ it follows that $h(C_G(_2G))=3$. Since $C_G(_2G)$ is nilpotent and has centre of rank (Z=G) it is abelian, and so (Z=G) is torsion free, nilpotent of Hirsch length (Z=G) and virtually abelian and hence is abelian. Therefore (Z=G) is (Z=G) is also characteristic in (Z=G) is an algorithm.

Theorem 1.6 Let be a torsion free virtually poly-Z group of Hirsch length 4. Then h(D) = 3.

Proof Let S be a solvable normal subgroup of nite index in . Then the lowest nontrivial term of the derived series of S is an abelian subgroup which is characteristic in S and so normal in . Hence f(S) = 1. If f(S) = 1 then f(S) = 1 and f(S) = 1 subgroup f(S) = 1 and f(S) = 1 subgroup f(S) = 1 subgroup f(S) = 1 subgroup of nite index we may assume that f(S) = 1 is abelian normal subgroup of f(S) = 1. Then f(S) = 1 is nilpotent (since f(S) = 1 is abelian) and f(S) > 1 (since f(S) = 1 and f(S) = 1 is torsion free). Hence f(S) = 1 is abelian f(S) = 1.

If has a normal subgroup $N = Z^2$ then $Aut(N) = GL(2;\mathbb{Z})$ is virtually free, and so the kernel of the natural map from to Aut(N) is nontrivial. Hence h(C(N)) 3. Since h(=N) = 2 the quotient =N is virtually abelian, and so C(N) is virtually nilpotent.

In all cases we must have $h(^{\mathcal{P}}_{-})$ 3.

1.4 Amenable groups

The class of *amenable* groups arose—rst in connection with the Banach-Tarski paradox. A group is amenable if it admits an invariant mean for bounded \mathbb{C} -valued functions [Pi]. There is a more geometric characterization of nitely presentable amenable groups that is more convenient for our purposes. Let X be a nite cell-complex with universal cover \mathscr{K} . Then \mathscr{K} is an increasing union of nite subcomplexes X_j X_{j+1} $\mathscr{K} = \int_{D} 1 X_D$ such that X_j is the union of $N_j < 1$ translates of some fundamental domain D for G = 1(X). Let N_j^0 be the number of translates of D which meet the frontier of X_j in \mathscr{K} . The sequence fX_jg is a F Iner exhaustion for \mathscr{K} if $\lim(N_j^0=N_j)=0$, and 1(X) is

amenable if and only if \Re has a F lner exhaustion. This class contains all nite groups and Z, and is closed under the operations of extension, increasing union, and under the formation of sub- and quotient groups. (However nonabelian free groups are not amenable.)

This class is well adapted to arguments by trans nite induction on the ordinal $(G) = \min f \ jG \ 2 \ U \ g$. It is closed under extension (in fact $U \ U \ U_+$) and increasing union, and under the formation of sub- and quotient groups. As U contains every countable elementary amenable group, U = 'U = EA if > . Torsion groups in EA are locally nite and elementary amenable free groups are cyclic. Every locally- nite by virtually solvable group is elementary amenable; however this inclusion is proper.

For example, let Z^1 be the free abelian group with basis fx_i j i 2 Zg and let G be the subgroup of $Aut(Z^1)$ generated by fe_i j i 2 Zg, where $e_i(x_i) = x_i + x_{i+1}$ and $e_i(x_j) = x_j$ if $j \in I$. Then G is the increasing union of subgroups isomorphic to groups of upper triangular matrices, and so is locally nilpotent. However it has no nontrivial abelian normal subgroups. If we let G be the automorphism of G de ned by G G and G G is a nitely generated torsion free elementary amenable group which is not virtually solvable.

It can be shown (using the F lner condition) that nitely generated groups of subexponential growth are amenable. The class SA generated from such groups by extensions and increasing unions contains EA (since nite groups and nitely generated abelian groups have polynomial growth), and is the largest class of groups over which topological surgery techniques are known to work in dimension 4 [FT95]. Is every amenable group in SA? There is a nitely presentable group in SA which is not elementary amenable [Gr98].

A group is *restrained* if it has no noncyclic free subgroup. Amenable groups are restrained, but there are nitely presentable restrained groups which are not amenable [OS01]. There are also in nite nitely generated torsion groups. (See x14.2 of [Ro].) These are restrained, but are not elementary amenable. No known example is also nitely presentable.

1.5 Hirsch length

In this section we shall use trans nite induction to extend the notion of Hirsch length (as a measure of the size of a solvable group) to elementary amenable groups, and to establish the basic properties of this invariant.

Lemma 1.7 Let G be a nitely generated in nite elementary amenable group. Then G has normal subgroups K < H such that G=H is nite, H=K is free abelian of positive rank and the action of G=H on H=K by conjugation is e ective.

Proof We may show that G has a normal subgroup K such that G=K is an in nite virtually abelian group, by trans nite induction on G. We may assume that G=K has no nontrivial nite normal subgroup. If H is a subgroup of G which contains K and is such that H=K is a maximal abelian normal subgroup of G=K then H and K satisfy the above conditions. \Box

In particular, nitely generated in nite elementary amenable groups are virtually indicable.

If G is in U_1 let h(G) be the rank of an abelian subgroup of G nite index in G. If h(G) has been defined for all G in U and H is in U let

$$h(H) = \text{l.u.b.} fh(F)jF$$
 H ; $F \ 2 \ U \ g$:

Finally, if G is in U_{+1} , so has a normal subgroup H in U with G=H in U_1 , let H(G) = H(H) + H(G=H).

Theorem 1.8 Let G be an elementary amenable group. Then

- (1) h(G) is well de ned;
- (2) If H is a subgroup of G then $h(H) \quad h(G)$;
- (3) h(G) = l.u.b.fh(F) is a finitely generated subgroup of Gg;
- (4) if H is a normal subgroup of G then h(G) = h(H) + h(G=H).

Proof We shall prove all four assertions simultaneously by induction on (G). They are clearly true when (G) = 1. Suppose that they hold for all groups in U and that (G) = +1. If G is in LU so is any subgroup, and (1) and (2) are immediate, while (3) follows since it holds for groups in U and since each nitely generated subgroup of G is a U-subgroup. To prove (4) we may assume that h(H) is nite, for otherwise both h(G) and h(H) + h(G=H) are

11

1, by (2). Therefore by (3) there is a nitely generated subgroup J H with h(J) = h(H). Given a nitely generated subgroup Q of G=H we may choose a nitely generated subgroup F of G containing J and whose image in G=H is Q. Since F is nitely generated it is in U and so h(F) = h(H) + h(Q). Taking least upper bounds over all such Q we have h(G) - h(H) + h(G=H). On the other hand if F is any U -subgroup of G then $h(F) = h(F \setminus H) + h(FH=H)$, since (4) holds for F, and so h(G) - h(H) + h(G=H), Thus (4) holds for G also.

Now suppose that G is not in LU, but has a normal subgroup K in LU such that G-K is in U_1 . If K_1 is another such subgroup then (4) holds for K and K_1 by the hypothesis of induction and so $h(K) = h(K \setminus K_1) + h(K \setminus K_1 = K)$. Since we also have $h(G=K) = h(G=KK_1) + h(KK_1=K)$ and $h(G=K_1) = h(G=KK_1) +$ $h(KK_1=K_1)$ it follows that $h(K_1)+h(G=K_1)=h(K)+h(G=K)$ and so h(G) is well de ned. Property (2) follows easily, as any subgroup of G is an extension of a subgroup of G=K by a subgroup of K. Property (3) holds for K by the hypothesis of induction. Therefore if h(K) is nite K has a nitely generated subgroup J with h(J) = h(K). Since G=K is nitely generated there is a nitely generated subgroup F of G containing J and such that FK=K=G=K. Clearly h(F) = h(G). If h(K) is in nite then for every n0 there is a nitely generated subgroup J_n of K with $h(J_n)$ n. In either case, (3) also holds for G. If H is a normal subgroup of G then H and G=H are also in U_{+1} , while $H \setminus K$ and $KH=H=K=H \setminus K$ are in LU and $HK=K=H=H \setminus K$ and G=HK are in U_1 . Therefore

$$h(H) + h(G=H) = h(H \setminus K) + h(HK=K) + h(HK=H) + h(G=HK)$$

= $h(H \setminus K) + h(HK=H) + h(HK=K) + h(G=HK)$:

Since K is in LU and G=K is in U_1 this sum gives h(G) = h(K) + h(G=K) and so (4) holds for G. This completes the inductive step.

Let (G) be the maximal locally- nite normal subgroup of G.

Theorem 1.9 There are functions d and M from \mathbb{Z}_0 to \mathbb{Z}_0 such that if G is an elementary amenable group of Hirsch length at most h and G is its maximal locally nite normal subgroup then G = G has a maximal solvable normal subgroup of derived length at most G(h) and index at most G(h).

Proof We argue by induction on h. Since an elementary amenable group has Hirsch length 0 if and only if it is locally nite we may set d(0) = 0 and M(0) = 1. assume that the result is true for all such groups with Hirsch length at most h and that G is an elementary amenable group with h(G) = h + 1.

Suppose rst that G is nitely generated. Then by Lemma 1.7 there are normal subgroups K < H in G such that G = H is nite, H = K is free abelian of rank r = h(G = K) in H = K of the natural map from H = K by conjugation is elective. (Note that H = h(G = K) is torsion free, by Lemma 1.2, we see that H = h(G = K) is torsion free, by Lemma 1.2, we see that H = h(G = K) in H = h(G = K) is torsion free, by Lemma 1.2, we see that H = h(G = K) in H = h(G = K) is torsion free, by Lemma 1.2, we see that H = h(G = K) in H = h(G = K) is torsion free, by Lemma 1.2, we see that H = h(G = K) in H = h(G = K) is torsion free, by Lemma 1.2, we see that H = h(G = K) in H = h(G = K) is torsion free, by Lemma 1.2, we see that H = h(G = K) in H = h(G = K) is torsion free, by Lemma 1.2, we see that H = h(G = K) in H = h(G = K) is torsion free, by Lemma 1.2, we see that H = h(G = K) in H = h(G = K) is torsion free, by Lemma 1.2, we see that H = h(G = K) is torsion free, by Lemma 1.2, we see that H = h(G = K) in H = h(G = K) in H = h(G = K) is torsion free, by Lemma 1.2, we see that H = h(G = K) is torsion free, by Lemma 1.2, we see that H = h(G = K) is torsion free, by Lemma 1.2, we see that H = h(G = K) is torsion free, by Lemma 1.2, we see that H = h(G = K) is torsion free, by Lemma 1.2, we see that H = h(G = K) is torsion free, by Lemma 1.2, we see that H = h(G = K) is torsion free, by Lemma 1.2, we see that H = h(G = K) is torsion free, by Lemma 1.2, we see that H = h(G = K) is torsion free, by Containing from H = h(G = K) is torsion free, by Containing from H = h(G = K) is torsion free, by Containing from H = h(G = K) is torsion free, by Containing from H = h(G = K) is torsion free, by Containing from H = h(G = K) is torsion free, by Containing from H = h(G = K) is torsion free, by Containing from H = h(G = K) is torsion free, by Containing from H = h(G = K) is torsion free, by Containing from H = h(G = K) is torsion free, by Containing

In general, let fG_i j i 2 Ig be the set of nitely generated subgroups of G. By the above argument G_i has a normal subgroup H_i containing (G_i) and such that $H_i = (G_i)$ is a maximal normal solvable subgroup of $G_i = (G_i)$ and has derived length at most d(h+1) and index at most M(h+1). Let $N = \max f[G_i:H_i]$ j i 2 Ig and choose 2 I such that [G:H] = N. If $G_i:G_i:H_i$ we have $[G_i:H_i] = N$ and $H_i:G_i:H_i$ and $G_i:H_i$ if $G_i:G_i:H_i$ and $G_i:H_i$ if $G_i:G_i:H_i$ and $G_i:H_i$ if $G_i:G_i:H_i$ if $G_i:H_i$ if $G_i:H_i$ and $G_i:H_i$ if $G_i:H_i$

Set $J = fi\ 2\ I\ j\ H$ H_ig and $H = [i2JH_i]$. If $x;y\ 2\ H$ and $g\ 2\ G$ then there are indices i;k and $k\ 2\ J$ such that $x\ 2\ H_i$, $y\ 2\ H_j$ and $g\ 2\ G_k$. Choose $I\ 2\ J$ such that G_I contains $G_I\ [G_j\ [G_k]]$. Then xy^{-1} and gxg^{-1} are in $H_I\ H$, and so H is a normal subgroup of G. Moreover if $x_1; \ldots; x_N$ is a set of coset representatives for H in G, and so [G;H]=N.

Let D_i be the $d(h+1)^{th}$ derived subgroup of H_i . Then D_i is a locally- nite normal subgroup of G_i and so, bu an argument similar to that of the above paragraph $\int_{i2J}D_i$ is a locally- nite normal subgroup of G. Since it is easily seen that the $d(h+1)^{th}$ derived subgroup of H is contained in $\int_{i2J}D_i$ (as each iterated commutator involves only nitely many elements of H) it follows that $H(G) = (G) = H = H \setminus G$ is solvable and of derived length at most d(h+1).

The above result is from [HL92]. The argument can be simplied to some extent if G is countable and torsion-free. (In fact a virtually solvable group

of nite Hirsch length and with no nontrivial locally- nite normal subgroup must be countable, by Lemma 7.9 of [Bi]. Moreover its Hirsch-Plotkin radical is nilpotent and the quotient is virtually abelian, by Proposition 5.5 of [BH72].)

Lemma 1.10 Let G be an elementary amenable group. If h(G) = 1 then for every k > 0 there is a subgroup H of G with k < h(H) < 1.

Proof We shall argue by induction on (G). The result is vacuously true if (G) = 1. Suppose that it is true for all groups in U and G is in U. Since h(G) = 1. Suppose that it is true for all groups in U and G is in U. Since h(G) = 1 in which case the result is true by the inductive hypothesis, or h(G) is the least upper bound of a set of natural numbers and the result is true. If G is in U_{+1} then it has a normal subgroup N which is in U with quotient U in U. But then U in U and so U has such a subgroup. \square

Theorem 1.11 Let G be a countable elementary amenable group of nite cohomological dimension. Then h(G) c:d:G and G is virtually solvable.

Proof Since c:d:G < 1 the group G is torsion free. Let H be a subgroup of nite Hirsch length. Then H is virtually solvable and c:d:H c:d:G so h(H) c:d:G. The theorem now follows from Theorem 1.9 and Lemma 1.10.

1.6 Modules and niteness conditions

Let G be a group and w: G! Z=2Z a homomorphism, and let R be a commutative ring. Then $g=(-1)^{w(g)}g^{-1}$ de nes an anti-involution on R[G]. If L is a left R[G]-module \overline{L} shall denote the *conjugate* right R[G]-module with the same underlying R-module and R[G]-action given by I:g=g:I, for all I:Z:L and g:Z:G. (We shall also use the overline to denote the conjugate of a right R[G]-module.) The conjugate of a free left (right) module is a free right (left) module of the same rank.

We shall also let Z^w denote the G-module with underlying abelian group Z and G-action given by $g:n=(-1)^{w(g)}n$ for all g in G and n in Z.

Lemma 1.12 [Wl65] Let G and H be groups such that G is nitely presentable and there are homomorphisms j:H!G and G:H with $j=id_H$. Then H is also nitely presentable.

Proof Since G is nitely presentable there is an epimorphism p: F ! G from a free group F(X) with a nite basis X onto G, with kernel the normal closure of a nite set of relators R. We may choose elements w_X in F(X) such that $j \ p(x) = p(w_X)$, for all x in X. Then factors through the group K with presentation $hX \ j \ R; x^{-1} \ w_X; 8x \ 2 \ Xi$, say = vu. Now uj is clearly onto, while $vuj = j = id_H$, and so v and uj are mutually inverse isomomorphisms. Therefore H = K is nitely presentable.

A group G is FP_n if the augmentation $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module Z has a projective resolution which is nitely generated in degrees n, and it is FP if it has nite cohomological dimension and is FP_n for n = c:d:G. It is FF if moreover Z has a nite resolution consisting of nitely generated free $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -modules. \Finitely generated" is equivalent to FP_1 , while \ nitely presentable" implies FP_2 . Groups which are FP_2 are also said to be *almost nitely presentable*. (There are FP groups which are not nitely presentable [BB97].) An elementary amenable group G is FP_1 if and only if it is virtually FP, and is then virtually constructible and solvable of nite Hirsch length [Kr93].

If the augmentation $\mathbb{Q}[\]$ -module \mathcal{Q} has a nite resolution \mathcal{F} by nitely generated projective modules then $(\)=\ (-1)^i dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q} \quad \mathcal{F}_i)$ is independent of the resolution. (If is the fundamental group of an aspherical nite complex \mathcal{K} then $(\)=\ (\mathcal{K})$.) We may extend this de nition to groups which have a subgroup of nite index with such a resolution by setting $(\)=\ (\)=[\ :\]$. (It is not hard to see that this is well de ned.)

Let P be a nitely generated projective $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -module. Then P is a direct summand of $\mathbb{Z}[\]^r$, for some r 0, and so is the image of some idempotent r r-matrix M with entries in $\mathbb{Z}[\]$. The $Kaplansky\ rank$ (P) is the coe cient of 1 P in the trace of P. It depends only on P and is strictly positive if $P \neq 0$. The group—satis es the P-weak P-based only on P-based o

The following result from [BS78] shall be useful.

Theorem 1.13 (Bieri-Strebel) Let G be an FP_2 group such that $G=G^{\emptyset}$ is innite. Then G is an HNN extension with nitely generated base and associated subgroups.

Proof (Sketch { We shall assume that G is nitely presentable.) Let h: F(m) ! G be an epimorphism, and let $g_i = h(x_i)$ for $1 \in M$. We may

assume that g_m has in nite order modulo the normal closure of fg_i j 1 i < mg. Since G is nitely presentable the kernel of h is the normal closure of nitely many relators, of weight 0 in the letter x_m . Each such relator is a product of powers of conjugates of the generators fx_i j 1 i < mg by powers of x_m . Thus we may assume the relators are contained in the subgroup generated j pg, for some su ciently large p. Let by $fx_m^J x_i x_m^{-J}$ j 1 i m; −p U be the subgroup of G generated by $fg_m^j g_i g_m^{-j} j 1$ i m; -p j < pg, and let $V = g_m U g_m^{-1}$. Let B be the subgroup of G generated by U [V and let G be the HNN extension with base B and associated subgroups U and V presented by G = hB; $s j sus^{-1} = (u) 8u 2 Ui$, where the isomorphism determined by conjugation by g_m in G. There are obvious epimorphisms : F(m+1) ! G and : G! G with composite h. It is easy to see that Ker(h) Ker() and so G = G.

In particular, if G is restrained then it is an ascending HNN extension.

A ring R is *weakly nite* if every onto endomorphism of R^n is an isomorphism, for all n = 0. (In [H2] the term \SIBN ring" was used instead.) Finitely generated stably free modules over weakly nite rings have well de ned ranks, and the rank is strictly positive if the module is nonzero. Skew elds are weakly nite, as are subrings of weakly nite rings. If G is a group its complex group algebra $\mathbb{C}[G]$ is weakly nite, by a result of Kaplansky. (See [Ro84] for a proof.)

A ring R is (regular) coherent if every nitely presentable left R-module has a (nite) resolution by nitely generated projective R-modules, and is (regular) noetherian if moreover every nitely generated R-module is nitely presentable. A group G is regular coherent or regular noetherian if the group ring R[G] is regular coherent or regular noetherian (respectively) for any regular noetherian ring R. It is coherent as a group if all its nitely generated subgroups are nitely presentable.

Lemma 1.14 If G is a group such that $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ is coherent then every nitely generated subgroup of G is FP_1 .

Proof Let H be a subgroup of G. Since $\mathbb{Z}[H]$ $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ is a faithfully flat ring extension a left $\mathbb{Z}[H]$ -module is nitely generated over $\mathbb{Z}[H]$ if and only if the induced module $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ H M is nitely generated over $\mathbb{Z}[G]$. It follows by induction on n that M is FP_n over $\mathbb{Z}[H]$ if and only if $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ H M is FP_n over $\mathbb{Z}[G]$.

If H is nitely generated then the augmentation $\mathbb{Z}[H]$ -module Z is nitely presentable over $\mathbb{Z}[H]$. Hence $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ H Z is nitely presentable over $\mathbb{Z}[G]$, and

so is FP_1 over $\mathbb{Z}[G]$, since that ring is coherent. Hence Z is FP_1 over $\mathbb{Z}[H]$, i.e., H is FP_1 .

Thus if either G is coherent (as a group) or $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ is coherent (as a ring) every nitely generated subgroup of G is FP_2 . As the latter condition shall usually su ce for our purposes below, we shall say that such a group is *almost coherent*. The connection between these notions has not been much studied.

The class of groups whose integral group ring is regular coherent contains the trivial group and is closed under generalised free products and HNN extensions with amalgamation over subgroups whose group rings are regular noetherian, by Theorem 19.1 of [Wd78]. If [G:H] is nite and G is torsion free then $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ is regular coherent if and only if $\mathbb{Z}[H]$ is. In particular, free groups and surface groups are coherent and their integral group rings are regular coherent, while (torsion free) virtually poly-Z groups are coherent and their integral group rings are (regular) noetherian.

1.7 Ends and cohomology with free coe cients

A nitely generated group G has 0, 1, 2 or in nitely many ends. It has 0 ends if and only if it is nite, in which case $H^0(G; \mathbb{Z}[G]) = Z$ and $H^q(G; \mathbb{Z}[G]) = 0$ for q > 0. Otherwise $H^0(G; \mathbb{Z}[G]) = 0$ and $H^1(G; \mathbb{Z}[G])$ is a free abelian group of rank e(G) - 1, where e(G) is the number of ends of G [Sp49]. The group G has more than one end if and only if it is either a nontrivial generalised free product with amalgamation G = A C B or an HNN extension A C where C is a nite group. In particular, it has two ends if and only if it is virtually C if and only if it has a (maximal) nite normal subgroup C such that the quotient C = F is either in nite cyclic C or in nite dihedral C (C = C = C). (C = C = C). (See [DD].)

Lemma 1.15 Let N be a nitely generated restrained group. Then N is either nite or virtually Z or has one end.

Proof Groups with in nitely many ends have noncyclic free subgroups.

It follows that a countable restrained group is either elementary amenable of Hirsch length at most 1 or it is an increasing union of nitely generated, one-ended subgroups.

Geometry & Topology Monographs, Volume 5 (2002)

If G is a group with a normal subgroup N, and A is a left $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module there is a *Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence* (LHSSS) for G as an extension of G=N by N and with coe cients A:

$$E_2 = H^p(G=N; H^q(N; A))) H^{p+q}(G; A);$$

the r^{th} di erential having bidegree (r; 1 - r). (See Section 10.1 of [Mc].)

Theorem 1.16 [Ro75] If G has a normal subgroup N which is the union of an increasing sequence of subgroups N_{Ω} such that $H^{S}(N_{\Omega}; \mathbb{Z}[G]) = 0$ for S r then $H^{S}(G; \mathbb{Z}[G]) = 0$ for S r.

Proof Let s r. Let f be an s-cocycle for N with coe cients $\mathbb{Z}[G]$, and let f_n denote the restriction of f to a cocycle on N_n . Then there is an (s-1)-cochain g_n on N_n such that $g_n = f_n$. Since $(g_{n+1}j_{N_n} - g_n) = 0$ and $H^{s-1}(N_n; \mathbb{Z}[G]) = 0$ there is an (s-2)-cochain h_n on h_n with $h_n = g_{n+1}j_{N_n} - g_n$. Choose an extension h_n^0 of h_n to h_n^0 to h_n^0 and let $h_n^0 = g_{n+1}j_{N_n} - g_n^0$. Then $h_n^0 = g_n^0 = g_n^0$ and $h_n^0 = g_n^0 = g_n^0 = g_n^0$. In this way we may extend $h_n^0 = g_n^0 = g_n^0$

Corollary 1.16.1 The hypotheses are satis ed if N is the union of an increasing sequence of FP_r subgroups N_n such that $H^s(N_n; \mathbb{Z}[N_n]) = 0$ for s - r. In particular, if N is the union of an increasing sequence of nitely generated, one-ended subgroups then G has one end.

Proof We have $H^s(N_n; \mathbb{Z}[G]) = H^s(N_n; \mathbb{Z}[N_n])$ $\mathbb{Z}[G=N_n] = 0$, for all s r and all n, since N_n is FP_r .

In particular, G has one end if N is a countable elementary amenable group and h(N) > 1, by Lemma 1.15.

The following results are Theorems 8.8 of [Bi] and Theorem 0.1 of [BG85], respectively.

Theorem (Bieri) Let G be a nonabelian group with c:d:G = n. Then c:d:G = n-1, and if G has rank n-1 then G^{\emptyset} is free.

Theorem (Brown-Geoghegan) Let G be an HNN extension B in which the base H and associated subgroups I and (I) are FP_n . If the homomorphism from $H^q(B; Z[G])$ to $H^q(I; Z[G])$ induced by restriction is injective for some q n then the corresponding homomorphism in the Mayer-Vietoris sequence is injective, so $H^q(G; Z[G])$ is a quotient of $H^{q-1}(I; Z[G])$.

The second cohomology of a group with free coe cients $(H^2(G; R[G]), R = \mathbb{Z})$ or a eld) shall play an important role in our investigations.

Theorem (Farrell) Let G be a nitely presentable group. If G has an element of in nite order and $R = \mathbb{Z}$ or is a eld then $H^2(G; R[G])$ is either 0 or R or is not nitely generated.

Farrell also showed in [Fa74] that if $H^2(G; \mathbb{F}_2[G]) = Z=2Z$ then every nitely generated subgroup of G with one end has nite index in G. Hence if G is also torsion free then subgroups of in nite index in G are locally free. Bowditch has since shown that such groups are virtually the fundamental groups of aspherical closed surfaces ([Bo99] - see 18 below).

We would also like to know when $H^2(G; \mathbb{Z}[G])$ is 0 (for G nitely presentable). In particular, we expect this to the case if G is an ascending HNN extension over a nitely generated, one-ended base, or if G has an elementary amenable, normal subgroup E such that either h(E) = 1 and G = E has one end or h(E) = 1 and G = E has one end or G = E and G = E has one end or G = E and G = E has one end or G = E and G = E has one end or G = E and G = E has one end or G = E and G = E has one end or G = E and G = E has one end or G = E and G = E has one end or G = E and G = E has one end or G = E and G = E has one end or G = E and G = E has one end or G = E and G = E has one end or G = E and G = E has one end or G = E and G = E has one end or G = E and G = E has one end or G = E and G = E has one end or G = E and G = E has one end or G = E and G = E has one end or G = E and G = E has one end or G = E has one end or G = E and G = E has one end or G = E and G = E has one end or G = E and G = E has one end or G = E has one end or G = E has one end or G = E and G = E has one end or G = E has one end or

Theorem 1.17 Let G be a nitely presentable group with an almost coherent, locally virtually indicable, restrained normal subgroup E. Suppose that either E is abelian of rank 1 and G=E has one end or that E has a nitely generated, one-ended subgroup and G is not elementary amenable of Hirsch length 2. Then $H^s(G; \mathbb{Z}[G]) = 0$ for s=2.

Proof If E is abelian of positive rank and G=E has one end then G is 1-connected at 1 and so $H^s(G; \mathbb{Z}[G]) = 0$ for s=2, by Theorem 1 of [Mi87], and so $H^s(G; \mathbb{Z}[G]) = 0$ for s=2, by [GM86].

We may assume henceforth that E is an increasing union of nitely generated one-ended subgroups E_n E_{n+1} $E = [E_n]$. Since E is locally virtually indicable there are subgroups F_n E_n such that $[E_n : F_n] < 1$ and which map onto E. Since E is almost coherent these subgroups are E and the extensions are ascending, since E is restrained. Since E has one end E has one or two ends.

If H_n has two ends then E_n is elementary amenable and $h(E_n) = 2$. Therefore if H_n has two ends for all n then $[E_{n+1} : E_n] < 1$, E is elementary amenable

and h(E) = 2. If [G:E] < 1 then G is elementary amenable and h(G) = 2, and so we may assume that [G:E] = 1. If E is nitely generated then it is FP_2 and so $H^S(G;\mathbb{Z}[G]) = 0$ for s=2, by an LHSSS argument. This is also the case if E is not nitely generated, for then $H^S(E;\mathbb{Z}[G]) = 0$ for s=2, by the argument of Theorem 3.3 of [GS81], and we may again apply an LHSSS argument. (The hypothesis of [GS81] that \each G_n is FP and $c:d:G_n = h$ " can be relaxed to \each G_n is FP_h ".)

Otherwise we may assume that H_n has one end, for all n-1. In this case $H^s(F_n; \mathbb{Z}[F_n]) = 0$ for s-2, by the Theorem of Brown and Geoghegan. Therefore $H^s(G; \mathbb{Z}[G]) = 0$ for s-2, by Theorem 1.16.

The theorem applies if E is almost coherent and elementary amenable, and either h(E) = 2 and [G : E] = 1 or h(E) = 3, since elementary amenable groups are restrained and locally virtually indicable. It also applies if $E = \overline{G}$ is large enough, since nitely generated nilpotent groups are virtually poly-Z. A similar argument shows that if $h(\overline{G}) = r$ then $H^s(G; \mathbb{Z}[G]) = 0$ for s < r. If moreover $[G : \overline{G}] = 1$ then $H^r(G; \mathbb{Z}[G]) = 0$ also.

Are the hypotheses that E be almost coherent and locally virtually indicable necessary? Is it su cient that E be restrained and be an increasing union of nitely generated, one-ended subgroups?

Theorem 1.18 Let G = B be an HNN extension with FP_2 base B and associated subgroups I and (I) = J, and which has a restrained normal subgroup N hhBii. Then $H^s(G; \mathbb{Z}[G]) = 0$ for s = 2 if either

- (1) the HNN extension is ascending and B = I = J has one end;
- (2) N is locally virtually Z and G=N has one end; or
- (3) N has a nitely generated subgroup with one end.

Proof The rst assertion follows immediately from the Brown-Geogeghan Theorem.

Let t be the stable letter, so that $tit^{-1} = (i)$, for all $i \ 2 \ I$. Suppose that $N \setminus J \ne N \setminus B$, and let $b \ 2 \ N \setminus B - J$. Then $b^t = t^{-1}bt$ is in N, since N is normal in G. Let a be any element of $N \setminus B$. Since N has no noncyclic free subgroup there is a word $w \ 2 \ F(2)$ such that $w(a; b^t) = 1$ in G. It follows from Britton's Lemma that a must be in I and so $N \setminus B = N \setminus I$. In particular, N is the increasing union of copies of $N \setminus B$.

Hence G=N is an HNN extension with base $B=N \setminus B$ and associated subgroups $I=N \setminus I$ and $J=N \setminus J$. Therefore if G=N has one end the latter groups are in nite, and so B, I and J each have one end. If N is virtually Z then $H^{S}(G; \mathbb{Z}[G]) = 0$ for S 2, by an LHSSS argument. If N is locally virtually Z but is not nitely generated then it is the increasing union of a sequence of two-ended subgroups and $H^s(N; \mathbb{Z}[G]) = 0$ for s 1, by Theorem 3.3 of [GS81]. Since $H^2(B; \mathbb{Z}[G]) = H^0(B; H^2(N \setminus B; \mathbb{Z}[G]))$ and $H^2(I; \mathbb{Z}[G]) =$ $H^0(I; H^2(N \setminus I; \mathbb{Z}[G]))$, the restriction map from $H^2(B; \mathbb{Z}[G])$ to $H^2(I; \mathbb{Z}[G])$ is injective. If N has a nitely generated, one-ended subgroup N_1 , we may $N \setminus B$, and so B, I and J also have one end. Moreover assume that N_1 $H^{s}(N \setminus B; \mathbb{Z}[G]) = 0$ for s 1, by Theorem 1.16. We again see that the restriction map from $H^2(B; \mathbb{Z}[G])$ to $H^2(I; \mathbb{Z}[G])$ is injective. The result now follows in these cases from the Theorem of Brown and Geoghegan.

1.8 Poincare duality groups

A group G is a PD_n -group if it is FP, $H^p(G; \mathbb{Z}[G]) = 0$ for $p \notin n$ and $H^n(G; \mathbb{Z}[G]) = Z$. The \dualizing module" $H^n(G; \mathbb{Z}[G]) = Ext^n_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(Z; \mathbb{Z}[G])$ is a right $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module; the group is *orientable* (or is a PD_n^+ -group) if it acts trivially on the dualizing module, i.e., if $H^n(G; \mathbb{Z}[G])$ is isomorphic to the augmentation module Z. (See [Bi].)

The only PD_1 -group is Z. Eckmann, Linnell and Müller showed that every PD_2 -group is the fundamental group of a closed aspherical surface. (See Chapter VI of [DD].) Bowditch has since found a much stronger result, which must be close to the optimal characterization of such groups [Bo99].

Theorem (Bowditch) Let G be an almost nitely presentable group and F a eld. Then G is virtually a PD_2 -group if and only if $H^2(G; F[G])$ has a 1-dimensional G-invariant subspace.

In particular, this theorem applies if $H^2(G; \mathbb{Z}[G]) = Z$. for then the image of $H^2(G; \mathbb{Z}[G])$ in $H^2(G; \mathbb{F}_2[G])$ under reduction mod (2) is such a subspace.

The following result from [St77] corresponds to the fact that an in nite covering space of a PL n-manifold is homotopy equivalent to a complex of dimension < n.

Theorem (Strebel) Let H be a subgroup of in nite index in a PD_n -group G. Then c:d:H < n.

If R is a subring of S, A is a left R-module and C is a left S-module then the abelian groups $Hom_R(Cj_R;A)$ and $Hom_S(C;Hom_R(Sj_R;A))$ are naturally isomorphic, where Cj_R and Sj_R are the left R-modules underlying C and S respectively. (The maps I and J de ned by I(f)(c)(s) = f(sc) and J()(c) = (c)(1) for f:C!A and C:C!A are mutually inverse isomorphisms.) When K is a subgroup of A and A and A are mutually inthese isomorphisms give rise to Shapiro's lemma. In our applications A shall usually be in nite cyclic and A is then a twisted Laurent extension of A.

Theorem 1.19 Let be a PD_n -group with an FP_r normal subgroup K such that G = -K is a PD_{n-r} group and 2r - n - 1. Then K is a PD_r -group.

Proof It shall su ce to show that $H^s(K;F) = 0$ for any free $\mathbb{Z}[K]$ -module F and all s > r, for then c:d:K = r and the result follows from Theorem 9.11 of [Bi]. Let $W = Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[K]}(\mathbb{Z}[\];F)$ be the $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -module coinduced from F. Then $H^s(K;F) = H^s(\ ;W) = H_{n-s}(\ ;\overline{W})$, by Shapiro's lemma and Poincare duality. As a $\mathbb{Z}[K]$ -module $\overline{W} = F^G$ (the direct product of jGj copies of F), and so $H_q(K;\overline{W}) = 0$ for 0 < q - r (since K is FP_r), while $H_0(K;\overline{W}) = A^G$, where $A = H_0(K;F)$. Moreover $A^G = Hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}[G];A)$ as a $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module, and so is coinduced from a module over the trivial group. Therefere if n - s - r the LHSSS gives $H^s(K;F) = H_{n-s}(G;A^G)$. Poincare duality for G and another application of Shapiro's lemma now give $H^s(K;F) = H^{s-r}(G;A^G) = H^{s-r}(1;A) = 0$, if s > r.

If the quotient is poly-Z we can do somewhat better.

Theorem 1.20 Let be a PD_n -group which is an extension of Z by a normal subgroup K which is $FP_{[n=2]}$. Then K is a PD_{n-1} -group.

Proof It is su cient to show that $\lim_{i \to \infty} H^q(K; M_i) = 0$ for any direct system fM_ig_{i2l} with limit 0 and for all $q \in n-1$, for then K is FP_{n-1} [Br75], and the result again follows from Theorem 9.11 of [Bi]. Since K is $FP_{[n-2]}$ we may assume q > n=2. We have $H^q(K; M_i) = H^q(; W_i) = H_{n-q}(; \overline{W_i})$, where $W_i = Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[K]}(\mathbb{Z}[]; M_i)$, by Shapiro's lemma and Poincare duality. The LHSSS for as an extension of Z by K reduces to short exact sequences

$$0! H_0(=K; H_s(K; \overline{W_i}))! H_s(; \overline{W_i})! H_1(=K; H_{s-1}(K; \overline{W_i}))! 0:$$

As a $\mathbb{Z}[K]$ -module $W_i = (M_i)^{-K}$ (the direct product of countably many copies of M_i). Since K is $FP_{[n=2]}$ homology commutes with direct products in this

Geometry & Topology Monographs, Volume 5 (2002)

range, and so $H_S(K; \overline{W_i}) = H_S(K; \overline{M_i})^{-K}$ if s = n-2. As -K = K acts on this module by shifting the entries we see that $H_S(; \overline{W_i}) = H_{S-1}(K; \overline{M_i})$ if s = n-2, and the result now follows easily.

A similar argument shows that if is a PD_n -group and : ! Z is any epimorphism then c:d:Ker() < n. (This weak version of Strebel's Theorem su ces for some of the applications below.)

Corollary 1.20.1 If a PD_n -group is an extension of a virtually poly-Z group Q by an $FP_{[n=2]}$ normal subgroup K then K is a $PD_{n-h(O)}$ -group. \square

1.9 Hilbert modules

Let be a countable group and let $^{\prime 2}($) be the Hilbert space completion of $\mathbb{C}[$] with respect to the inner product given by (a_gg ; b_hh) = $a_g\overline{b_g}$. Left and right multiplication by elements of determine left and right actions of $\mathbb{C}[$] as bounded operators on $^{\prime 2}($). The (left) von Neumann algebra N() is the algebra of bounded operators on $^{\prime 2}($) which are $\mathbb{C}[$]-linear with respect to the left action. By the Tomita-Takesaki theorem this is also the bicommutant in $B(^{\prime 2}($)) of the right action of $\mathbb{C}[$], i.e., the set of operators which commute with every operator which is right $\mathbb{C}[$]-linear. (See pages 45-52 of [Su].) We may clearly use the canonical involution of $\mathbb{C}[$] to interchange the roles of left and right in these de nitions.

If $e\ 2$ is the unit element we may de ne the von Neumann trace on $N(\)$ by the inner product tr(f)=(f(e);e). This extends to square matrices over $N(\)$ by taking the sum of the traces of the diagonal entries. A $Hilbert\ N(\)$ -module is a Hilbert space M with a unitary left -action which embeds isometrically and -equivariantly into the completed tensor product $H^{b'/2}(\)$ for some Hilbert space H. It is nitely generated if we may take $H=\mathbb{C}^n$ for some integer n. (In this case we do not need to complete the ordinary tensor product over \mathbb{C} .) A morphism of Hilbert $N(\)$ -modules is a -equivariant bounded linear operator $f:M!\ N$. It is a weak isomorphism if it is injective and has dense image. A bounded -linear operator on $i^2(\)^n=\mathbb{C}^n$ $i^2(\)$ is represented by a matrix whose entries are in $N(\)$. The von Neumann dimension of a nitely generated Hilbert $N(\)$ -module M is the real number $dim_{N(\)}(M)=tr(P)\ 2\ [0;1)$, where P is any projection operator on $i^2(\)$ with image -isometric to M. In particular, $dim_{N(\)}(M)=0$ if and only if M=0. The notions of nitely generated Hilbert $N(\)$ -module

1.9 Hilbert modules 23

and nitely generated projective N()-module are essentially equivalent, and arbitrary N()-modules have well-de ned dimensions in [0; 1] [Lü].

A sequence of bounded maps between Hilbert N() -modules

$$M \xrightarrow{j} N \xrightarrow{p} P$$

is weakly exact at N if $\operatorname{Ker}(p)$ is the closure of $\operatorname{Im}(j)$. If 0? M? N? P? 0 is weakly exact then j is injective, $\operatorname{Ker}(p)$ is the closure of $\operatorname{Im}(j)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(p)$ is dense in P, and $\dim_{N(\cdot)}(N) = \dim_{N(\cdot)}(M) + \dim_{N(\cdot)}(P)$. A nitely generated $Hilbert\ N(\cdot)$ -complex C is a chain complex of nitely generated Hilbert $N(\cdot)$ -modules with bounded $\mathbb{C}[\cdot]$ -linear operators as di erentials. The reduced L^2 -homology is defined to be $H_p^{(2)}(C) = \operatorname{Ker}(d_p) = \overline{\operatorname{Im}(d_{p+1})}$. The $p^{th}\ L^2$ -Betti number of C is then $\dim_{N(\cdot)}H_p^{(2)}(C)$. (As the images of the differentials need not be closed the Un reduced L^2 -homology modules $H_p^{(2)}(C) = \operatorname{Ker}(d_p) = \operatorname{Im}(d_{p+1})$ are not in general Hilbert modules.)

See [Lü] for more on modules over von Neumann algebras and L^2 invariants of complexes and manifolds.

[In this book L^2 -Betti number arguments shall replace the localization arguments used in [H2]. However we shall recall the denition of *safe extension* used there. An extension of rings $\mathbb{Z}[G] <$ is a safe extension if it is faithfully flat, is weakly nite and $\mathbb{Z}[G] \mathbb{Z} = 0$. It was shown there that if a group has a nontrivial elementary amenable normal subgroup whose nite subgroups have bounded order and which has no nontrivial nite normal subgroup then $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ has a safe extension.]

Chapter 2

2-Complexes and PD_3 -complexes

This chapter begins with a review of the notation we use for (co)homology with local coe cients and of the universal coe cient spectral sequence. We then de ne the L^2 -Betti numbers and present some useful vanishing theorems of Lück and Gromov. These invariants are used in $\lambda 3$, where they are used to estimate the Euler characteristics of nite [;m]-complexes and to give a converse to the Cheeger-Gromov-Gottlieb Theorem on aspherical nite complexes. Some of the arguments and results here may be regarded as representing in microcosm the bulk of this book; the analogies and connections between 2-complexes and 4-manifolds are well known. We then review Poincare duality and PD_n -complexes. In $\lambda 5-\lambda 9$ we shall summarize briefly what is known about the homotopy types of PD_3 -complexes.

2.1 Notation

Let X be a connected cell complex and let X be its universal covering space. If H is a normal subgroup of $G = {}_{1}(X)$ we may lift the cellular decomposition of X to an equivariant cellular decomposition of the corresponding covering space X_H . The cellular chain complex C of X_H with coe—cients in a commutative ring R is then a complex of left R[G=H]-modules, with respect to the action of the covering group G=H. Moreover C is a complex of free modules, with bases obtained by choosing a lift of each cell of X. If X is a nite complex G is nitely presentable and these modules are—nitely generated. If X is—nitely dominated, i.e., is a retract of a—nite complex Y, then G is a retract of—G of the universal cover is chain homotopy equivalent over R[G] to a complex of nitely generated projective modules [Wl65].

The i^{th} equivariant homology module of X with coe cients R[G=H] is the left module $H_i(X; R[G=H]) = H_i(C)$, which is clearly isomorphic to $H_i(X_H; R)$ as an R-module, with the action of the covering group determining its R[G=H]-module structure. The i^{th} equivariant cohomology module of X with coecients R[G=H] is the right module $H^i(X; R[G=H]) = H^i(C)$, where C = R(G=H)

 $Hom_{R[G=H]}(C;R[G=H])$ is the associated cochain complex of right R[G=H]-modules. More generally, if A and B are right and left $\mathbb{Z}[G=H]$ -modules (respectively) we may de ne $H_j(X;A) = H_j(A \mathbb{Z}[G=H] C)$ and $H^{n-j}(X;B) = H^{n-j}(Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[G=H]}(C;B))$. There is a *Universal Coe cient Spectral Sequence* (UCSS) relating equivariant homology and cohomology:

$$E_2^{pq} = Ext_{R[G=H]}^q(H_p(X;R[G=H]);R[G=H]) \) \ H^{p+q}(X;R[G=H]);$$

with r^{th} di erential d_r of bidegree (1 - r; r).

If J is a normal subgroup of G which contains H there is also a *Cartan-Leray* spectral sequence relating the homology of X_H and X_J :

$$E_{pq}^2 = Tor_p^{R[G=H]}(H_q(X; R[G=H]); R[G=J])) H_{p+q}(X; R[G=J]);$$

with r^{th} di erential d^r of bidegree (-r; r-1). (See [Mc] for more details on these spectral sequences.)

If M is a cell complex let $c_M: M! \ K(\ _1(M);1)$ denote the classifying map for the fundamental group and let $f_M: M! \ P_2(M)$ denote the second stage of the Postnikov tower for M. (Thus $c_M = c_{P_2(M)} f_M$.) A map $f: X! \ K(\ _1(M);1)$ lifts to a map from X to $P_2(M)$ if and only if f(M) = 0, where f(M) is the rst f(M) is the rst f(M) in f(M) in f(M) in f(M). In particular, if f(M) = 0 then f(M) has a cross-section. The algebraic f(M) is the triple f(M) is the triple f(M) is the triple f(M) is the triple f(M) and f(M) is the triple f(M) is the triple

Throughout this book *closed manifold* shall mean compact, connected TOP manifold without boundary. Every closed manifold has the homotopy type of a nite Poincare duality complex [KS].

2.2 L^2 -Betti numbers

Let X be a nite complex with fundamental group . The L^2 -Betti numbers of X are de ned by $_i^{(2)}(X) = dim_{N(\cdot)}(H_2^{(2)}(\cancel{X}))$ where the L^2 -homology $H_i^{(2)}(\cancel{X}) = H_i(C^{(2)})$ is the reduced homology of the Hilbert $N(\cdot)$ -complex $C^{(2)} = {}^{\prime 2} C(\cancel{X})$ of square summable chains on \cancel{X} [At76]. They are multiplicative in nite covers, and for i=0 or 1 depend only on . (In particular,

 $_{0}^{(2)}(\)=0$ if is in nite.) The alternating sum of the L^{2} -Betti numbers is the Euler characteristic (X) [At76]. The usual Betti numbers of a space or group with coe cients in a eld F shall be denoted by $_{i}(X;F)=dim_{F}H_{i}(X;F)$ (or just $_{i}(X)$, if $F=\mathbb{Q}$).

It may be shown that $i^{(2)}(X) = dim_{N(\cdot)}H_i(N(\cdot)) = C(X)$, and this formulation of the de nition applies to arbitrary complexes (see [CG86], [Lü]). (However we may have $i^{(2)}(X) = 1$.) These numbers are nite if X is nitely dominated, and the Euler characteristic formula holds if also satis es the Strong Bass Conjecture [Ec96]. In particular, $i^{(2)}(\cdot) = dim_{N(\cdot)}H_i(\cdot;N(\cdot))$ is de ned for any group, and $i^{(2)}(\cdot) = i^{(2)}(X)$. (See Theorems 1.35 and 6.54 of [Lü].)

Lemma 2.1 Let = H be a nitely presentable group which is an ascending HNN extension with nitely generated base H. Then $\binom{(2)}{1}(\) = 0$.

Proof Let t be the stable letter and let H_n be the subgroup generated by H and t^n , and suppose that H is generated by g elements. Then $[: H_n] = n$, so $\binom{(2)}{1}(H_n) = n \binom{(2)}{1}(]$. But each H_n is also nitely presentable and generated by g+1 elements. Hence $\binom{(2)}{1}(H_n) = g+1$, and so $\binom{(2)}{1}() = 0$.

In particular, this lemma holds if $\,$ is an extension of Z by a $\,$ nitely generated normal subgroup. We shall only sketch the next theorem (from Chapter 7 of $[L\ddot{u}]$) as we do not use it in an essential way. (See however Theorems 5.8 and 9.9.)

Theorem 2.2 (Lück) Let be a group with a nitely generated in nite normal subgroup such that = has an element of in nite order. Then $\binom{2}{1}(\)=0$.

Proof (Sketch) Let be a subgroup containing such that = Z. The terms in the line p + q = 1 of the homology LHSSS for as an extension of Z by with coe cients N() have dimension 0, by Lemma 2.1. Since $dim_{N()}M = dim_{N()}(N()) = M()M)$ for any N()-module M the corresponding terms for the LHSSS for as an extension of = by with coe cients N() also have dimension 0 and the theorem follows.

Gaboriau has shown that the hypothesis \setminus = has an element of in nite order" can be relaxed to \setminus = is in nite" [Ga00]. A similar argument gives the following result.

Theorem 2.3 Let be a group with an in nite subnormal subgroup N such that $i^{(2)}(N) = 0$ for all i s. Then $i^{(2)}(n) = 0$ for all i s.

Proof Suppose rst that N is normal in . If [:N] < 1 the result follows by multiplicativity of the L^2 -Betti numbers, while if [:N] = 1 it follows from the LHSSS with coe cients N(). We may then induct up a subnormal chain to obtain the theorem.

In particular, we obtain the following result from page 226 of [Gr]. (Note also that if A is an amenable ascendant subgroup of then its normal closure in is amenable.)

Corollary 2.3.1 (Gromov) Let be a group with an in nite amenable normal subgroup A. Then $i^{(2)}(\cdot) = 0$ for all i.

Proof If *A* is an in nite amenable group $i^{(2)}(A) = 0$ for all i [CG86].

2.3 2-Complexes and nitely presentable groups

If a group has a nite presentation P with g generators and r relators then the de ciency of P is def(P) = g - r, and def() is the maximal deciency of all nite presentations of . Such a presentation determines a nite 2-complex C(P) with one 0-cell, g 1-cells and r 2-cells and with $_1(C(P)) = .$ Clearly $def(P) = 1 - (P) = _1(C(P)) - _2(C(P))$ and so $def() = _1() - _2()$. Conversely every nite 2-complex with one 0-cell arises in this way. In general, any connected nite 2-complex X is homotopy equivalent to one with a single 0-cell, obtained by collapsing a maximal tree T in the 1-skeleton $X^{[1]}$.

We shall say that has *geometric dimension at most 2*, written *g:d:* 2, if it is the fundamental group of a nite aspherical 2-complex.

Theorem 2.4 Let X be a connected nite 2-complex with fundamental group . Then (X) $\binom{2}{2}(\) - \binom{2}{1}(\)$. If $(X) = -\binom{2}{1}(\)$ then X is aspherical and 6 1.

Proof The lower bound follows from the Euler characteristic formula $(X) = \binom{2}{0}(X) - \binom{2}{1}(X) + \binom{2}{2}(X)$, since $\binom{2}{i}() = \binom{2}{i}(X)$ for i = 0 and 1 and $\binom{2}{2}() = \binom{2}{2}(X)$. Since X is 2-dimensional $\binom{2}{2}(X) = H_2(\widehat{X}; \mathbb{Z})$ is a subgroup of $H_2^{(2)}(\widehat{X})$. If $(X) = -\binom{2}{1}()$ then $\binom{2}{0}(X) = 0$, so is in nite, and $\binom{2}{2}(X) = 0$, so $H_2^{(2)}(\widehat{X}) = 0$. Therefore $\binom{2}{2}(X) = 0$ and so X is aspherical. \square

Corollary 2.4.1 Let be a nitely presentable group. Then def() $1 + \binom{2}{1}$ () $-\binom{2}{2}$ (). If def() = $1 + \binom{2}{1}$ () then g:d: 2.

Let G = F(2) F(2). Then g:d:G = 2 and def(G) $_1(G) - _2(G) = 0$. Hence hu; v; x; y j ux = xu; uy = yu; vx = xv; vy = yvi is an optimal presentation, and def(G) = 0. The subgroup N generated by u, vx^{-1} and y is normal in G and G=N=Z, so $_1^{(2)}(G)=0$, by Lemma 2.1. Thus asphericity need not imply equality in Theorem 2.4, in general.

Theorem 2.5 Let be a nitely presentable group such that $\binom{2}{1}() = 0$. Then def() 1, with equality if and only if g:d: 2 and $\binom{2}{1}() = \binom{1}{1}() - 1$.

Proof The upper bound and the necessity of the conditions follow from Theorem 2.4. Conversely, if they hold and X is a nite aspherical 2-complex with $_1(X) =$ then $_1(X) = 1 - _1() + _2() = 0$. After collapsing a maximal tree in X we may assume it has a single 0-cell, and then the presentation read o the 1- and 2-cells has de ciency 1.

This theorem applies if is a nitely presentable group which is an ascending HNN extension with nitely generated base H, or has an in nite amenable normal subgroup. In the latter case, the condition $_2(\)=\ _1(\)-1$ is redundant. For suppose that X is a nite aspherical 2-complex with $_1(X)=\$. If has an in nite amenable normal subgroup then $_i^{(2)}(\)=0$ for all i, by Theorem 2.3, and so (X)=0.

[Similarly, if $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ has a safe extension and C is the equivariant cellular chain complex of the universal cover \mathscr{K} then $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ C is a complex of free left -modules with bases corresponding to the cells of X. Since is a safe extension $H_i(X;\) = \mathbb{Z}[\]H_i(X;\mathbb{Z}[\]) = 0$ for all i, and so again (X) = 0.

Corollary 2.5.1 Let be a nitely presentable group which is an extension of Z by an FP_2 normal subgroup N and such that def() = 1. Then N is free.

Proof This follows from Corollary 8.6 of [Bi].

The subgroup N of F(2) F(2) de ned after the Corollary to Theorem 2.4 is nitely generated, but is not free, as u and y generate a rank two abelian subgroup. (Thus N is not FP_2 and F(2) F(2) is not almost coherent.)

The next result is a version of the \Tits alternative" for coherent groups of cohomological dimension 2. For each $m\ 2\ Z$ let Z_m be the group with presentation $ha; t\ j\ tat^{-1} = a^m i$. (Thus $Z_0 = Z$ and $Z_{-1} = Z_{-1}\ Z$.)

Theorem 2.6 Let be a nitely generated group such that c:d:=2. Then $=Z_m$ for some $m \neq 0$ if and only if it is almost coherent and restrained and $=^{\emptyset}$ is in nite.

Proof The conditions are easily seen to be necessary. Conversely, if is almost coherent and $= {}^{\theta}$ is in nite is an HNN extension with almost nitely presentable base H, by Theorem 1.13. The HNN extension must be ascending as has no noncyclic free subgroup. Hence $H^2(\ ;\mathbb{Z}[\])$ is a quotient of $H^1(H;\mathbb{Z}[\]) = H^1(H;\mathbb{Z}[H])$ $\mathbb{Z}[\ =H]$, by the Brown-Geoghegan Theorem. Now $H^2(\ ;\mathbb{Z}[\]) \not = 0$, since c:d:=2, and so $H^1(H;\mathbb{Z}[H]) \not = 0$. Since H is restrained it must have two ends, so H=Z and H=Z and H=Z for some H=Z on H=Z and H=Z for some H=Z on H=Z and H=Z for some H=Z on H=Z for some H=Z on H=Z for some H=Z for some

Does this remain true without any such coherence hypothesis?

Corollary 2.6.1 Let be an FP_2 group. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) = Z_m for some m 2 Z;
- (2) is torsion free, elementary amenable and h() 2;
- (3) is elementary amenable and c:d: 2;
- (4) is elementary amenable and def() = 1; and
- (5) is almost coherent and restrained and def() = 1.

In fact all nitely generated solvable groups of cohomological dimension 2 are as in this corollary [Gi79]. Are these conditions also equivalent to \setminus is almost coherent and restrained and c:d: 2"? Note also that if def() > 1 then has noncyclic free subgroups [Ro77].

Let X be the class of groups of $\$ nite graphs of groups, all of whose edge and vertex groups are in $\$ nite cyclic. Kropholler has shown that a $\$ nitely generated, noncyclic group $\ G$ is in $\ X$ if and only if $\ c:d:G=2$ and $\ G$ has an in $\$ nite cyclic subgroup $\ H$ which meets all its conjugates nontrivially. Moreover $\ G$ is then coherent, one ended and $\ g:d:G=2$ [Kr90'].

Theorem 2.7 Let be a nitely generated group such that c:d: = 2. If has a nontrivial normal subgroup E which either is almost coherent, locally virtually indicable and restrained or is elementary amenable then is in X and either E = Z or $= {}^{\ell}$ is in nite and ${}^{\ell}$ is abelian.

Proof Let F be a nitely generated subgroup of E. Then F is metabelian, by Theorem 2.6 and its Corollary, and so all words in E of the form $[[g;h],[g^0;h^0]]$ are trivial. Hence E is metabelian also. Therefore $A = \frac{1}{E}$ is nontrivial, and as A is characteristic in E it is normal in . Since A is the union of its nitely generated subgroups, which are torsion free nilpotent groups of Hirsch length E, it is abelian. If E is cyclic then E is in a somethial E is nonabelian. If E is cyclic then E is nonabelian then E is nonabelian then E is nonabelian. If E is nonabelian, so E is nonabelian. If E is metabelian. If E is nonabelian, so E is nonabelian. If E is nonabelian, so E is nonabelian, so E is nonabelian. If E is nonabelian, so E is nonabelian, so E is nonabelian. If E is nonabelian, so E is nonabelian, so E is nonabelian. If E is nonabelian, so E is nonabelian, so E is nonabelian. If E is nonabelian, so E is nonabelian, so E is nonabelian. If E is nonabelian, so E is nonabelian, so E is nonabelian. If E is nonabelian, so E is nonabelian.

If c:d:=2, $\neq 1$ and is nonabelian then =Z and $^{\ell}$ is free, by Bieri's Theorem. On the evidence of his work on 1-relator groups Murasugi conjectured that if G is a nitely presentable group other than Z^2 and def(G) = 1 then G = Z or 1, and is trivial if def(G) > 1, and he veri ed this for classical link groups [Mu65]. Theorems 2.3, 2.5 and 2.7 together imply that if G is in nite then def(G) = 1 and G = Z.

It remains an open question whether every $\,$ nitely presentable group of cohomological dimension 2 has geometric dimension 2. The following partial answer to this question was $\,$ rst obtained by W.Beckmann under the additional assumption that the group was $\,$ FF (cf. [Dy87']).

Theorem 2.8 Let be a nitely presentable group. Then g:d: 2 if and only if c:d: 2 and $def() = {1 \choose 1} - {2 \choose 1}$.

Proof The necessity of the conditions is clear. Suppose that they hold and that C(P) is the 2-complex corresponding to a presentation for of maximal de ciency. The cellular chain complex of $\widehat{C(P)}$ gives an exact sequence

$$0! K = {}_{2}(C(P))! \mathbb{Z}[]^{r}! \mathbb{Z}[]^{g}! ! \mathbb{Z}[]! 0:$$

As c:d: 2 the image of $\mathbb{Z}[\]^r$ in $\mathbb{Z}[\]^g$ is projective, by Schanuel's Lemma. Therefore the inclusion of K into $\mathbb{Z}[\]^r$ splits, and K is projective. Moreover $dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}K)=0$, and so K=0, since the Weak Bass Conjecture holds for [Ec86]. Hence $\widetilde{C(P)}$ is contractible, and so C(P) is aspherical.

Theorem 2.4⁰ Let X be a $[:m]_f$ -complex and suppose that $i^{(2)}() = 0$ for i < m. Then $(-1)^m$ (X) 0. If (X) = 0 then X is aspherical.

In general the implication in the statement of this theorem cannot be reversed. For $S^1 _ S^1$ is an aspherical $[F(2)/1]_f$ -complex and $\binom{(2)}{0}(F(2)) = 0$, but $(S^1 _ S^1) = -1 \neq 0$.

One of the applications of L^2 -cohomology in [CG86] was to show that if X is a nite aspherical complex such that $_1(X)$ has an in nite amenable normal subgroup A then (X) = 0. (This generalised a theorem of Gottlieb, who assumed that A was a central subgroup [Go65].) We may similarly extend Theorem 2.5 to give a converse to the Cheeger-Gromov extension of Gottlieb's Theorem.

Theorem 2.5^{ℓ} Let X be a $[:m]_f$ -complex and suppose that has an in nite amenable normal subgroup. Then X is aspherical if and only if (X) = 0. \square

2.4 Poincare duality

The main reason for studying *PD*-complexes is that they represent the homotopy theory of manifolds. However they also arise in situations where the geometry does not immediately provide a corresponding manifold. For instance, under suitable niteness assumptions an in nite cyclic covering space of a closed

4-manifold with Euler characteristic 0 will be a PD_3 -complex, but need not be homotopy equivalent to a closed 3-manifold (see Chapter 11).

A PD_n -complex is a nitely dominated cell complex which satis es Poincare duality of formal dimension n with local coexcients. It is *nite* if it is homotopy equivalent to a nite cell complex. (It is most convenient for our purposes below to require that PD_n -complexes be nitely dominated. If a CW-complex X satis es local duality then $_1(X)$ is FP_2 , and X is nitely dominated if and only if $_1(X)$ is nitely presentable [Br72, Br75]. Ranicki uses the broader de nition in his book [Rn].) All the PD_n -complexes that we consider shall be assumed to be connected.

Let P be a PD_n -complex and C be the cellular chain complex of P. Then the Poincare duality isomorphism may also be described in terms of a chain homotopy equivalence from \overline{C} to C_{n-} , which induces isomorphisms from $H^j(\overline{C})$ to $H_{n-j}(C)$, given by cap product with a generator [P] of $H_n(P; Z^{w_1(P)}) = H_n(Z_{[1(P)]}C)$. (Here the rst Stiefel-Whitney class $w_1(P)$ is considered as a homomorphism from $_1(P)$ to Z=2Z.) From this point of view it is easy to see that Poincare duality gives rise to $(\mathbb{Z}$ -linear) isomorphisms from $H^j(P;B)$ to $H_{n-j}(P;B)$, where B is any left $\mathbb{Z}[_1(P)]$ -module of coe cients. (See [W167] or Chapter II of [W1] for further details.) If P is a Poincare duality complex then the L^2 -Betti numbers also satisfy Poincare duality. (This does not require that P be nite or orientable!)

A nitely presentable group is a PD_n -group (as de ned in Chapter 2) if and only if K(G;1) is a PD_n -complex. For every n-4 there are PD_n -groups which are not nitely presentable [Da98].

Dwyer, Stolz and Taylor have extended Strebel's Theorem to show that if H is a subgroup of in nite index in $_1(P)$ then the corresponding covering space P_H has homological dimension < n; hence if moreover $n \ne 3$ then P_H is homotopy equivalent to a complex of dimension < n [DST96].

2.5 PD_3 -complexes

In this section we shall summarize briefly what is known about PD_n -complexes of dimension at most 3. It is easy to see that a connected PD_1 -complex must be homotopy equivalent to S^1 . The 2-dimensional case is already quite dicult, but has been settled by Eckmann, Linnell and Müller, who showed that every PD_2 -complex is homotopy equivalent to a closed surface. (See Chapter VI of [DD]. This result has been further improved by Bowditch's Theorem.)

There are PD_3 -complexes with $\$ nite fundamental group which are not homotopy equivalent to any closed 3-manifold [Th77]. On the other hand, Turaev's Theorem below implies that every PD_3 -complex with torsion free fundamental group is homotopy equivalent to a closed 3-manifold if every PD_3 -group is a 3-manifold group. The latter is so if the Hirsch-Plotkin radical of the group is nontrivial (see x7 below), but remains open in general.

The fundamental triple of a PD_3 -complex P is $(_1(P); w_1(P); c_P [P])$. This is a complete homotopy invariant for such complexes.

Theorem (Hendriks) Two PD_3 -complexes are homotopy equivalent if and only if their fundamental triples are isomorphic.

Turaev has characterized the possible triples corresponding to a given nitely presentable group and orientation character, and has used this result to deduce a basic splitting theorem [Tu90].

Theorem (Turaev) $A PD_3$ -complex is irreducible with respect to connected sum if and only if its fundamental group is indecomposable with respect to free product.

Wall has asked whether every PD_3 -complex whose fundamental group has innitely many ends is a proper connected sum [Wl67]. Since the fundamental group of a PD_3 -complex is nitely presentable it is the fundamental group of a nite graph of (nitely generated) groups in which each vertex group has at most one end and each edge group is nite, by Theorem VI.6.3 of [DD]. Starting from this observation, Crisp has given a substantial partial answer to Wall's question [Cr00].

Theorem (Crisp) Let X be an indecomposable PD_3^+ -complex. If $_1(X)$ is not virtually free then it has one end, and so X is aspherical.

With Turaev's theorem this implies that the fundamental group of any PD_3 -complex is virtually torsion free, and that if X is irreducible and has more than one end then it is virtually free. There remains the possibility that, for instance, the free product of two copies of the symmetric group on 3 letters with amalgamation over a subgroup of order 2 may be the fundamental group of an orientable PD_3 -complex. (It appears di cult in practice to apply Turaev's work to the question of whether a given group can be the fundamental group of a PD_3 -complex.)

2.6 The spherical cases

The possible PD_3 -complexes with $\,$ nite fundamental group are well understood (although it is not yet completely known which are homotopy equivalent to 3-manifolds).

Theorem 2.9 [Wl67] Let X be a PD_3 -complex with nite fundamental group F. Then

- (1) $\Re ' S^3$, F has cohomological period dividing 4 and X is orientable;
- (2) the rst nontrivial k-invariant k(X) generates $H^4(F; \mathbb{Z}) = Z = jFjZ$.
- (3) the homotopy type of X is determined by F and the orbit of k(M) under Out(F) f 1g.

Proof Since the universal cover \Re is also a nite PD_3 -complex it is homotopy equivalent to S^3 . A standard Gysin sequence argument shows that F has cohomological period dividing 4. Suppose that X is nonorientable, and let C be a cyclic subgroup of F generated by an orientation reversing element. Let Z be the nontrivial in nite cyclic $\mathbb{Z}[C]$ -module. Then $H^2(X_C; Z) = H_1(X_C; \mathbb{Z}) = C$, by Poincare duality. But $H^2(X_C; Z) = H^2(C; Z) = 0$, since the classifying map from $X_C = \Re = C$ to K(C; 1) is 3-connected. Therefore X must be orientable and F must act trivially on $\mathfrak{Z}(X) = H_3(\Re; \mathbb{Z})$.

The image of the orientation class of X generates $H_3(F;\mathbb{Z}) = Z = jFjZ$, and corresponds to the rst nonzero k-invariant under the isomorphism $H_3(F;\mathbb{Z}) = H^4(F;\mathbb{Z})$ [Wl67]. Inner automorphisms of F act trivially on $H^4(F;\mathbb{Z})$, while changing the orientation of X corresponds to multiplication by -1. Thus the orbit of k(M) under Out(F) f 1g is the significant invariant.

The list of nite groups with cohomological period dividing 4 is well known. Each such group F and generator $k \ 2 \ H^4(F;\mathbb{Z})$ is realized by some PD_3^+ complex [Sw60, Wl67]. (See also Chapter 11 below.) In particular, there is an unique homotopy type of PD_3 -complexes with fundamental group the symmetric group S_3 , but there is no 3-manifold with this fundamental group.

The fundamental group of a PD_3 -complex P has two ends if and only if $P \cap S^2$, and then P is homotopy equivalent to one of the four $\mathbb{S}^2 \cap \mathbb{E}^1$ -manifolds $S^2 \cap S^1$, $S^2 \cap S^1$, $RP^2 \cap S^1$ or $RP^3 \cap RP^3$. The following simple lemma leads to an alternative characterization.

Lemma 2.10 Let P be a nite dimensional complex with fundamental group and such that $H_q(P; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for all q > 2. If C is a cyclic subgroup of then $H_{S+3}(C; \mathbb{Z}) = H_S(C; {}_2(P))$ for all $S = \dim(P)$.

Proof Since $H_2(P; \mathbb{Z}) = {}_2(P)$ and $\dim(P=C) - \dim(P)$ this follows either from the Cartan-Leray spectral sequence for the universal cover of P=C or by devissage applied to the homology of C(P), considered as a chain complex over $\mathbb{Z}[C]$.

Theorem 2.11 Let P be a PD_3 -complex whose fundamental group has a nontrivial nite normal subgroup N. Then either P is homotopy equivalent to RP^2 S^1 or is nite.

Proof We may clearly assume that is in nite. Then $H_q(P; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for q > 2, by Poincare duality. Let $= {}_2(P)$. The augmentation sequence

gives rise to a short exact sequence

 $0 ! Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}(\mathbb{Z}[\]; \mathbb{Z}[\]) ! Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}(A(\); \mathbb{Z}[\]) ! H^{1}(\ ; \mathbb{Z}[\]) ! 0:$

Let f: A() ! $\mathbb{Z}[]$ be a homomorphism and be a central element of . Then f: (i) = f(i) = f(i) = f(i) = f(i) and so (f: -f)(i) = f(i(-1)) = if(-1) for all $i \ge A()$. Hence f: -f is the restriction of a homomorphism from $\mathbb{Z}[]$ to $\mathbb{Z}[]$. Thus central elements of act trivially on $H^1(; \mathbb{Z}[])$.

If $n \ 2 \ N$ the centraliser $= C \ (hni)$ has nite index in , and so the covering space P is again a PD_3 -complex with universal covering space P. Therefore $= \overline{H^1(\ ; \mathbb{Z}[\])}$ as a (left) $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -module. In particular, is a free abelian group. Since n is central in it acts trivially on $H^1(\ ; \mathbb{Z}[\])$ and hence via

w(n) on . Suppose rst that w(n) = 1. Then Lemma 2.10 gives an exact sequence

where the right hand homomorphism is multiplication by jnj, since n has nite order and acts trivially on . As is torsion free we must have n = 1.

Therefore if $n \ 2 \ N$ is nontrivial it has order 2 and w(n) = -1. In this case Lemma 2.10 gives an exact sequence

$$0!$$
 ! $Z=2Z!$ 0;

where the left hand homomorphism is multiplication by 2. Since is a free abelian group it must be in nite cyclic, and so $P \cap S^2$. The theorem now follows from Theorem 4.4 of [Wl67].

If $_1(P)$ has a nitely generated in nite normal subgroup of in nite index then it has one end, and so P is aspherical. We shall discuss this case next.

2.7 PD_3 -groups

If Wall's question has an a rmative answer, the study of PD_3 -complexes reduces largely to the study of PD_3 -groups. It is not yet known whether all such groups are 3-manifold groups. The fundamental groups of 3-manifolds which are nitely covered by surface bundles or which admit one of the geometries of aspherical Seifert type may be characterized among all PD_3 -groups in simple group-theoretic terms.

Theorem 2.12 Let G be a PD_3 -group with a nontrivial almost nitely presentable normal subgroup N of in nite index. Then either

- (1) N = Z and G=N is virtually a PD_2 -group; or
- (2) N is a PD_2 -group and G=N has two ends.

Proof Let e be the number of ends of N. If N is free then $H^3(G; \mathbb{Z}[G]) = H^2(G=N; H^1(N; \mathbb{Z}[G]))$. Since N is nitely generated and G=N is FP_2 this is in turn isomorphic to $H^2(G=N; \mathbb{Z}[G=N])^{(e-1)}$. Since G is a PD_3 -group we must have e-1=1 and so N=Z. We then have $H^2(G=N; \mathbb{Z}[G=N]) = H^3(G; \mathbb{Z}[G]) = Z$, so G=N is virtually a PD_2 -group, by Bowditch's Theorem.

Otherwise c:d:N=2 and so e=1 or \mathcal{I} . The LHSSS gives an isomorphism $H^2(G; \mathbb{Z}[G]) = H^1(G=N; \mathbb{Z}[G=N]) \quad H^1(N; \mathbb{Z}[N]) = H^1(G=N; \mathbb{Z}[G=N])^{e-1}$.

Hence either e = 1 or $H^1(G=N; \mathbb{Z}[G=N]) = 0$. But in the latter case we have $H^3(G; \mathbb{Z}[G]) = H^2(G=N; \mathbb{Z}[G=N])$ $H^1(N; \mathbb{Z}[N])$ and so $H^3(G; \mathbb{Z}[G])$ is either 0 or in nite dimensional. Therefore e = 1, and so $H^3(G; \mathbb{Z}[G]) = H^1(G=N; \mathbb{Z}[G=N])$ $H^2(N; \mathbb{Z}[N])$. Hence G=N has two ends and $H^2(N; \mathbb{Z}[N]) = Z$, so N is a PD_2 -group.

We shall strengthen this result in Theorem 2.16 below.

Corollary 2.12.1 A PD_3 -complex P is homotopy equivalent to the mapping torus of a self homeomorphism of a closed surface if and only if there is an epimorphism : $_1(P)$! Z with nitely generated kernel.

Proof This follows from Theorems 1.20, 2.11 and 2.12.

If $_1(P)$ is in nite and is a nontrivial direct product then P is homotopy equivalent to the product of S^1 with a closed surface.

Theorem 2.13 Let G be a PD_3 -group. Then every almost coherent, locally virtually indicable subgroup of G is either virtually solvable or contains a noncyclic free subgroup.

Proof Let S be a restrained, locally virtually indicable subgroup of G. Suppose S is virtually indicable we may assume without loss of generality that S is an ascending HNN extension S with S is an ascending HNN extension S with nitely generated base. Since S is almost coherent S is nitely presentable, and since S is almost coherent S is nitely presentable, and since S is almost coherent S is nitely presentable, and since S is almost coherent S is nitely presentable, and since S is an S-S if S is an S-S ince S is an S-S ince S is an S-S ince S is an ascending that S is a subgraph of S is a subgraph that S is a subgraph of S is a subgraph of S in a subgraph of S is a subgraph of S in a subgraph of S is a subgraph of S in a subgraph of S is a subgraph of S in a subgraph of S in a subgraph of S is a subgraph of S in a subgraph of S in a subgraph of S is a subgraph of S in a subgraph of S in a subgraph of S is a subgraph of S in a subgraph of S in a subgraph of S is a subgraph of S in a subgraph of S in a subgraph of S is a subgraph of S in a subgraph of S in a subgraph of S is a subgraph of S in a subgraph of S in a subgraph of S is a subgraph of S in a subgraph of S in a subgraph of S is a subgraph of S in a subgraph of S

If [G:S] = 1 then c:d:S 2, by Strebel's Theorem. As the nitely generated subgroups of S are virtually indicable they are metabelian, by Theorem 2.6 and its Corollary. Hence S is metabelian also.

As the fundamental groups of virtually Haken 3-manifolds are coherent and locally virtually indicable, this implies the \T its alternative" for such groups [EJ73]. In fact solvable subgroups of in nite index in 3-manifold groups are virtually abelian. This remains true if K(G;1) is a nite PD_3 -complex, by Corollary 1.4 of [KK99]. Does this hold for all PD_3 -groups?

A slight modi cation of the argument gives the following corollary.

Corollary 2.13.1 A PD_3 -group G is virtually poly-Z if and only if it is coherent, restrained and has a subgroup of nite index with in nite abelianization.

If $_1(G)$ 2 the hypothesis of coherence is redundant, for there is then an epimorphism p:G! Z with nitely generated kernel, by [BNS87], and Theorem 1.20 requires only that H be nitely generated.

The argument of Theorem 2.13 and its corollary extend to show by induction on m that a PD_m -group is virtually poly-Z if and only if it is restrained and every nitely generated subgroup is FP_{m-1} and virtually indicable.

Theorem 2.14 Let G be a PD_3 -group. Then G is the fundamental group of an aspherical Seifert bred 3-manifold or a $\mathbb{S}ol^3$ -manifold if and only if $G \in I$. Moreover

- (1) $h(\overline{G}) = 1$ if and only if G is the group of an \mathbb{H}^2 \mathbb{E}^1 or \mathbb{G} -manifold;
- (2) $h(\overline{G}) = 2$ if and only if G is the group of a So^{β} -manifold;
- (3) $h(\overline{G}) = 3$ if and only if G is the group of an \mathbb{E}^3 or $\mathbb{N}i^3$ -manifold.

Proof The necessity of the conditions is clear. (See [Sc83'], or $\times 2$ and $\times 3$ of Chapter 7 below.) Certainly $h(\overline{G})$ c:d: \overline{G} 3. Moreover c:d: $\overline{G} = 3$ if and only if $[G:\overline{G}]$ is nite, by Strebel's Theorem. Hence G is virtually nilpotent if and only if $h(\overline{G}) = 3$. If $h(\overline{G}) = 2$ then \overline{G} is locally abelian, and hence abelian. Moreover \overline{G} must be nitely generated, for otherwise c:d $\overline{G} = 3$. Thus $\overline{G} = Z^2$ and case (2) follows from Theorem 2.12.

Suppose now that $h(\overset{\frown}{G}) = 1$ and let $C = C_G(\overset{\frown}{G})$. Then $\overset{\frown}{G}$ is torsion free abelian of rank 1, so $Aut(\overset{\frown}{G})$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of \mathbb{Q} . Therefore G=C is abelian. If G=C is in nite then c:d:C 2 by Strebel's Theorem and $\overset{\frown}{G}$ is not nitely generated, so C is abelian, by Bieri's Theorem, and hence G is solvable. But then $h(\overset{\frown}{G}) > 1$, which is contrary to our hypothesis. Therefore G=C is isomorphic to a nite subgroup of $\mathbb{Q} = Z^1$ (Z=2Z) and so has order at most 2. In particular, if A is an in nite cyclic subgroup of $\overset{\frown}{G}$ then A is normal in G, and so G=A is virtually a PD_2 -group, by Theorem 2.12. If G=A is a PD_2 -group then G is the fundamental group of an S^1 -bundle over a closed surface. In general, a nite torsion free extension of the fundamental group of a closed Seifert bred 3-manifold is again the fundamental group of a closed Seifert bred 3-manifold, by [Sc83] and Section 63 of [Zi].

The heart of this result is the deep theorem of Bowditch. The weaker characterization of fundamental groups of $\mathbb{S}ol^3$ -manifolds and aspherical Seifert bred 3-manifolds as PD_3 -groups G such that $G \not= 1$ and G has a subgroup of nite index with in nite abelianization is much easier to prove [H2]. There is as yet no comparable characterization of the groups of \mathbb{H}^3 -manifolds, although it may be conjectured that these are exactly the PD_3 -groups with no noncyclic abelian subgroups. (Note also that it remains an open question whether every closed \mathbb{H}^3 -manifold is nitely covered by a mapping torus.)

 $\mathbb{N}il^3$ - and \mathfrak{SL} -manifolds are orientable, and so their groups are PD_3^+ -groups. This can also be seen algebraically, as every such group has a characteristic subgroup H which is a nonsplit central extension of a PD_2^+ -group by Z. An automorphism of such a group H must be orientation preserving.

Theorem 2.14 implies that if a PD_3 -group G is not virtually poly-Z then its maximal elementary amenable normal subgroup is Z or 1. For this subgroup is virtually solvable, by Theorem 1.11, and if it is nontrivial then so is G.

Lemma 2.15 Let G be a PD_3 -group with subgroups H and J such that H is almost nitely presentable, has one end and is normal in J. Then either [J:H] or [G:J] is nite.

Proof Suppose that [J:H] and [G:H] are both in nite. Since H has one end it is not free and so c:d:H = c:d:J = 2, by Strebel's Theorem. Hence there is a free $\mathbb{Z}[J]$ -module W such that $H^2(J;W) \not = 0$, by Proposition 5.1 of [Bi]. Since H is FP_2 and has one end $H^q(H;W) = 0$ for q = 0 or 1 and $H^2(H;W)$ is an induced $\mathbb{Z}[J=H]$ -module. Since [J:H] is in nite $H^0(J=H;H^2(H;W)) = 0$, by Lemma 8.1 of [Bi]. The LHSSS for J as an extension of J=H by H now gives $H^r(J;W) = 0$ for r = 2, which is a contradiction.

Theorem 2.16 Let G be a PD_3 -group with a nontrivial almost nitely presentable subgroup H which is subnormal and of in nite index in G. Then either H is in nite cyclic and is normal in G or G is virtually poly-Z or H is a PD_2 -group, $[G:N_G(H)] < 1$ and $N_G(H) = H$ has two ends.

Proof Since H is subnormal in G there is a nite increasing sequence $fJ_i j$ 0 i ng of subgroups of G with $J_0 = H$, J_i normal in J_{i+1} for each i < n and $J_n = G$. Since [G:H] = 1 either c:d:H = 2 or H is free, by Strebel's Theorem. Suppose rst that c:d:H = 2. Let $k = \min fi j [J_i:H] = 1 g$. Then H has nite index in J_{k-1} , which therefore is also FP_2 . Suppose that $c:d:J_k = 2$. If K is a nitely generated subgroup of J_k which contains J_{k-1}

then $[K:J_{k-1}]$ is nite, by Corollary 8.6 of [Bi], and so J_k is the union of a strictly increasing sequence of nite extensions of J_{k-1} . But it follows from the Kurosh subgroup theorem that the number of indecomposable factors in such intermediate groups must be strictly decreasing unless one is indecomposable (in which case all are). (See Lemma 1.4 of [Sc76].) Thus J_{k-1} is indecomposable, and so has one end (since it is torsion free but not in nite cyclic). Therefore $[G:J_k] < 1$, by Lemma 2.15, and so J_k is a PD_3 -group. Since J_{k-1} is nitely generated, normal in J_k and $[J_{k-1}:H] < 1$ it follows easily that $[J_k:N_{J_k}(H)] < 1$. Therefore $[G:N_G(H)] < 1$ and so H is a PD_2 -group and $N_G(H)=H$ has two ends, by Theorem 2.12.

Next suppose that H = Z. Since $\bigcap_{j=1}^{p} \overline{J_{j}}$ is characteristic in J_{j} it is normal in J_{j+1} , for each i < n. A nite induction now shows that H $\bigcap_{j=1}^{p} \overline{G}$. Therefore either $\bigcap_{j=1}^{p} \overline{G} = Z$, so H = Z and is normal in G, or G is virtually poly-Z, by Theorem 2.14.

Suppose nally that G has a nitely generated noncyclic free subnormal subgroup. We may assume that fJ_i j 0 i ng is a chain of minimal length n among subnormal chains with $H = J_0$ a nitely generated noncyclic free group. In particular, $[J_1:H] = 1$, for otherwise J_1 would also be a nitely generated noncyclic free group. We may also assume that H is maximal in the partially ordered set of nitely generated free normal subgroups of J_1 . (Note that ascending chains of such subgroups are always nite, for if F(r) is a nontrivial normal subgroup of a free group G then G is also nitely generated, of rank S say, and and [G:F](1-S) = 1-r.)

Since J_1 has a nitely generated noncyclic free normal subgroup of in nite index it is not free, and nor is it a PD_3 -group. Therefore $c:d:J_1=2$. The kernel of the homomorphism from $J_1=H$ to Out(H) determined by the conjugation action of J_1 on H is $HC_{J_1}(H)=H$, which is isomorphic to $C_{J_1}(H)$ since H=1. As Out(H) is virtually of nite cohomological dimension and $c:d:C_{J_1}(H)$ is nite $v:c:d:J_1=H$ < 1. Therefore $c:d:J_1=c:d:H+v:c:d:J_1=H$, by Theorem 5.6 of [Bi], so $v:c:d:J_1=H=1$ and $J_1=H$ is virtually free.

If g normalizes J_1 then $HH^g=H=H^g=H\setminus H^g$ is a nitely generated normal subgroup of $J_1=H$ and so either has nite index or is nite. (Here $H^g=gHg^{-1}$.) In the former case $J_1=H$ would be nitely presentable (since it is then an extension of a nitely generated virtually free group by a nitely generated free normal subgroup) and as it is subnormal in G it must be a PD_2 -group, by our earlier work. But PD_2 -groups do not have nitely generated noncyclic free normal subgroups. Therefore $HH^g=H$ is nite and so $HH^g=H$, by the maximality of H. Since this holds for any g 2 J_2 the subgroup H is

normal in J_2 and so is the initial term of a subnormal chain of length n-1 terminating with G, contradicting the minimality of n. Therefore G has no nitely generated noncyclic free subnormal subgroups.

The theorem as stated can be proven without appeal to Bowditch's Theorem (used here for the cases when H = Z) [BH91].

If H is a PD_2 -group $N_G(H)$ is the fundamental group of a 3-manifold which is double covered by the mapping torus of a surface homeomorphism. There are however $\mathbb{N}I^3$ -manifolds with no normal PD_2 -subgroup (although they always have subnormal copies of Z^2).

Theorem 2.17 Let G be a PD_3 -group with an almost nitely presentable subgroup H which has one end and is of in nite index in G. Let $H_0 = H$ and $H_{i+1} = N_G(H_i)$ for i = 0. Then $M = [H_i]$ is almost nitely presentable and has one end, and either c:d:M = 2 and $N_G(M) = M$ or [G:M] < 1 and G is virtually the group of a surface bundle.

Proof If $c:d:H_i = 2$ for all i = 0 then $[H_{i+1}:H_i] < 1$ for all i = 0, by Lemma 2.15. Hence $h:d:\mathcal{H} = 2$, by Theorem 4.7 of [Bi]. Therefore $[G:\mathcal{H}] = 1$, so $c:d:\mathcal{H} = 2$ also. Hence \mathcal{H} is nitely generated, and so $\mathcal{H} = H_i$ for i large, by Theorem 3.3 of [GS81]. In particular, $N_G(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{H}$.

Otherwise let $k = \max fi \ j \ c:d:H_i = 2g$. Then H_k is FP_2 and has one end and $[G:H_{k+1}] < 1$, so G is virtually the group of a surface bundle, by Theorem 2.12 and the observation preceding this theorem.

Corollary 2.17.1 If G has a subgroup H which is a PD_2 -group with (H) = 0 (respectively, < 0) then either it has such a subgroup which is its own normalizer in G or it is virtually the group of a surface bundle.

Proof If $c:d: \mathcal{H} = 2$ then $[\mathcal{H} : \mathcal{H}] < 1$, so \mathcal{H} is a PD_2 -group, and $(\mathcal{H}) = [\mathcal{H} : \mathcal{H}]$ (\mathcal{H}) .

2.8 Subgroups of PD_3 -groups and 3-manifold groups

The central role played by incompressible surfaces in the geometric study of Haken 3-manifolds suggests strongly the importance of studying subgroups of in nite index in PD_3 -groups. Such subgroups have cohomological dimension 2, by Strebel's Theorem.

There are substantial constraints on 3-manifold groups and their subgroups. Every nitely generated subgroup of a 3-manifold group is the fundamental group of a compact 3-manifold (possibly with boundary) [Sc73], and thus is nitely presentable and is either a 3-manifold group or has nite geometric dimension 2 or is a free group. All 3-manifold groups have *Max-c* (every strictly increasing sequence of centralizers is nite), and solvable subgroups of in nite index are virtually abelian [Kr90a]. If the Thurston Geometrization Conjecture is true every aspherical closed 3-manifold is Haken, hyperbolic or Seifert bred. The groups of such 3-manifolds are residually nite [He87], and the centralizer of any element in the group is nitely generated [JS79]. Thus solvable subgroups are virtually poly-Z.

In contrast, any group of nite geometric dimension 2 is the fundamental group of a compact aspherical 4-manifold with boundary, obtained by attaching 1-and 2-handles to D^4 . On applying the orbifold hyperbolization technique of Gromov, Davis and Januszkiewicz [DJ91] to the boundary we see that each such group embeds in a PD_4 -group. Thus the question of which such groups are subgroups of PD_3 -groups is critical. (In particular, which X-groups are subgroups of PD_3 -groups?)

The Baumslag-Solitar groups hx; t j $tx^pt^{-1} = x^qt$ are not hop an, and hence not residually nite, and do not have Max-c. As they embed in PD_4 -groups there are such groups which are not residually nite and do not have Max-c. The product of two nonabelian PD_2^+ -groups contains a copy of F(2) F(2), and so is a PD_4^+ -group which is not almost coherent.

Kropholler and Roller have shown that F(2) F(2) is not a subgroup of any PD_3 -group [KR89]. They have also proved some strong splitting theorems for PD_n -groups. Let G be a PD_3 -group with a subgroup $H = Z^2$. If G is residually pite then it is virtually split over a subgroup commensurate with H [KR88]. If $\overline{G} = 1$ then G splits over an X-group [Kr93]; if moreover G has Max-c then it splits over a subgroup commensurate with H [Kr90].

The geometric conclusions of Theorem 2.14 and the coherence of 3-manifold groups suggest that Theorems 2.12 and 2.16 should hold under the weaker hypothesis that N be nitely generated. (Compare Theorem 1.20.)

Is there a characterization of virtual PD_3 -groups parallel to Bowditch's Theorem? (It may be relevant that homology n-manifolds are manifolds for n-2. High dimensional analogues are known to be false. For every k-6 there are FP_k groups G with $H^k(G; \mathbb{Z}[G]) = Z$ but which are not virtually torsion free [FS93].)

2.9 $_2(P)$ as a $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -module

The cohomology group $H^2(P;\ _2(P))$ arises in studying homotopy classes of self homotopy equivalences of P. Hendriks and Laudenbach showed that if N is a P^2 -irreducible 3-manifold and $\ _1(N)$ is virtually free then $H^2(N;\ _2(N))=Z$, and otherwise $H^2(N;\ _2(N))=0$ [HL74]. Swarup showed that if N is a 3-manifold which is the connected sum of a 3-manifold whose fundamental group is free of rank r with s-1 aspherical 3-manifolds then $\ _2(N)$ is a nitely generated free $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -module of rank 2r+s-1 [Sw73]. We shall give direct homological arguments using Schanuel's Lemma to extend these results to PD_3 -complexes with torsion free fundamental group.

Theorem 2.18 Let N be a PD_3 -complex with torsion free fundamental group . Then

- (1) *c:d:* 3;
- (2) the $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -module $_2(N)$ is nitely presentable and has projective dimension at most 1;
- (3) if is a nontrivial free group then $H^2(N; _2(N)) = Z;$
- (4) if is not a free group then $_2(N)$ is projective and $H^2(N; _2(N)) = 0$;
- (5) if is not a free group then any two of the conditions \setminus is FF", $\setminus N$ is homotopy equivalent to a nite complex" and \setminus $_2(N)$ is stably free" imply the third.

Proof We may clearly assume that $\not\in$ 1. The PD_3 -complex N is homotopy equivalent to a connected sum of aspherical PD_3 -complexes and a 3-manifold with free fundamental group, by Turaev's Theorem. Therefore is a corresponding free product, and so it has cohomological dimension at most 3 and is FP. Since N is nitely dominated the equivariant chain complex of the universal covering space \mathcal{N} is chain homotopy equivalent to a complex

$$0 ! C_3 ! C_2 ! C_1 ! C_0 ! 0$$

of $\$ nitely generated projective left $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -modules. Then the sequences

are exact, where Z_2 is the module of 2-cycles in C_2 . Since is FP and c:d: 3 Schanuel's Lemma implies that Z_2 is projective and nitely generated. Hence $_2(N)$ has projective dimension at most 1, and is nitely presentable.

It follows easily from the UCSS and Poincare duality that $_2(N)$ is isomorphic to $\overline{H^1(\ ;\mathbb{Z}[\])}$ and that there is an exact sequence

$$H^{3}(\;;\mathbb{Z}[\;])\;!\;\;H^{3}(N;\mathbb{Z}[\;])\;!\;\; Ext^{1}_{\mathbb{Z}[\;]}(\;_{2}(N);\mathbb{Z}[\;])\;!\;\;0$$
 (2.1)

The $w_1(N)$ -twisted augmentation homomorphism from $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ to Z which sends $g\ 2$ to $w_1(N)(g)$ induces an isomorphism from $H^3(N;\mathbb{Z}[\])$ to $H^3(N;Z)=Z$. If is free the rst term in this sequence is 0, and so $Ext^1_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}(\ _2(N);\mathbb{Z}[\])=Z$. (In particular, $\ _2(N)$ has projective dimension 1.) There is also a short exact sequence of left modules

$$0! \mathbb{Z}[]^r! \mathbb{Z}[]! Z! 0;$$

where r is the rank of r . On dualizing we obtain the sequence of right modules

$$0! \mathbb{Z}[]! \mathbb{Z}[]^r! H^1(; \mathbb{Z}[])! 0:$$

The long exact sequence of homology with these coe cients includes an exact sequence

$$0 \; ! \;\; H_1(N;H^1(\;;\mathbb{Z}[\;])) \; ! \;\; H_0(N;\mathbb{Z}[\;]) \; ! \;\; H_0(N;\mathbb{Z}[\;]')$$

in which the right hand map is 0, and so $H_1(N; H^1(\ ; \mathbb{Z}[\])) = H_0(N; \mathbb{Z}[\]) = Z$. Hence $H^2(N;\ _2(N)) = H_1(N;\ _2(N)) = H_1(N;H^1(\ ; \mathbb{Z}[\])) = Z$, by Poincare duality.

If is *not* free then the map $H^3(\ ;\mathbb{Z}[\])$! $H^3(N;\mathbb{Z}[\])$ in sequence 2.1 above is onto, as can be seen by comparison with the corresponding sequence with coe cients Z. Therefore $Ext^1_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}(\ _2(N);\mathbb{Z}[\])=0$. Since $\ _2(N)$ has a short resolution by nitely generated projective modules, it follows that it is in fact projective. As $H^2(N;\mathbb{Z}[\])=H_1(N;\mathbb{Z}[\])=0$ it follows that $H^2(N;P)=0$ for any projective $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -module P. Hence $H^2(N;\ _2(N))=0$.

The nal assertion follows easily from the fact that if $_2(N)$ is projective then $Z_2 = _2(N) \quad C_3$.

If is not torsion free then the projective dimension of $_2(N)$ is in nite. Does the result of [HL74] extend to all PD_3 -complexes?

Chapter 3

Homotopy invariants of PD_4 -complexes

The homotopy type of a 4-manifold M is largely determined (through Poincare duality) by its algebraic 2-type and orientation character. In many cases the formally weaker invariants $_1(M)$, $_{M_1}(M)$ and $_{M_2}(M)$ already su ce. In $_{M_2}(M)$ we give criteria in such terms for a degree-1 map between PD_4 -complexes to be a homotopy equivalence, and for a PD_4 -complex to be aspherical. We then show in $_{M_2}(M)$ that if the universal covering space of a $_{M_2}(M)$ -complex is homotopy equivalent to a nite complex then it is either compact, contractible, or homotopy equivalent to $_{M_2}(M)$ -complexes with fundamental group of cohomological dimension at most 2 and determine the second homotopy groups of $_{M_2}(M)$ -complexes realizing the minimal value. The class of such groups includes all surface groups and classical link groups, and the groups of many other (bounded) 3-manifolds. The minima are realized by $_{M_2}(M)$ -parallelizable PL 4-manifolds. In the nal section we shall show that if $_{M_2}(M)$ then $_{M_2}(M)$ satis es some stringent constraints.

3.1 Homotopy equivalence and asphericity

Many of the results of this section depend on the following lemma, in conjunction with use of the Euler characteristic to compute the rank of the surgery kernel. (This lemma and the following theorem derive from Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 of [Wa].)

Lemma 3.1 Let R be a ring and C be a nite chain complex of projective R-modules. If $H_i(C) = 0$ for i < q and $H^{q+1}(Hom_R(C;B)) = 0$ for any left R-module B then $H_q(C)$ is projective. If moreover $H_i(C) = 0$ for i > q then $H_q(C)$ if G = 0 for G = 0 for

Proof We may assume without loss of generality that q=0 and $C_i=0$ for i<0. We may factor $\mathscr{Q}_1:C_1$! C_0 through $B=\mathrm{Im}\mathscr{Q}_1$ as $\mathscr{Q}_1=j$, where is an epimorphism and j is the natural inclusion of the submodule

B. Since $j @_2 = @_1@_2 = 0$ and j is injective $@_2 = 0$. Hence is a 1-cocycle of the complex $Hom_R(C;B)$. Since $H^1(Hom_R(C;B)) = 0$ there is a homomorphism : $C_0 ! B$ such that $= @_1 = j$. Since is an epimorphism $j = id_B$ and so B is a direct summand of C_0 . This proves the rst assertion.

The second assertion follows by an induction on the length of the complex. \Box

Theorem 3.2 Let N and M be nite PD_4 -complexes. A map f: M! N is a homotopy equivalence if and only if $_1(f)$ is an isomorphism, $f w_1(N) = w_1(M)$, f[M] = [N] and (M) = (N).

Proof The conditions are clearly necessary. Suppose that they hold. Up to homotopy type we may assume that f is a cellular inclusion of nite cell complexes, and so M is a subcomplex of N. We may also identify $_1(M)$ with $_1(N)$. Let C(M), C(N) and D be the cellular chain complexes of \widehat{M} , \widehat{N} and $(\widehat{N};\widehat{M})$, respectively. Then the sequence

is a short exact sequence of $\$ nitely generated free $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -chain complexes.

By the projection formula $f(f \ a \setminus [M]) = a \setminus f[M] = a \setminus [N]$ for any cohomology class $a \ 2 \ H(N; \mathbb{Z}[\])$. Since M and N satisfy Poincare duality it follows that f induces split surjections on homology and split injections on cohomology. Hence $H_q(D)$ is the \surgery kernel" in degree q-1, and the duality isomorphisms induce isomorphisms from $H^r(Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}(D;B))$ to $H_{6-r}(\overline{D} \ B)$, where B is any left $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -module. Since f induces isomorphisms on homology and cohomology in degrees 1, with any coecients, the hypotheses of Lemma 3.1 are satis ed for the $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -chain complex D, with q=3, and so $H_3(D)=\mathrm{Ker}(\ _2(f))$ is projective. Moreover $H_3(D)=\int_{i \ odd} D_i=\int_{i \ even} D_i$. Thus $H_3(D)$ is a stably free $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -module of rank (E;M)=(M)-(E)=0 and so it is trivial, as $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ is weakly nite, by a theorem of Kaplansky (see [Ro84]). Therefore f is a homotopy equivalence.

If M and N are merely nitely dominated, rather than nite, then $H_3(D)$ is a nitely generated projective $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -module such that $H_3(D)_{\mathbb{Z}[\]} Z = 0$. If the Wall niteness obstructions satisfy f(M) = (N) in $K_0(\mathbb{Z}[\])$ then $H_3(D)$ is stably free, and the theorem remains true. This additional condition is redundant if satis es the Weak Bass Conjecture. (Similar comments apply elsewhere in this section.)

Corollary 3.2.1 Let N be orientable. Then a map f: N! N which induces automorphisms of $_1(N)$ and $H_4(N; \mathbb{Z})$ is a homotopy equivalence. \square

In the aspherical cases we shall see that we can relax the hypothesis that the classifying map have degree 1.

Lemma 3.3 Let M be a PD_4 -complex with fundamental group . Then there is an exact sequence

$$0 ! H^{2}(;\mathbb{Z}[]) ! \overline{_{2}(M)} ! Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[]}(_{2}(M);\mathbb{Z}[]) ! H^{3}(;\mathbb{Z}[]) ! 0:$$

Proof Since $H_2(M; \mathbb{Z}[\]) = {}_2(M)$ and $H^3(M; \mathbb{Z}[\]) = H_1(\widehat{M}; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, this follows from the UCSS and Poincare duality.

Exactness of much of this sequence can be derived without the UCSS. The middle arrow is the composite of a Poincare duality isomorphism and the evaluation homomorphism. Note also that $Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}(\ _2(M);\mathbb{Z}[\])$ may be identified with $H^0(\ ; H^2(\widehat{M};\mathbb{Z})\ \mathbb{Z}[\])$, the -invariant subgroup of the cohomology of the universal covering space. When is nite the sequence reduces to an isomorphism $_2(M)=\overline{Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}(\ _2(M);\mathbb{Z}[\])}$.

Let $ev^{(2)}: H^2_{(2)}(\widehat{M})$! $Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}(\ _2(M); '^2(\))$ be the evaluation homomorphism de ned on the *un*reduced L^2 -cohomology by $ev^{(2)}(f)(z) = f(g^{-1}z)g$ for all 2-cycles z and square summable 2-cocycles f. Much of the next theorem is implicit in [Ec94].

Theorem 3.4 Let M be a nite PD_4 -complex with fundamental group Then

- (1) if $_{1}^{(2)}() = 0$ then (M) 0;
- (2) $\operatorname{Ker}(ev^{(2)})$ is closed;
- (3) if $(M) = {2 \choose 1}(\cdot) = 0$ then $c_M : H^2(\cdot; \mathbb{Z}[\cdot]) ! H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}[\cdot]) = \overline{_2(M)}$ is an isomorphism.

Proof Since M is a PD_4 -complex $(M) = 2 \binom{(2)}{0} \binom{1}{2} \binom{2}{1} \binom{1}{2} + \binom{(2)}{2} \binom{1}{2} \binom{1}{2}$. Hence $(M) = \binom{(2)}{2} \binom{1}{2} \binom{1}{2}$

Let $z \ 2 \ C_2(\widehat{M})$ be a 2-cycle and $f \ 2 \ C_2^{(2)}(\widehat{M})$ a square-summable 2-cocycle. As $jjev^{(2)}(f)(z)jj_2 \quad jjfjj_2jjzjj_2$, the map $f \ V \ ev^{(2)}(f)(z)$ is continuous, for xed z. Hence if $f = limf_n$ and $ev^{(2)}(f_n) = 0$ for all n then $ev^{(2)}(f) = 0$.

Geometry & Topology Monographs, Volume 5 (2002)

The inclusion $\mathbb{Z}[\]<{}^{\prime 2}(\)$ induces a homomorphism from the exact sequence of Lemma 3.3 to the corresponding sequence with coe cients ${}^{\prime 2}(\)$. The module $H^2(\mathcal{M};{}^{\prime 2}(\))$ may be identi ed with the *un*reduced L^2 -cohomology, and $ev^{(2)}$ may be viewed as mapping $H_2^{(2)}(\widehat{\mathcal{M}})$ to $H^2(\widehat{\mathcal{M}};\mathbb{Z})$ ${}^{\prime 2}(\)$ [Ec94]. As $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ is 1-connected the induced homomorphism from $H^2(\widehat{\mathcal{M}};\mathbb{Z})$ $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ to $H^2(\widehat{\mathcal{M}};\mathbb{Z})$ ${}^{\prime 2}(\)$ is injective. As $ev^{(2)}(\ g)(z)=ev^{(2)}(g)(\mathscr{Q}z)=0$ for any square summable 1-chain g and $\operatorname{Ker}(ev^{(2)})$ is closed $ev^{(2)}$ factors through the reduced L^2 -cohomology $H^2_{(2)}(\widehat{\mathcal{M}})$. In particular, it is 0 if ${}^{(2)}_1(\)=(\mathcal{M})=0$. Hence the middle arrow of the sequence in Lemma 3.3 is also 0 and $c_{\mathcal{M}}$ is an isomorphism.

A related argument gives a complete and natural criterion for asphericity for closed 4-manifolds.

Theorem 3.5 Let M be a nite PD_4 -complex with fundamental group . Then M is aspherical if and only if $H^s(\ ;\mathbb{Z}[\])=0$ for s-2 and ${2 \choose 2}(M)={2 \choose 2}(\)$.

Proof The conditions are clearly necessary. Suppose that they hold. Then as $_{i}^{(2)}(M) = _{i}^{(2)}($) for i 2 the classifying map $c_{M}: M! K($;1) induces weak isomorphisms on reduced L^{2} -cohomology $H_{(2)}^{i}($) ! $H_{(2)}^{i}(\widehat{M})$ for i 2.

The natural homomorphism $h: H^2(\mathcal{M}; ^2(\)) ? H^2(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}; \mathbb{Z}) ^2(\)$ factors through $H^2_{(2)}(\widehat{\mathcal{M}})$. The induced homomorphism is a homomorphism of Hilbert modules and so has closed kernel. But the image of $H^2_{(2)}(\)$ is dense in $H^{(2)}_2(\widehat{\mathcal{M}})$ and is in this kernel. Hence h=0. Since $H^2(\ ;\mathbb{Z}[\])=0$ the homomorphism from $H^2(\mathcal{M};\mathbb{Z}[\])$ to $H^2(\widehat{\mathcal{M}};\mathbb{Z})$ $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ obtained by forgetting $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -linearity is injective. Hence the composite homomorphism from $H^2(\mathcal{M};\mathbb{Z}[\])$ to $H^2(\widehat{\mathcal{M}};\mathbb{Z})$ $^2(\)$ is also injective. But this composite may also be factored as the natural map from $H^2(\mathcal{M};\mathbb{Z}[\])$ to $H^2(\mathcal{M}; ^2(\))$ followed by h. Hence $H^2(\mathcal{M};\mathbb{Z}[\])=0$ and so \mathcal{M} is aspherical, by Poincare duality.

Corollary 3.5.1 M is aspherical if and only if is an FF PD_4 -group and (M) = ().

This also follows immediately from Theorem 3.2, if also $_2() \ne 0$. For we may assume that M and are orientable, after passing to the subgroup $Ker(w_1(M)) \setminus Ker(w_1())$, if necessary. As $H_2(c_M; \mathbb{Z})$ is an epimorphism it is an isomorphism, and so c_M must have degree 1, by Poincare duality.

Corollary 3.5.2 If $(M) = \binom{(2)}{1}(\cdot) = 0$ and $H^s(\cdot; \mathbb{Z}[\cdot]) = 0$ for s = 2 then M is aspherical and $is \ a \ PD_4$ -group.

Corollary 3.5.3 If $= Z^r$ then (M) 0, with equality only if r = 1, 2 or 4.

Proof If
$$r > 2$$
 then $H^s(\cdot; \mathbb{Z}[\cdot]) = 0$ for $s = 2$.

Is it possible to replace the hypothesis $\setminus {}^{(2)}_2(M) = {}^{(2)}_2()$ " in Theorem 3.5 by $\setminus {}^{(2)}_2(M^+) = {}^{(2)}_2(\operatorname{Ker} w_1(M))$ ", where $p_+: M^+ ! M$ is the orientation cover? It is easy to nd examples to show that the homological conditions on cannot be relaxed further.

Theorem 3.5 implies that if is a PD_4 -group and (M) = () then c_M [M] is nonzero. If we drop the condition (M) = () this need not be true. Given any nitely presentable group G there is a closed orientable 4-manifold M with $_1(M) = G$ and such that c_M [M] = 0 in $H_4(G; \mathbb{Z})$. We may take M to be the boundary of a regular neighbourhood N of some embedding in \mathbb{R}^5 of a nite 2-complex K with $_1(K) = G$. As the inclusion of M into N is 2-connected and K is a deformation retract of N the classifying map c_M factors through c_K and so induces the trivial homomorphism on homology in degrees > 2. However if M and M are orientable and M and M are orientable and M and M are orientable and M are orientable and M are orientable and M are orientable and M and M are orientable and M are orientable and M and M are orientable and M are orientable and M and M are orientable and M are orientable and M are orientable and M are orientable and M and M are orientable and M and M are orientable and M are orientable and M and M are orientable and M and M are orientable and M are orientable and M and M are orientable

Theorem 3.6 Let be a PD_4 -group with a nite $K(\ ;1)$ -complex and such that $(\)=0$. Then $def(\)=0$.

Proof Suppose that has a presentation of de ciency > 0, and let X be the corresponding 2-complex. Then $\binom{(2)}{2}\binom{}{} - \binom{(2)}{1}\binom{}{} = \binom{(2)}{2}\binom{}{} - \binom{(2)}{1}\binom{}{} = \binom{(2)}{2}\binom{}{} = \binom{(2)}{2}\binom{(2)}{2}\binom{}{} = \binom{(2)}{2}\binom{}{} = \binom{(2)}{2}\binom{}{2}\binom{}{} = \binom{(2)}{2}\binom{}{} =$

Is def() 0 for any PD_4 -group? This bound is best possible for groups with = 0, since there is a poly-Z group Z^3 $_AZ$, where $A \ 2 \ SL(3;\mathbb{Z})$, with presentation $hs; x; j \ sxs^{-1}x = xsxs^{-1}; \ s^3x = xs^3i$.

The hypothesis on orientation characters in Theorem 3.2 is often redundant.

Geometry & Topology Monographs, Volume 5 (2002)

Theorem 3.7 Let f: M! N be a 2-connected map between nite PD_4 -complexes with (M) = (N). If $H^2(N; \mathbb{F}_2) \neq 0$ then $f w_1(N) = w_1(M)$, and if moreover N is orientable and $H^2(N; \mathbb{Q}) \neq 0$ then f is a homotopy equivalence.

Proof Since f is 2-connected $H^2(f; \mathbb{F}_2)$ is injective, and since (M) = (N) it is an isomorphism. Since $H^2(N; \mathbb{F}_2) \neq 0$, the nondegeneracy of Poincare duality implies that $H^4(f; \mathbb{F}_2) \neq 0$, and so f is a \mathbb{F}_2 -(co)homology equivalence. Since $w_1(M)$ is characterized by the Wu formula $x [w_1(M) = Sq^1x \text{ for all } x \text{ in } H^3(M; \mathbb{F}_2), \text{ it follows that } f w_1(N) = w_1(M).$

If $H^2(N; \mathbb{Q}) \neq 0$ then $H^2(N; \mathbb{Z})$ has positive rank and $H^2(N; \mathbb{F}_2) \neq 0$, so N orientable implies M orientable. We may then repeat the above argument with integral coe cients, to conclude that f has degree 1. The result then follows from Theorem 3.2.

The argument breaks down if, for instance, $\mathcal{M} = S^1 \sim S^3$ is the nonorientable S^3 -bundle over S^1 , $\mathcal{N} = S^1$ S^3 and f is the composite of the projection of \mathcal{M} onto S^1 followed by the inclusion of a factor.

The following criterion arises in studying the homotopy types of circle bundles over 3-manifolds. (See Chapter 4.)

Theorem 3.8 Let E be a nite PD_4 -complex with fundamental group and suppose that $H^4(f_E; Z^{W_1(E)})$ is a monomorphism. A nite PD_4 -complex M is homotopy equivalent to E if and only if there is an isomorphism from $_1(M)$ to such that $W_1(M) = W_1(E)$, there is a lift $c: M ! P_2(E)$ of c_M such that $c: M = f_E[E]$ and $c: M = f_E[E]$

Proof The conditions are clearly necessary. Conversely, suppose that they hold. We shall adapt to our situation the arguments of Hendriks in analyzing

the obstructions to the existence of a degree 1 map between PD_3 -complexes realizing a given homomorphism of fundamental groups. For simplicity of notation we shall write Z for $Z^{W_1(E)}$ and also for $Z^{W_1(M)}(=Z)$, and use to identify $_1(M)$ with and $K(_1(M);1)$ with $K(_1(M);1)$. We may suppose the sign of the fundamental class [M] is so chosen that $\mathcal{E}[M] = f_E[E]$.

Let $E_0 = EnD^4$. Then $P_2(E_0) = P_2(E)$ and may be constructed as the union of E_0 with cells of dimension 4. Let

$$h: \mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{Z}[1]} \ _4(P_2(E_0); E_0) ! \ H_4(P_2(E_0); E_0; \mathbb{Z})$$

be the $W_1(E)$ -twisted relative Hurewicz homomorphism, and let @ be the connecting homomorphism from $_4(P_2(E_o);E_o)$ to $_3(E_o)$ in the exact sequence of homotopy for the pair $(P_2(E_o);E_o)$. Then h and @ are isomorphisms since f_{E_o} is 3-connected, and so the homomorphism $_E:H_4(P_2(E);\mathbb{Z})$! $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{Z}[-]}$ $_3(E_o)$ given by the composite of the inclusion

$$H_4(P_2(E); Z) = H_4(P_2(E_0); Z) ! H_4(P_2(E_0); E_0; Z)$$

with h^{-1} and $1_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}$ @ is a monomorphism. Similarly $M_0 = MnD^4$ may be viewed as a subspace of $P_2(M_0)$ and there is a monomorphism M from $H_4(P_2(M); \mathbb{Z})$ to $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}$ $_3(M_0)$. These monomorphisms are natural with respect to maps de ned on the 3-skeleta (i.e., E_0 and M_0).

The classes $_{E}(f_{E}[E])$ and $_{M}(f_{M}[M])$ are the images of the primary obstructions to retracting E onto E_{o} and M onto M_{o} , under the Poincare duality isomorphisms from $H^{4}(E;E_{o};\ _{3}(E_{o}))$ to $H_{0}(EnE_{o};Z_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}\ _{3}(E_{o}))=Z_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}\ _{3}(E_{o})$ and $H^{4}(M;M_{o};\ _{3}(M_{o}))$ to $Z_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}\ _{3}(M_{o})$, respectively. Since M_{o} is homotopy equivalent to a cell complex of dimension S_{o} the restriction of S_{o} to S_{o} to a map from S_{o} to S_{o} . Let S_{o} be the homomorphism from S_{o} to S_{o} induced by S_{o} induced by S_{o} and S_{o} to a map S_{o} from S_{o} is trivial.

Since $f_E \ d \ [M] = \mathcal{E} \ [M] = f_E \ [E]$ and f_E is a monomorphism in degree 4 the map d has degree 1, and so is a homotopy equivalence, by Theorem 3.2. \square

If there is such a lift \hat{c} then c_M $k_1(E) = 0$ and c_M $[M] = c_E$ [E].

3.2 Finitely dominated covering spaces

In this section we shall show that if a PD_4 -complex has an in nite regular covering space which is nitely dominated then either the complex is aspherical

or its universal covering space is homotopy equivalent to S^2 or S^3 . In Chapters 4 and 5 we shall see that such manifolds are close to being total spaces of bre bundles.

Theorem 3.9 Let M be a PD_4 -complex with fundamental group . Suppose that p: M! M is a regular covering map, with covering group G = Aut(p), and such that M is nitely dominated. Then

- (1) G has nitely many ends;
- (2) if M is acyclic then it is contractible and M is aspherical;
- (3) if G has one end and $_1(M)$ is in nite and FP_3 then M is aspherical and M is homotopy equivalent to an aspherical closed surface or to S^1 ;
- (4) if G has one end and $_1(\mathcal{M})$ is nite but \mathcal{M} is not acyclic then \mathcal{M}' S^2 or RP^2 :
- (5) *G* has two ends if and only if M is a PD_3 -complex.

Proof We may clearly assume that G is in nite and that M is orientable. As $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ has no nonzero left ideal (i.e., submodule) which is nitely generated as an abelian group $Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(H_p(M;\mathbb{Z});\mathbb{Z}[G])=0$ for all p=0, and so the bottom row of the UCSS for the covering p is 0. From Poincare duality and the UCSS we not that $H^1(G;\mathbb{Z}[G])=\overline{H_3(M;\mathbb{Z})}$. As this group is nitely generated, and as G is in nite, G has one or two ends.

If \mathcal{M} is acyclic then G is a PD_4 -group and so \mathcal{M} is a PD_0 -complex, hence contractible, by [Go79]. Hence \mathcal{M} is aspherical.

Suppose that G has one end. Then $H_3(\mathcal{M};\mathbb{Z})=H_4(\mathcal{M};\mathbb{Z})=0$. Since \mathcal{M} is nitely dominated the chain complex C ($\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$) is chain homotopy equivalent over $\mathbb{Z}[\ _1(\mathcal{M})]$ to a complex D of nitely generated projective $\mathbb{Z}[\ _1(\mathcal{M})]$ -modules. If $\ _1(\mathcal{M})$ is FP_3 then the aumentation $\mathbb{Z}[\ _1(\mathcal{M})]$ -module Z has a free resolution P which is nitely generated in degrees G 3. On applying Schanuel's Lemma to the exact sequences

and 0 !
$$Z_2$$
 ! D_2 ! D_1 ! D_0 ! Z ! 0
$$0 ! @P_3$$
 ! P_2 ! P_1 ! P_0 ! Z ! 0

derived from these two chain complexes we nd that Z_2 is nitely generated as a $\mathbb{Z}[\ _1(\mathcal{M})]$ -module. Hence $=\ _2(\mathcal{M})=\ _2(\mathcal{M})$ is also nitely generated as a $\mathbb{Z}[\ _1(\mathcal{M})]$ -module and so $Hom\ (\ ;\mathbb{Z}[\])=0$. If moreover $\ _1(\mathcal{M})$ is in nite then $H^s(\ ;\mathbb{Z}[\])=0$ for s=2, so =0, by Lemma 3.3, and M

is aspherical. A spectral sequence corner argument then shows that either $H^2(G; \mathbb{Z}[G]) = Z$ and M is homotopy equivalent to an aspherical closed surface or $H^2(G; \mathbb{Z}[G]) = 0$, $H^3(G; \mathbb{Z}[G]) = Z$ and $M' S^1$. (See the following theorem.)

If $_1(\mathcal{M})$ is nite but \mathcal{M} is not acyclic then the universal covering space $\bar{\mathcal{M}}$ is $= H_2(\widehat{\mathbb{M}}; \mathbb{Z})$ is a nontrivial also nitely dominated but not contractible, and nitely generated abelian group, while $H_3(\widehat{M}; \mathbb{Z}) = H_4(\widehat{M}; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. If C is a nite cyclic subgroup of there are isomorphisms $H_{n+3}(C; \mathbb{Z}) = H_n(C;)$, for 4, by Lemma 2.10. Suppose that C acts trivially on C . Then if C is odd this isomorphism reduces to 0 ==jCj . Since is nitely generated, this implies that multiplication by jCj is an isomorphism. On the other hand, if *n* is even we have $Z=jCjZ=fa\ 2$ jjCja=0g. Hence we must have C=1. Now since is nitely generated any torsion subgroup of *Aut*() is nite. (Let *T* be the torsion subgroup of and suppose that $=T=Z^r$. Then the natural homomorphism from Aut() to Aut(=T) has nite kernel, and its image is isomorphic to a subgroup of $GL(r;\mathbb{Z})$, which is virtually torsion free.) Hence is in nite it must have elements of in nite order. Since $H^2(;\mathbb{Z}[]) =$ by Lemma 3.3, it is a nitely generated abelian group. Therefore it must be in nite cyclic, by Corollary 5.2 of [Fa74]. Hence $M \, ' \, S^2$ and $_1(M)$ has order at most 2, so \mathcal{M}' S^2 or RP^2 .

Suppose now that \mathcal{M} is a PD_3 -complex. After passing to a nite covering of \mathcal{M} , if necessary, we may assume that \mathcal{M} is orientable. Then $H^1(G; \mathbb{Z}[G]) = H_3(\mathcal{M}; \mathbb{Z})$, and so G has two ends. Conversely, if G has two ends we may assume that G = Z, after passing to a nite covering of \mathcal{M} , if necessary. Hence \mathcal{M} is a PD_3 -complex, by [Go79] again. (See Theorem 4.5 for an alternative argument, with weaker, algebraic hypotheses.)

Is the hypothesis in (3) that $_{1}(M)$ be FP_{3} redundant?

Corollary 3.9.1 The covering space \mathcal{M} is homotopy equivalent to a closed surface if and only if it is nitely dominated, $H^2(G; \mathbb{Z}[G]) = Z$ and $_1(\mathcal{M})$ is FP_3 .

In this case M has a nite covering space which is homotopy equivalent to the total space of a surface bundle over an aspherical closed surface. (See Chapter 5.)

Corollary 3.9.2 The covering space \mathcal{M} is homotopy equivalent to S^1 if and only if it is nitely dominated, G has one end, $H^2(G; \mathbb{Z}[G]) = 0$ and $_1(\mathcal{M})$ is a nontrivial nitely generated free group.

Proof If $M \,' \, S^1$ then it is nitely dominated and M is aspherical, and the conditions on G follow from the LHSSS. The converse follows from part (3) of the theorem, since a nontrivial nitely generated free group is in nite and FP.

In fact any nitely generated free normal subgroup F of a PD_n -group must be in nite cyclic. For =C (F) embeds in Out(F), so v:c:d:=C (F) v:c:d:Out(F(r)) < 1. If F is nonabelian then C (F) $\lor F = 1$ and so c:d:=F < 1. Since F is nitely generated =F is FP_1 . Hence we may apply Theorem 9.11 of [Bi], and an LHSSS corner argument gives a contradiction.

In the simply connected case \ nitely dominated", \homotopy equivalent to a nite complex" and \having nitely generated homology" are all equivalent.

Corollary 3.9.3 If H (\widehat{M} ; \mathbb{Z}) is nitely generated then either M is aspherical or \widehat{M} is homotopy equivalent to S^2 or S^3 or

We shall examine the spherical cases more closely in Chapters 10 and 11. (The arguments in these chapters may apply also to PD_n -complexes with universal covering space homotopy equivalent to S^{n-1} or S^{n-2} . The analogues in higher codimensions appear to be less accessible.)

The \setminus nitely dominated" condition is used only to ensure that the chain complex of the covering is chain homotopy equivalent over $\mathbb{Z}[\ _1(\mathcal{M})]$ to a _nite projective complex. Thus when M is aspherical this condition can be relaxed slightly. The following variation on the aspherical case shall be used in Theorem 4.8, but belongs most naturally here.

Theorem 3.10 Let N be a nontrivial FP_3 normal subgroup of in nite index in a PD_4 -group , and let G = -N. Then either

- (1) N is a PD_3 -group and G has two ends;
- (2) N is a PD_2 -group and G is virtually a PD_2 -group; or
- (3) N = Z, $H^s(G; \mathbb{Z}[G]) = 0$ for s = 2 and $H^3(G; \mathbb{Z}[G]) = Z$.

Proof Since c:d:N < 4, by Strebel's Theorem, N and hence G are FP. The E_2 terms of the LHS spectral sequence with coe cients $\mathbb{Q}[\]$ can then be expressed as $E_2^{pq} = H^p(G;\mathbb{Q}[G]) \quad H^q(N;\mathbb{Q}[N])$. If $H^j(\ =N;\mathbb{Q}[\ =N])$ and $H^k(N;\mathbb{Q}[N])$ are the rst nonzero such cohomology groups then E_2^{jk} persists to E_1 and hence j+k=4. Therefore $H^j(G;\mathbb{Q}[G]) \quad H^{4-j}(N;\mathbb{Q}[N]) = Q$.

Hence $H^j(G;\mathbb{Q}[G]) = H^{4-j}(N;\mathbb{Q}[N]) = Q$. In particular, G has one or two ends and N is a PD_{4-j} -group over \mathbb{Q} [Fa75]. If G has two ends then it is virtually Z, and then N is a PD_3 -group (over \mathbb{Z}) by Theorem 9.11 of [Bi]. If $H^2(N;\mathbb{Q}[N]) = H^2(G;\mathbb{Q}[G]) = Q$ then N and G are virtually PD_2 -groups, by Bowditch's Theorem. Since N is torsion free it is then in fact a PD_2 -group. The only remaining possibility is (3).

In case (1) has a subgroup of index 2 which is a semidirect product H Z with N H and [H:N] < 1. Is it su cient that N be FP_2 ? Must the quotient =N be virtually a PD_3 -group in case (3)?

Corollary 3.10.1 If K is FP_2 and is subnormal in N where N is an FP_3 normal subgroup of in nite index in the PD_4 -group—then K is a PD_k -group for some k < 4.

Proof This follows from Theorem 3.10 together with Theorem 2.16.

What happens if we drop the hypothesis that the covering be regular? It can be shown that a closed 3-manifold has a nitely dominated in nite covering space if and only if its fundamental group has one or two ends. We might conjecture that if a closed 4-manifold M has a nitely dominated in nite covering space M then either M is aspherical or the universal covering space M is homotopy equivalent to S^2 or S^3 or M has a nite covering space which is homotopy equivalent to the mapping torus of a self homotopy equivalence of a PD_3 -complex. (In particular, $_1(M)$ has one or two ends.) In [Hi94'] we extend the arguments of Theorem 3.9 to show that if $_1(M)$ is FP_3 and subnormal in the only other possibility is that $_1(M)$ has two ends, h(M) = 1 and $H^2(M) = 1$ is not nitely generated. This paper also considers in more detail FP subnormal subgroups of PD_4 -groups, corresponding to the aspherical case.

3.3 Minimizing the Euler characteristic

It is well known that every $\,$ nitely presentable group is the fundamental group of some closed orientable 4-manifold. Such manifolds are far from unique, for the Euler characteristic may be made arbitrarily large by taking connected sums with simply connected manifolds. Following Hausmann and Weinberger [HW85] we may de ne an invariant $q(\)$ for any $\$ nitely presentable group by

 $q() = \min f(M)jM \text{ is a PD}_4 \text{ complex with } _1(M) = g$:

Geometry & Topology Monographs, Volume 5 (2002)

We may also de ne related invariants q^X where the minimum is taken over the class of PD_4 -complexes whose normal bration has an X-reduction. There are the following basic estimates for q^{SG} , which is de ned in terms of PD_4^+ -complexes.

Lemma 3.11 Let be a nitely presentable group with a subgroup H of nite index and let F be a eld. Then

```
(1) 1 - {}_{1}(H; F) + {}_{2}(H; F) = [:H](1 - \operatorname{def});
```

- (2) $2-2_1(H;F) + _2(H;F) = [:H]q^{SG}();$
- (3) $q^{SG}()$ 2(1 def());
- (4) if $H^4(\ ; F) = 0$ then $q^{SG}(\)$ $2(1 {}_{1}(\ ; F) + {}_{2}(\ ; F))$.

Proof Let C be the 2-complex corresponding to a presentation for of maximal de ciency and let C_H be the covering space associated to the subgroup H. Then (C) = 1 – def and $(C_H) = [:H]$ (). Condition (1) follows since $_1(H;F) = _1(C_H;F)$ and $_2(H;F) = _2(C_H;F)$.

Condition (2) follows similarly on considering the Euler characteristics of a PD_4^+ -complex M with $_1(M) =$ and of the associated covering space M_H .

The boundary of a regular neighbourhood of a PL embedding of C in R^5 is a closed orientable 4-manifold realizing the upper bound in (3).

The image of $H^2(\ ; F)$ in $H^2(M; F)$ has dimension $_2(\ ; F)$, and is self-annihilating under cup-product if $H^4(\ ; F) = 0$. In that case $_2(M; F)$ 2 $_2(\ ; F)$, which implies (4).

Condition (2) was used in [HW85] to give examples of nitely presentable superperfect groups which are not fundamental groups of homology 4-spheres. (See Chapter 14 below.)

If is a nitely presentable, orientable PD_4 -group we see immediately that $q^{SG}(\)$ (). Multiplicativity then implies that $q(\)=\ (\)$ if $K(\ ;1)$ is a nite PD_4 -complex.

For groups of cohomological dimension at most two we can say more.

Theorem 3.12 Let M be a nite PD_4 -complex with fundamental group . Suppose that $c:\underline{d}:_{\mathbb{Q}}$ 2 and (M) = 2 () = 2(1 - 1) (; \mathbb{Q}) + 2(1) ; \mathbb{Q}). Then $2(M) = \overline{H^2(1)}$. If moreover $c:\underline{d}: 2$ the chain complex of the universal covering space \widehat{M} is determined up to chain homotopy equivalence over $\mathbb{Z}[1]$ by .

Proof Let $A_Q(\)$ be the augmentation ideal of $\mathbb{Q}[\]$. Then there are exact sequences

$$0! A_{\mathcal{O}}()! \mathbb{Q}[]! \mathcal{Q}! 0$$
 (3.1)

and
$$0! P! \mathbb{Q}[]^g! A_Q()! 0:$$
 (3.2)

where P is a nitely generated projective module. We may assume that that $\not\in 1$, i.e., that is in nite, and that M is a nite 4-dimensional cell complex. Let C be the cellular chain complex of \widehat{M} , with coe cients \mathbb{Q} , and let $H_i = H_i(C) = H_i(\widehat{M}; \mathbb{Q})$ and $H^t = H^t(Hom_{\mathbb{Q}[\]}(C; \mathbb{Q}[\]))$. Since \widehat{M} is simply connected and is in nite, $H_0 = Q$ and $H_1 = H_4 = 0$. Poincare duality gives further isomorphisms $H^1 = \overline{H_3}$, $H^2 = \overline{H_2}$, $H^3 = 0$ and $H^4 = \overline{Q}$.

The chain complex C breaks up into exact sequences:

$$0 ! C_4 ! Z_3 ! H_3 ! 0;$$
 (3.3)

$$0 ! Z_3 ! C_3 ! Z_2 ! H_2 ! 0;$$
 (3.4)

$$0 ! Z_2 ! C_2 ! C_1 ! C_0 ! Q ! 0:$$
 (3.5)

We shall let $e^i N = E \times t^i_{\mathbb{Q}[\]}(N;\mathbb{Q}[\])$, to simplify the notation in what follows. The UCSS gives isomorphisms $H^1 = e^1 Q$ and $e^1 H_2 = e^2 H_3 = 0$ and another exact sequence:

$$0 ! e^2 Q ! H^2 ! e^0 H_2 ! 0:$$
 (3.6)

Applying Schanuel's Lemma to the sequences 3.1, 3.2 and 3.5 we obtain Z_2 C_1 $\mathbb{Q}[\]$ $P=C_2$ C_0 $\mathbb{Q}[\]$ g, so g is a nitely generated projective module. Similarly, g is projective, since $\mathbb{Q}[\]$ has global dimension at most 2. Since is nitely presentable it is accessible, and hence g is nitely generated as a $\mathbb{Q}[\]$ -module, by Theorems IV.7.5 and VI.6.3 of [DD]. Therefore g is also nitely generated, since it is an extension of g by g by g Dualizing the sequence 3.4 and using the fact that g g we obtain an exact sequence of right modules

$$0! e^{0}H_{2}! e^{0}Z_{2}! e^{0}C_{3}! e^{0}Z_{3}! e^{2}H_{2}! 0:$$
 (3.7)

Since duals of nitely generated projective modules are projective it follows that e^0H_2 is projective. Hence the sequence 3.6 gives $H^2 = e^0H_2$ e^2Q .

Dualizing the sequences 3.1 and 3.2, we obtain exact sequences of right modules

$$0! \mathbb{Q}[]! e^{0}A_{Q}()! e^{1}Q! 0$$
 (3.8)

and
$$0! e^{0}A_{O}()! \mathbb{Q}[]^{g}! e^{0}P! e^{2}Q! 0:$$
 (3.9)

Applying Schanuel's Lemma twice more, to the pairs of sequences 3.3 and the conjugate of 3.8 (using $H_3 = \overline{e^1 Q}$) and to 3.4 and the conjugate of 3.9 (using $H_2 = \overline{e^0 H_2}$) and putting all together, we obtain isomorphisms

$$Z_3$$
 ($\mathbb{Q}[\]^{2g}$ C_0 C_2 C_4) = Z_3 ($\mathbb{Q}[\]^2$ P $\overline{e^0P}$ C_1 C_3 $\overline{e^0H_2}$):

On tensoring with the augmentation module we nd that

$$dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q} \quad \overline{e^0 H_2}) + dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q} \quad P) + dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q} \quad \overline{e^0 P}) = (M) + 2g - 2$$
:

Now

$$dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q} \qquad P) = dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q} \qquad \overline{e^0P}) = g + 2(\mathfrak{Z}, \mathbb{Q}) - 1(\mathfrak{Z}, \mathbb{Q})$$

so $dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q} - \overline{e^0H_2}) = (M)-2$ () = 0. Hence $e^0H_2 = 0$, since satis es the Weak Bass Conjecture [Ec86]. As $Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[-]}(H_2(\widehat{M};\mathbb{Z});\mathbb{Z}[-]) = e^0H_2$ it follows from Lemma 3.3 that $_2(M) = H_2(\widehat{M};\mathbb{Z}) = \overline{H^2(-;\mathbb{Z}[-])}$.

If c:d: 2 then e^1Z has a short nite projective resolution, and hence so does Z_3 (via sequence 3.2). The argument can then be modi ed to work over $\mathbb{Z}[\]$. As Z_1 is then projective, the integral chain complex of \widehat{M} is the direct sum of a projective resolution of Z with a projective resolution of Z with a projective resolution of Z with degree shifted by 2.

There are many natural examples of such manifolds for which $c:d:_{\mathbb{Q}}$ 2 and (M) = 2 () but is not torsion free. (See Chapters 10 and 11.) However all the known examples satisfy v:c:d: 2.

Similar arguments may be used to prove the following variations.

Addendum Suppose that $c:d:_S$ 2 for some subring S \mathbb{Q} . Then q() $2(1-_1(;S)+_2(;S))$. If moreover the augmentation S[]-module S has a <u>nitely generated</u> free resolution then S $_2(M)$ is stably isomorphic to $H^2(;S[])$.

Corollary 3.12.1 If $H_2(\ ;\mathbb{Q}) \neq 0$ the Hurewicz homomorphism from $_2(M)$ to $H_2(M;\mathbb{Q})$ is nonzero.

Proof By the addendum to the theorem, $H_2(M; \mathbb{Q})$ has dimension at least 2 $_2()$, and so cannot be isomorphic to $H_2(; \mathbb{Q})$ unless both are 0.

Corollary 3.12.2 If $= _1(P)$ where P is an aspherical nite 2-complex then q() = 2 (P). The minimum is realized by an S-parallelizable PL 4-manifold.

Geometry & Topology Monographs, Volume 5 (2002)

Proof If we choose a PL embedding j:P! \mathbb{R}^5 , the boundary of a regular neighbourhood N of j(P) is an s-parallelizable PL 4-manifold with fundamental group and with Euler characteristic 2 (P).

By Theorem 2.8 a nitely presentable group is the fundamental group of an aspherical nite 2-complex if and only if it has cohomological dimension 2 and is e cient, i.e. has a presentation of de ciency $_1(\ ;\mathbb{Q})-_2(\ ;\mathbb{Q})$. It is not known whether every nitely presentable group of cohomological dimension 2 is e cient.

In Chapter 5 we shall see that if P is an aspherical closed surface and M is a closed 4-manifold with $_1(M) =$ then $_1(M) = q($) if and only if M is homotopy equivalent to the total space of an S^2 -bundle over P. The homotopy types of such minimal 4-manifolds for P may be distinguished by their Stiefel-Whitney classes. Note that if P is a minimal 4-manifold for P which is both P-parallelizable and also a projective algebraic complex surface. Note also that the conjugation of the module structure in the theorem involves the orientation character of P0 which may differ from that of the P0-group .

Corollary 3.12.3 *If* is the group of an unsplittable -component 1-link then $q(\cdot) = 0$.

If is the group of a -component n-link with n 2 then $H_2(; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ and so q() 2(1 -), with equality if and only if is the group of a 2-link. (See Chapter 14.)

Corollary 3.12.4 If is an extension of Z by an itely generated free normal subgroup then $q(\cdot) = 0$.

In Chapter 4 we shall see that if M is a closed 4-manifold with $_1(M)$ such an extension then (M) = q() if and only if M is homotopy equivalent to a manifold which bres over S^1 with bre a closed 3-manifold with free fundamental group, and then and $w_1(M)$ determine the homotopy type.

Finite generation of the normal subgroup is essential; F(2) is an extension of Z by F(1), and g(F(2)) = 2 (F(2)) = -2.

Let be the fundamental group of a closed orientable 3-manifold. Then = F where F is free of rank r and has no in nite cyclic free factors. Moreover = $_1(N)$ for some closed orientable 3-manifold N. If M_0 is the closed 4-manifold obtained by surgery on Fng S^1 in N S^1 then $M = M_0 J(J^r(S^1 S^3))$

is a smooth *s*-parallelisable 4-manifold with $_1(M) =$ and (M) = 2(1-r). Hence $q^{SG}() = 2(1-r)$, by Lemma 3.11.

The arguments of Theorem 3.12 give stronger results in this case also.

Theorem 3.13 Let M be a nite PD_4 -complex whose fundamental group is a PD_3 -group such that $w_1(\) = w_1(M)$. Then (M) > 0 and $_2(M)$ is stably isomorphic to the augmentation ideal $A(\)$ of $\mathbb{Z}[\]$.

Proof The cellular chain complex for the universal covering space of M gives exact sequences

$$0 ! C_4 ! C_3 ! Z_2 ! H_2 ! 0$$
 (3.10)

and $0! Z_2! C_2! C_1! C_0! Z! 0:$ (3.11)

Since is a PD_3 -group the augmentation module Z has a nite projective resolution of length 3. On comparing sequence 3.11 with such a resolution and applying Schanuel's lemma we nd that Z_2 is a nitely generated projective $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -module. Since has one end, the UCSS reduces to an exact sequence

$$0! H^{2}! e^{0}H_{2}! e^{3}Z! H^{3}! e^{1}H_{2}! 0$$
 (3.12)

and isomorphisms $H^4 = e^2 H_2$ and $e^3 H_2 = e^4 H_2 = 0$: Poincare duality implies that $H^3 = 0$ and $H^4 = \overline{Z}$. Hence sequence 3.12 reduces to

$$0! H^2! e^0 H_2! e^3 Z! 0$$
 (3.13)

and $e^1H_2=0$. Hence on dualizing the sequence 3.10 we get an exact sequence of right modules

$$0! e^{0}H_{2}! e^{0}Z_{2}! e^{0}C_{3}! e^{0}C_{4}! e^{2}H_{2}! 0:$$
 (3.14)

Schanuel's lemma again implies that e^0H_2 is a nitely generated projective module. Therefore we may splice together 3.10 and the conjugate of 3.13 to get

$$0 ! C_4 ! C_3 ! Z_2 ! \overline{e^0 H_2} ! Z ! 0:$$
 (3.15)

(Note that we have used the hypothesis on $W_1(M)$ here.) Applying Schanuel's lemma once more to the pair of sequences 3.11 and 3.15 we obtain

$$C_0$$
 C_2 C_4 $Z_2 = \overline{e^0 H_2}$ C_1 C_3 Z_2 :

Hence $\overline{e^0H_2}$ is stably free, of rank (M). Since sequence 3.15 is exact $\overline{e^0H_2}$ maps onto Z, and so (M) > 0. Since is a PD_3 -group, $e^3Z = \overline{Z}$ and so the nal assertion follows from sequence 3.13 and Schanuel's Lemma.

Corollary 3.13.1 1 q() 2.

Geometry & Topology Monographs, Volume 5 (2002)

Proof If M is a nite PD_4 -complex with $_1(M) =$ then the covering space associated to the kernel of $w_1(M) - w_1()$ satis es the condition on w_1 . Since the condition (M) > 0 is invariant under passage to nite covers, q() = 1.

Let N be a PD_3 -complex with fundamental group . We may suppose that $N = N_0 [D^3]$, where $N_0 \setminus D^3 = S^2$. Let $M = N_0 S^1 [S^2]$. Then M is a nite PD_4 -complex, (M) = 2 and $_1(M) = .$ Hence q() 2.

Can Theorem 3.13 be extended to all torsion free 3-manifold groups, or more generally to all free products of PD_3 -groups?

A simple application of Schanuel's Lemma to C (M) shows that if M is a nite PD_4 -complex with fundamental group—such that c:d: 4 and $e(\)=1$ then $_2(M)$ has projective dimension at most 2. If moreover—is an FF PD_4 -group and c_M has degree 1 then $_2(M)$ is stably free of rank—(M)—(), by the argument of Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.2.

There has been some related work estimating the di erence (M) - j (M)j where M is a closed orientable 4-manifold M with $_1(M) =$ and where (M) is the signature of M. In particular, this di erence is always 0 if $_1^{(2)}() = 0$. (See [JK93] and \mathcal{X} 3 of Chapter 7 of [Lü].) The minimum value of this di erence $(p() = \min f(M) - j(M)jg)$ is another numerical invariant of , which is studied in [Ko94].

3.4 Euler Characteristic 0

In this section we shall consider the interaction of the fundamental group and Euler characteristic from another point of view. We shall assume that (M) = 0 and show that if is an ascending HNN extension then it satis es some very stringent conditions. The groups Z_m shall play an important role. We shall approach our main result via several lemmas.

We begin with a simple observation relating Euler characteristic and fundamental group which shall be invoked in several of the later chapters. Recall that if G is a group then I(G) is the minimal normal subgroup such that G=I(G) is free abelian.

Lemma 3.14 Let M be a PD_4 -complex with (M) 0. If M is orientable then $H^1(M; \mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$ and so $= {}_1(M)$ maps onto Z. If $H^1(M; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ then maps onto D.

Proof The covering space M_W corresponding to $W = \operatorname{Ker}(W_1(M))$ is orientable and $(M_W) = 2 - 2 \ _1(M_W) + \ _2(M_W) = [: W] \ (M) = 0$. Therefore $_1(W) = \ _1(M_W) > 0$ and so $W = I(W) = Z^r$ for some r > 0. Since I(W) is characteristic in W it is normal in . As [: W] = 2 it follows easily that $_{=I}(W)$ maps onto Z or D.

Note that if $M = RP^4]RP^4$, then (M) = 0 and $_1(M) = D$, but $_1(M)$ does not map onto Z.

Lemma 3.15 Let M be a PD_4^+ -complex such that (M) = 0 and $= {}_1(M)$ is an extension of Z_m by a nite normal subgroup F, for some $m \not\in 0$. Then the abelian subgroups of F are cyclic. If $F \not\in 1$ then has a subgroup of nite index which is a central extension of Z_n by a nontrivial nite cyclic group, where n is a power of m.

Proof Let \mathcal{M} be the in nite cyclic covering space corresponding to the subgroup I(). Since M is compact and $= \mathbb{Z}[Z]$ is noetherian the groups $H_i(\mathcal{M};\mathbb{Z}) = H_i(\mathcal{M};)$ are nitely generated as -modules. Since M is orientable, I(M) = I(M) = I(M) has rank 1 they are -torsion modules, by the Wang sequence for the projection of I(M) onto I(M). Now I(M) = I(M) by Poincare duality. There is an exact sequence

$$0! T! I()=I()^{\theta}! I(Z_m) = =(t-m)! 0$$

where \mathcal{T} is a nite -module. Therefore $Ext^1(I(\cdot)=I(\cdot)^0)=(t-m)$ and so $H_2(I(\cdot);\mathbb{Z})$ is a quotient of =(mt-1), which is isomorphic to $Z[\frac{1}{m}]$ as an abelian group. Now $I(\cdot)=\operatorname{Ker}(f)=Z[\frac{1}{m}]$ also, and $H_2(Z[\frac{1}{m}];\mathbb{Z})=Z[\frac{1}{m}] \wedge Z[\frac{1}{m}]=0$ (see page 334 of [Ro]). Hence $H_2(I(\cdot);\mathbb{Z})$ is nite, by an LHSSS argument, and so is cyclic, of order relatively prime to m.

Let t in generate $=I(\)=Z$. Let A be a maximal abelian subgroup of F and let C=C (A). Then $q=[\ :C]$ is nite, since F is nite and normal in . In particular, t^q is in C and C maps onto Z, with kernel J, say. Since J is an extension of $Z[\frac{1}{m}]$ by a nite normal subgroup its centre J has nite index in J. Therefore the subgroup G generated by J and t^q has nite index in J, and there is an epimorphism f from G onto J and J with kernel J. Moreover $J(G)=f^{-1}(J(Z)_{m^q})$ is abelian, and is an extension of J(J) by the nite abelian group J. Hence it is isomorphic to J and J is explain the index J is cyclic of order prime to J. On the other hand J is J in J is an extension of J in J in J is cyclic of order prime to J in J in J in J in J is explain the interval J is cyclic of order prime to J in J in

If $F \ne 1$ then A is cyclic, nontrivial, central in G and $G = A = Z_{mq}$.

65

Lemma 3.16 Let M be a nite PD_4 -complex with fundamental group . Suppose that has a nontrivial nite cyclic central subgroup F with quotient G = F such that g:d:G = 2, e(G) = 1 and def(G) = 1. Then (M) = 0 and $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ is a weakly nite ring for some prime p dividing jFj then is virtually Z^2 .

Proof Let \mathcal{M} be the covering space of M with group F, and let $= \mathbb{F}_p[G]$. Let $C = C(M;) = \mathbb{F}_p - C(M)$ be the equivariant cellular chain complex of \mathcal{M} with coe cients \mathbb{F}_p , and let c_q be the number of q-cells of M, for q = 0. Let $H_p = H_p(M;) = H_p(\mathcal{M}; \mathbb{F}_p)$. For any left -module H let $e^q H = Ext^q(H;)$.

Suppose rst that M is orientable. Since M is a connected open 4-manifold $H_0 = \mathbb{F}_p$ and $H_4 = 0$, while $H_1 = \mathbb{F}_p$ also. Since G has one end Poincare duality and the UCSS give $H_3 = 0$ and $e^2 H_2 = \mathbb{F}_p$, and an exact sequence

$$0! e^2 \mathbb{F}_n! \overline{H_2}! e^0 H_2! e^2 H_1! \overline{H_1}! e^1 H_2! 0:$$

In particular, $e^1 H_2 = \mathbb{F}_p$ or is 0. Since g:d:G = 2 and def(G) = 1 the augmentation module has a resolution

$$0!$$
 $r!$ $r+1!$ $!$ $\mathbb{F}_p!$ $0:$

The chain complex C gives four exact sequences

Using Schanuel's Lemma several times we nd that the cycle submodules Z_1 and Z_2 are stably free, of stable ranks $c_1 - c_0$ and $c_2 - c_1 + c_0$, respectively. Dualizing the last two sequences gives two new sequences

and
$$0! e^{0}B_{2}! e^{0}C_{3}! e^{0}C_{4}! e^{1}B_{2}! 0$$

 $0! e^{0}H_{2}! e^{0}Z_{2}! e^{0}B_{2}! e^{1}H_{2}! 0$

and an isomorphism $e^1B_2 = e^2H_2 = \mathbb{F}_p$. Further applications of Schanuel's Lemma show that e^0B_2 is stably free of rank $c_3 - c_4$, and hence that e^0H_2 is stably free of rank $c_2 - c_1 + c_0 - (c_3 - c_4) = (M)$. (Note that we do not need to know whether $e^1H_2 = \mathbb{F}_p$ or is 0, at this point.) Since maps onto the eld \mathbb{F}_p the rank must be non-negative, and so (M) 0.

If (M) = 0 and $= \mathbb{F}_p[G]$ is a weakly nite ring then $e^0 H_2 = 0$ and so $e^2 \mathbb{F}_p = e^2 H_1$ is a submodule of $\mathbb{F}_p = \overline{H_1}$. Moreover it cannot be 0, for otherwise the UCSS would give $H_2 = 0$ and then $H_1 = 0$, which is impossible. Therefore $e^2 \mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{F}_p$.

If M is nonorientable and p > 2 the above argument applies to the orientation cover, since p divides $j \text{Ker}(W_1(M)j_F)j$, and Euler characteristic is multiplicative in nite covers. If p = 2 a similar argument applies directly without assuming that M is orientable.

Since G is torsion free and indicable it must be a PD_2 -group, by Theorem V.12.2 of [DD]. Since def(G) = 1 it follows that G is virtually Z^2 , and hence that is also virtually Z^2 .

We may now give the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.17 Let M be a nite PD_4 -complex whose fundamental group is an ascending HNN extension with nitely generated base B. Then (M) = 0, and hence $q(\cdot) = 0$. If (M) = 0 and B is FP_2 and nitely ended then either has two ends or has a subgroup of nite index which is isomorphic to Z^2 or $A = Z_{-M}$ or $A = Z_{-M}$ or $A = Z_{-M}$ or $A = Z_{-M}$ for some $A = Z_{-M}$ or $A = Z_{-M}$ or $A = Z_{-M}$ for some $A = Z_{-M}$ or $A = Z_{-M}$ for some $A = Z_{-M}$ or $A = Z_{-M}$ for some $A = Z_{-M}$ for $A = Z_{-M}$ for some $A = Z_{-M}$ for $A = Z_{-M}$ for $A = Z_{-M}$ for some $A = Z_{-M}$ for $A = Z_{-M}$

Proof The L^2 Euler characteristic formula gives $(M) = {2 \choose 2}(M) = {2 \choose i}(M) = {2 \choose i}(M) = {1 \choose i}(M$

Let : B ! B be the monomorphism determining = B. If B is nite then is an automorphism and so has two ends. If B is FP_2 and has one end then $H^S(; \mathbb{Z}[]) = 0$ for S 2, by the Brown-Geoghegan Theorem. If moreover (M) = 0 then M is aspherical, by Corollary 3.5.1.

Suppose rst that M is orientable, and that $F \ne 1$. Then has a subgroup of nite index which is a central extension of Z_{m^q} by a nite cyclic group, for some q 1, by Lemma 3.15. Let p be a prime dividing q. Since Z_{m^q} is a torsion free solvable group the ring $= \mathbb{F}_p[Z_{m^q}]$ has a skew eld of fractions

L, which as a right -module is the direct limit of the system f j 0 2 g, where each = , the index set is ordered by right divisibility () and the map from to sends to [KLM88]. In particular, is a weakly nite ring and so is torsion free, by Lemma 3.16. Therefore F = 1.

If M is nonorientable then $w_1(M)j_F$ must be injective, and so another application of Lemma 3.16 (with p=2) shows again that F=1.

Is M still aspherical if B is assumed only nitely generated and one ended?

Corollary 3.17.1 Let M be a nite PD_4 -complex such that (M) = 0 and $= {}_1(M)$ is almost coherent and restrained. Then either has two ends or is virtually Z^2 or $= Z_m$ or $Z_m \sim (Z=2Z)$ for some $m \not = 0$ or 1 or M is aspherical.

Proof Let $^+$ = Ker($W_1(M)$). Then $^+$ maps onto Z, by Lemma 3.14, and so is an ascending HNN extension $^+$ = B with nitely generated base B. Since is almost coherent B is FP_2 , and since has no nonabelian free subgroup B has at most two ends. Hence Lemma 3.16 and Theorem 3.17 apply, so either has two ends or M is aspherical or $^+$ = Z $_M$ or Z $_M$ $^-$ (Z=2Z) for some $M \in O$ or O1. In the latter case O1 is is isomorphic to a subgroup of the additive rationals O2, and O3 = O4. Hence the image of in O6 is in nite. Therefore maps onto O8 and so is an ascending HNN extension O9, and we may again use Theorem 3.17.

Does this corollary remain true without the hypothesis that be almost coherent?

There are nine groups which are virtually Z^2 and are fundamental groups of PD_4 -complexes with Euler characteristic 0. (See Chapter 11.) Are any of the semidirect products $Z_m \sim (Z=2Z)$ realized by PD_4 -complexes with = 0? If is restrained and M is aspherical must be virtually poly-Z? (Aspherical 4-manifolds with virtually poly-Z fundamental groups are characterized in Chapter 8.)

Let G is a group with a presentation of de ciency d and w: G! f 1g be a homomorphism, and let hx_i ; $1 i mj r_j$; 1 j ni be a presentation for G with m-n=d. We may assume that $w(x_i)=+1$ for i m-1. Let $X=\bigvee^m(S^1 D^3)$ if w=1 and $X=(\bigvee^{m-1}(S^1 D^3))\bigvee(S^1 \sim D^3)$ otherwise. The relators r_j may be represented by disjoint orientation preserving embeddings of S^1 in @X, and so we may attach 2-handles along product neighbourhoods,

to get a bounded 4-manifold Y with $_1(Y) = G$, $w_1(Y) = w$ and (Y) = 1 - d. Doubling Y gives a closed 4-manifold M with (M) = 2(1 - d) and $(_1(M); w_1(M))$ isomorphic to (G; w).

Since the groups Z_m have de ciency 1 it follows that any homomorphism $w: Z_m! f 1g$ may be realized as the orientation character of a closed 4-manifold with fundamental group Z_m and Euler characteristic 0. What other invariants are needed to determine the homotopy type of such a manifold?

Chapter 4

Mapping tori and circle bundles

Stallings showed that if M is a 3-manifold and $f: M ! S^1$ a map which induces an epimorphism $f: _1(M) ! Z$ with in nite kernel K then f is homotopic to a bundle projection if and only if M is irreducible and K is nitely generated. Farrell gave an analogous characterization in dimensions 6, with the hypotheses that the homotopy bre of f is nitely dominated and a torsion invariant $(f) 2 Wh(_1(M))$ is 0. The corresponding results in dimensions 4 and 5 are constrained by the present limitations of geometric topology in these dimensions. (In fact there are counter-examples to the most natural 4-dimensional analogue of Farrell's theorem [We87].)

Quinn showed that the total space of a bration with nitely dominated base and bre is a Poincare duality complex if and only if both the base and bre are Poincare duality complexes. (See [Go79] for a very elegant proof of this result.) The main result of this chapter is a 4-dimensional homotopy bration theorem with hypotheses similar to those of Stallings and a conclusion similar to that of Quinn and Gottlieb.

The *mapping torus* of a self homotopy equivalence f: X ! X is the space M(f) = X [0;1] = 0, where (X;0) (f(X);1) for all X : 2 X. If X is nitely dominated then $_1(M(f))$ is an extension of Z by a nitely presentable normal subgroup and $(M(f)) = (X)(S^1) = 0$. We shall show that a nite PD_4 -complex M is homotopy equivalent to such a mapping torus, with X a PD_3 -complex, if and only if $_1(M)$ is such an extension and (M) = 0.

In the nal section we consider instead bundles with bre S^1 . We give conditions for a 4-manifold to be homotopy equivalent to the total space of an S^1 -bundle over a PD_3 -complex, and show that these conditions are su cient if the fundamental group of the PD_3 -complex is torsion free but not free.

4.1 Some necessary conditions

Let E be a connected cell complex and let $f: E! S^1$ be a map which induces an epimorphism $f: _1(E) ! Z$, with kernel . The associated covering space with group is $E = E _{S^1} R = f(x;y) 2 E R j f(x) = e^{2 iy} g$, and

E' M(), where E' E' is the generator of the covering group given by (x,y) = (x,y+1) for all (x,y) in E. If E is a PD_4 -complex and E is nitely dominated then E is a PD_3 -complex, by Quinn's result. In particular, is E and E is a E on E on E and E is a E on E on

Theorem 4.1 Let M be a nite PD_4 -complex whose fundamental group is an extension of Z by a nitely generated normal subgroup , and let M be the in nite cyclic covering space corresponding to the subgroup . Then

- (1) (M) 0, with equality if and only if $H_2(M; \mathbb{Q})$ is nitely generated;
- (2) if (M) = 0 then M is aspherical if and only if is in nite and $H^2(\ ; \mathbb{Z}[\]) = 0$;
- (3) M is an aspherical PD_3 -complex if and only if (M) = 0 and is almost nitely presentable and has one end.

Proof Since M is a nite complex and $\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}[t;t^{-1}]$ is noetherian the homology groups $H_q(M;\mathbb{Q})$ are nitely generated as \mathbb{Q} -modules. Since is nitely generated they are nite dimensional as \mathbb{Q} -vector spaces if q < 2, and hence also if q > 2, by Poincare duality. Now $H_2(M;\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}^r$ (\mathbb{Q}) s for some r; s 0, by the Structure Theorem for modules over a PID. It follows easily from the Wang sequence for the covering projection from M to M, that (M) = s 0.

Since is nitely generated $_{1}^{(2)}() = 0$, by Lemma 2.1. If M is aspherical then clearly is in nite and $H^{2}(;\mathbb{Z}[]) = 0$. Conversely, if these conditions hold then $H^{S}(;\mathbb{Z}[]) = 0$ for S 2. Hence if moreover (M) = 0 then M is aspherical, by Corollary 3.5.2.

If is FP_2 and has one end then $H^2(\ ;\mathbb{Z}[\])=H^1(\ ;\mathbb{Z}[\])=0$, by the LHSSS. As M is aspherical is a PD_3 -group, by Theorem 1.20, and therefore is nitely presentable, by Theorem 1.1 of [KK99]. Hence M ′ $K(\ ;1)$ is nitely dominated and so is a PD_3 -complex [Br72].

In particular, if (M) = 0 then q() = 0. This observation and the bound (M) = 0 were given in Theorem 3.17. (They also follow on counting bases for the cellular chain complex of M and extending coe cients to $\mathbb{Q}(t)$.)

Let F be the orientable surface of genus 2. Then M = F F is an aspherical closed 4-manifold, and = G G where $G = {}_{1}(F)$ has a presentation ha_{1} ; a_{2} ; b_{1} ; b_{2} j $[a_{1}$; $b_{1}] = [a_{2}$; b_{2}]i. The subgroup generated by the images

of $(a_1;a_1)$ and the six elements (x;1) and (1;x), for $x=a_2$, b_1 or b_2 , is normal in and =Z. However cannot be FP_2 since () = $4 \not\in 0$. Is there an aspherical 4-manifold M such that $_1(M)$ is an extension of Z by a nitely generated subgroup which is not FP_2 and with (M)=0? (Note that $H_2(;\mathbb{Q})$ must be nitely generated, so showing that is not nitely related may require some nesse.)

If $H^2(\ ;\mathbb{Z}[\])=0$ then $H^1(\ ;\mathbb{Z}[\])=0$, by an LHSSS argument, and so must have one end, if it is in nite. Can the hypotheses of (2) above be replaced by $\ (M)=0$ and has one end"? It can be shown that the nitely generated subgroup N of F(2) F(2) de ned after Theorem 2.4 has one end. However $H^2(F(2) \ F(2);\mathbb{Z}[F(2) \ F(2)]) \not \in 0$. (Note that $q(F(2) \ F(2))=2$, by Corollary 3.12.2.)

4.2 Change of rings and cup products

In the next two sections we shall adapt and extend work of Barge in setting up duality maps in the equivariant (co)homology of covering spaces.

Let be an extension of Z by a normal subgroup and x an element t of whose image generates = . Let : ! be the automorphism determined by $(h) = tht^{-1}$ for all h in : This automorphism extends to a ring automorphism (also denoted by :) of the group ring $\mathbb{Z}[:]$, and the ring $\mathbb{Z}[:]$ may then be viewed as a twisted Laurent extension, $\mathbb{Z}[:] = \mathbb{Z}[:] : [t; t^{-1}]$. The quotient of $\mathbb{Z}[:]$ by the two-sided ideal generated by $fh-1jh\ 2$ g is isomorphic to :, while as a left module over itself $\mathbb{Z}[:]$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}[:] = \mathbb{Z}[:] : [t - 1)$ and so may be viewed as a left $\mathbb{Z}[:]$ -module. (Note that : is not a module automorphism unless : : is central.)

If M is a left $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -module let Mj denote the underlying $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -module, and let $\hat{M} = Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}(Mj;\mathbb{Z}[\])$. Then \hat{M} is a right $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -module via

$$(f)(m) = f(m)$$
 for all $2\mathbb{Z}[]$; $f = 2M$ and $f = 2M$:

If $M = \mathbb{Z}[\]$ then $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ is also a left $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -module via

$$(t^r f)(t^s) = {}^{-s}()f(t^{s-r})$$
 for all $f 2 \mathbb{Z}[t]$; ; 2 and $f(s) 2 \mathbb{Z}[t]$

As the left and right actions commute $\mathbb{Z}[\cdot]$ is a $(\mathbb{Z}[\cdot];\mathbb{Z}[\cdot])$ -bimodule. We may describe this bimodule more explicitly. Let $\mathbb{Z}[\cdot][[t;t^{-1}]]$ be the set of doubly in nite power series $n_{2Z}t^n$ n with n in $\mathbb{Z}[\cdot]$ for all n in Z, with the obvious right $\mathbb{Z}[\cdot]$ -module structure, and with the left $\mathbb{Z}[\cdot]$ -module structure given by

$$t^r(t^n = t^{n+r} - t^{n-r}(t^n = t^{n+r} - t^{n-r}(t^n = t^{n+r} - t^{n-r}(t^n = t^$$

Geometry & Topology Monographs, Volume 5 (2002)

(Note that even if = 1 this module is not a ring in any natural way.) Then the homomorphism $j: \mathbb{Z}[\] ! \mathbb{Z}[\] [[t; t^{-1}]]$ given by $j(f) = t^n f(t^n)$ for all f in $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ is a $(\mathbb{Z}[\]; \mathbb{Z}[\])$ -bimodule isomorphism. (Indeed, it is clearly an isomorphism of right $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -modules, and we have de ned the left $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -module structure on $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ by pulling back the one on $\mathbb{Z}[\][[t; t^{-1}]]$.)

For each f in \mathcal{M} we may de ne a function $T_{\mathcal{M}}f:\mathcal{M}$! $\mathcal{Z}[\cdot]$ by the rule

$$(T_M f)(m)(t^n) = f(t^{-n}m)$$
 for all $m 2 M$ and $n 2 Z$:

It is easily seen that $T_M f$ is $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -linear, and that $T_M: \hat{M} ! \ Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}(M; \mathbb{Z}[\])$ is an isomorphism of abelian groups. (It is clearly a monomorphism, and if $g: M! \mathbb{Z}[\]$ is $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -linear then $g=T_M f$ where f(m)=g(m)(1) for all m in M. In fact if we give $Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}(M; \mathbb{Z}[\])$ the natural right $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -module structure by ()(m)=(m) for all $2\mathbb{Z}[\]$, $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -homomorphisms $:M! \mathbb{Z}[\]$ and $m \ 2M$ then T_M is an isomorphism of right $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -modules.) Thus we have a natural equivalence $T:Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}(-j;\mathbb{Z}[\])$ $Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}(-j;\mathbb{Z}[\])$ of functors from $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}$ to $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}$. If C is a chain complex of left $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -modules T induces natural isomorphisms from $H(C\ j:\mathbb{Z}[\])=H(Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}(C\ j:\mathbb{Z}[\])$ to $H(C:V)=H(Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}(C:\mathbb{Z}[\])$. In particular, since the forgetful functor -j is exact and takes projectives to projectives there are isomorphisms from $Ext_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}(Mj:\mathbb{Z}[\])$ to $Ext_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}(Mj:\mathbb{Z}[\])$ which are functorial in M.

If M and N are left $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -modules let M N denote the tensor product over \mathbb{Z} with the diagonal left -action, de ned by $g(m \ n) = gm \ gn$ for all $m \ 2 \ M$, $n \ 2 \ N$ and $g \ 2$. The function p_M : $M \ !$ M de ned by $p_M(m) = (1)m$ is then a $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -linear epimorphism.

We shall de ne products in cohomology by means of the $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -linear homomorphism $e: \mathbb{Z}[\]$! $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ given by

$$e(t^n f) = t^n f(t^n)$$
 for all $f 2 \mathbb{Z}$ and $f 2 \mathbb{Z}$

Now let A be the -chain complex concentrated in degrees 0 and 1 with A_0 and A_1 free of rank 1, with bases fa_0g and fa_1g , respectively, and with $@_1:A_1$! A given by $@_1(a_1)=(t-1)a_0$. Let $A:A_1$! be the isomorphism

determined by $_A(a_1)=1$, and let $_A:A_0!$ \mathbb{Z} be the augmentation determined by $_A(a_0)=1$. Then [A] generates $H^1(A; A)$. Let B be a projective $\mathbb{Z}[A]$ -chain complex and let $B_B:A$ B B be the chain homotopy equivalence defined by $B_{B_j}(A)$ $B_j=A$ B B be the chain homotopy equivalence defined by $B_{B_j}(A)$ $B_j=A$ B be a chain homotopy inverse to B_B . Define a family of homomorphisms $B_{\mathbb{Z}[A]}$ from $B_{B_j}(A)$ by

$$h_{\mathbb{Z}[]}([]) = j_B e_J([A] [])$$

for $: \mathcal{B}_q ? \mathscr{Z}^{!}$] such that $\mathscr{Q}_{q+1} = 0$. Let $f : \mathcal{B} ? \mathcal{B}^{\emptyset}$ be a chain homomorphism of projective $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -chain complexes. Then $h_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}([\ f_q]) = f h_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}([\])$, and so these homomorphisms are functorial in \mathcal{B} . In particular, if \mathcal{B} is a projective resolution of the $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -module \mathcal{M} we obtain homomorphisms $h_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}: Ext_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}^q(\mathcal{M}; \mathscr{Z}^{!}) ? Ext_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}^{q+1}(\mathcal{M}; \mathbb{Z}[\])$ which are functorial in \mathcal{M} .

Lemma 4.2 Let M be a $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -module such that Mj is nitely generated as a $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -module. Then $h_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}: Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}(M;\mathbb{Z}[\])$! $Ext^1_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}(M;\mathbb{Z}[\])$ is injective.

Proof Let B be a projective resolution of the $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -module M and let $q: B_0!$ M be the de ning epimorphism (so that $q@_1=0$). We may use composition with q to identify $Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}(M;\mathbb{Z}[\])$ with the submodule of 0-cocycles in $Hom(B;\mathbb{Z}[\])$, and we set $h_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}(\)=h_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}([\ q])$ for all M! $\mathbb{Z}[\]$.

Suppose that $h_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}(\)=0$ and let $g=q:B_0!$ $\mathbb{Z}[\]$. Then there is a $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -linear homomorphism $f:A_0=B_0!$ $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ such that $e_J([\ A]=[g])=f$. We may write $g(b)=t^ng_n(b)=t^ng_0(t^{-n}b)$, where $g_0:B_0!$ $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ is $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -linear (and $g_0@_1=0$). We then have $g_0(b)=f((t-1)a_0=b)$ for all $b \ 2 \ B_0$, while $f(1=@_1)=0$. Let $k(b)=f(a_0=b)$ for $b \ 2 \ B_0$. Then $k:B_0!$ $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ is $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -linear, and $k@_1=0$, so k factors through M. In particular, $k(B_0)$ is nitely generated as a $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -submodule of $\mathbb{Z}[\]$. But as $\mathbb{Z}[\]=t^n\mathbb{Z}[\]$ and $g_0(b)=tk(t^{-1}b)-k(b)$ for all $b \ 2 \ B_0$, this is only possible if $k=g_0=0$. Therefore $g_0(b)=f(b)=f(b)$ and so $g_0(b)=f(b)=f(b)$ is injective.

Let B be a projective $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -chain complex such that $B_j=0$ for j<0 and $H_0(B)=\mathbb{Z}$. Then there is a $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -chain homomorphism $_B:B:A$ which induces an isomorphism $H_0(B)=H_0(A)$, and $_B=_{AB_0}:B_0:B_0:\mathbb{Z}$ is a generator of $H^0(B;\mathbb{Z})$. Let $_B=_{AB_1}:B_1:$. If moreover $H_1(B)=0$ then $H^1(B;)=Z$ and is generated by $[\ _B]=_{B}([\ _A])$

4.3 The case = 1

When = 1 (so $\mathbb{Z}[\] = \)$ we shall show that h is an equivalence, and relate it to other more explicit homomorphisms. Let S be the multiplicative system in consisting of monic polynomials with constant term 1. Let Lexp(f;a) be the Laurent expansion of the rational function f about a. Then f'(f) = Lexp(f;1) - Lexp(f;0) de nes a homomorphism from the localization f'(f) = Lexp(f;1) with kernel . (Barge used a similar homomorphism to embed $f'(f) = \inf_{f} f'(f) = \inf_{f} f'(f$

Let M be a -module which is nitely generated as an abelian group, and let N be its maximal nite submodule. Then M=N is \mathbb{Z} -torsion free and Ann (M=N)=(M), where M is the minimal polynomial of M, considered as an automorphism of M=N is the minimal polynomial of M, considered as an automorphism of M=N is considered as an automorphism of M=N is nitely generated M=N. The inclusion of M=N is induces an isomorphism M=N is M=N inclusion of M=N is M=N is naturally isomorphic to each of M=N is M=N is naturally isomorphic to each of M=N is M=N included in M=N is naturally isomorphic to each of M=N included in M=N is M=N included in M=N included in M=N included in M=N is M=N included in M=N included in M=N included in M=N is M=N included in M=N in M=N is M=N in M=N in M=N is M=N in M=N in M=N in M=N in M=N in M=N in M=N is M=N in M=N is M=N in M=

Let $'_M: D(M)$! $\hat{D}(M)$ and $_M: \hat{D}(M)$! F(M) be the homomorphisms de ned by composition with ' and $_M$ respectively. It is easily veri ed that $_M$ and $_M$ are mutually inverse.

Let B be a projective resolution of M. If 2D(M) let $_0: B_0! \mathbb{Q}(t)$ be a lift of . Then $_0@_1$ has image in , and so de nes a homomorphism $_1: B_1!$ such that $_1@_2=0$. Consideration of the short exact sequence of complexes

```
0! Hom (B; )! Hom (B; \mathbb{Q}(t))! Hom (B; \mathbb{Q}(t)=)! 0
```

shows that $_{\mathcal{M}}(\)=[\ _{1}],$ where $_{\mathcal{M}}:\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{M})$! $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{M})$ is the Bockstein homomorphism associated to the coe-cient sequence. (The extension corresponding to $_{\mathcal{M}}$ is the pullback over of the sequence 0 ! $\mathbb{Q}(t)$! $\mathbb{Q}(t)$ = ! 0.)

Lemma 4.3 The natural transformation h is an equivalence, and $h'_{M} = M$.

Proof The homomorphism j_M sending the image of g in =(M) to the class of $g(M)^{-1}$ in S= induces an isomorphism Hom(M):=(M)=D(M).

Geometry & Topology Monographs, Volume 5 (2002)

Hence we may assume that M = -() and it shall su ce to check that $h'_M(j_M) = (j_M)$. Moreover we may extend coe cients to \mathbb{C} , and so we may reduce to the case $= (t -)^n$.

We may assume that B_1 and B_0 are freely generated by b_1 and b_0 , respectively, and that $\mathscr{Q}(b_1) = b_0$. The chain homotopy equivalence j_B may be defined by $j_0(b_0) = a_0$ b_0 and $j_1(b_1) = a_0$ $b_1 + p_q(t^p a_1)$ $(t^q b_0)$, where $p_q x^p y^q = (xy) - (y) = (x-1) = y_0$ $p_1(xy-1)^p (y-1)^{n-r-1}$. (This formula arises naturally if we identify $p_1(xy) = p_1(xy) = p_2(xy) = p_1(xy) = p_2(xy) = p$

Now $h'_{\mathcal{M}}(j_{\mathcal{M}})(b_1) = e_J(A'_{\mathcal{M}}(j_{\mathcal{M}}))(j(b_1)) = p_q t^p_{p-q}$, where -r is the coe cient of t^{-r} in $Lexp(^{-1}; 1)$. Clearly $_r = 0$ if -n < r < 0 and $_{-n} = 1$, since $^{-1} = t^{-n}(1 - t^{-1})^{-n}$. Hence $h'_{\mathcal{M}}(j_{\mathcal{M}})(b_1) = _{0n} = (j_{\mathcal{M}})(b_1)$, and so $h'_{\mathcal{M}} = _{\mathcal{M}}$, by linearity and functoriality.

Since is a natural equivalence and h is injective, by Lemma 4.2, h is also a natural equivalence.

It can be shown that the ring S de ned above is a PID.

4.4 Duality in in nite cyclic covers

Let E, f and be as in $\chi 1$, and suppose also that E is a PD_4 -complex with (E)=0 and that is nitely generated and in nite. Let C=C (E). Then $H_0(C)=Z$, $H_2(C)={}_2(E)$ and $H_q(C)=0$ if $q\not\in 0$ or 2, since E is simply connected and has one end. Since $H_1({}_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}C)=H_1(E\ ;\mathbb{Z})={}_2(E)$ is nitely generated as an abelian group, $Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}(H_1({}_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}C);\)=0$. An elementary computation then shows that $H^1(C\ ;\)$ is in nite cyclic, and generated by the class =C de ned in $\chi 2$. Let E be a xed generator of E or E and let E E in E in E in E in E and let E E in E

Since $\not E$ is also the universal covering space of E, the cellular chain complex for $\not E$ is $C \ j$. In order to verify that E is a $P \ D_3$ -complex (with orientation class [E]) it shall su ce to show that (for each p=0) the homomorphism p from $\overline{H^p(C;\mathbb{Z}[\])} = \overline{H^p(C;\mathbb{Z}[\])}$ to $\overline{H^{p+1}(C;\mathbb{Z}[\])}$ given by cup product with is an isomorphism, by standard properties of cap and cup products. We may identify these cup products with the degree raising homomorphisms $h_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}$, by the following lemma.

Lemma 4.4 Let X be a connected space with $_1(X) =$ and let B = C(X). Then $h_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}([\]) = [\ _B] \ [\]$.

Geometry & Topology Monographs, Volume 5 (2002)

Proof The Alexander Whitney diagonal approximation d from B to B is -equivariant, if the tensor product is given the diagonal left -action, and we may take $j_B = (B \ 1)d$ as a chain homotopy inverse to p_B . Therefore $h_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}([\]) = de_{\mathbb{Z}}([\ B]) = [\ B] [\]$.

The cohomology modules $H^p(C;\mathbb{Z}[\])$ and $H^p(C;\mathbb{Z}[\])$ may be `computed" via the UCSS. Since cross product with a 1-cycle induces a degree 1 cochain homomorphism, the functorial homomorphisms $h_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}$ determine homomorphisms between these spectral sequences which are compatible with cup product with on the limit terms. In each case the E_2^p columns are nonzero only for p=0 or 2. The E_2^0 terms of these spectral sequences involve only the cohomology of the groups and the homomorphisms between them may be identified with the maps arising in the LHSSS for as an extension of Z by , under appropriate niteness hypotheses on .

4.5 Homotopy mapping tori

In this section we shall apply the above ideas to the non-aspherical case. We use coinduced modules to transfer arguments about subgroups and covering spaces to contexts where Poincare duality applies, and L^2 -cohomology to identify $_2(\mathcal{M})$, together with the above strategy of describing Poincare duality for an in nite cyclic covering space in terms of cup product with a generator of $H^1(\mathcal{M}; \)$.

Note that most of the homology and cohomology groups de ned below do not have natural module structures, and so the Poincare duality isomorphisms are isomorphisms of abelian groups only.

Theorem 4.5 A nite PD_4 -complex M with fundamental group is homotopy equivalent to the mapping torus of a self homotopy equivalence of a PD_3 -complex if and only if (M) = 0 and is an extension of Z by a nitely presentable normal subgroup .

Proof The conditions are clearly necessary, as observed in $\mathcal{X}1$ above. Suppose conversely that they hold. Let \mathcal{M} be the in nite cyclic covering space of \mathcal{M} with fundamental group , and let : \mathcal{M} ! \mathcal{M} be a covering transformation corresponding to a generator of = Z. Then \mathcal{M} is homotopy equivalent to the mapping torus $\mathcal{M}()$. Moreover $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{M};) = \mathcal{H}^1(;)$ is in nite cyclic, since is nitely generated. Let $\mathcal{E}_{p,q}^r(\mathcal{M})$ and $\mathcal{E}_{p,q}^r(\mathcal{M})$ be the UCSS for the cohomology of \mathcal{M} with coefficients $\mathbb{Z}[]$ and for that of \mathcal{M} with coefficients

 $\mathbb{Z}[\]$, respectively. A choice of generator for $H^1(M;\)$ determines homomorphisms $h_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}: E^r_{p;q}(M)$! $E^r_{p;q+1}(M)$, giving a homomorphism of bidegree (0;1) between these spectral sequences corresponding to cup product with on the abutments, by Lemma 4.4.

Suppose rst that is nite. The UCSS and Poincare duality then imply that $H_i(\widehat{\mathbb{M}};\mathbb{Z})=Z$ for i=0 or 3 and is 0 otherwise. Hence $\widehat{\mathbb{M}}'$ S^3 and so $M=\widehat{\mathbb{M}}=$ is a Swan complex for . (See Chapter 11 for more details.) Thus we may assume henceforth that is in nite. We must show that the cup product maps $p:H^p(\mathbb{M};\mathbb{Z}[\])$! $H^{p+1}(\mathbb{M};\mathbb{Z}[\])$ are isomorphisms, for p=0 or 4 then all the groups are 0, and so p=0 and p=00 are isomorphisms.

Applying the isomorphisms de ned in \mathcal{M} of Chapter 1 to the cellular chain complex C of \widehat{M} , we see that $H^q(M;A) = H^q(M;Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}(\mathbb{Z}[\];A))$ is isomorphic to $\overline{H_{4-q}(M;Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}(\mathbb{Z}[\];A))}$ for any local coe-cient system (left $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -module) A on M. Let t 2 represent a generator of = . Since multiplication by t-1 is surjective on $Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}(\mathbb{Z}[\];A)$, the homology Wang sequence for the covering projection of M onto M gives $H_0(M;Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}(\mathbb{Z}[\];A))=0$. Hence $H^4(M;A)=0$ for any local coe-cient system A, and so M is homotopy equivalent to a 3-dimensional complex (see [Wl65]). (See also [DST96].)

Since is an extension of Z by a nitely generated normal subgroup $_{1}^{(2)}(\)=0$, and so $_{2}(M)=H^{2}(M;\mathbb{Z}[\])=H^{2}(\ ;\mathbb{Z}[\])$, by Theorem 3.4. Hence $_{1}$ may be identi-ed with the isomorphism $H^{1}(\ ;\mathbb{Z}[\])=H^{2}(\ ;\mathbb{Z}[\])$ coming from the LHSSS for the extension. Moreover $_{2}(M)j=H^{1}(\ ;\mathbb{Z}[\])$ is nitely generated over $\mathbb{Z}[\]$, and so $Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}(\ _{2}(M);\mathbb{Z}[\])=0$. Therefore $H^{3}(\ ;\mathbb{Z}[\])=0$, by Lemma 3.3, and so the Wang sequence map $t-1:H^{2}(\ ;\mathbb{Z}[\]):H^{2}(\ ;\mathbb{Z}[\])$ is onto. Since is FP_{2} this cohomology group is isomorphic to $H^{2}(\ ;\mathbb{Z}[\]):H^{2}(\ ;\mathbb{Z}[\]):$

It remains only to check that $H^3(M;\mathbb{Z}[\])=\underline{Z}$ and that $_3$ is onto. Now $H^3(M;\mathbb{Z}[\])=\overline{H_1(M;Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}(\mathbb{Z}[\]);\mathbb{Z}[\]))}=\overline{H_1(\ ;\mathbb{Z}[\]^{\ =}\)}$. (The exponent denotes direct product indexed by = rather than xed points!) The natural homomorphism from $H_1(\ ;\mathbb{Z}[\]^{\ =}\)$ to $H_1(\ =\ ;H_0(\ ;\mathbb{Z}[\]^{\ =}\))$ is onto, with kernel $H_0(\ =\ ;H_1(\ ;\mathbb{Z}[\]^{\ =}\))$, by the LHSSS for $\ .$ Since $\$ is $\$ nitely generated homology commutes with direct products in this range, and it follows that

 $H_1(\ ;\mathbb{Z}[\]^{\ =}\)=H_1(\ =\ ;\mathbb{Z}^{\ =}\)$. Since $\ =\ =\ Z$ and acts by translation on the index set this homology group is $\ Z$. The homomorphisms from $\ H^3(M\ ;\mathbb{Z}[\])$ to $\ H^3(M\ ;\mathbb{Z}[\])$ and from $\ H^4(M;\mathbb{Z}[\])$ to $\ H^4(M;\)$ induced by the augmentation homomomorphism and the epimorphism from $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ to $\mathbb{Z}[\ =\]=\$ are epimorphisms, since $\ M$ and $\ M$ are homotopy equivalent to 3- and 4-dimensional complexes, respectively. hence they are isomorphisms, since these cohomology modules are in ite cyclic as abelian groups. These isomorphisms form the vertical sides of a commutative square

$$H^{3}(M; \mathbb{Z}[]) \xrightarrow{3} H^{4}(M; \mathbb{Z}[])$$

$$H^{3}(M; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{-l} H^{4}(M;):$$

The lower horizontal edge is an isomorphism, by Lemma 4.3. Therefore $_3$ is also an isomorphism.

Thus M satis es Poincare duality of formal dimension 3 with local coe cients. Since $_1(M) =$ is nitely presentable M is nitely dominated, and so is a PD_3 -complex [Br72].

Note that M need not be homotopy equivalent to a nite complex. If M is a simple PD_4 -complex and a generator of Aut(M = M) = -1 has nite order in the group of self homotopy equivalences of M then M is nitely covered by a simple PD_4 -complex homotopy equivalent to M S^1 . In this case M must be homotopy nite by [Rn86]. The hypothesis that M be nite is used in the proof of Theorem 3.4, but is probably not necessary here.

The hypothesis that be almost nitely presentable (FP_2) su ces to show that M satis es Poincare duality with local coe cients. Finite presentability is used only to show that M is nitely dominated. (Does the coarse Alexander duality argument of [KK99] used in part (3) of Theorem 4.1 extend to the non-aspherical case?) In view of the fact that 3-manifold groups are coherent, we might hope that the condition on could be weakened still further to require only that it be nitely generated.

Some argument is needed above to show that $_2$ is injective. If M is homotopy equivalent to a 3-manifold with more than one aspherical summand then $H^1(\ ;\mathbb{Z}[\])$ is a nonzero free $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -module and so $Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}(\ j\ ;\mathbb{Z}[\]) \not\in 0$.

A rather di erent proof of this theorem could be given using Ranicki's criterion for an in nite cyclic cover to be nitely dominated [Rn95] and the Quinn-Gottlieb theorem, if nitely generated stably free modules of rank 0 over the

Novikov rings $A = \mathbb{Z}[\]$ $((t^{-1}))$ are trivial. (For $H_q(A \subset C) = A$ H(C) = 0 if $q \neq 2$, since t-1 is invertible in A. Hence $H_2(A \subset C)$ is a stably free module of rank 0, by Lemma 3.1.)

An alternative strategy would be to show that $Lim_I H^q(M; A_i) = 0$ for any direct system with limit 0. We could then conclude that the cellular chain complex of $\widehat{M} = \widehat{M}$ is chain homotopy equivalent to a nite complex of nitely generated projective Z[]-modules, and hence that M is nitely dominated. Since is FP_2 this strategy applies easily when q = 0, 1, 3 or 4, but something else is needed when q = 2.

Corollary 4.5.1 Let M be a PD_4 -complex with (M) = 0 and whose fundamental group—is an extension of Z by a normal subgroup—F(r). Then M is homotopy equivalent to a closed PL 4-manifold which—bres over the circle, with—bre $\int_{-r}^{r} S^1 = S^2$ if $W_1(M)j$ is trivial, and $\int_{-r}^{r} S^1 = S^2$ otherwise. The bundle is determined by the homotopy type of M.

Proof By the theorem M is a PD_3 -complex with free fundamental group, and so is homotopy equivalent to $N = J^r S^1 - S^2$ if $W_1(M)j$ is trivial and to $J^r S^1 \sim S^2$ otherwise. Every self homotopy equivalence of a connected sum of S^2 -bundles over S^1 is homotopic to a self-homeomorphism, and homotopy implies isotopy for such manifolds [La]. Thus M is homotopy equivalent to such a bred 4-manifold, and the bundle is determined by the homotopy type of M.

It is easy to see that the natural map from Homeo(N) to Out(F(r)) is onto. If a self homeomorphism f of $N = J^r S^1$ S^2 induces the trivial outer automorphism of F(r) then f is homotopic to a product of twists about nonseparating 2-spheres [He]. How is this manifest in the topology of the mapping torus?

Since c:d:=1 and c:d:=2 the rst k-invariants of M and N both lie in trivial groups, and so this Corollary also follows from Theorem 4.6 below.

Corollary 4.5.2 Let M be a PD_4 -complex with (M) = 0 and whose fundamental group—is an extension of Z by a normal subgroup—. If—has an in nite cyclic normal subgroup C which is not contained in—then the covering space M with fundamental group—is a PD_3 -complex.

Proof We may assume without loss of generality that M is orientable and that C is central in . Since $C \setminus = 1$ the subgroup C = C has nite index in . Thus by passing to a nite cover we may assume that = C. Hence is nitely presentable and so the Theorem applies.

See [Hi89] for di erent proofs of Corollaries 4.5.1 and 4.5.2.

Since has one or two ends if it has an in nite cyclic normal subgroup, Corollary 4.5.2 remains true if C and is nitely presentable. In this case is the fundamental group of a Seifert bred 3-manifold, by Theorem 2.14.

Corollary 4.5.3 Let M be a PD_4 -complex with (M) = 0 and whose fundamental group—is an extension of Z by an FP_2 normal subgroup—. If is nite then it has cohomological period dividing 4. If—has one end then M is aspherical and so—is a PD_4 -group. If—has two ends then = Z, Z (Z=2Z) or D = (Z=2Z) (Z=2Z). If moreover—is nitely presentable the covering space M with fundamental group—is a PD_3 -complex.

Proof The nal hypothesis is only needed if is one-ended, as nite groups and groups with two ends are nitely presentable. If is nite then $M \, ' \, S^3$ and so the rst assertion holds. (See Chapter 11 for more details.) If has one end then we may apply Theorem 4.1. If has two ends and its maximal nite normal subgroup is nontrivial then $= Z \, (Z=2Z)$, by Theorem 2.11 (applied to the PD_3 -complex M). Otherwise = Z or D.

In Chapter 6 we shall strengthen this Corollary to obtain a bration theorem for 4-manifolds with torsion free elementary amenable fundamental group.

Our next result gives criteria (involving also the orientation character and rst k-invariant) for an in-nite cyclic cover of a closed 4-manifold M to be homotopy equivalent to a particular PD_3 -complex N.

Theorem 4.6 Let M be a PD_4 -complex whose fundamental group — is an extension of Z by a torsion free normal subgroup—which is isomorphic to the fundamental group of a PD_3 -complex N. Then $_2(M) = _2(N)$ as $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -modules if and only if $Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}(\ _2(M);\mathbb{Z}[\]) = 0$. The in nite cyclic covering space M with fundamental group—is homotopy equivalent to N if and only if $w_1(M)j = w_1(N)$, $Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}(\ _2(M);\mathbb{Z}[\]) = 0$ and the images of $k_1(M)$ and $k_1(N)$ in $H^3(\ ; \ _2(M)) = H^3(\ ; \ _2(N))$ generate the same subgroup under the action of $Aut_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}(\ _2(N))$.

Proof If $= {}_{2}(M)$ is isomorphic to ${}_{2}(N)$ then it is nitely generated as a $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -module, by Theorem 2.18. As 0 is the only $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -submodule of $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ which is nitely generated as a $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -module it follows that $= Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\]}(\ {}_{2}(M);\mathbb{Z}[\])$ is trivial. It is then clear that the conditions must hold if M is homotopy equivalent to N.

Suppose conversely that these conditions hold. If = 1 then M is simply connected and = Z has two ends. It follows immediately from Poincare duality and the UCSS that $H_2(M; \mathbb{Z}) = \overline{} = 0$ and that $H_3(M; \mathbb{Z}) = Z$. Therefore M is homotopy equivalent to S^3 . If ← 1 then has one end, since it has a nitely generated in nite normal subgroup. The hypothesis that $=\overline{H^2(\;;\mathbb{Z}[\;])}$, by Lemma 3.3. Hence = 0 implies that $=\overline{H^1(\;;\mathbb{Z}[\;])}$ as a $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -module, by the LHSSS. (The overbar notation is unambiguous since $W_1(M)j = W_1(N)$.) But this is isomorphic to $U_2(N)$, by Poincare duality for N. Since N is homotopy equivalent to a 3-dimensional complex the condition on the k-invariants implies that there is a map f: N! M which induces isomorphisms on fundamental group and second homotopy group. Since the homology of the universal covering spaces of these spaces vanishes above degree 2 the map f is a homotopy equivalence.

We do not know whether the hypothesis on the k-invariants is implied by the other hypotheses.

Corollary 4.6.1 Let M be a PD_4 -complex whose fundamental group—is an extension of Z by a torsion free normal subgroup—which is isomorphic to the fundamental group of a 3-manifold N whose irreducible factors are Haken, hyperbolic or Seifert—bred. Then M is homotopy equivalent to a closed PL 4-manifold which—bres over the circle with—bre N.

Proof There is a homotopy equivalence f: N ! M, where N is a 3-manifold whose irreducible factors are as above, by Turaev's Theorem. (See x5 of Chapter 2.) Let t: M ! M be the generator of the covering transformations. Then there is a self homotopy equivalence u: N ! N such that fu tf. As each irreducible factor of N has the property that self homotopy equivalences are homotopic to PL homeomorphisms (by [Hm], Mostow rigidity or [Sc83]), u is homotopic to a homeomorphism [HL74], and so M is homotopy equivalent to the mapping torus of this homeomorphism.

All known PD_3 -complexes with torsion free fundamental group are homotopy equivalent to connected sums of such 3-manifolds.

If the irreducible connected summands of the closed 3-manifold $N=J_iN_i$ are P^2 -irreducible and su-ciently large or have fundamental group Z then every self-homotopy equivalence of N is realized by an unique isotopy class of homeomorphisms [HL74]. However if N is not aspherical then it admits nontrivial self-homeomorphisms (\rotations about 2-spheres") which induce the identity on Γ , and so such bundles are not determined by the group alone.

Corollary 4.6.2 Let M be a PD_4 -complex whose fundamental group—is an extension of Z by a virtually torsion free normal subgroup—. Then the in nite cyclic covering space M with fundamental group—is homotopy equivalent to a PD_3 -complex if and only if—is the fundamental group of a PD_3 -complex N, $Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[-]}(-2(M);\mathbb{Z}[-])=0$ and the images of $k_1(M)$ and $k_1(N)$ in $H^3(-0;-2(M))=H^3(-0;-2(N))$ generate the same subgroup under the action of $Aut_{\mathbb{Z}[-0]}(-2(N))$, where -0 is a torsion free subgroup of—nite index in—.

Proof The conditions are clearly necessary. Suppose that they hold. Let $_1 \quad _0 \setminus _+ \setminus _+$ be a torsion free subgroup of nite index in , where $_+ = \operatorname{Ker} w_1(M)$ and $_+ = \operatorname{Ker} w_1(N)$, and let $t \cdot 2$ generate modulo . Then each of the conjugates $t^k \cdot _1 t^{-k}$ in has the same index in . Since is nitely generated the intersection $= \setminus t^k \cdot _1 t^{-k}$ of all such conjugates has nite index in , and is clearly torsion free and normal in the subgroup generated by and t. If fr_ig is a transversal for in and $f: _2(M) \nmid _! \mathbb{Z}[\;]$ is a nontrivial $\mathbb{Z}[\;]$ -linear homomorphism then $g(m) = r_i f(r_i^{-1}m)$ de nes a nontrivial element of $Hom (_2(M); \mathbb{Z}[\;])$. Hence $Hom (_2(M); \mathbb{Z}[\;]) = 0$ and so the covering spaces M and N are homotopy equivalent, by the theorem. It follows easily that M is also a PD_3 -complex.

All PD_3 -complexes have virtually torsion free fundamental group [Cr00].

4.6 Products

If M = N S^1 , where N is a closed 3-manifold, then (M) = 0, Z is a direct factor of $_1(M)$, $w_1(M)$ is trivial on this factor and the Pin^- -condition $w_2 = w_1^2$ holds. These conditions almost characterize such products up to homotopy equivalence. We need also a constraint on the other direct factor of the fundamental group.

Theorem 4.7 Let M be a PD_4 -complex whose fundamental group—has no 2-torsion. Then M is homotopy equivalent to a product N S^1 , where N is a closed 3-manifold, if and only if (M) = 0, $w_2(M) = w_1(M)^2$ and there is an isomorphism : I = Z such that $w_1(M)^{-1}j_Z = 0$, where—is a (2-torsion free) 3-manifold group.

Proof The conditions are clearly necessary, since the *Pin*⁻-condition holds for 3-manifolds.

If these conditions hold then the covering space M with fundamental group is a PD_3 -complex, by Theorem 4.5 above. Since is a 3-manifold group and has no 2-torsion it is a free product of cyclic groups and groups of aspherical closed 3-manifolds. Hence there is a homotopy equivalence h: M ! N, where N is a connected sum of lens spaces and aspherical closed 3-manifolds, by Turaev's Theorem. (See x5 of Chapter 2.) Let generate the covering group Aut(M=M) = Z. Then there is a self homotopy equivalence : N ! N h, and M is homotopy equivalent to the mapping torus such that h M(). We may assume that xes a basepoint and induces the identity on $_1(N)$, since $_1(M) =$ Z. Moreover preserves the local orientation, since $w_1(M)^{-1}j_Z=0$. Since has no element of order 2 N has no two-sided projective planes and so is homotopic to a rotation about a 2-sphere [Hn]. Since $W_2(M) = W_1(M)^2$ the rotation is homotopic to the identity and so M is homotopy equivalent to $N S^1$.

Let is an essential map from S^1 to SO(3), and let M = M(), where $: S^1 S^2 ! S^1 S^2$ is the twist map, given by (x,y) = (x,(x)(y)) for all (x,y) in $S^1 S^2$. Then $_1(M) = Z Z$, (M) = 0, and $w_1(M) = 0$, but $w_2(M) \ne w_1(M)^2 = 0$, so M is not homotopy equivalent to a product. (Clearly however $M(^2) = S^1 S^2 S^1$.)

To what extent are the constraints on necessary? There are orientable 4-manifolds which are homotopy equivalent to products N S^1 where = $_1(N)$ is nite and is *not* a 3-manifold group. (See Chapter 11.) Theorem 4.1 implies that M is homotopy equivalent to a product of an aspherical PD_3 -complex with S^1 if and only if (M) = 0 and $_1(M) = Z$ where has one end.

There are 4-manifolds which are simple homotopy equivalent to S^1 RP^3 (and thus satisfy the hypotheses of our theorem) but which are not homeomorphic to mapping tori [We87].

4.7 Subnormal subgroups

In this brief section we shall give another characterization of aspherical 4-manifolds with nite covering spaces which are homotopy equivalent to mapping tori.

Theorem 4.8 Let M be a PD_4 -complex. Then M is aspherical and has a nite cover which is homotopy equivalent to a mapping torus if and only if (M) = 0 and $= {}_{1}(M)$ has an FP_3 subnormal subgroup G of in nite index and such that $H^s(G; \mathbb{Z}[G]) = 0$ for s = 2. In that case G is a PD_3 -group, [:N(G)] < 1 and e(N(G)=G) = 2.

Proof The conditions are clearly necessary. Suppose that they hold. Let $G = G_0 < G_1 < \dots G_n = 0$ be a subnormal chain of minimal length, and let $j = \min fi \ j \ [G_{j+1} : G] = 1 \ g$. Then $[G_j : G] < 1 \ \text{and} \ \binom{2}{1}(G_{j+1}) = 0$ [Ga00]. A nite induction up the subnormal chain, using LHSSS arguments (with coe cients $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ and $N(G_j)$, respectively) shows that $H^s(\ ; \mathbb{Z}[\]) = 0$ for s = 2 and that $\binom{2}{1}(\) = 0$. (See n2 of Chapter 2.) Hence n4 is aspherical, by Theorem 3.4.

On the other hand $H^s(G_{j+1}; W) = 0$ for s-3 and any free $\mathbb{Z}[G_{j+1}]$ -module W, so $c:d:G_{j+1} = 4$. Hence $[:G_{j+1}] < 1$, by Strebel's Theorem. Therefore G_{j+1} is a PD_4 -group. Hence G_j is a PD_3 -group and $G_{j+1}=G_j$ has two ends, by Theorem 3.10. The theorem now follows easily, since $[G_j:G] < 1$ and G_j has only nitely many subgroups of index $[G_j:G]$.

The hypotheses on G could be replaced by $\backslash G$ is a PD_3 -group", for then [:G] = 1, by Theorem 3.12.

We shall establish an analogous result for closed 4-manifolds M such that (M) = 0 and $_1(M)$ has a subnormal subgroup of in nite index which is a PD_2 -group in Chapter 5.

4.8 Circle bundles

In this section we shall consider the \dual" situation, of 4-manifolds which are homotopy equivalent to the total space of a S^1 -bundle over a 3-dimensional base N. Lemma 4.9 presents a number of conditions satis ed by such manifolds. (These conditions are not all independent.) Bundles c_N induced from S^1 -bundles over $K(\ _1(N),1)$ are given equivalent characterizations in Lemma 4.10. In Theorem 4.11 we shall show that the conditions of Lemmas 4.9 and 4.10 characterize the homotopy types of such bundle spaces $E(c_N)$, provided $\ _1(N)$ is torsion free but not free.

Since BS^1 ′ K(Z;2) any S^1 -bundle over a connected base B is induced from some bundle over $P_2(B)$. For each epimorphism : ! with cyclic kernel and such that the action of by conjugation on Ker() factors through multiplication by 1 there is an S^1 -bundle p():X()! Y() whose fundamental group sequence realizes and which is universal for such bundles; the total space E(p()) is a K();1) space (cf. Proposition 11.4 of [WI]).

Lemma 4.9 Let p: E ! B be the projection of an S^1 -bundle over a connected nite complex B. Then

4.8 Circle bundles 85

- (1) (E) = 0;
- (2) the natural map $p := {}_{1}(E) ! = {}_{1}(B)$ is an epimorphism with cyclic kernel, and the action of on $\operatorname{Ker}(p)$ induced by conjugation in is given by $w = w_{1}() : {}_{1}(B) ! Z=2Z=f 1g Aut(\operatorname{Ker}(p));$
- (3) if *B* is a *PD*-complex $W_1(E) = p(W_1(B) + w)$;
- (4) if B is a PD_3 -complex there are maps $\hat{c}: E! P_2(B)$ and $y: P_2(B)! Y(p)$ such that $c_{P_2(B)} = c_{Y(p)}y$, $y\hat{c} = p(p)c_E$ and $(\hat{c}; c_E) [E] = G(f_B [B])$ where G is the Gysin homomorphism from $H_3(P_2(B); Z^{w_1(B)})$ to $H_4(P_2(E); Z^{w_1(E)})$;
- (5) If *B* is a PD_3 -complex $c_E[E] = G(c_B[B])$, where *G* is the Gysin homomorphism from $H_3(;Z^{W_B})$ to $H_4(;Z^{W_E})$;
- (6) Ker(p) acts trivially on $_2(E)$.

Proof Condition(1) follows from the multiplicativity of the Euler characteristic in a bration. If is any loop in B the total space of the induced bundle is the torus if $w(\cdot) = 0$ and the Klein bottle if $w(\cdot) = 1$ in Z=2Z; hence $gzg^{-1} = z^{(g)}$ where $(g) = (-1)^{w(p \cdot (g))}$ for g in $_1(E)$ and z in Ker(p). Conditions (2) and (6) then follow from the exact homotopy sequence. If the base B is a PD-complex then so is E, and we may use naturality and the Whitney sum formula (applied to the Spivak normal bundles) to show that $w_1(E) = p(w_1(B) + w_1(\cdot))$. (As $p: H^1(B; \mathbb{F}_2) ! H^1(E; \mathbb{F}_2)$ is a monomorphism this equation determines $w_1(\cdot)$.)

Condition (4) implies (5), and follows from the observations in the paragraph preceding the lemma. (Note that the Gysin homomorphisms G in (4) and (5) are well de ned, since $H_1(\text{Ker}(\); Z^{W_E})$ is isomorphic to Z^{W_B} , by (3).)

Bundles with Ker(p) = Z have the following equivalent characterizations.

Lemma 4.10 Let p : E ! B be the projection of an S^1 -bundle over a connected nite complex B. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) is induced from an S^1 -bundle over $K(_1(B);1)$ via c_B ;
- (2) for each map : S^2 ! B the induced bundle is trivial;
- (3) the induced epimorphism $p: {}_{1}(E) ! {}_{1}(B)$ has in nite cyclic kernel.

If these conditions hold then $c() = c_B$, where c() is the characteristic class of in $H^2(B; Z^w)$ and is the class of the extension of fundamental groups in $H^2(_1(B); Z^w) = H^2(K(_1(B); 1); Z^w)$, where $w = w_1()$.

Proof Condition (1) implies condition (2) as for any such map—the composite c_B —is nullhomotopic. Conversely, as we may construct $K(\ _1(B);1)$ by adjoining cells of dimension—3 to B condition (2) implies that we may extend over the 3-cells, and as S^1 -bundles over S^n are trivial for all n>2 we may then extend—over the whole of $K(\ _1(B);1)$, so that (2) implies (1). The equivalence of (2) and (3) follows on observing that (3) holds if and only if $\mathscr Q=0$ for all such—, where $\mathscr Q$ is the connecting map from $\ _2(B)$ to $\ _1(S^1)$ in the exact sequence of homotopy for—, and on comparing this with the corresponding sequence for—.

As the natural map from the set of S^1 -bundles over K(;1) with $w_1 = w$ (which are classi ed by $H^2(K(;1);Z^w)$) to the set of extensions of by Z with acting via w (which are classi ed by $H^2(;Z^w)$) which sends a bundle to the extension of fundamental groups is an isomorphism we have $c() = c_B()$. \square

If N is a closed 3-manifold which has no summands of type S^1 S^2 or $S^1 \sim S^2$ (i.e., if $_1(N)$ has no in nite cyclic free factor) then every S^1 -bundle over N with W=0 restricts to a trivial bundle over any map from S^2 to N. For if is such a bundle, with characteristic class $c(\cdot)$ in $H^2(N;\mathbb{Z})$, and $:S^2 \mid N$ is any map then $(c(\cdot) \setminus [S^2]) = (\cdot \cdot c(\cdot) \setminus [S^2]) = c(\cdot) \setminus (S^2] = 0$, as the Hurewicz homomorphism is trivial for such N. Since $(S^2 \mid S^2 \mid$

On the other hand, if is the Hopf bration the bundle with total space S^1 S^3 , base S^1 S^2 and projection id_{S^1} has nontrivial pullback over any essential map from S^2 to S^1 S^2 , and is not induced from any bundle over K(Z;1). Moreover, S^1 S^2 is a 2-fold covering space of RP^3JRP^3 , and so the above hypothesis on summands of N is not stable under passage to 2-fold coverings (corresponding to a homomorphism W from $_1(N)$ to Z=2Z).

Theorem 4.11 Let M be a nite PD_4 -complex and N a nite PD_3 -complex whose fundamental group is torsion free but not free. Then M is homotopy equivalent to the total space of an S^1 -bundle over N which satis es the conditions of Lemma 4:10 if and only if

- (1) (M) = 0;
- (2) there is an epimorphism : = $_1(M)$! = $_1(N)$ with Ker() = Z;
- (3) $W_1(M) = (W_1(N) + W)$, where W: ! Z=2Z = Aut(Ker()) is determined by the action of on Ker() induced by conjugation in ;

4.8 Circle bundles 87

- (4) $k_1(M) = k_1(N)$ (and so $P_2(M) ' P_2(N) _{K(\cdot;1)} K(\cdot;1)$);
- (5) $f_M[M] = G(f_N[N])$ in $H_4(P_2(M); Z^{W_1(M)})$, where G is the Gysin homomorphism in degree 3.

If these conditions hold then M has minimal Euler characteristic for its fundamental group, i.e. $q(\)=0$.

Remark The rst three conditions and Poincare duality imply that $_2(M) = _2(N)$, the $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -module with the same underlying group as $_2(N)$ and with $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -action determined by the homomorphism .

Proof Since these conditions are homotopy invariant and hold if M is the total space of such a bundle, they are necessary. Suppose conversely that they hold. As is torsion free N is the connected sum of a 3-manifold with free fundamental group and some aspherical PD_3 -complexes [Tu90]. As is not free there is at least one aspherical summand. Hence c:d:=3 and $H_3(c_N; Z^{w_1(N)})$ is a monomorphism.

Let $p(\cdot): K(\cdot;1) ! K(\cdot;1)$ be the S^1 -bundle corresponding to $E = N \setminus K(\cdot;1) K(\cdot;1)$ be the total space of the S^1 -bundle over N induced by the classifying map $c_N : N ! K(\cdot;1)$. The bundle map covering c_N is the classifying map c_E . Then $_1(E) = _1(M), w_1(E) = (w_1(N) + w) = w_1(M)$, as maps from to Z=2Z, and (E) = 0 = (M), by conditions (1) and (3). The maps c_N and c_E induce a homomorphism between the Gysin sequences of the S^1 -bundles. Since N and have cohomological dimension 3 the Gysin homomorphisms in degree 3 are isomorphisms. Hence $H_4(c_E; Z^{w_1(E)})$ is a monomorphism, and so a fortior $I_4(f_E; Z^{w_1(E)})$ is also a monomorphism.

Since (M) = 0 and $\frac{\binom{2}{1}\binom{2}{1} = 0}{\binom{2}{1}\binom{2}{1}}$, by Theorem 2.3, part (3) of Theorem 3.4 implies that $\binom{2}{1}\binom{2}{1}\binom{2}{1}$. It follows from conditions (2) and (3) and the LHSSS that $\binom{2}{1}\binom{2}{1}\binom{2}{1}\binom{2}{1}$ as $\mathbb{Z}[\cdot]$ -modules. Conditions (4) and (5) then give us a map $(c;c_M)$ from M to $P_2(E) = P_2(N) - K(\cdot;1) - K(\cdot;1)$ such that $(c;c_M)$ $[M] = f_E[E]$. Hence M is homotopy equivalent to E, by Theorem 3.8.

The nal assertion now follows from part (1) of Theorem 3.4.

As $_2(N)$ is a projective $\mathbb{Z}[$]-module, by Theorem 2.18, it is homologically trivial and so $H_q($; $_2(N)$ $Z^{w_1(M)}) = 0$ if q 2. Hence it follows from the spectral sequence for $c_{P_2(M)}$ that $H_4(P_2(M); Z^{w_1(M)})$ maps onto $H_4($; $Z^{w_1(M)})$, with kernel isomorphic to $H_0($; $(_2(M)))$ $Z^{w_1(M)})$, where

 $(_{2}(M)) = H_{4}(K(_{2}(M);2);\mathbb{Z})$ is Whitehead's universal quadratic construction on $_{2}(M)$ (see Chapter I of [Ba']). This suggests that there may be another formulation of the theorem in terms of conditions (1-3), together with some information on $k_{1}(M)$ and the intersection pairing on $_{2}(M)$. If N is aspherical conditions (4) and (5) are vacuous or redundant.

Condition (4) is vacuous if is a free group, for then c:d: 2. In this case the Hurewicz homomorphism from $_3(N)$ to $H_3(N; Z^{w_1(N)})$ is 0, and so $H_3(f_N; Z^{w_1(N)})$ is a monomorphism. The argument of the theorem would then extend if the Gysin map in degree 3 for the bundle $P_2(E)$! $P_2(N)$ were a monomorphism. If = 1 then M is orientable, = Z and (M) = 0, so $M \, ' \, S^3 \, S^1$. In general, if the restriction on is removed it is not clear that there should be a degree 1 map from M to such a bundle space E.

It would be of interest to have a theorem with hypotheses involving only M, without reference to a model N. There is such a result in the aspherical case.

Theorem 4.12 A nite PD_4 -complex M is homotopy equivalent to the total space of an S^1 -bundle over an aspherical PD_3 -complex if and only if (M) = 0 and $= {}_1(M)$ has an in nite cyclic normal subgroup A such that =A has one end and nite cohomological dimension.

Proof The conditions are clearly necessary. Conversely, suppose that they hold. Since =A has one end $H^s(=A; \mathbb{Z}[=A]) = 0$ for s = 1 and so an LHSSS calculation gives $H^t(:; \mathbb{Z}[:]) = 0$ for t = 2. Moreover ${1 \choose 1}(:) = 0$, by Theorem 2.3. Hence M is aspherical and is a PD_4 -group, by Corollary 3.5.2. Since A is FP_1 and c:d:=A < 1 the quotient =A is a PD_3 -group, by Theorem 9.11 of [Bi]. Therefore M is homotopy equivalent to the total space of an S^1 -bundle over the PD_3 -complex K(:=A;1).

Note that a nitely generated torsion free group has one end if and only if it is indecomposable as a free product and is neither in nite cyclic nor trivial.

In general, if M is homotopy equivalent to the total space of an S^1 -bundle over some 3-manifold then (M) = 0 and $_1(M)$ has an in nite cyclic normal subgroup A such that $_1(M) = A$ is virtually of nite cohomological dimension. Do these conditions characterize such homotopy types?

Chapter 5

Surface bundles

In this chapter we shall show that a closed 4-manifold M is homotopy equivalent to the total space of a bre bundle with base and bre closed surfaces if and only if the obviously necessary conditions on the Euler characteristic and fundamental group hold. When the base is S^2 we need also conditions on the characteristic classes of M, and when the base is RP^2 our results are incomplete. We shall defer consideration of bundles over RP^2 with bre T or Kb and $@ \not = 0$ to Chapter 11, and those with bre S^2 or RP^2 to Chapter 12.

5.1 Some general results

If B, E and F are connected nite complexes and p:E! B is a Hurewicz bration with bre homotopy equivalent to F then (E) = (B) (F) and the long exact sequence of homotopy gives an exact sequence

$$_{2}(B) ! _{1}(F) ! _{1}(E) ! _{1}(B) ! 1$$

in which the image of $_2(B)$ under the *connecting homomorphism* @ is in the centre of $_1(F)$. (See page 51 of [Go68].) These conditions are clearly homotopy invariant.

Hurewicz brations with base B and bre X are classi ed by homotopy classes of maps from B to the Milgram classifying space BE(X), where E(X) is the monoid of all self homotopy equivalences of X, with the compact-open topology [Mi67]. If X has been given a base point the evaluation map from E(X) to X is a Hurewicz bration with bre the subspace (and submonoid) $E_0(X)$ of base point preserving self homotopy equivalences [Go68].

Let T and Kb denote the torus and Klein bottle, respectively.

Proof If $_1(F)=1$ the identity components of Diff(F) and E(F) are contractible [EE69]. Now every automorphism of $_1(F)$ is realizable by a diffeomorphism and homotopy implies isotopy for self di eomorphisms of surfaces. (See Chapter V of [ZVC].) Therefore $_0(Diff(F))=_0(E(F))=Out(_1(F))$, and the inclusion of Diff(F) into E(F) is a homotopy equivalence. Hence BDiff(F) ' BE(F) ' $K(Out(_1(F);1)$, so smooth F-bundles over B and Hurewicz brations with bre F over B are classi ed by the (unbased) homotopy set

 $[B; K(Out(_{1}(F); 1))] = Hom(_{1}(B); Out(_{1}(F))) = \sim;$

where \backsim $^{\ell}$ if there is an $2 \ Out(_{1}(F))$ such that $^{\ell}(b) = (b)^{-1}$ for all $b \ 2_{1}(B)$.

If $_1(F) \not\in 1$ then F = T or Kb. Left multiplication by T on itself induces homotopy equivalences from T to the identity components of Diff(T) and E(T). (Similarly, the standard action of S^1 on Kb induces homotopy equivalences from S^1 to the identity components of Diff(Kb) and E(Kb). See Theorem III.2 of [Go65].) Let $: GL(2;\mathbb{Z}) : Aut(T) = Diff(T)$ be the standard linear action. Then the natural maps from the semidirect product $T = GL(2;\mathbb{Z})$ to Diff(T) and to E(T) are homotopy equivalences. Therefore BDiff(T) is a $K(Z^2;2)$ - bration over $K(GL(2;\mathbb{Z});1)$. It follows that T-bundles over B are classi ed by two invariants: a conjugacy class of homomorphisms $: _1(B) : GL(2;\mathbb{Z})$ together with a cohomology class in $H^2(B;(Z^2))$. A similar argument applies if F = Kb.

Theorem 5.2 Let M be a PD_4 -complex and B and F aspherical closed surfaces. Then M is homotopy equivalent to the total space of an F-bundle over B if and only if (M) = (B)(F) and $_1(M)$ is an extension of $_1(B)$ by $_1(F)$. Moreover every extension of $_1(B)$ by $_1(F)$ is realized by some surface bundle, which is determined up to isomorphism by the extension.

Proof The conditions are clearly necessary. Suppose that they hold. If $_1(F)=1$ each homomorphism $: _1(B) ! Out(_1(F))$ corresponds to an unique equivalence class of extensions of $_1(B)$ by $_1(F)$, by Proposition 11.4.21 of [Ro]. Hence there is an F-bundle p:E! B with $_1(E)=_1(M)$ realizing the extension, and p is unique up to bundle isomorphism. If F=T then every homomorphism $: _1(B) ! GL(2;\mathbb{Z})$ is realizable by an extension (for instance, the semidirect product Z^2 $_1(B)$) and the extensions realizing are classi ed up to equivalence by $H^2(_1(B);(Z^2))$. As B is aspherical the natural map from bundles to group extensions is a bijection. Similar arguments

apply if F = Kb. In all cases the bundle space E is aspherical, and so $_1(M)$ is an FF PD_4 -group. Hence M' E, by Corollary 3.5.1.

Such extensions (with (F) < 0) were shown to be realizable by bundles in [Jo79].

5.2 Bundles with base and bre aspherical surfaces

In many cases the group $_1(\mathcal{M})$ determines the bundle up to di eomorphism of its base. Lemma 5.3 and Theorems 5.4 and 5.5 are based on [Jo94].

Lemma 5.3 Let G_1 and G_2 be groups with no nontrivial abelian normal subgroup. If H is a normal subgroup of $G = G_1 - G_2$ which contains no nontrivial direct product then either $H - G_1$ flg or $H - \text{flg} - G_2$.

Proof Let P_i be the projection of H onto G_i , for i = 1/2. If (h/h) 2H, $g_1 2G_1$ and $g_2 2G_2$ then $([h/g_1]/1) = [(h/h)/(g_1/1)]$ and $(1/[h/g_2])$ are in H. Hence $[P_1/P_1] = [P_2/P_2] = H$. Therefore either P_1 or P_2 is abelian, and so is trivial, since P_i is normal in G_i , for i = 1/2.

Theorem 5.4 Let be a group with a normal subgroup K such that K and =K are PD_2 -groups with trivial centres.

- (1) If C(K) = 1 and K_1 is a nitely generated normal subgroup of then $C(K_1) = 1$ also.
- (2) The index [KC(K)] is nite if and only if is virtually a direct product of PD_2 -groups.

Proof (1) Let $z \ 2 \ C \ (K_1)$. If $K_1 \ K$ then $[K : K_1] < 1$ and $K_1 = 1$. Let $M = [K : K_1]!$. Then $f(k) = k^{-1}z^Mkz^{-M}$ is in K_1 for all k in K. Now $f(kk_1) = k_1^{-1}f(k)k_1$ and also $f(kk_1) = f(kk_1k^{-1}k) = f(k)$ (since K_1 is a normal subgroup centralized by z), for all k in K and k_1 in K_1 . Hence f(k) is central in K_1 , and so f(k) = 1 for all k in K. Thus z^M centralizes K. Since is torsion free we must have z = 1. Otherwise the image of K_1 under the projection p: P = K is a nontrivial nitely generated normal subgroup of P(k) = K and so has trivial centralizer. Hence P(k) = K is a nontrivial normal subgroup $K \setminus K_1 = K$ we must again have $K \in K_1 = K$ in $K \in K_1 = K$ we must again have $K \in K_1 = K$.

(2) Since K has trivial centre KC (K) = K C (K) and so the condition is necessary. Suppose that $f: G_1 \ G_2 \ !$ is an isomorphism onto a subgroup of nite index, where G_1 and G_2 are PD_2 -groups. Let $L = K \setminus f(G_1 \ G_2)$. Then [K:L] < 1 and so L is also a PD_2 -group, and is normal in $f(G_1 \ G_2)$. We may assume that $L \ f(G_1)$, by Lemma 5.3. Then $f(G_1) = L$ is nite and is isomorphic to a subgroup of $f(G_1 \ G_2) = K \ = K$, so $L = f(G_1)$. Now $f(G_2)$ normalizes K and centralizes L, and [K:L] < 1. Hence $f(G_2)$ has a subgroup of nite index which centralizes K, as in part (1). Hence $[KC \ (K)] < 1$.

It follows immediately that if \mathcal{K} are as in the theorem whether

- (1) $C(K) \neq 1$ and [:KC(K)] = 1;
- (2) [:KC(K)] < 1; or
- (3) C(K) = 1

depends only on $\$ and not on the subgroup $\$ $\$ $\$ $\$ $\$ $\$ In [Jo94] these cases are labeled as types I, II and III, respectively. (In terms of the action: if Im() is in nite and Ker() $\$ $\$ $\$ 1 then $\$ is of type I, if Im() is nite then $\$ is of type II, and if is injective then is of type III.)

Theorem 5.5 Let be a group with normal subgroups K and K_1 such that K, K_1 , =K and $=K_1$ are PD_2 -groups with trivial centres. If C (K) \neq 1 but [:KC(K)] = 1 then $K_1 = K$ is unique. If [:KC(K)] < 1 then either $K_1 = K$ or $K_1 \setminus K = 1$; in the latter case K and K_1 are the only such normal subgroups which are PD_2 -groups with torsion free quotients.

Proof Let p: P = K be the quotient epimorphism. Then p(C(K)) is a nontrivial normal subgroup of P = K, since P = K = 1. Suppose that P = K = 1. Let P = K = 1. Suppose that P = K = 1. Let P = K = 1. Since P = K = 1. Hence P = K = 1. Therefore P = K = 1. Since P = K = 1. Since P = K = 1. Therefore P = K = 1. Since P = K = 1 is torsion free we must have P = K = 1.

If $K_1 \setminus K = 1$ then $[K;K_1] = 1$ (since each subgroup is normal in) so $K_1 - C(K)$ and $[-:KC(K)] - [-:K:p(K_1)] < 1$. Suppose K_2 is a normal subgroup of which is a PD_2 -group with $K_2 = 1$ and such that $-K_2$ is torsion free and $K_2 \setminus K = 1$. Then $H = K_2 \setminus (KK_1)$ is normal in $KK_1 = K - K_1$ and $[K_2 : H] < 1$, so H is a PD_2 -group with H = 1

and $H \setminus K = 1$. The projection of H to K_1 is nontrivial since $H \setminus K = 1$. Therefore $H \setminus K_1$, by Lemma 5.3, and so $K_1 \setminus K_2$. Hence $K_1 = K_2$.

Corollary 5.5.1 [Jo93] Let and be automorphisms of , and suppose that $(K) \setminus K = 1$. Then (K) = K or (K), and so $Aut(K = K) = Aut(K)^2 \sim (Z=2Z)$.

We shall obtain a somewhat weaker result for groups of type III as a corollary of the next theorem.

Theorem 5.6 Let be a group with normal subgroups K and K_1 such that K, K_1 and =K are PD_2 -groups, $=K_1$ is torsion free and (=K) < 0. Then either $K_1 = K$ or $K_1 \setminus K = 1$ and $= K \setminus K_1$ or $(K_1) < (=K)$.

Proof Let p: P = K be the quotient epimorphism. If $K_1 = K$ then $K_1 = K$, as in Theorem 5.5. Otherwise $p(K_1)$ has nite index in P = K and so $p(K_1)$ is also a $P = D_2$ -group. As the minimum number of generators of a $P = D_2$ -group $P = D_2$ -group are hop an $P = D_2$ -group $P = D_2$ -group $P = D_2$ -group $P = D_2$ -group are hop an $P = D_2$ -group $P = D_2$ -group P

Corollary 5.6.1 [Jo98] The group has only nitely many such subgroups K.

Proof We may assume given (K) < 0 and that is of type III. If is an epimorphism from to Z = ()Z such that (K) = 0 then (Ker() = K) (K). Since is not a product K is the only such subgroup of Ker(). Since (K) divides () and Hom() (Z = ()Z) is nite the corollary follows. \square

The next two corollaries follow by elementary arithmetic.

Corollary 5.6.2 If (K) = 0 or (K) = -1 and $=K_1$ is a PD_2 -group then either $K_1 = K$ or = K K_1 .

Corollary 5.6.3 If K and =K are PD_2 -groups, (=K) < 0, and $(K)^2$ () then either K is the unique such subgroup or =K K.

Geometry & Topology Monographs, Volume 5 (2002)

Corollary 5.6.4 Let M and M^{\emptyset} be the total spaces of bundles and $^{\emptyset}$ with the same base B and bre F, where B and F are aspherical closed surfaces such that (B) < (F). Then M^{\emptyset} is di eomorphic to M via a bre-preserving di eomorphism if and only if $_{1}(M^{\emptyset}) = _{1}(M)$.

Compare the statement of Melvin's Theorem on total spaces of S^2 -bundles (Theorem 5.13 below.)

We can often recognise total spaces of aspherical surface bundles under weaker hypotheses on the fundamental group.

Theorem 5.7 Let M be a PD_4 -complex with fundamental group . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) *M* is homotopy equivalent to the total space of a bundle with base and bre aspherical closed surfaces:
- (2) has an FP_2 normal subgroup K such that =K is a PD_2 -group and $_2(M)=0$;
- (3) has a normal subgroup N which is a PD_2 -group, =N is torsion free and $_2(M)=0$.

Proof Clearly (1) implies (2) and (3). Conversely they each imply that has one end and so M is aspherical. If K is an FP_2 normal subgroup in and =K is a PD_2 -group then K is a PD_2 -group, by Theorem 1.19. If N is a normal subgroup which is a PD_2 -group then an LHSSS argument gives $H^2(=N;\mathbb{Z}[=N])=Z$. Hence =N is virtually a PD_2 -group, by Bowditch's Theorem. Since it is torsion free it is a PD_2 -group and so the theorem follows from Theorem 5.2.

If K = 1 we may avoid the di-cult theorem of Bowditch here, for then =K is an extension of C(K) by a subgroup of Out(K), so v:c:d:=K < 1 and thus =K is virtually a PD_2 -group, by Theorem 9.11 of [Bi].

Kapovich has given an example of an aspherical closed 4-manifold M such that $_1(M)$ is an extension of a PD_2 -group by a nitely generated normal subgroup which is not FP_2 [Ka98].

Theorem 5.8 Let M be a PD_4 -complex with fundamental group—and such that (M) = 0. If has a subnormal subgroup G of in nite index which is a PD_2 -group then M is aspherical. If moreover G = 1 there is a subnormal chain G < J < K such that [:K] < 1 and K = J = J = G = Z.

Proof Let $G = G_0 < G_1 < \dots G_n = \mathbb{R}$ be a subnormal chain of minimal length. Let $j = \min fi \ j \ [G_{i+1} : G] = 1 \ g$. Then $[G_j : G] < 1$, so G_j is FP. It is easily seen that the theorem holds for G if it holds for G_j . Thus we may assume that $[G_1 : G] = 1$. A nite induction up the subnormal chain using the LHSSS gives $H^s(; \mathbb{Z}[]) = 0$ for s = 2. Now $\binom{2}{1}(G_1) = 0$, since G is nitely generated and $[G_1 : G] = 1$ [Ga00]. (This also can be deduced from Theorem 2.2 and the fact that Out(G) is virtually torsion free.) Inducting up the subnormal chain gives $\binom{2}{1}() = 0$ and so M is aspherical, by Theorem 3.4.

If G < G are two normal subgroups of G_1 with cohomological dimension 2 then G=G is locally nite, by Theorem 8.2 of [Bi]. Hence G=G is nite, since (G) = [H:G] (H) for any nitely generated subgroup H such that G H G. Moreover if G is normal in G then G then G is normal in G in G is normal in G in G is normal in G is normal in G is normal in G is norm

Therefore we may assume that G is maximal among such subgroups of G_1 . Let n be an element of G_2 such that $nGn^{-1} \not\in G$, and let $H = G:nGn^{-1}$. Then G is normal in H and H is normal in G_1 , so [H : G] = 1 and c:d:H = 3. Moreover H is FP and $H^s(H; \mathbb{Z}[H]) = 0$ for s 2, so either $G_1 = H$ is locally nite or $c:d:G_1 > c:d:H$, by Theorem 8.2 of [Bi]. If $G_1 = H$ is locally nite but not nite then we again have $c:d:G_1 > c:d:H$, by Theorem 3.3 of [GS81].

If $c:d:G_1=4$ then [:N(G)] $[:G_1]<1$. An LHSSS argument gives $H^2(N(G)=G;\mathbb{Z}[N(G)=G])=Z$. Hence N(G)=G is virtually a PD_2 -group, by [Bo99]. Therefore has a normal subgroup K N(G) such that [:K]<1 and K=G is a PD_2 -group of orientable type. Then (G)(K=G)=[:K]()=0 and so (K=G)=0, since (G)<0. Thus $K=G=Z^2$, and there are clearly many possibilities for J.

If $c:d:G_1=3$ then $G_1=H$ is locally nite, and hence is nite, by Theorem 3.3 of [GS81]. Therefore G_1 is FP and $H^s(G_1;\mathbb{Z}[G_1])=0$ for s=2. Let $k=\min fi\ j\ [G_{i+1}:G_1]=1\ g$. Then $H^s(G_k;W)=0$ for s=3 and any free $\mathbb{Z}[G_k]$ -module W. Hence $c:d:G_k=4$ and so $[:G_k]<1$, by Strebel's Theorem. An LHS spectral sequence corner argument then shows that $G_k=G_{k-1}$ has 2 ends and $H^3(G_{k-1});\mathbb{Z}[G_{k-1}])=Z$. Thus G_{k-1} is a PD_3 -group, and therefore so is G_1 . By a similar argument, $G_1=G$ has two ends also. The theorem follows easily.

Corollary 5.8.1 If G = 1 and G is normal in then M has a nite covering space which is homotopy equivalent to the total space of a surface bundle over T.

Proof Since G is normal in and M is aspherical M has a nite covering which is homotopy equivalent to a K(G;1)-bundle over an aspherical orientable surface, as in Theorem 5.7. Since (M) = 0 the base must be T.

If =G is virtually Z^2 then it has a subgroup of index at most 6 which maps onto Z^2 or $Z_{-1}Z$.

Let G be a PD_2 -group such that G = 1. Let be an automorphism of G whose class in Out(G) has in nite order and let : G ! Z be an epimorphism. Let = (G Z) Z where (g; n) = ((g); (g) + n) for all g 2 G and n 2 Z. Then G is subnormal in but this group is not virtually the group of a surface bundle over a surface.

Let $G = Z^2$ is subnormal subgroup G which is a PD_2 -group with $G \notin 1$ then $G = Z^2$ is subnormal in and hence contained in G. In this case G and so either Theorem 8.1 or Theorem 9.2 applies, to show that G has a nite covering space which is homotopy equivalent to the total space of a G-bundle over an aspherical closed surface.

5.3 Bundles with aspherical base and bre S^2 or RP^2

Let $E^+(S^2)$ denote the connected component of id_{S^2} in $E(S^2)$, i.e., the submonoid of degree 1 maps. The connected component of id_{S^2} in $E_0(S^2)$ may be identified with the double loop space ${}^2S^2$.

Lemma 5.9 Let X be a nite 2-complex. Then there are natural bijections $[X; BO(3)] = [X; BE(S^2)] = H^1(X; \mathbb{F}_2) \quad H^2(X; \mathbb{F}_2)$.

Proof As a self homotopy equivalence of a sphere is homotopic to the identity if and only if it has degree +1 the inclusion of O(3) into $E(S^2)$ is bijective on components. Evaluation of a self map of S^2 at the basepoint determines brations of SO(3) and $E^+(S^2)$ over S^2 , with bre SO(2) and ${}^2S^2$, respectively, and the map of bres induces an isomorphism on ${}_1$. On comparing the exact sequences of homotopy for these brations we see that the inclusion of SO(3) in $E^+(S^2)$ also induces an isomorphism on ${}_1$. Since the Stiefel-Whitney classes are de ned for any spherical bration and W_1 and W_2 are nontrivial on suitable S^2 -bundles over S^1 and S^2 , respectively, the inclusion of BO(3) into $BE(S^2)$ and the map $(W_1; W_2) : BE(S^2)$! K(Z=2Z;1) K(Z=2Z;2) induces isomorphisms on ${}_i$ for i 2. The lemma follows easily.

Thus there is a natural 1-1 correspondance between S^2 -bundles and spherical brations over such complexes, and any such bundle is determined up to isomorphism over X by its total Stiefel-Whitney class $w(\)=1+w_1(\)+w_2(\)$. (From another point of view: if $w_1(\)=w_1(\ ^{\emptyset})$ there is an isomorphism of the restrictions of and $\ ^{\emptyset}$ over the 1-skeleton $X^{[1]}$. The di erence $w_2(\)-w_2(\ ^{\emptyset})$ is the obstruction to extending any such isomorphism over the 2-skeleton.)

Theorem 5.10 Let M be a PD_4 -complex and B an aspherical closed surface. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) $_{1}(M) = _{1}(B)$ and (M) = 2 (B);
- (2) $_{1}(M) = _{1}(B) \text{ and } \widehat{M} ' S^{2};$
- (3) M is homotopy equivalent to the total space of an S^2 -bundle over B.

Proof If (1) holds then $H_3(\widehat{\mathbb{M}}; \mathbb{Z}) = H_4(\widehat{\mathbb{M}}; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, as $_1(M)$ has one end, and $_2(M) = \overline{H^2(\;; \mathbb{Z}[\;])} = Z$, by Theorem 3.12. Hence $\widehat{\mathbb{M}}$ is homotopy equivalent to S^2 . If (2) holds we may assume that there is a Hurewicz bration h: M ! B which induces an isomorphism of fundamental groups. As the homotopy bre of h is $\widehat{\mathbb{M}}$, Lemma 5.9 implies that h is bre homotopy equivalent to the projection of an S^2 -bundle over B. Clearly (3) implies the other conditions.

We shall summarize some of the key properties of the Stiefel-Whitney classes of such bundles in the following lemma.

Lemma 5.11 Let be an S^2 -bundle over a closed surface B, with total space M and projection p: M! B. Then

- (1) is trivial if and only if w(M) = p w(B);
- (2) $_1(M) = _1(B)$ acts on $_2(M)$ by multiplication by $w_1()$;
- (3) the intersection form on $H_2(M; \mathbb{F}_2)$ is even if and only if $w_2(\) = 0$;
- (4) if $q: B^{\emptyset}$! B is a 2-fold covering map with connected domain B^{\emptyset} then $w_2(q) = 0$.

Proof (1) Applying the Whitney sum formula and naturality to the tangent bundle of the B^3 -bundle associated to gives $w(M) = p \ w(B) \ [p \ w()$. Since p is a 2-connected map the induced homomorphism p is injective in degrees 2 and so $w(M) = p \ w(B)$ if and only if w() = 1. By Lemma 5.9 this is so if and only if is trivial, since B is 2-dimensional.

- (3) By Poincare duality, the intersection form is even if and only if the Wu class $V_2(M) = W_2(M) + W_1(M)^2$ is 0. Now

$$v_2(M) = \rho (w_1(B) + w_1())^2 + \rho (w_2(B) + w_1(B) [w_1() + w_2())$$

$$= \rho (w_2(B) + w_1(B) [w_1() + w_2() + w_1(B)^2 + w_1()^2)$$

$$= \rho (w_2());$$

since $w_1(B) = 2$ and $w_1(B)^2 = w_2(B)$, by the Wu relations for B. Hence $v_2(M) = 0$ if and only if $w_2() = 0$, as p is injective in degree 2.

(4) We have $q(w_2(q) \setminus [B^{\emptyset}]) = q((q w_2()) \setminus [B^{\emptyset}]) = w_2() \setminus q[B^{\emptyset}]$, by the projection formula. Since q has degree 2 this is 0, and since q is an isomorphism in degree 0 we $(q) \setminus [B^{\emptyset}] = 0$. Therefore $(q) \setminus (q) \setminus (q) = 0$, by Poincare duality for (B^{\emptyset}) .

Melvin has determined criteria for the total spaces of S^2 -bundles over a compact surface to be di eomorphic, in terms of their Stiefel-Whitney classes. We shall give an alternative argument for the cases with aspherical base.

Lemma 5.12 Let B be a closed surface and w be the Poincare dual of $w_1(B)$. If u_1 and u_2 are elements of $H_1(B; \mathbb{F}_2) - f0$; wg such that $u_1: u_1 = u_2: u_2$ then there is a homeomorphism f: B ! B which is a composite of Dehn twists about two-sided essential simple closed curves and such that $f(u_1) = u_2$.

Proof For simplicity of notation, we shall use the same symbol for a simple closed curve u on B and its homology class in $H_1(B; \mathbb{F}_2)$. The curve u is two-sided if and only if u:u=0. In that case we shall let c_u denote the automorphism of $H_1(B; \mathbb{F}_2)$ induced by a Dehn twist about u. Note also that u:u=u:w and $c_v(u)=u+(u:v)v$ for all u and two-sided v in $H_1(B; \mathbb{F}_2)$.

If B is orientable it is well known that the group of isometries of the intersection form acts transitively on $H_1(B; \mathbb{F}_2)$, and is generated by the automorphisms c_U . Thus the claim is true in this case.

If $w_1(B)^2 \neq 0$ then $B = RP^2 JT_g$, where T_g is orientable. If $u_1:u_1 = u_2:u_2 = 0$ then u_1 and u_2 are represented by simple closed curves in T_g , and so are related by a homeomorphism which is the identity on the RP^2 summand. If $u_1:u_1 = u_2:u_2 = 1$ let $v_i = u_i + w$. Then $v_i:v_i = 0$ and this case follows from the earlier one.

Suppose nally that $w_1(B) \not\in 0$ but $w_1(B)^2 = 0$; equivalently, that $B = Kb]T_g$, where T_g is orientable. Let fw; zg be a basis for the homology of the Kb summand. In this case w is represented by a 2-sided curve. If $u_1:u_1=u_2:u_2=0$ and $u_1:z=u_2:z=0$ then u_1 and u_2 are represented by simple closed curves in T_g , and so are related by a homeomorphism which is the identity on the Kb summand. The claim then follows if u:z=1 for $u=u_1$ or u_2 , since we then have $c_w(u):c_w(u)=c_w(u):z=0$. If $u:u\not\in 0$ and u:z=0 then (u+z):(u+z)=0 and $c_{u+z}(u)=z$. If $u:u\not\in 0$, $u:z\not\in 0$ and $u\not\in z$ then $c_{u+z+w}c_w(u)=z$. Thus if $u_1:u_1=u_2:u_2=1$ both u_1 and u_2 are related to z. Thus in all cases the claim is true.

Theorem 5.13 (Melvin) Let and 0 be two S^{2} -bundles over an aspherical closed surface B. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) there is a di eomorphism f: B! B such that $= f^{-\theta}$;
- (2) the total spaces $E(\)$ and $E(\ ^{\emptyset})$ are di eomorphic; and
- (3) $w_1() = w_1(^{\theta})$ if $w_1() = 0$ or $w_1(B)$, $w_1() [w_1(B) = w_1(^{\theta}) [w_1(B)]$ and $w_2() = w_2(^{\theta})$.

Proof Clearly (1) implies (2). A di eomorphism $h: E! E^{\emptyset}$ induces an isomorphism on fundamental groups; hence there is a di eomorphism f: B! B such that fp is homotopic to $p^{\emptyset}h$. Now h $w(E^{\emptyset}) = w(E)$ and f w(B) = w(B). Hence p f $w(^{\emptyset}) = p$ w() and so $w(f^{-\emptyset}) = f$ $w(^{\emptyset}) = w($). Thus $f^{-\emptyset} = 0$, by Theorem 5.10, and so (2) implies (1).

If (1) holds then f(w) = w(1). Since $w_1(B) = v_1(B)$ is the characteristic element for the cup product pairing from $H^1(B; \mathbb{F}_2)$ to $H^2(B; \mathbb{F}_2)$ and $H^2(f; \mathbb{F}_2)$ is the identity $f(w_1(B)) = w_1(B)$, $w_1(1) = w_1(1) = w_1(1)$ and $w_2(1) = w_2(1) = w_2(1)$. Hence (1) implies (3).

If $w_1() [w_1(B) = w_1()] [w_1(B)]$ and $w_1()$ and $w_1()$ are neither 0 nor $w_1(B)$ then there is a di eomorphism f: B ! B such that $f w_1() = w_1()$, by Lemma 5.12 (applied to the Poincare dual homology classes). Hence (3) implies (1).

Corollary 5.13.1 There are 4 di eomorphism classes of S^2 -bundle spaces if B is orientable and (B) 0, 6 if B = Kb and 8 if B is nonorientable and (B) < 0.

See [Me84] for a more geometric argument, which applies also to S^2 -bundles over surfaces with nonempty boundary. The theorem holds also when $B = S^2$ or RP^2 ; there are 2 such bundles over S^2 and 4 over RP^2 . (See Chapter 12.)

Theorem 5.14 Let M be a PD_4 -complex with fundamental group . The following are equivalent:

- (1) M has a covering space of degree 2 which is homotopy equivalent to the total space of an S^2 -bundle over an aspherical closed surface;
- (2) the universal covering space \widehat{M} is homotopy equivalent to S^2 ;

If these conditions hold the kernel K of the natural action of on $_2(M)$ is a PD_2 -group.

Proof Clearly (1) implies (2) and (2) implies (3). Suppose that (3) holds. If is nite and $_2(M)=Z$ then $\widehat{M}' CP^2$, and so admits no nontrivial free group actions, by the Lefshetz xed point theorem. Hence must be in nite. Then $H_0(\widehat{M};\mathbb{Z})=Z$, $H_1(\widehat{M};\mathbb{Z})=0$ and $H_2(\widehat{M};\mathbb{Z})=_2(M)$, while $H_3(\widehat{M};\mathbb{Z})=\overline{H^1(\;;\mathbb{Z}[\;])}$ and $H_4(\widehat{M};\mathbb{Z})=0$. Now $Hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\;]}(\;_2(M);\mathbb{Z}[\;])=0$, since is in nite and $_2(M)=Z$. Therefore $H^2(\;;\mathbb{Z}[\;])$ is in nite cyclic, by Lemma 3.3, and so is virtually a PD_2 -group, by Bowditch's Theorem. Hence $H_3(\widehat{M};\mathbb{Z})=0$ and so \widehat{M}' S^2 . If C is a nite cyclic subgroup of K then $H_{n+3}(C;\mathbb{Z})=H_n(C;H_2(\widehat{M};\mathbb{Z}))$ for all n=2, by Lemma 2.10. Therefore C must be trivial, so K is torsion free. Hence K is a PD_2 -group and (1) now follows from Theorem 5.10.

A straightfoward Mayer-Vietoris argument may be used to show directly that if $H^2(\ ;\mathbb{Z}[\])=Z$ then has one end.

Lemma 5.15 Let X be a nite 2-complex. Then there are natural bijections $[X; BSO(3)] = [X; BE(RP^2)] = H^2(X; \mathbb{F}_2)$.

Proof Let (1/0/0) and [1:0:0] be the base points for S^2 and RP^2 respectively. A based self homotopy equivalence f of RP^2 lifts to a based self homotopy equivalence F^+ of S^2 . If f is based homotopic to the identity then $deg(f^+) = 1$. Conversely, any based self homotopy equivalence is based homotopic to a map which is the identity on RP^1 ; if moreover $deg(f^+) = 1$ then this map is the identity on the normal bundle and it quickly follows that f is based homotopic to the identity. Thus $E_0(RP^2)$ has two components. The homeomorphism g de ned by g([x:y:z]) = [x:y:-z] is isotopic to the identity (rotate in the (x;y)-coordinates). However $deg(g^+) = -1$. It follows that $E(RP^2)$ is connected. As every self homotopy equivalence of RP^2 is covered by a degree 1 self map of S^2 , there is a natural map from $E(RP^2)$ to $E^+(S^2)$.

We may use obstruction theory to show that $_1(E_0(RP^2))$ has order 2. Hence $_1(E(RP^2))$ has order at most 4. Suppose that there were a homotopy f_t through self maps of RP^2 with $f_0 = f_1 = id_{RP^2}$ and such that the loop $f_t()$ is essential, where is a basepoint. Let F be the map from RP^2 S^1 to RP^2 determined by $F(p;t) = f_t(p)$, and let and be the generators of $H^1(RP^2; \mathbb{F}_2)$ and $H^1(S^1; \mathbb{F}_2)$, respectively. Then F =1 + 1which is nonzero, contradicting $^3 = 0$. Thus there can be $(F)^3 = {}^2$ no such homotopy, and so the homomorphism from $_{1}(E(RP^{2}))$ to $_{1}(RP^{2})$ induced by the evaluation map must be trivial. It then follows from the exact sequence of homotopy for this evaluation map that the order of $_{1}(E(RP^{2}))$ is at most 2. The group SO(3) = O(3) = (1) acts isometrically on RP^2 . As the composite of the maps on $_1$ induced by the inclusions SO(3) $E^+(S^2)$ is an isomorphism of groups of order 2 the rst map also induces an isomorphism. It follows as in Lemma 5.9 that there are natural bijections $[X; BSO(3)] = [X; BE(RP^2)] = H^2(X; \mathbb{F}_2).$

Thus there is a natural 1-1 correspondance between RP^2 -bundles and orientable spherical brations over such complexes. The RP^2 -bundle corresponding to an orientable S^2 -bundle is the quotient by the brewise antipodal involution. In particular, there are two RP^2 -bundles over each closed aspherical surface.

Theorem 5.16 Let M be a PD_4 -complex and B an aspherical closed surface. Then M is homotopy equivalent to the total space of an RP^2 -bundle over B if and only if $_1(M) = _1(B)$ (Z=2Z) and $(M) = _1(B)$.

Proof If E is the total space of an RP^2 -bundle over B, with projection p, then (E) = (B) and the long exact sequence of homotopy gives a short exact sequence $1 \ ! \ Z=2Z \ ! \ _1(E) \ ! \ _1(B) \ ! \ 1$. Since the bre has a product neighbourhood, $j \ W_1(E) = W_1(RP^2)$, where $j : RP^2 \ ! \ E$ is the inclusion of the bre over the basepoint of B, and so $W_1(E)$ considered as a homomorphism from $\ _1(E)$ to Z=2Z splits the injection j. Therefore $\ _1(E) = \ _1(B) \ (Z=2Z)$ and so the conditions are necessary, as they are clearly invariant under homotopy.

Suppose that they hold, and let $w: _1(M)$! Z=2Z be the projection onto the Z=2Z factor. Then the covering space associated with the kernel of W satis es the hypotheses of Theorem 5.10 and so \widehat{M}' S^2 . Therefore the homotopy bre of the map h from M to B inducing the projection of $_1(M)$ onto $_1(B)$ is homotopy equivalent to RP^2 . The map h is bre homotopy equivalent to the projection of an RP^2 -bundle over B, by Lemma 5.15.

We may use the above results to re ne some of the conclusions of Theorem 3.9 on PD_4 -complexes with nitely dominated covering spaces.

Theorem 5.17 Let M be a PD_4 -complex and p: M! M a regular covering map, with covering group G = Aut(p). If the covering space M is nitely dominated and $H^2(G; \mathbb{Z}[G]) = Z$ then M has a nite covering space which is homotopy equivalent to a closed 4-manifold which bres over an aspherical closed surface.

Proof By Bowditch's Theorem G is virtually a PD_2 -group. Therefore as M is nitely dominated it is homotopy equivalent to a closed surface, by [Go79]. The result then follows as in Theorems 5.2, 5.10 and 5.16.

Note that by Theorem 3.11 and the remarks in the paragraph preceding it the total spaces of such bundles with base an aspherical surface have minimal Euler characteristic for their fundamental groups (i.e. (M) = q()).

Can the hypothesis that \mathcal{M} be nitely dominated be replaced by the more algebraic hypothesis that the chain complex of the universal cover $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{M})$ be chain homotopy equivalent over $\mathbb{Z}[\ _1(\mathcal{M})]$ to a complex of free $\mathbb{Z}[\ _1(\mathcal{M})]$ -modules which is nitely generated in degrees 2? One might hope to adapt the strategy of Theorem 4.5, by using cup-product with a generator of $H^2(G; \mathbb{Z}[G]) = Z$ to relate the equivariant cohomology of \mathcal{M} to that of \mathcal{M} . (See also [Ba80'].)

Theorem 5.18 A PD_4 -complex M is homotopy equivalent to the total space of a surface bundle over T or Kb if and only if $= {}_{1}(M)$ is an extension of Z^2 or $Z_{-1}Z$ (respectively) by an FP_2 normal subgroup K and M = 0.

Proof The conditions are clearly necessary. If they hold then the covering space associated to the subgroup K is homotopy equivalent to a closed surface, by Corollary 4.5.3 together with Corollary 2.12.1, and so the theorem follows from Theorems 5.2, 5.10 and 5.16.

In particular, if is the nontrivial extension of Z^2 by Z=2Z then q()>0.

5.4 Bundles over S^2

Since S^2 is the union of two discs along a circle, an F-bundle over S^2 is determined by the homotopy class of the clutching function, which is an element of $_1(Diff(F))$.

Theorem 5.19 Let M be a PD_4 -complex with fundamental group and F a closed surface. Then M is homotopy equivalent to the total space of an F-bundle over S^2 if and only if (M) = 2 (F) and

- (1) (when (F) < 0 and $w_1(F) = 0$) = $_1(F)$ and $w_1(M) = w_2(M) = 0$; or
- (2) (when (F) < 0 and $w_1(F) \ne 0$) = $_1(F)$, $w_1(M) \ne 0$ and $w_2(M) = w_1(M)^2 = (c_M w_1(F))^2$; or
- (3) (when F = T) = Z^2 and $w_1(M) = w_2(M) = 0$, or = Z (Z=nZ) for some n > 0 and, if n = 1 or Z, $w_1(M) = 0$; or
- (4) (when F = Kb) = $Z_{-1}Z$, $w_1(M) \neq 0$ and $w_2(M) = w_1(M)^2 = 0$, or has a presentation $hx_iy_j yxy^{-1} = x^{-1}$; $y^{2n} = 1i$ for some n > 0, where $w_1(M)(x) = 0$ and $w_1(M)(y) = 1$, and there is a map $p: M ! S^2$ which induces an epimorphism on 3; or
- (5) (when $F = S^2$) = 1 and the index (M) = 0; or
- (6) (when $F = RP^2$) = Z=2Z, $W_1(M) \neq 0$ and there is a class u of in nite order in $H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ and such that $u^2 = 0$.

Proof Let $p_E: E ? S^2$ be such a bundle. Then (E) = 2 (F) and $_1(E) = _1(F) = _0 _2(S^2)$, where $\mathrm{Im}(@) _{1}(F)$ [Go68]. The characteristic classes of E restrict to the characteristic classes of the bre, as it has a product neighbourhood. As the base is 1-connected E is orientable if and only if the bre is orientable. Thus the conditions on $_1(F) = _1(F) =$

- (1) and (2) If (F) < 0 any F-bundle over S^2 is trivial, by Lemma 5.1. Thus the conditions are necessary. Conversely, if they hold then c_M is bre homotopy equivalent to the projection of an S^2 -bundle with base F, by Theorem 5.10. The conditions on the Stiefel-Whitney classes then imply that w() = 1 and hence that the bundle is trivial, by Lemma 5.11. Therefore M is homotopy equivalent to $S^2 F$.
- (3) If $\mathscr{Q} = 0$ there is a map q : E ! T which induces an isomorphism of fundamental groups, and the map $(p_E;q) : E ! S^2 T$ is clearly a homotopy equivalence, so w(E) = 1. Conversely, if (M) = 0, $= Z^2$ and w(M) = 1 then M is homotopy equivalent to $S^2 T$, by Theorem 5.10 and Lemma 5.11.
- If (M) = 0 and = Z (Z=nZ) for some n > 0 then the covering space $M_{Z=nZ}$ corresponding to the torsion subgroup Z=nZ is homotopy equivalent to a lens space L, by Corollary 4.5.3. As observed in Chapter 4 the manifold

- M is homotopy equivalent to the mapping torus of a generator of the group of covering transformations $Aut(M_{Z=nZ}=M)=Z$. Since the generator induces the identity on $_1(L)=Z=nZ$ it is homotopic to id_L , if n>2. This is also true if n=1 or 2 and M is orientable. (See Section 29 of [Co].) Therefore M is homotopy equivalent to L S^1 , which bres over S^2 via the composition of the projection to L with the Hopf bration of L over S^2 . (Hence W(M)=1 in these cases also.)
- (4) As in part (3), if $_1(E) = Z_{-1}Z = _1(Kb)$ then E is homotopy equivalent to S^2 Kb and so $W_1(E) \not\in 0$ while $W_2(E) = 0$. Conversely, if (M) = 0, $= _1(Kb)$, M is nonorientable and $W_1(M)^2 = W_2(M) = 0$ then M is homotopy equivalent to S^2 Kb. Suppose now that $@ \not\in 0$. The homomorphism $_3(p_E)$ induced by the bundle projection is an epimorphism. Conversely, if M satis es these conditions and $q: M^+$? M is the orientation double cover then M^+ satis es the hypotheses of part (3), and so M S^3 . Therefore as $_3(p)$ is onto the composition of the projection of M onto M with P is essentially the Hopf map, and so induces isomorphisms on all higher homotopy groups. Hence the homotopy bre of P is a spherical. As $_2(M) = 0$ the fundamental group of the homotopy bre of P is a torsion free extension of P by P and so the homotopy bre must be P0. As in Theorem 5.2 above the map P1 is bre homotopy equivalent to a bundle projection.
- (5) There are just two S^2 -bundles over S^2 , with total spaces S^2 S^2 and $S^2 \sim S^2 = CP^2J CP^2$, respectively. Thus the conditions are necessary. If M satis es these conditions then $H^2(M;\mathbb{Z}) = Z^2$ and there is an element u in $H^2(M;\mathbb{Z})$ which generates an in nite cyclic direct summand and has square $u \ [\ u = 0 \]$. Thus $u = f \ i_2$ for some map $f : M \ ! \ S^2$, where i_2 generates $H^2(S^2;\mathbb{Z})$, by Theorem 8.4.11 of [Sp]. Since u generates a direct summand there is a homology class z in $H_2(M;\mathbb{Z})$ such that $u \setminus z = 1$, and therefore (by the Hurewicz theorem) there is a map $z : S^2 \ ! \ M$ such that fz is homotopic to id_{S^2} . The homotopy bre of f is 1-connected and has $_2 = Z$, by the long exact sequence of homotopy. It then follows easily from the spectral sequence for f that the homotopy bre has the homology of S^2 . Therefore f is bre homotopy equivalent to the projection of an S^2 -bundle over S^2 .
- (6) Since $_1(Diff(RP^2)) = Z=2Z$ (see page 21 of [EE69]) there are two RP^2 -bundles over S^2 . Again the conditions are clearly necessary. If they hold then u=g i_2 for some map g:M! S^2 . Let $q:M^+!$ M be the orientation double cover and $g^+=gq$. Since $H_2(Z=2Z;\mathbb{Z})=0$ the second homology of M is spherical. As we may assume u generates an in nite cyclic direct summand of $H^2(M;\mathbb{Z})$ there is a map $z=qz^+:S^2!$ M such that $gz=g^+z^+$ is homotopic to id_{S^2} . Hence the homotopy bre of g^+ is S^2 , by case (5). Since

105

the homotopy bre of g has fundamental group Z=2Z and is double covered by the homotopy bre of g^+ it is homotopy equivalent to RP^2 . It follows as in Theorem 5.16 that g is bre homotopy equivalent to the projection of an RP^2 -bundle over S^2 .

Theorems 5.2, 5.10 and 5.16 may each be rephrased as giving criteria for maps from M to B to be bre homotopy equivalent to bre bundle projections. With the hypotheses of Theorem 5.19 (and assuming also that @=0 if (M)=0) we may conclude that a map f:M! S^2 is bre homotopy equivalent to a bre bundle projection if and only if f i_2 generates an in nite cyclic direct summand of $H^2(M;\mathbb{Z})$.

Is there a criterion for part (4) which does not refer to $_3$? The other hypotheses are not su cient alone. (See Chapter 11.)

It follows from Theorem 5.10 that the conditions on the Stiefel-Whitney classes are independent of the other conditions when $= {}_{1}(F)$. Note also that the nonorientable S^3 - and RP^3 -bundles over S^1 are not T-bundles over S^2 , while if $M = CP^2JCP^2$ then = 1 and (M) = 4 but $(M) \not\in 0$. See Chapter 12 for further information on parts (5) and (6).

5.5 Bundles over RP^2

Since $RP^2 = Mb \ [D^2]$ is the union of a Möbius band Mb and a disc D^2 , a bundle $p: E ! RP^2$ with bre F is determined by a bundle over Mb which restricts to a trivial bundle over @Mb, i.e. by a conjugacy class of elements of order dividing 2 in $_0(Homeo(F))$, together with the class of a gluing map over $@Mb = @D^2$ modulo those which extend across D^2 or Mb, i.e. an element of a quotient of $_1(Homeo(F))$. If F is aspherical $_0(Homeo(F)) = Out(_1(F))$, while $_1(Homeo(F)) = _1(F)$ [Go65].

We may summarize the key properties of the algebraic invariants of such bundles with F an aspherical closed surface in the following lemma. Let \mathbb{Z} be the non-trivial in nite cyclic Z=2Z-module. The groups $H^1(Z=2Z;\mathbb{Z})$, $H^1(Z=2Z;\mathbb{F}_2)$ and $H^1(RP^2;\mathbb{Z})$ are canonically isomorphic to Z=2Z.

Lemma 5.20 Let $p: E! RP^2$ be the projection of an F-bundle, where F is an aspherical closed surface, and let x be the generator of $H^1(RP^2; \mathbb{Z})$. Then

(1)
$$(E) = (F)$$
;

Geometry & Topology Monographs, Volume 5 (2002)

(2) $@({}_{2}(RP^{2}))$ ${}_{1}(F)$ and there is an exact sequence of groups

$$0! _{2}(E)! Z \xrightarrow{\mathscr{Q}} _{1}(F)! _{1}(E)! Z=2Z! 1;$$

- (3) if @=0 then $_1(E)$ has one end and acts nontrivially on $_2(E)=Z$, and the covering space E_F with fundamental group $_1(F)$ is homeomorphic to S^2 F, so $w_1(E)j_{_1(F)}=w_1(E_F)=w_1(F)$ (as homomorphisms from $_1(F)$ to Z=2Z) and $w_2(E_F)=w_1(E_F)^2$;
- (4) if $@ \in 0$ then (F) = 0, $_1(E)$ has two ends, $_2(E) = 0$ and Z=2Z acts by inversion on @(Z);
- (5) $p x^3 = 0 2 H^3(E; p \mathbb{Z}).$

Proof Condition (1) holds since the Euler characteristic is multiplicative in brations, while (2) is part of the long exact sequence of homotopy for p. The image of \mathscr{Q} is central by [Go68], and is therefore trivial unless (F) = 0. Conditions (3) and (4) then follow as the homomorphisms in this sequence are compatible with the actions of the fundamental groups, and E_F is the total space of an F-bundle over S^2 , which is a trivial bundle if $\mathscr{Q} = 0$, by Theorem 5.19. Condition (5) holds since $H^3(RP^2; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$.

Let be a group which is an extension of Z=2Z by a normal subgroup G, and let t 2 be an element which maps nontrivially to =G=Z=2Z. Then $u=t^2$ is in G and conjugation by t determines an automorphism of G such that (u) = u and x^2 is the inner automorphism given by conjugation by u.

Conversely, let be an automorphism of G whose square is inner, say ${}^2(g) = ugu^{-1}$ for all $g \ge G$. Let v = (u). Then ${}^3(g) = {}^2((g)) = u(g)c^{-1} = ({}^2(g)) = v(g)v^{-1}$ for all $g \ge G$. Therefore vu^{-1} is central. In particular, if the centre of G is trivial e xes e0, and we may define an extension

in which has the presentation hG; t j t $gt^{-1} = (g)$; $t^2 = ui$. If is another automorphism in the same outer automorphism class then and are equivalent extensions. (Note that if $= :c_h$, where c_h is conjugation by h, then (h)uh = (h)uh and (h)uh

Lemma 5.21 If (F) < 0 or (F) = 0 and @ = 0 then an F-bundle over RP^2 is determined up to isomorphism by the corresponding extension of fundamental groups.

Proof If (F) < 0 such bundles and extensions are each determined by an element of order 2 in $Out(\ _1(F))$. If (F) = 0 bundles with @ = 0 are the restrictions of bundles over $RP^1 = K(Z=2Z;1)$ (compare Lemma 4.10). Such bundles are determined by an element of order 2 in $Out(\ _1(F))$ and a cohomology class in $H^2(Z=2Z;\ _1(F))$, by Lemma 5.1, and so correspond bijectively to extensions also.

Lemma 5.22 Let M be a PD_4 -complex with fundamental group . A map f: M! RP^2 is bre homotopy equivalent to the projection of a bundle over RP^2 with bre an aspherical closed surface if $_1(f)$ is an epimorphism and either

- (1) (M) 0 and $_2(f)$ is an isomorphism; or
- (2) (M) = 0, has two ends and $_3(f)$ is an isomorphism.

Proof In each case is in nite, by Lemma 3.14. In case (1) $H^2(\ ;\mathbb{Z}[\])=Z$ (by Lemma 3.3) and so has one end, by Bowditch's Theorem. Hence \widehat{M}' S^2 . Moreover the homotopy bre of f is aspherical, and its fundamental group is a surface group. (See Chapter X for details.) In case (2) \widehat{M}' S^3 , by Corollary 4.5.3. Hence the lift $f:\widehat{M}$! S^2 is homotopic to the Hopf map, and so induces isomorphisms on all higher homotopy groups. Therefore the homotopy bre of f is aspherical. As $_2(M)=0$ the fundamental group of the homotopy bre is a (torsion free) in nite cyclic extension of and so must be either Z^2 or $Z_{-1}Z$. Thus the homotopy bre of f is homotopy equivalent to f or f is bre homotopy equivalent to a surface bundle projection.

5.6 Bundles over RP^2 with $\emptyset = 0$

If we assume that the connecting homomorphism $@: _2(E) ! _{1}(F)$ is trivial then conditions (2), (3) and (5) of Lemma 5.20 simplify to conditions on E and the action of $_{1}(E)$ on $_{2}(E)$. These conditions almost sure to characterize the homotopy types of such bundle spaces; there is one more necessary condition, and for nonorientable manifolds there is a further possible obstruction, of order at most 2.

Theorem 5.23 Let M be a PD_4 -complex and let $m: M_u! M$ be the covering associated to $= \operatorname{Ker}(u)$, where $u: = {}_{1}(M)! Aut({}_{2}(M))$ is the natural action. Let x be the generator of $H^1(Z=2Z; \mathbb{Z})$. If M is homotopy equivalent to the total space of a bre bundle over RP^2 with bre an

aspherical closed surface and with @=0 then $_2(M)=Z$, u is surjective, $w_2(M_u)=w_1(M_u)^2$ and u x^3 has image 0 in $H^3(M;\mathbb{F}_2)$. Moreover the homomorphism from $H^2(M;Z^u)$ to $H^2(S^2;Z^u)$ induced by a generator of $_2(M)$ is onto. Conversely, if M is orientable these conditions imply that M is homotopy equivalent to such a bundle space. If M is nonorientable there is a further obstruction of order at most 2.

Proof The necessity of most of these conditions follows from Lemma 5.20. The additional condition holds since the covering projection from S^2 to RP^2 induces an isomorphism $H^2(RP^2; Z^U) = H^2(S^2; Z^U) = H^2(S^2; \mathbb{Z})$.

Suppose that they hold. Let $g: S^2 ! P_2(RP^2)$ and $j: S^2 ! M$ represent generators for $_2(P_2(RP^2))$ and $_2(M)$, respectively. After replacing M by a homotopy equivalent space if necessary, we may assume that j is the inclusion of a subcomplex. We may identify u with a map from M to K(Z=2Z;1), via the isomorphism [M; K(Z=2Z;1)] = Hom(;Z=2Z). The only obstruction to the construction of a map from M to $P_2(RP^2)$ which extends g and lifts u lies in $H^3(M;S^2;Z^u)$, since $u_{-2}(RP^2) = Z^u$. This group maps injectively to $H^3(M;Z^u)$, since restriction maps $H^2(M;Z^u)$ onto $H^2(S^2;Z^u)$, and so this obstruction is 0, since its image in $H^3(M;Z^u)$ is $u k_1(RP^2) = u x^3 = 0$. Therefore there is a map $h: M ! P_2(RP^2)$ such that $_1(h) = u$ and $_2(h)$ is an isomorphism. The set of such maps is parametrized by $H^2(M;S^2;Z^u)$.

As Z=2Z acts trivially on $_3(RP^2)=Z$ the second k-invariant of RP^2 lies in $H^4(P_2(RP^2);Z)$. This group is in nite cyclic, and is generated by $t=k_2(RP^2)$. (See $\cancel{K}3.12$ of [Si67].) The obstruction to lifting h to a map from M to $P_3(RP^2)$ is h t. Let $n: P_2(RP^2) ! P_2(RP^2)$ be the universal covering, and let Z be a generator of $H^2(P_2(RP^2);\mathbb{Z})=Z$. Then h lifts to a map $h_u: M_u! P_2(RP^2)$, so that $nh_u=hm$. (Note that h_u is determined by h_uZ , since $P_2(RP^2) ' K(Z;2)$.)

The covering space M_u is homotopy equivalent to the total space of an S^2 -bundle q: E ! F, where F is an aspherical closed surface, by Theorem 5.14. Since acts trivially on $_2(M_u)$ the bundle is orientable (i.e., $W_1(q) = 0$) and so $q \ W_2(q) = W_2(E) + W_1(E)^2$, by the Whitney sum formula. Therefore $q \ W_2(q) = 0$, since $W_2(M_u) = W_1(M_u)^2$, and so $W_2(q) = 0$, since q is 2-connected. Hence the bundle is trivial, by Lemma 5.11, and so M_u is homotopy equivalent to $S^2 \ F$. Let j_F and j_S be the inclusions of the factors. Then $h_u j_S$ generates $_2(P_2)$. We may choose h so that $h_u j_F$ is null homotopic. Then $h_u z$ is Poincare dual to $j_F \ [F]$, and so $h_u z^2 = 0$, since $j_F \ [F]$ has self intersection 0. As $n \ t$ is a multiple of z^2 , it follows that $m \ h \ t = 0$.

If M is orientable $m = H^4(m; \mathbb{Z})$ is a monomorphism and so h t = 0. Hence h lifts to a map f: M ! $P_3(RP^2)$. As $P_3(RP^2)$ may be constructed from RP^2 by adjoining cells of dimension at least 5 we may assume that f maps M into RP^2 , after a homotopy if necessary. Since $_1(f) = u$ is an epimorphism and $_2(f)$ is an isomorphism f is bre homotopy equivalent to the projection of an F-bundle over RP^2 , by Lemma 5.22.

In general, we may assume that h maps the 3-skeleton $M^{[3]}$ to RP^2 . Let w be a generator of $H^2(P_2(RP^2); \mathbb{Z}) = H^2(RP^2; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ and de ne a function $: H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}^u) ! H^4(M; \mathbb{Z})$ by (g) = g [g + g [h w] for all $g : \mathbb{Z} H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}^u)$. If M is nonorientable $H^4(M; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} = 2\mathbb{Z}$ and is a homomorphism. The sole obstruction to extending $hj_{M^{[3]}}$ to a map $f : M ! RP^2$ is the image of $h : \mathbb{Z} H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}^u)$ is independent of the choice of lift h. (See $x : \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}$).

Are these hypotheses independent? A closed 4-manifold M with $= _1(M)$ a PD_2 -group and $_2(M)=Z$ is homotopy equivalent to the total space of an S^2 -bundle p:E! B, where B is an aspherical closed surface. Therefore if u is nontrivial M_u ' E^+ , where $q:E^+$! B^+ is the bundle induced over a double cover of B. As $w_1(q)=0$ and q $w_2(q)=0$, by part (3) of Lemma 5.11, we have $w_1(E^+)=q$ $w_1(B^+)$ and $w_2(E^+)=q$ $w_2(B^+)$, by the Whitney sum formula. Hence $w_2(M_u)=w_1(M_u)^2$. (In particular, $w_2(M_u)=0$ if M is orientable.) Moreover since c:d:=2 the condition u $x^3=0$ is automatic. (It shall follow directly from the results of Chapter 10 that any such S^2 -bundle space with u nontrivial bres over RP^2 , even if it is not orientable.)

On the other hand, if Z=2Z is a (semi)direct factor of the cohomology of Z=2Z is a direct summand of that of and so the image of x^3 in $H^3(\ ; \mathbb{Z})$ is nonzero.

Is the obstruction always 0 in the nonorientable cases?

Chapter 6

Simple homotopy type and surgery

The problem of determining the high-dimensional manifolds within a given homotopy type has been successfully reduced to the determination of normal invariants and surgery obstructions. This strategy applies also in dimension 4, provided that the fundamental group is in the class SA generated from groups with subexponential growth by extensions and increasing unions [FT95]. (Essentially all the groups in this class that we shall discuss in this book are in fact virtually solvable). We may often avoid this hypothesis by using 5-dimensional surgery to construct s-cobordisms.

We begin by showing that the Whitehead group of the fundamental group is trivial for surface bundles over surfaces, most circle bundles over geometric 3-manifolds and for many mapping tori. In x2 we de ne the modi ed surgery structure set, parametrizing s-cobordism classes of simply homotopy equivalences of closed 4-manifolds. This notion allows partial extensions of surgery arguments to situations where the fundamental group is not elementary amenable. Although many papers on surgery do not explicitly consider the 4-dimensional cases, their results may often be adapted to these cases. In x3 we comment briefly on approaches to the s-cobordism theorem and classi cation using stabilization by connected sum with copies of S^2 or by cartesian product with R.

In x4 we show that 4-manifolds M such that $= _1(M)$ is torsion free virtually poly-Z and (M) = 0 are determined up to homeomorphism by their fundamental group (and Stiefel-Whitney classes, if $h(\) < 4$). We also characterize 4-dimensional mapping tori with torsion free, elementary amenable fundamental group and show that the structure sets for total spaces of RP^2 -bundles over T or Kb are nite. In x5 we extend this niteness to RP^2 -bundle spaces over closed hyperbolic surfaces and show that total spaces of bundles with bre S^2 or an aspherical closed surface over aspherical bases are determined up to s-cobordism by their homotopy type. (We shall consider bundles with base or bre geometric 3-manifolds in Chapter 13).

6.1 The Whitehead group

In this section we shall rely heavily upon the work of Waldhausen in [Wd78]. The class of groups C/ is the smallest class of groups containing the trivial group and which is closed under generalised free products and HNN extensions with amalgamation over regular coherent subgroups and under ltering direct limit. This class is also closed under taking subgroups, by Proposition 19.3 of [Wd78]. If G is in C/ then Wh(G)=0, by Theorem 19.4 of [Wd78]. The argument for this theorem actually shows that if G=A C B and C is regular coherent then there are \Mayer-Vietoris'' sequences:

Wh(A) Wh(B) ! Wh(G) ! $K(\mathbb{Z}[C])$! $K(\mathbb{Z}[A])$ $K(\mathbb{Z}[B])$! $K(\mathbb{Z}[G])$! 0; and similarly if G = A C. (See Sections 17.1.3 and 17.2.3 of [Wd78]).

The class CI contains all free groups and poly-Z groups and the class X of Chapter 2. (In particular, all the groups Z_m are in CI). Since every PD_2 -group is either poly-Z or is the generalised free product of two free groups with amalgamation over in nite cyclic subgroups it is regular coherent, and is in CI. Hence homotopy equivalences between S^2 -bundles over aspherical surfaces are simple. The following extension implies the corresponding result for quotients of such bundle spaces by free involutions.

Theorem 6.1 Let be a semidirect product $\sim (Z=2Z)$ where is a surface group. Then $Wh(\cdot) = 0$.

Proof Assume rst that (Z=2Z). Let $=\mathbb{Z}[\]$. There is a cartesian = (Z=2Z)] as the pullback of the reduction of square expressing $[Z=2Z] = \mathbb{Z}[$ coe cients map from to $z = -2 = \mathbb{Z} = 2\mathbb{Z}[$] over itself. (The two maps from [Z=2Z] to send the generator of Z=2Z to +1 and -1, respectively). The Mayer-Vietoris sequence for algebraic K-theory traps $K_1([Z=2Z])$ between $K_2(2)$ and $K_1(2)^2$ (see Theorem 6.4 of [Mi]). Now since c:d: = 2 the higher K-theory of $R[\]$ can be computed in terms of the homology of with coe cients in the K-theory of R (cf. the Corollary to Theorem 5 of the introduction of [Wd78]). In particular, the map from $K_2(\)$ to $K_2(\ _2)$ is onto, while $K_1(\)=K_1(\mathbb{Z})$ $(\ =\ ^{\theta})$ and $K_1(\ _2)=\ =\ ^{\theta}.$ It now follows easily that $K_1([Z=2Z])$ is generated by the images of $K_1(\mathbb{Z}) = f + 1g$ and and so Wh((Z=2Z))=0.

If $= {}^{\sim}(Z=2Z)$ is not such a direct product it is isomorphic to a discrete subgroup of $Isom(\mathbb{X})$ which acts properly discontinuously on X, where $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{E}^2$ or \mathbb{H}^2 . (See [EM82], [Zi]). The singularities of the corresponding 2-orbifold

X= are either cone points of order 2 or reflector curves; there are no corner points and no cone points of higher order. Let jX=j be the surface obtained by forgetting the orbifold structure of X=, and let m be the number of cone points. Then $(jX=j)-(m=2)=_{orb}(X=)$ 0, by the Riemann-Hurwitz formula [Sc83'], so either (jX=j) 0 or (jX=j)=1 and m=2 or $jX=j=S^2$ and m=4.

We may separate X= along embedded circles (avoiding the singularities) into pieces which are either (i) discs with at least two cone points; (ii) annuli with one cone point; (iii) annuli with one boundary a reflector curve; or (iv) surfaces other than D^2 with nonempty boundary. In each case the inclusions of the separating circles induce monomorphisms on orbifold fundamental groups, and so is a generalized free product with amalgamation over copies of Z of groups of the form (i) ${}^m(Z=2Z)$ (with m=2); (ii) Z=(Z=2Z); (iii) Z=(Z=2Z); or (iv) mZ , by the Van Kampen theorem for orbifolds [Sc83]. The Mayer-Vietoris sequences for algebraic K-theory now give Wh()=0.

The argument for the direct product case is based on one for showing that Wh(Z (Z=2Z)) = 0 from [Kw86].

Not all such orbifold groups arise in this way. For instance, the orbifold fundamental group of a torus with one cone point of order 2 has the presentation $hx;yj[x;y]^2=1i$. Hence it has torsion free abelianization, and so cannot be a semidirect product as above.

The orbifold fundamental groups of flat 2-orbifolds are the 2-dimensional crystallographic groups. Their nite subgroups are cyclic or dihedral, of order properly dividing 24, and have trivial Whitehead group. In fact $Wh(\)=0$ for any such 2-dimensional crystallographic group [Pe98]. (If is the fundamental group of an orientable hyperbolic 2-orbifold with k cone points of orders $fn_1::::n_kg$ then $Wh(\)=\binom{k}{i-1}Wh(Z=n_iZ)$ [LS00]).

The argument for the next result is essentially due to F.T.Farrell.

Theorem 6.2 If is an extension of $_1(B)$ by $_1(F)$ where B and F are aspherical closed surfaces then $Wh(\)=0$.

Proof If (B) < 0 then B admits a complete riemannian metric of constant negative curvature -1. Moreover the only virtually poly-Z subgroups of $_1(B)$ are 1 and Z. If G is the preimage in $_1(F)$ or is the group of a Haken 3-manifold. It follows easily that for any $_1(F)$ or is in $_1(F)$ or $_1(F)$ is in $_1(F)$ and so $_1(F)$ is $_1(F)$ or $_1(F)$ is in $_1(F)$ and so $_1(F)$ is $_1(F)$ or $_1(F)$ in $_1(F)$ is in $_1(F)$ and so $_1(F)$ in $_1(F)$ in $_1(F)$ in $_1(F)$ in $_1(F)$ is in $_1(F)$ and so $_1(F)$ in $_1($

is K-flat and so the bundle is admissible, in the terminology of [FJ86]. Hence $Wh(\)=0$ by the main result of that paper.

If (B) = 0 then this argument does not work, although if moreover (F) = 0is poly-Z so Wh() = 0 by Theorem 2.13 of [FJ]. We shall sketch an argument of Farrell for the general case. Lemma 1.4.2 and Theorem 2.1 of [FJ93] together yield a spectral sequence (with coe cients in a simplicial cosheaf) whose E^2 term is $H_i(X={}_1(B);Wh_i^{I}(p^{-1}({}_1(B)^X)))$ and which converges to $Wh_{i+j}^{\emptyset}(\)$. Here $p:\ !$ 1(B) is the epimorphism of the extension and Xis a certain universal $_1(B)$ -complex which is contractible and such that all the nontrivial isotropy subgroups $_{1}(B)^{x}$ are in nite cyclic and the xed point set of each in nite cyclic subgroup is a contractible (nonempty) subcomplex. The Whitehead groups with negative indices are the lower K-theory of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ (i.e., $Wh_n^{\emptyset}(G) = K_n(\mathbb{Z}[G])$ for all n = -1), while $Wh_0^{\emptyset}(G) = K_0(\mathbb{Z}[G])$ and $Wh_1^{\emptyset}(G) = Wh(G)$. Note that $Wh_{-n}^{\emptyset}(G)$ is a direct summand of Wh(G) Z^{n+1}). If i+j > 1 then $Wh_{i+j}^{\emptyset}(\cdot)$ agrees rationally with the higher Whitehead group $Wh_{i+j}(\cdot)$. Since the isotropy subgroups ${}_{1}(B)^{x}$ are in nite cyclic or trivial $Wh(p^{-1}(_1(B)^x)$ Z^n) = 0 for all n 0, by the argument of the above paragraph, and so $Wh_i^{j}(p^{-1}(_{1}(B)^{x})) = 0$ if j = 1. Hence the spectral sequence gives Wh() = 0.

A closed 3-manifold is a *Haken manifold* if it is irreducible and contains an incompressible 2-sided surface. Every Haken 3-manifold either has solvable fundamental group or may be decomposed along a nite family of disjoint incompressible tori and Klein bottles so that the complementary components are Seifert bred or hyperbolic. It is an open question whether every closed irreducible orientable 3-manifold with in nite fundamental group is virtually Haken (i.e., nitely covered by a Haken manifold). (Non-orientable 3-manifolds are Haken). Every virtually Haken 3-manifold is either Haken, hyperbolic or Seifert- bred, by [CS83] and [GMT96]. A closed irreducible 3-manifold is a *graph manifold* if either it has solvable fundamental group or it may be decomposed along a nite family of disjoint incompressible tori and Klein bottles so that the complementary components are Seifert bred. (There are several competing de nitions of graph manifold in the literature).

Theorem 6.3 Let = Z where is torsion free and is the fundamental group of a closed 3-manifold N which is a connected sum of graph manifolds. Then is regular coherent and Wh() = 0.

Proof The group is a generalized free product with amalgamation along poly-Z subgroups (1, Z^2 or $Z_{-1}Z$) of polycyclic groups and fundamental

groups of Seifert bred 3-manifolds (possibly with boundary). The group rings of torsion free polycyclic groups are regular noetherian, and hence regular coherent. If G is the fundamental group of a Seifert bred 3-manifold then it has a subgroup G_0 of nite index which is a central extension of the fundamental group of a surface B (possibly with boundary) by Z. We may assume that G is not solvable and hence that (B) < 0. If @B is nonempty then $G_0 = Z F$ and so is an iterated generalized free product of copies of Z^2 , with amalgamation along in nite cyclic subgroups. Otherwise we may split B along an essential curve and represent G_0 as the generalised free product of two such groups, with amalgamation along a copy of Z^2 . In both cases G_0 is regular coherent, and therefore so is G, since $[G:G_0] < 1$ and c:d:G < 1.

All 3-manifold groups are coherent as *groups* [Hm]. If we knew that their group *rings* were regular coherent then we could use [Wd78] instead of [FJ86] to give a purely algebraic proof of Theorem 6.2, for as surface groups are free products of free groups with amalgamation over an in nite cyclic subgroup, an extension of one surface group by another is a free product of groups with Wh = 0, amalgamated over the group of a surface bundle over S^1 . Similarly, we could deduce from [Wd78] that Wh(Z) = 0 for any torsion free group $= _1(N)$ where N is a closed 3-manifold whose irreducible factors are Haken, hyperbolic or Seifert bred.

Theorem 6.4 Let be a group with an in nite cyclic normal subgroup A such that = A is torsion free and is a free product = A is to show that A is torsion free and is a free product = A is to show that A is to show that A is torsion free and is a free product = A is torsion free and is a free product = A is to show that A is torsion free and A is torsion free and A is to show that A is torsion free and A is to show that A is torsion free and A i

Proof (Note that our hypotheses allow the possibility that some of the factors *i* are in nite cyclic). Let *i* be the preimage of *i* in *i*, for 1 *i* n. Then is the generalized free product of the *i*'s, amalgamated over in nite cyclic

subgroups. For all 1 i n we have $Wh(_i) = 0$, by Lemma 1.1 of [St84] if $K(_i;1)$ is Haken, by the main result of [FJ86] if it is hyperbolic, by an easy extension of the argument of [Pl80] if it is Seifert bred but not Haken and by Theorem 19.5 of [Wd78] if $_i$ is in nite cyclic. The Mayer-Vietoris sequences for algebraic K-theory now give $Wh(_i) = Wh(_i) = 0$ also.

Theorem 6.4 may be used to strengthen Theorem 4.11 to give criteria for a closed 4-manifold M to be *simple* homotopy equivalent to the total space of an S^1 -bundle, if the irreducible summands of the base N are all virtually Haken and $_1(M)$ is torsion free.

6.2 The s-cobordism structure set

Let M be a closed 4-manifold with fundamental group—and orientation character w: f 1g, and let G=TOP have the H-space multiplication determined by its loop space structure. Then the surgery obstruction maps $A_{i+1} = A_{i+1}^M : [M D^i; \mathscr{Q}(M D^i); G=TOP; f g] ! L_{4+i}^S(:w)$ are homomorphisms. If is in the class SA then $L_5^S(:w)$ acts on $S_{TOP}(M)$, and the surgery sequence

$$[SM; G=TOP] - \stackrel{F}{\cdot} L_5^S(\cdot; w) - \stackrel{!}{\cdot} S_{TOP}(M) - \stackrel{!}{\cdot} [M; G=TOP] - \stackrel{f}{\cdot} L_4^S(\cdot; w)$$

is an exact sequence of groups and pointed sets, i.e., the orbits of the action ! correspond to the normal invariants (f) of simple homotopy equivalences [FQ, FT95]. As it is not yet known whether 5-dimensional s-cobordisms over other fundamental groups are products, we shall rede ne the structure set by setting

$$S_{TOP}^{s}(M) = ff: N! M j N a TOP 4-manifold; f a simple h:e:g= ;$$

where f_1 f_2 if there is a map F:W! M with domain W an s-cobordism with $@W = N_1 \ [N_2 \ \text{and} \ Fj_{N_i} = f_i \ \text{for} \ i = 1/2$. If the s-cobordism theorem holds over this is the usual TOP structure set for M. We shall usually write $L_n(\ ; W)$ for $L_n^s(\ ; W)$ if $Wh(\) = 0$ and $L_n(\)$ if moreover W is trivial. When the orientation character is nontrivial and otherwise clear from the context we shall write $L_n(\ ; -)$.

The homotopy set [M; G=TOP] may be identified with the set of normal maps (f;b), where f:N! M is a degree 1 map and b is a stable framing of T_N f, for some TOP R^n -bundle over M. (If f:N! M is a homotopy equivalence, with homotopy inverse h, we shall let f = (f;b), where f = (f;b) and f = (f;b) is the framing determined by a homotopy from f = (f;b). The Postnikov 4-stage

of G=TOP is homotopy equivalent to K(Z=2Z;2) K(Z;4). Let k_2 generate $H^2(G=TOP;\mathbb{F}_2)=Z=2Z$ and l_4 generate $H^4(G=TOP;\mathbb{Z})=Z$. The function from [M;G=TOP] to $H^2(M;\mathbb{F}_2)$ $H^4(M;\mathbb{Z})$ which sends f to $(f^2(k_2);f^2(l_4))$ is an isomorphism.

The *Kervaire-Arf invariant* of a normal map $\mathfrak{G}: N^{2q}$! G=TOP is the image of the surgery obstruction in $L_{2q}(Z=2Z;-)=Z=2Z$ under the homomorphism induced by the orientation character, $c(\mathfrak{G})=L_{2q}(w_1(N))(\ _{2q}(\mathfrak{G}))$. The argument of Theorem 13.B.5 of [Wl] may be adapted to show that there are universal classes K_{4i+2} in $H^{4i+2}(G=TOP;\mathbb{F}_2)$ (for i=0) such that

$$c(\mathfrak{G}) = (w(M) [\mathfrak{G} ((1 + Sq^2 + Sq^2Sq^2) K_{4i+2})) \setminus [M]:$$

Moreover $K_2 = k_2$, since c induces the isomorphism $_2(G=TOP) = Z=2Z$. In the 4-dimensional case this expression simplifies to

$$c(\hat{g}) = (w_2(M) [\hat{g}(k_2) + \hat{g}(Sq^2k_2))[M] = (w_1(M)^2 [\hat{g}(k_2))[M]:$$

The *codimension-2 Kervaire invariant* of a 4-dimensional normal map g is $kerv(g) = g(k_2)$. Its value on a 2-dimensional homology class represented by an immersion g: Y: M is the Kervaire-Arf invariant of the normal map induced over the surface Y.

The structure set may overestimate the number of homeomorphism types within the homotopy type of M, if M has self homotopy equivalences which are not homotopic to homeomorphisms. Such \exotic" self homotopy equivalences may often be constructed as follows. Given $: S^2 ! M$, let $: S^4 ! M$ be the composition S, where is the Hopf map, and let $s: M! M_S^4$ be the pinch map obtained by shrinking the boundary of a 4-disc in M. Then the composite $f = (id_{E_S})s$ is a self homotopy equivalence of M.

Lemma 6.5 [No64] Let M be a closed 4-manifold and let $: S^2 ! M$ be a map such that $[S^2] \ne 0$ in $H_2(M; \mathbb{F}_2)$ and $W_2(M) = 0$. Then $kerv(\mathscr{F}) \ne 0$ and so f is not normally cobordant to a homeomorphism.

Proof There is a class $u ext{ } 2H_2(M; \mathbb{F}_2)$ such that $[S^2] ext{:} u = 1$, since $[S^2] ext{ } ext{ } ext{ } 0$. As low-dimensional homology classes may be realized by singular manifolds there is a closed surface Y and a map $y : Y ext{ } ! ext{ } M$ transverse to f and such that f[Y] = u. Then $y ext{ } kerv(\mathscr{C})[Y]$ is the Kervaire-Arf invariant of the normal map induced over Y and is nontrivial. (See Theorem 5.1 of [CH90] for details).

The family of surgery obstruction maps may be identified with a natural transformation from \mathbb{L}_0 -homology to L-theory. (In the nonorientable case we must use W-twisted \mathbb{L}_0 -homology). In dimension 4 the cobordism invariance of surgery obstructions (as in $\varkappa 13B$ of [WI]) leads to the following formula.

Theorem 6.6 [Da95] There are homomorphisms $I_0: H_0(:; Z^w) ! L_4(:; w)$ and $_2: H_2(:; \mathbb{F}_2) ! L_4(:; w)$ such that for any f: M ! G=TOP the surgery obstruction is $_4(f) = I_0c_M (f(I_4) \setminus [M]) + _2c_M (kerv(f) \setminus [M])$

If W=1 the signature homomorphism from $L_4(\)$ to Z is a left inverse for $I_0:Z!$ $L_4(\)$, but in general I_0 is not injective. This formula can be made somewhat more explicit as follows. Let KS(M) 2 $H^4(M;\mathbb{F}_2)$ be the Kirby-Siebenmann obstruction to lifting the TOP normal bration of M to a vector bundle. If M is orientable and (f;b):N! M is a degree 1 normal map with classifying map f then

$$(KS(M) - (f)^{-1}KS(N) - kerv(f)^{2})[M]$$
 ($(M) - (N)$)=8 mod (2): (See Lemma 15.5 of [Si71] - page 329 of [KS]).

Theorem [Da95, 6^{ℓ}] If $\hat{f} = (f;b)$ where f : N ! M is a degree 1 map then the surgery obstructions are given by

$$_4(\hat{f}) = I_0(((N) - (M))=8) + _2c_M(kerv(\hat{f}) \setminus [M])$$
 if $w = 1$, and $_4(\hat{f}) = I_0(KS(N) - KS(M) + kerv(\hat{f})^2) + _2c_M(kerv(\hat{f}) \setminus [M])$ if $w \neq 1$. (In the latter case we identify $H^4(M; \mathbb{Z})$, $H^4(N; \mathbb{Z})$ and $H^4(M; \mathbb{F}_2)$ with $H_0(; Z^w) = Z=2Z$).

The homomorphism $\ _4$ is trivial on the image of $\ _4$, but in general we do not know whether a 4-dimensional normal map with trivial surgery obstruction must be normally cobordant to a simple homotopy equivalence. In our applications we shall always have a simple homotopy equivalence in hand, and so if $\ _4$ is injective we can conclude that the homotopy equivalence is normally cobordant to the identity.

A more serious problem is that it is not clear how to de ne the action ! in general. We shall be able to circumvent this problem by *ad hoc* arguments in some cases. (There is always an action on the homological structure set, de ned in terms of $\mathbb{Z}[\]$ -homology equivalences [FQ]).

If we x an isomorphism $i_Z: Z ! L_5(Z)$ we may de ne a function $I: ! L_5^S()$ for any group by $I(g) = g(i_Z(1))$, where $g: Z = L_5(Z) ! L_5^S()$ is

induced by the homomorphism sending 1 in Z to g in $I_{Z} = I_{Z}$ and $I_{Z} = I_{Z}$ is natural in the sense that if $f: I_{Z} = I_{Z}$ is a homomorphism then $I_{Z} = I_{Z}$ induce an isomorphism from $I_{Z} = I_{Z}$ induce an isomorphism from $I_{Z} = I_{Z}$ induce an isomorphism from $I_{Z} = I_{Z} = I_{Z}$ induce an isomorphism from $I_{Z} = I_{Z} = I_{$

Theorem 6.7 Let M be a closed 4-manifold with fundamental group and let $w = w_1(M)$. Given any $2 \operatorname{Ker}(w)$ there is a normal cobordism from id_M to itself with surgery obstruction $I^+(\) \ 2 \ L_5^s(\ ; w)$.

Proof We may assume that is represented by a simple closed curve with a product neighbourhood $U = S^1$ D^3 . Let P be the E_8 manifold [FQ] and delete the interior of a submanifold homeomorphic to D^3 [0;1] to obtain P_0 . There is a normal map $p:P_0!$ D^3 [0;1] (rel boundary). The surgery obstruction for p id_{S^1} in $L_5(Z) = L_4(1)$ is given by a codimension-1 signature (see x12B of [W1]), and generates $L_5(Z)$. Let Y = (MnintU) [0;1] $[P_0]$ S^1 , where we identify (@U) [0;1] = S^1 S^2 [0;1] with S^2 [0;1] S^1 in $@P_0$ S^1 . Matching together $idj_{(MnintU)}$ [0;1] and p id_{S^1} gives a normal cobordism Q from id_M to itself. The theorem now follows by the additivity of surgery obstructions and naturality of the homomorphisms I^+ .

Corollary 6.7.1 Let : $L_5^s()$! $L_5(Z)^d = Z^d$ be the homomorphism induced by a basis f_1 ; ...; $_dg$ for Hom(;Z). If M is orientable, $f:M_1$! M is a simple homotopy equivalence and $2L_5(Z)^d$ there is a normal cobordism from f to itself whose surgery obstruction in $L_5()$ has image under .

Proof If f_1 ; ...; dg_2 represents a \dual basis" for $H_1(\cdot; \mathbb{Z})$ modulo torsion (so that $f_1(\cdot; \mathbb{Z})$ for $f_2(\cdot; \mathbb{Z})$ for $f_3(\cdot; \mathbb{Z})$ for $f_3(\cdot; \mathbb{Z})$ for $f_3(\cdot; \mathbb{Z})$ d), then $f_3(\cdot; \mathbb{Z})$ ($f_3(\cdot; \mathbb{Z})$ d) is a basis for $f_3(\cdot; \mathbb{Z})$ d.

If is free or is a PD_2^+ -group the homomorphism is an isomorphism [Ca73]. In most of the other cases of interest to us the following corollary applies.

Corollary 6.7.2 If M is orientable and $Ker(\)$ is nite then $S_{TOP}^s(M)$ is nite. In particular, this is so if $Coker(\ _5)$ is nite.

Geometry & Topology Monographs, Volume 5 (2002)

Proof The signature di erence maps $[M; G=TOP] = H^4(M; \mathbb{Z})$ $H^2(M; \mathbb{F}_2)$ onto $L_4(1) = Z$ and so there are only nitely many normal cobordism classes of simple homotopy equivalences $f: M_1 ! M$. Moreover, Ker() is nite if $_5$ has nite cokernel, since $[SM; G=TOP] = Z^d (Z=2Z)^d$. Suppose that F: N ! M I is a normal cobordism between two simple homotopy equivalences $F_- = Fj@_-N$ and $F_+ = Fj@_+N$. By Theorem 6.7 there is another normal cobordism $F^0: N^0 ! M I$ from F_+ to itself with $(_5(F^0)) = (_{-5}(F))$. The union of these two normal cobordisms along $@_+N = @_-N^0$ is a normal cobordism from F_- to F_+ with surgery obstruction in Ker(). If this obstruction is 0 we may obtain an S-cobordism W by 5-dimensional surgery (rel @).

The surgery obstruction groups for a semidirect product = G Z, may be related to those of the (nitely presentable) normal subgroup G by means of Theorem 12.6 of [Wl]. If Wh() = Wh(G) = 0 this theorem asserts that there is an exact sequence

where t generates modulo G and $= L_m(\ / wj_G)$. The following lemma is adapted from Theorem 15.B.1 of [WI].

Lemma 6.8 Let M be the mapping torus of a self homeomorphism of an aspherical closed (n-1)-manifold N. Suppose that $Wh(_1(M)) = 0$. If the homomorphisms $_{i}^{N}$ are isomorphisms for all large i then so are the $_{i}^{M}$.

Proof This is an application of the 5-lemma and periodicity, as in pages 229-230 of [Wl].

The hypotheses of this lemma are satis ed if n=4 and $_1(N)$ is square root closed accessible [Ca73], or N is orientable and $_1(N)>0$ [Ro00], or is hyperbolic or virtually solvable [FJ], or admits an e-ective S^1 -action with orientable orbit space [St84, NS85]. It remains an open question whether aspherical closed manifolds with isomorphic fundamental groups must be homeomorphic. This has been verified in higher dimensions in many cases, in particular under geometric assumptions [FJ], and under assumptions on the combinatorial structure of the group [Ca73, St84, NS85]. We shall see that many aspherical 4-manifolds are determined up to S-cobordism by their groups.

There are more general \Mayer-Vietoris" sequences which lead to calculations of the surgery obstruction groups for certain generalized free products and HNN extensions in terms of those of their building blocks [Ca73, St87].

Lemma 6.9 Let be either the group of a nite graph of groups, all of whose vertex groups are in nite cyclic, or a square root closed accessible group of cohomological dimension 2. Then I^+ is an isomorphism. If M is a closed 4-manifold with fundamental group the surgery obstruction maps $_4(M)$ and $_5(M)$ are epimorphisms.

Proof Since is in CI we have Wh() = 0 and a comparison of Mayer-Vietoris sequences shows that the assembly map from $H(;\mathbb{L}_0^w)$ to L(;w) is an isomorphism [Ca73, St87]. Since c:d: 2 and $H_1(\operatorname{Ker}(w);\mathbb{Z})$ maps onto $H_1(;Z^w)$ the component of this map in degree 1 may be identified with I^+ . In general, the surgery obstruction maps factor through the assembly map. Since c:d: 2 the homomorphism $c_M:H(M;D) ! H(;D)$ is onto for any local coefficient module D, and so the lemma follows.

The class of groups considered in this lemma includes free groups, PD_2 -groups and the groups Z_m . Note however that if is a PD_2 -group w need not be the canonical orientation character.

6.3 Stabilization and h-cobordism

It has long been known that many results of high dimensional di erential topology hold for smooth 4-manifolds after stabilizing by connected sum with copies S^2 [CS71, FQ80, La79, Qu83]. In particular, if M and N are hof S^2 cobordant closed smooth 4-manifolds then $M/(J^kS^2)$ S^2) is di eomorphic to $N / (l^k S^2)$ S^2) for some k0. In the spin case $W_2(M) = 0$ this is an elementary consequence of the existence of a well-indexed handle decomposition of the h-cobordism [Wa64]. In Chapter VII of [FQ] it is shown that 5-dimensional TOP cobordisms have handle decompositions relative to a component of their boundaries, and so a similar result holds for h-cobordant closed TOP 4-manifolds. Moreover, if M is a TOP 4-manifold then KS(M) = 0 if and only if $MJ(J^kS^2 S^2)$ is smoothable for some k0 [LS71].

These results suggest the following de nition. Two 4-manifolds M_1 and M_2 are stably homeomorphic if $M_1J(J^kS^2-S^2)$ and $M_2J(J^lS^2-S^2)$ are homeomorphic, for some k, l 0. (Thus h-cobordant closed 4-manifolds are stably homeomorphic). Clearly $_1(M)$, $_1(M)$, the orbit of $_2(M)$ in $_1(M)$ in $_1(M)$, $_2(M)$ under the action of $_1(M)$, and the parity of $_1(M)$ are invariant under stabilization. If $_1(M)$ is orientable $_1(M)$ is also invariant.

Kreck has shown that (in any dimension) classi cation up to stable homeomorphism (or di eomorphism) can be reduced to bordism theory. There are

three cases: If $w_2(\mathcal{M}) \not = 0$ and $w_2(\mathcal{N}) \not = 0$ then \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} are stably homeomorphic if and only if for some choices of orientations and identication of the fundamental groups the invariants listed above agree (in an obvious manner). If $w_2(\mathcal{M}) = w_2(\mathcal{N}) = 0$ then \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} are stably homeomorphic if and only if for some choices of orientations, Spin structures and identication of the fundamental group they represent the same element in $\frac{SpinTOP}{4}(\mathcal{K}(\cdot;1))$. The most complicated case is when \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} are not Spin, but the universal covers are Spin. (See [Kr99], [Te] for expositions of Kreck's ideas).

We shall not pursue this notion of stabilization further (with one minor exception, in Chapter 14), for it is somewhat at odds with the tenor of this book. The manifolds studied here usually have minimal Euler characteristic, and often are aspherical. Each of these properties disappears after stabilization. We may however also stabilize by cartesian product with R, and there is then the following simple but satisfying result.

Lemma 6.10 Closed 4-manifolds M and N are h-cobordant if and only if M R and N R are homeomorphic.

Proof If W is an h-cobordism from M to N (with fundamental group = $_1(W)$) then W S^1 is an h-cobordism from M S^1 to N S^1 . The torsion is 0 in Wh(Z), by Theorem 23.2 of [Co], and so there is a homeomorphism from M S^1 to N S^1 which carries $_1(M)$ to $_1(N)$. Hence M R = N R. Conversely, if M R = N R then M R contains a copy of N disjoint from M f0g, and the region M between M f0g and N is an h-cobordism. \square

6.4 Manifolds with $_1$ elementary amenable and $_2$

In this section we shall show that closed manifolds satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 3.17 and with torsion free fundamental group are determined up to homeomorphism by their homotopy type. As a consequence, closed 4-manifolds with torsion free elementary amenable fundamental group and Euler characteristic 0 are homeomorphic to mapping tori. We also estimate the structure sets for RP^2 -bundles over T or Kb. In the remaining cases involving torsion computation of the surgery obstructions is much more dicult. We shall comment briefly on these cases in Chapters 10 and 11.

Theorem 6.11 Let M be a closed 4-manifold with (M) = 0 and whose fundamental group—is torsion free, coherent, locally virtually indicable and restrained. Then M is determined up to homeomorphism by its homotopy type. If moreover $h(\) = 4$ then every automorphism of—is realized by a self homeomorphism of M.

Proof By Theorem 3.17 either = Z or Z_m for some $m \not \in 0$, or M is aspherical, is virtually poly-Z and $h(\cdot) = 4$. Hence $Wh(\cdot) = 0$, in all cases. If = Z or Z_m then the surgery obstruction homomorphisms are epimorphisms, by Lemma 6.9. We may calculate $L_4(\cdot; W)$ by means of Theorem 12.6 of [WI], or more generally x3 of [St87], and we not that if = Z or Z_{2n} then $_4(M)$ is in fact an isomorphism. If $= Z_{2n+1}$ then there are two normal cobordism classes of homotopy equivalences h: X: M. Let generate the image of $H^2(\cdot; \mathbb{F}_2) = Z=2Z$ in $H^2(M; \mathbb{F}_2) = (Z=2Z)^2$, and let $j: S^2: M$ represent the unique nontrivial spherical class in $H_2(M; \mathbb{F}_2)$. Then $^2 = 0$, since c:d: = 2, and $y: S^2 = 0$, since c:d: = 2, and $y: S^2 = 0$, since c:d: = 2 and $y: S^2 = 0$, since c:d: = 2 and $y: S^2 = 0$, since c:d: = 2 and $y: S^2 = 0$, since c:d: = 2 and $y: S^2 = 0$ and so $c:d: S^2 = 0$. Hence $c:d: S^2 = 0$ is Poincare dual to $c:d: S^2 = 0$ and so $c:d: S^2 = 0$. Hence $c:d: S^2 = 0$ is Poincare dual to $c:d: S^2 = 0$ and so $c:d: S^2 = 0$. Therefore each of these two normal cobordism classes contains a self homotopy equivalence of $c:d: S^2 = 0$.

If M is aspherical, is virtually poly-Z and h() = 4 then $S_{TOP}(M)$ has just one element, by Theorem 2.16 of [FJ]. The theorem now follows.

Corollary 6.11.1 Let M be a closed 4-manifold with (M) = 0 and fundamental group = Z, Z^2 or $Z_{-1}Z$. Then M is determined up to homeomorphism by and w(M).

Proof If = Z then M is homotopy equivalent to the total space of an S^3 -bundle over S^1 , by Theorem 4.2, while if $= Z^2$ or $Z_{-1}Z$ it is homotopy equivalent to the total space of an S^2 -bundle over T or Kb, by Theorem 5.10.

Is the homotopy type of M also determined by and w(M) if $= Z_m$ for some jmj > 1?

We may now give an analogue of the Farrell and Stallings bration theorems for 4-manifolds with torsion free elementary amenable fundamental group.

Theorem 6.12 Let M be a closed 4-manifold whose fundamental group—is torsion free and elementary amenable. A map $f: M! S^1$ is homotopic to a bre bundle projection if and only if (M) = 0 and f induces an epimorphism from—to Z with almost—nitely presentable kernel.

Proof The conditions are clearly necessary. Suppose that they hold. Let $= \text{Ker}(_1(f))$, let M be the in nite cyclic covering space of M with fundamental group = And + t = t and let t : M = t be a generator of the group of covering

transformations. By Corollary 4.5.3 either = 1 (so $M ' S^3$) or = Z (so $M ' S^2 S^1$ or $S^2 \sim S^1$) or M is aspherical. In the latter case is a torsion free virtually poly-Z group, by Theorem 1.11 and Theorem 9.23 of [Bi]. Thus in all cases there is a homotopy equivalence f from M to a closed 3-manifold N. Moreover the self homotopy equivalence f tf^{-1} of N is homotopic to a homeomorphism, g say, and so f is bre homotopy equivalent to the canonical projection of the mapping torus M(g) onto S^1 . It now follows from Theorem 6.11 that any homotopy equivalence from M to M(g) is homotopic to a homeomorphism.

The structure sets of the RP^2 -bundles over T or Kb are also nite.

Theorem 6.13 Let M be the total space of an \mathbb{RP}^2 -bundle over T or Kb. Then $S_{TOP}(M)$ has order at most 32.

Proof As M is nonorientable $H^4(M;\mathbb{Z}) = Z=2Z$ and as $_1(M;\mathbb{F}_2) = 3$ and (M) = 0 we have $H^2(M;\mathbb{F}_2) = (Z=2Z)^4$. Hence [M;G=TOP] has order 32. Let $W = W_1(M)$. It follows from the Shaneson-Wall splitting theorem (Theorem 12.6 of [WI]) that $L_4(\cdot;W) = L_4(Z=2Z;-)$ $L_2(Z=2Z;-) = (Z=2Z)^2$, detected by the Kervaire-Arf invariant and the codimension-2 Kervaire invariant. Similarly $L_5(\cdot;W) = L_4(Z=2Z;-)^2$ and the projections to the factors are Kervaire-Arf invariants of normal maps induced over codimension-1 submanifolds. (In applying the splitting theorem, note that $Wh(Z-(Z=2Z)) = Wh(\cdot) = 0$, by Theorem 6.1 above). Hence $S_{TOP}(M)$ has order at most 128.

The Kervaire-Arf homomorphism c is onto, since $c(\mathfrak{G}) = (w^2 [\mathfrak{G}(k_2)) \setminus [M], w^2 \neq 0$ and every element of $H^2(M; \mathbb{F}_2)$ is equal to $\mathfrak{G}(k_2)$ for some normal map $\mathfrak{G}: M!$ G=TOP. Similarly there is a normal map $f_2: X_2!$ RP^2 with $2(f_2) \neq 0$ in $L_2(Z=2Z; -)$. If $M=RP^2$ B, where B=T or Kb is the base of the bundle, then f_2 $id_B: X_2$ B! RP^2 B is a normal map with surgery obstruction $(0; 2(f_2)) 2 L_4(Z=2Z; -) L_2(Z=2Z; -)$. We may assume that f_2 is a homeomorphism over a disc RP^2 . As the nontrivial bundles may be obtained from the product bundles by cutting M along RP^2 and regluing via the twist map of RP^2 S^1 , the normal maps for the product bundles may be compatibly modily edges to give normal maps with nonzero obstructions in the other cases. Hence A is onto and so A of A has order at most A of A is onto and so A of A has order at most A of A is onto and so A of A has order at most A of A is onto and so A of A has order at most A of A is onto and so A of A has order at most A of A is onto and so A of A has order at most A of A is onto and so A of A has order at most A of A is onto and so A of A has order at most A of A is onto and so A of A is onto and so A of A has order at most A is onto and A of A is onto and so A of A has order at most A is onto and A of A is onto and A of A has order at most A is onto and A of A is onto and A of A has order at most A of A is onto an A of A is onto an A of A has order at most A of A is onto an A of A in A in A is onto an A of A in A in A in A in A in

In each case $H_2(M; \mathbb{F}_2) = H_2(\ ; \mathbb{F}_2)$, so the argument of Lemma 6.5 does not apply. However we can improve our estimate in the abelian case.

Theorem 6.14 Let M be the total space of an \mathbb{RP}^2 -bundle over T. Then $L_5(\ ; W)$ acts trivially on the class of id_M in $S_{TOP}(M)$.

Let $_i$ be a simple closed curve in $\mathcal T$ which represents t_i 2 . Then $_i$ has a product neighbourhood $N_i = S^1$ [-1,1] whose preimage U_i [-1/1]. As in Theorem 6.13 there is a norhomeomorphic to RP^2 S^1 mal map f_4 : X_4 ! RP^2 $[-1;1]^2$ (rel boundary) with $_4(f_4) \neq 0$ in $L_4(Z=2Z;-)$. Let $Y_i = (MnintU_i)$ $[-1;1] [X_4] S^1$, where we identify $(@U_i) \quad [-1;1] = RP^2$ S^1 [-1;1] with RP^2 [-1;1] S^0 in $@X_4$ S^1 . If we match together $id_{(MnintU_i)}$ [-1:1] and f_4 tain a normal cobordism Q_i from id_M to itself. The image of ${}_5(Q_i)$ in $L_4(\text{Ker}(\cdot);W) = L_4(Z=2Z;-)$ under the splitting homomorphism is ${}_4(f_4)$. On the other hand its image in $L_4(\text{Ker}(_{3-i}); W)$ is 0, and so it generates the image of $L_5(k_{3-i})$. Thus $L_5(:w)$ is generated by $_5(Q_1)$ and $_5(Q_2)$, and so acts trivially on id_M .

Does $L_5(\ ; W)$ act trivially on each class in $S_{TOP}(M)$ when M is an RP^2 -bundle over T or Kb? If so, then $S_{TOP}(M)$ has order 8 in each case. Are these manifolds determined up to homeomorphism by their homotopy type?

6.5 Bundles over aspherical surfaces

The fundamental groups of total spaces of bundles over hyperbolic surfaces all contain nonabelian free subgroups. Nevertheless, such bundle spaces are determined up to s-cobordism by their homotopy type, except when the bre is RP^2 , in which case we can only show that the structure sets are nite.

Theorem 6.15 Let M be a closed 4-manifold which is homotopy equivalent to the total space E of an F-bundle over B where B and F are aspherical closed surfaces. Then M is S-cobordant to E and \widehat{M} is homeomorphic to R^4 .

Proof Since $_1(B)$ is either an HNN extension of Z or a generalised free product F $_ZF^{\emptyset}$, where F and F^{\emptyset} are free groups, Z is a square root closed generalised free product with amalgamation of groups in Cl. Comparison of the Mayer-Vietoris sequences for \mathbb{L}_0 -homology and L-theory (as in Proposition 2.6 of [St84]) shows that $S_{TOP}(E \ S^1)$ has just one element. (Note that even when (B) = 0 the groups arising in intermediate stages of the argument all have trivial Whitehead groups). Hence M $S^1 = E$ S^1 , and so M is S-cobordant to E by Lemma 6.10 and Theorem 6.2. The nal assertion follows from Corllary 7.3B of [FQ] since M is aspherical and is 1-connected at 1 [Ho77].

Davis has constructed aspherical 4-manifolds whose universal covering space is not 1-connected at 1 [Da83].

Theorem 6.16 Let M be a closed 4-manifold which is homotopy equivalent to the total space E of an S^2 -bundle over an aspherical closed surface B. Then M is S-cobordant to E, and \widehat{M} is homeomorphic to S^2 R^2 .

Proof Let $= _1(E) = _1(B)$. Then Wh() = 0, and $H(; \mathbb{L}_0^w) = L(; w)$, as in Lemma 6.9. Hence $L_4(; w) = Z$ (Z=2Z) if w = 0 and (Z=2Z)² otherwise. The surgery obstruction map $_4(E)$ is onto, by Lemma 6.9. Hence there are two normal cobordism classes of maps h: X ! E with $_4(h) = 0$. The kernel of the natural homomorphism from $H_2(E; \mathbb{F}_2) = (Z=2Z)^2$ to $H_2(; \mathbb{F}_2) = Z=2Z$ is generated by $J [S^2]$, where $J : S^2 ! E$ is the inclusion of a bre. As $J [S^2] \not = 0$, while $W_2(E)(J [S^2]) = J W_2(E) = 0$ the normal invariant of f_J is nontrivial, by Lemma 6.5. Hence each of these two normal cobordism classes contains a self homotopy equivalence of E.

Let f: M! E be a homotopy equivalence (necessarily simple). Then there is a normal cobordism F: V! E [0:1] from f to some self homotopy equivalence of E. As I^+ is an isomorphism, by Lemma 6.9, there is an s-cobordism W from M to E, as in Corollary 6.7.2.

The universal covering space \widehat{W} is a proper *s*-cobordism from \widehat{M} to $\widehat{\mathcal{E}} = S^2 - R^2$. Since the end of $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}$ is tame and has fundamental group Z we may apply Corollary 7.3B of [FQ] to conclude that \widehat{W} is homeomorphic to a product. Hence \widehat{M} is homeomorphic to $S^2 - R^2$.

Let be a PD_2 -group. As = (Z=2Z) is square-root closed accessible from Z=2Z, the Mayer-Vietoris sequences of [Ca73] imply that $L_4(:w) = L_4(Z=2Z:-)$ $L_2(Z=2Z:-)$ and that $L_5(:w) = L_4(Z=2Z:-)$, where $w = pr_2: P=2Z$ and = P=1 P=2. Since these P=2-bundles over aspherical surfaces are also nite. (Moreover the arguments of Theorems 6.13 and 6.14 can be extended to show that P=2 is an epimorphism and that most of P=2 acts trivially on P=2 is such a bundle space).