

## ON $K$ -CONTACT $\eta$ -EINSTEIN MANIFOLDS

ABSOS ALI SHAIKH, U. C. DE AND T. Q. BINH

ABSTRACT. The object of the present paper is to study a  $K$ -contact  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold satisfying certain conditions on the curvature tensor.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $(M^n, g)$  be a contact Riemannian manifold with contact form  $\eta$ , associated vector field  $\xi$ ,  $(1, 1)$ -tensor field  $\varphi$  and associated Riemannian metric  $g$ . If  $\xi$  is a killing vector field, then  $M^n$  is called a  $K$ -contact Riemannian manifold [1], [2]. A  $K$ -contact Riemannian manifold is called Sasakian [1], if the relation

$$(1.1) \quad (\nabla_X \varphi)(Y) = g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X$$

holds, where  $\nabla$  denotes the operator of covariant differentiation with respect of  $g$ .

Recently, M. C. CHAKI and M. TARAFDAR [3] studied a Sasakian manifold  $M^n$  ( $n > 3$ ) satisfying the relation  $R(X, Y) \cdot C = 0$ , where  $R(X, Y)$  is considered as a derivation of the tensor algebra at each point of the manifold and  $C$  is the Weyl conformal curvature tensor of type  $(1, 3)$ . Generalizing the result of CHAKI and TARAFDAR, N. GUHA and U. C. DE [4] proved that if a  $K$ -contact manifold with characteristic vector field  $\xi$  belonging to the  $k$ -nullity distribution satisfies the condition  $R(\xi, X) \cdot C = 0$ , then  $C(\xi, X)Y = 0$  for any vector fields  $X, Y$ . In Section 3 of the present paper we prove, without assuming that  $\xi$  belongs to the  $K$ -nullity distribution, that a  $K$ -contact  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold  $(M^n, g)$  ( $n > 3$ ) satisfying the condition  $R(X, \xi) \cdot C = 0$  is a space of constant curvature.

In [5] S. TANNO studied a  $K$ -contact manifold satisfying the condition  $R(X, \xi) \cdot S = 0$ , where  $S$  is the Ricci tensor of type  $(0, 2)$ . But the condition  $R(X, \xi) \cdot S = 0$  does not imply the condition  $S(X, \xi) \cdot R = 0$ . In Section 4 we prove that if a  $K$ -contact manifold  $M^n$  still satisfies the relation  $S(X, \xi) \cdot R = 0$  than it is an  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold.

---

Supported by OTKA 32058.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In a  $K$ -contact Riemannian manifold the following relations hold: [1], [2], [6]

$$(2.1) \quad a) \quad \varphi\xi = 0, \quad b) \quad \eta(\xi) = 1 \quad c) \quad g(X, \xi) = \eta(X)$$

$$(2.2) \quad \varphi^2 X = -X + \eta(X)\xi$$

$$(2.3) \quad g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)$$

$$(2.4) \quad \nabla_X \xi = -\varphi X$$

$$(2.5) \quad g(R(\xi, X)Y, \xi) = \eta(R(\xi, X)Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)$$

$$(2.6) \quad R(\xi, X)\xi = -X + \eta(X)\xi$$

$$(2.7) \quad S(X, \xi) = (n-1)\eta(X)$$

$$(2.8) \quad (\nabla_X \varphi)(Y) = R(\xi, X)Y$$

for any vector fields  $X, Y$ .

A  $K$ -contact manifold  $M^n$  is said to be  $\eta$ -Einstein if its Ricci tensor  $S$  is of the form  $S = ag + b\eta \otimes \eta$ , where  $a, b$  are smooth functions on  $M$ .

3.  $K$ -CONTACT  $\eta$ -EINSTEIN MANIFOLDS SATISFYING  $R(X, \xi) \cdot C = 0$ 

Let us consider a  $K$ -contact  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold  $M^n (n > 3)$  satisfying the relation

$$(3.1) \quad R(X, \xi) \cdot C = 0.$$

In this case we have

$$(3.2) \quad S(X, Y) = ag(X, Y) + b\eta(X)\eta(Y).$$

Putting  $X = Y = \xi$  in (3.2) and then using (2.7) and (2.1)  $b$ , we get

$$(3.3) \quad n - 1 = a + b.$$

Also (3.2) implies that

$$(3.4) \quad r = an + b.$$

From (3.3) and (3.4) we have

$$(3.5) \quad a = \frac{r}{n-1} - 1, \quad b = n - \frac{r}{n-1}.$$

Again from (3.2) we obtain

$$(3.6) \quad QX = \left( \frac{r}{n-1} - 1 \right) X + \left( n - \frac{r}{n-1} \right) \eta(X)\xi,$$

where  $Q$  denotes the Ricci operator, i.e.  $g(QX, Y) = S(X, Y)$ .

By definition the Weyl conformal curvature tensor  $C$  is given by

$$(3.7) \quad C(X, Y)Z = R(X, Y)Z - \frac{1}{n-2} [g(Y, Z)QX - g(X, Z)QY \\ + S(Y, Z)X - S(X, Z)Y] \\ + \frac{r}{(n-1)(n-2)} [g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y].$$

Using (3.2) and (3.6) in (3.7), we get

$$(3.8) \quad C(X, Y)Z = R(X, Y)Z + \left[ \frac{2}{(n-1)} - \frac{r}{(n-2)} \right] [g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y] \\ - \left[ \frac{n}{n-1} - \frac{r}{(n-1)(n-2)} \right] [g(Y, Z)\eta(X)\xi - g(X, Z)\eta(Y)\xi \\ + \eta(Y)\eta(Z)X - \eta(X)\eta(Z)Y].$$

Now (3.1) gives us by definition

$$(3.9) \quad R(X, \xi)C(U, V)W - C(R(X, \xi)U, V)W - C(U, R(X, \xi)V)W \\ - C(U, V)R(X, \xi)W = 0, \quad \text{for all } X, U, V, W.$$

Substitution of  $U$  and  $W$  by  $\xi$  in (3.9) yields

$$(3.10) \quad R(X, \xi)C(\xi, V)\xi - C(R(X, \xi)\xi, V)\xi - C(\xi, R(X, \xi)V)\xi \\ - C(\xi, V)R(X, \xi)\xi = 0.$$

From (3.8) we get by virtue of (2.1) (b), (2.1) (c) and (2.6),

$$(3.11) \quad C(\xi, V)\xi = 0, \quad \text{for any vector field } V.$$

Hence by virtue of (3.11) we have

$$(3.12) \quad R(X, \xi)C(\xi, V)\xi = 0.$$

Again in view of (2.6) we get

$$C(R(X, \xi)\xi, V)\xi = C(X, V)\xi - \eta(X)C(\xi, V)\xi$$

which implies by means of (3.11) that

$$(3.13) \quad C(R(X, \xi)\xi, V) = C(X, V)\xi.$$

From (3.8) we obtain

$$(3.14) \quad C(X, V)\xi = R(X, V)\xi - \eta(V)X + \eta(X)V$$

for any vector fields  $X$  and  $V$ . By virtue of (3.13) and (3.14) we have

$$(3.15) \quad C(R(X, \xi)\xi, V)\xi = R(X, V)\xi - \eta(V)X + \eta(X)V,$$

and by virtue of (3.11) we get

$$(3.16) \quad C(\xi, R(X, \xi)V)\xi = 0.$$

Finally using (2.6), we have

$$C(\xi, V)R(X, \xi)\xi = C(\xi, V)X - \eta(X)C(\xi, V)\xi,$$

from which it follows by means of (3.11) and (3.14) that

$$(3.17) \quad C(\xi, V)R(X, \xi)\xi = R(\xi, V)X - g(X, V)\xi + \eta(X)V.$$

Applying (3.12), (3.15), (3.16) and (3.17) in (3.10) we obtain

$$(3.18) \quad R(X, V)\xi + R(\xi, V)X - g(X, V)\xi - \eta(V)X + 2\eta(X)V = 0.$$

Interchanging  $X$  and  $V$  in (3.18) we have

$$(3.19) \quad R(V, X)\xi + R(\xi, X)V - g(X, V)\xi - \eta(X)V + 2\eta(V)X = 0.$$

Subtracting (3.19) from (3.18) and then using Bianchi's first identity, we get

$$R(X, V)\xi = \eta(V)X - \eta(X)V,$$

from which it follows that

$$(3.20) \quad R(\xi, X)Y = g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X.$$

In view of (1.1), (2.8) and (3.20), we obtain that the manifold is Sasakian and hence by the result of CHAKI and TARAFDAR [3], the manifold is a space of constant curvature 1. Thus we have the following

**Theorem 1.** *A  $K$ -contact  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold  $(M^n, g)$  ( $n > 3$ ) satisfying the condition  $R(X, \xi) \cdot C = 0$  is a space of constant curvature 1.*

A contact Riemannian manifold satisfying the condition  $R(X, \xi) \cdot C = 0$  have been studied by C. BAIKOSSIS and T. KOUFOGIORGOS [7].

#### 4. $K$ -CONTACT MANIFOLDS SATISFYING THE CONDITION $S(X, \xi) \cdot R = 0$

We consider a  $K$ -contact Riemannian manifold  $M^n$  satisfying the condition

$$(4.1) \quad (S(X, \xi) \cdot R(U, V)W = 0.$$

Now by definition we have

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} (S(X, \cdot \xi) \cdot R(U, V)W &= ((X \wedge_s \xi) \cdot R)(U, V)W = (X \wedge_s \xi)R(U, V)W \\ &+ R((X, \wedge_s \xi)U, V)W + R((U, (X \wedge_s \xi)V)W \\ &+ R(U, V)(X \wedge_s \xi)W, \end{aligned}$$

where the endomorphism  $X \wedge_s Y$  is defined by

$$(4.3) \quad (X \wedge_s y)Z = S(Y, Z)X - S(X, Z)Y.$$

Using the definition of (4.3) in (4.2), we get by virtue of (2.7)

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} S(X, \xi) \cdot R(U, V)W &= (n-1)[\eta(R(U, V)W)X + \eta(U)R(X, V)W \\ &+ \eta(V)R(U, X)W + \eta(W)R(U, V)X] \\ &- S(X, R(U, V)W)\xi - S(X, U)R(\xi, V)W \\ &- S(X, V)R(U, \xi)W - S(X, W)R(U, V)\xi \end{aligned}$$

and by virtue of (4.1) and (4.4) we have

$$(4.5) \quad (n-1)[\eta(R(U, V)W)X + \eta(U)R(X, V)W + \eta(V)R(U, X)W + \eta(W)R(U, V)W] - S(X, R(U, V)W)\xi - S(X, U)R(\xi, V)W - S(X, V)R(U, \xi)W - S(X, W)R(U, V)\xi = 0.$$

Taking the inner product on both sides of (4.5) by  $\xi$ , we obtain

$$(4.6) \quad (n-1)[\eta(R(U, V)W)\eta(X) + \eta(U)\eta(R(X, V)W + \eta(V)\eta(R(U, X)W) + \eta(W)\eta(R(U, V)X)] - S(X, R(U, V)W) - S(X, U)\eta(R(\xi, V)W) - S(X, V)\eta(R(U, \xi)W) - S(X, W)\eta(R(U, V)\xi) = 0.$$

Putting  $U = W = \xi$  in (4.6) and using (2.5)–(2.7) we get

$$S(X, V) = -(n-1)g(X, V) + 2(n-1)\eta(X)\eta(V)$$

which means that the manifold is  $\eta$ -Einstein.

Thus we have the following

**Theorem 2.** *A  $K$ -contact Riemannian manifold  $(M^n, g)$  satisfying the condition  $S(X, \xi) \cdot R = 0$  is an  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold.*

From Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 we immediately have:

**Theorem 3.** *A  $K$ -contact Riemannians manifold  $(M^n, g)$  stisfying the conditions  $S(X, \xi)R = 0$  and  $R(X, \xi)C = 0$  is a space of constant curvature 1.*

#### REFERENCES

- [1] D. E. BLAIR, *Contact manifolds in Riemannian geometry*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 509, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976
- [2] S. SASAKI, Lecture note on almost contact manifolds, Part-I, Tohoku University, 1965
- [3] M. C. CHAKI and M. TARAFDAR, *On a type of Sasakian manifold*, Soochow J. of Math., **16** 1990, 23-28.
- [4] N. GUHA and U. C. DE, *On  $K$ -contact manifolds*, Serdica-Bulgaricae Math. Publ., **19**, 1993, 267-272.
- [5] S. TANNO, *Isometric immersions of Sasakian manifolds in spheres*, Kodai Math. Sem. Rep., **21**, 1969, 448-458.
- [6] S. SASAKI, Lecture note on almost contact manifolds, Part-II, Tohoku University, 1967
- [7] C. BAIKOUSSIS and T. KOUFOGIORGOS, *On a type of contact manifolds*, J. of Geom., **46**, 1993, 1-8.

ABSOS ALI SHAIKHAND AND U. C. DE, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF KALYANI, KALYANI-741235, W.B., INDIA

T. Q. BINH, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, AND INFORMATICS, DEBRECEN UNIVERSITY, H-4010 DEBRECEN, P.O. BOX 12, HUNGARY  
*E-mail address:* binh@math.klte.hu