

Higher dimensional polarized varieties with non-integral nefvalue

Mauro C. Beltrametti and Susanna Di Termini

(Communicated by A. Sommese)

Abstract. Let X be an n -dimensional normal projective variety with terminal, Gorenstein, \mathbb{Q} -factorial singularities. Let L be an ample line bundle on X . Let τ be the nefvalue of (X, L) . Then we classify (X, L) , describing the structure of the nefvalue morphism of (X, L) , when τ satisfies $n - k < \tau < n - k + 1$ and $n \geq 2k - 3$, $k \geq 4$. In the smooth case, we discuss the case $n = 2k - 4$, $k \geq 5$, as well.

Key words. Complex polarized n -fold, ample line bundle, nefvalue, nefvalue morphism, Gorenstein, terminal, \mathbb{Q} -factorial singularities, adjunction theory, special varieties.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 14N30, 14J40; Secondary 14J45, 14C20

Introduction

Let X be an n -dimensional projective variety with terminal, Gorenstein, \mathbb{Q} -factorial singularities and let L be an ample line bundle on X . If the canonical bundle K_X is not nef, the Kawamata rationality theorem and the Kawamata–Shokurov basepoint free theorem imply that there is a fraction $\tau = u/v$, with u, v positive coprime integers, and a morphism $\phi: X \rightarrow W$ with connected fibers onto a normal projective variety W such that $vK_X + uL \approx \phi^*H$ for an ample line bundle H on W and $u \leq \max_{w \in W} \{\dim \phi^{-1}(w)\} + 1$. We call τ the *nefvalue* and ϕ the *nefvalue morphism* of (X, L) respectively.

Thus $\tau \leq n + 1$ and by the Kobayashi–Ochiai theorem $\tau = n + 1$ if and only if $(X, L) \cong (\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1))$.

It is a natural question to classify polarized pairs (X, L) in terms of the numerical values of τ and the structure of the morphism ϕ . The range $n - 3 \leq \tau < n + 1$ has been extensively studied by several authors. We refer to [4, Chapter 7] for the case $n - 3 < \tau < n + 1$ with $n \geq 5$, to [7] for the $n = 4$ case, to [11], [12] for the case $\tau = n - 3$, and to [1] for a refinement in a more general context when ϕ is birational with $\tau = n - 1, n - 2$. Recently, the case where τ is not integer satisfying the condition $n - 4 < \tau < n - 3$, with $n \geq 5$ (as well as the case when τ satisfies $n - 3 < \tau < n - 2$), has been studied in [13].

In this paper we consider the more general situation when $\tau = u/v$ is not integer and satisfies $n - k < \tau < n - k + 1$, with $n \geq 2k - 3, k \geq 4$, which includes the results of [13]. If X is smooth, we study also the case $n = 2k - 4, k \geq 5$. Following [3], we use a new polarization A on X such that the nefvalue of (X, A) is u . Whenever $n \geq 2k - 3$ we fall in the range up to the second reduction in the adjunction theoretic sense, i.e., $u \geq n - 2$. If $n = 2k - 4$, then $u = n - 3$ and we need the third adjunction results [11], as well as the classification [2] of some codimension 2 small contractions which occur.

1 Background material

We work over the complex field \mathbb{C} . Throughout the paper we deal with projective varieties V (i.e., irreducible and reduced projective schemes), and we follow the usual notation in algebraic geometry. We denote by \approx (respectively \sim) the linear (respectively numerical) equivalence of line bundles.

The book [4] is a good reference for standard results and notation of adjunction theory. We also refer to [8] for some facts from Mori theory we use.

The paper is based on the following special case of a major theorem of Kawamata [8].

Theorem 1.1 (Kawamata rationality theorem). *Let V be a normal projective variety of dimension n with terminal Gorenstein singularities. Let $\pi : V \rightarrow Y$ be a projective morphism onto a variety Y . Let L be a π -ample Cartier divisor of V . If K_V is not π -nef then*

$$\tau = \min\{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid K_V + tL \text{ is } \pi\text{-nef}\}$$

is a rational number. Furthermore expressing $\tau = u/v$ with u, v coprime positive integers, we have $u \leq b + 1$ where $b = \max_{y \in Y} \{\dim_{\mathbb{C}(y)} \pi^{-1}(y)\}$.

Definition 1.2. Let V be a normal variety of dimension n with terminal Gorenstein singularities. Let $\pi : V \rightarrow Y$ be a projective morphism onto a variety Y . Let \mathcal{L} be a π -ample Cartier divisor of V . Assume that K_V is not π -nef. Let τ be the positive rational number given by the Kawamata rationality theorem (1.1).

We say that the rational number τ is the π -nefvalue of (V, \mathcal{L}) . If Y is a point, τ is called the nefvalue of (V, \mathcal{L}) . Note also that, if Y is a point, then $K_V + \tau\mathcal{L}$ is nef and hence by Theorem 1.1 we have that $\tau = u/v$ for two coprime positive integers, u and v . Thus by the Kawamata–Shokurov basepoint free theorem we know that $|m(vK_V + u\mathcal{L})|$ is basepoint free for all $m \gg 0$. Therefore for such m , $|m(K_V + \tau\mathcal{L})|$ defines a morphism $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Let $f = s \circ \phi$ be the Remmert–Stein factorization of f where $\phi : V \rightarrow W$ is a morphism with connected fibers onto a normal projective variety, W , and $s : W \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a finite-to-one morphism. By [4, (1.1.3)] we know that the morphism, ϕ , is the same for any $m > 0$ such that $|m(vK_V + u\mathcal{L})|$ is basepoint free, and thus only depends on (V, \mathcal{L}) . Note that, by [4, (1.1.3)], s is an embedding for $m \gg 0$ and therefore $f = \phi$ for $m \gg 0$. We call $\phi : V \rightarrow W$ the nefvalue morphism of (V, \mathcal{L}) . We also know by [4, (1.1.3)] that there is an ample line bundle H on W such that $vK_V + u\mathcal{L} \cong \phi^*H$.

Remark 1.3. Let V be as in Theorem 1.1 and \mathcal{L} an ample line bundle on V . Let τ be the nefvalue of (V, \mathcal{L}) and ϕ the nefvalue morphism of (V, \mathcal{L}) . Then \mathcal{L} is ϕ -ample and

$$\tau = \min\{\tau \in \mathbb{R} \mid K_V + t\mathcal{L} \text{ is nef}\} = \min\{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid K_V + t\mathcal{L} \text{ is } \phi\text{-nef}\}.$$

That is τ coincides with the ϕ -nefvalue of (V, \mathcal{L}) .

Lemma 1.4 ([4, (1.5.5)]). *Let (V, \mathcal{L}) be as in Theorem 1.1. A real number τ is the nefvalue of (V, \mathcal{L}) if and only if $K_V + \tau\mathcal{L}$ is nef but not ample.*

Let us recall a few results from adjunction theory.

Lemma 1.5 ([4, (3.3.2)]). *Let \mathcal{L} be a nef and big line bundle on a normal projective variety, V , of dimension n with only terminal Gorenstein singularities. Then if $t(aK_V + b\mathcal{L}) \approx \mathcal{O}_V$ for some integers $a > 0$, $b > 0$, $t > 0$ one has $aK_V + b\mathcal{L} \approx \mathcal{O}_V$, and $b/a \leq n + 1$. If a, b are coprime, there exists a nef and big line bundle M on V such that $K_V \approx -bM$, $\mathcal{L} \approx aM$. If \mathcal{L} is ample, then so is M .*

1.6 Special varieties. Let V be a normal Gorenstein variety of dimension n , and let L be an ample line bundle on V . We say that V is a *Gorenstein–Fano variety* (or simply that V is *Fano*) if $-K_V$ is ample. We say that (V, L) is a *Del Pezzo variety* (respectively a *Mukai variety*) if $K_V \approx -(n-1)L$ (respectively $K_V \approx -(n-2)L$).

We also say that (V, L) is a *scroll* (respectively a *quadric fibration*; respectively a *Del Pezzo fibration*; respectively a *Mukai fibration*) over a normal variety Y of dimension m if there exists a surjective morphism with connected fibers $p : V \rightarrow Y$, such that $K_V + (n-m+1)L \approx p^*\mathcal{L}$ (respectively $K_V + (n-m)L \approx p^*\mathcal{L}$; respectively $K_V + (n-m-1)L \approx p^*\mathcal{L}$; respectively $K_V + (n-m-2)L \approx p^*\mathcal{L}$) for some ample line bundle \mathcal{L} on Y .

We say that a normal Gorenstein n -dimensional variety V is a *Fano variety of index i* , if i is the largest positive integer such that $K_V \approx -iH$ for some ample line bundle H on V . Note that $i \leq n + 1$ (see Lemma 1.5 below) and $n - i + 1$ is referred to as the *co-index* of V .

We refer to Fujita [5] and [6] for classification results on Del Pezzo varieties. Note that Del Pezzo manifolds are completely described by Fujita [5, I, §8]. We refer to Mukai [9] and [10] for results on Mukai varieties.

We also refer e.g. to [4, (3.1.6)] for a generalized version of Kobayashi–Ochiai theorem (characterizing projective spaces and quadrics) which we systematically use in the sequel.

The following useful fact was noted in [13, (1.1)]. It is an easy consequence of the Kawamata rationality theorem (1.1), and the assumption that τ is not integer.

Lemma 1.7 (Zhao). *Let V be an n -dimensional normal projective variety with Gorenstein, terminal, \mathbb{Q} -factorial singularities. Let \mathcal{L} be an ample line bundle on V . Let τ be the nefvalue of (V, \mathcal{L}) . By the Kawamata rationality theorem, $\tau = u/v$, with u, v*

positive coprime integers. Assume $n - k < \tau < n - k + 1$ for positive $k < n$. Then $2 \leq v \leq \frac{n}{n-k}$ and $\tau = n - k + \frac{i}{v}$ for some positive integer $i < v$ and i, v are coprime.

Finally, let us recall for reader's convenience the main results from [3].

Lemma 1.8 ([3, (1.1), (1.2)]). *Let X be a normal projective variety with terminal Gorenstein singularities. Let L be an ample line bundle on X . Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow W$ be a surjective morphism onto a normal variety W . Assume that φ has at least one positive dimensional fiber and that $vK_X + uL \approx \varphi^*H$, for some ample line bundle H on W and coprime integers u, v .*

1. *There exist positive integers a, b such that $av - bu = 1$;*
2. *Let $A := bK_X + aL$. Then A is ample, $K_X + uA \approx \varphi^*(aH)$ and u is the nefvalue of (X, A) .*

Theorem 1.9 ([3, (1.4)]). *Let X be a projective variety of dimension n with Gorenstein rational singularities. Assume K_X not nef. Let L be an ample line bundle on X . Let $\tau = u/v$ be the nefvalue of (X, L) , u, v coprime positive integers. Let $\phi : X \rightarrow W$ be the nefvalue morphism of (X, L) . Let $A := bK_X + aL$ be an ample line bundle on X given by Lemma 1.8.*

1. *Assume that $u = \max_{w \in W} \{\dim \phi^{-1}(w)\} + 1$. Then (X, A) is a scroll over W under ϕ . If X is smooth, or more generally if $\text{cod}_X \text{Sing}(X) > \dim W$, then (X, A) is in fact a \mathbb{P}^{u-1} -bundle over W under ϕ . Furthermore ϕ is a fiber type contraction of an extremal ray.*
2. *Assume that $u = \max_{w \in W} \{\dim \phi^{-1}(w)\}$. If ϕ is not birational, then either*
 - (a) *(X, A) is a scroll over W under ϕ ; or*
 - (b) *(X, A) is a quadric fibration over W under ϕ , and all fibers are equidimensional.*

If ϕ is birational, X is smooth, and $u \geq (n + 1)/2$, then

- (c) *ϕ is the simultaneous contraction of a finite number of extremal rays and is an isomorphism outside of $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{B})$ where \mathcal{B} is an algebraic subset of W which is the disjoint union of irreducible components of dimension $n - u - 1$. Let B be an irreducible component of \mathcal{B} and let $E = \phi^{-1}(B)$. The general fiber, Δ , of the restriction, ϕ_E of ϕ to E is a linear \mathbb{P}^u , $(\Delta, A_\Delta) \cong (\mathbb{P}^u, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^u}(1))$, $\mathcal{N}_{E/X|\Delta} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^u}(-1)$ and W is factorial with terminal singularities.*

Note that if X has terminal singularities, then X has rational singularities and it is a general fact that $\text{cod}_X \text{Sing}(X) \geq 3$, so that the above condition $\text{cod}_X \text{Sing}(X) > \dim W$ is always true if $\dim W \leq 2$.

2 The case of dimension $n \geq 2k - 3$

The following theorem includes the results of [13], which correspond to the cases $k = 3, 4$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let X be a normal projective variety of dimension $n \geq 2k - 3$, $k \geq 4$, with terminal, Gorenstein, \mathbb{Q} -factorial singularities. Let L be an ample line bundle on X . Let τ be the nefvalue of (X, L) and let $\phi : X \rightarrow W$ be the nefvalue morphism of (X, L) . Assume $n - k < \tau < n - k + 1$. Then (X, L) is described as follows:*

1. $n = 2k$, $\tau = \frac{n+1}{2}$, $(X, L) \cong (\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(2))$;
2. $n = 2k - 1$, $\tau = \frac{n}{2}$, $A := K_X + kL$ is ample and either:
 - (a) $(X, L) \cong (\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}}(2))$, \mathcal{Q} a hyperquadric in \mathbb{P}^{n+1} ; or
 - (b) (X, A) , $\phi : X \rightarrow W$, is a \mathbb{P}^{n-1} -bundle over a smooth curve, and ϕ is a fiber type contraction of an extremal ray;
3. $n = 2k - 2$, $\tau = \frac{n-1}{2}$, $A := K_X + (k - 1)L$ is ample and either:
 - (a) (X, A) is a Del Pezzo variety, $L \approx 2A$; or
 - (b) (X, A) , $\phi : X \rightarrow W$, is a quadric fibration over a smooth curve and all fibers are equidimensional, or
 - (c) (X, A) , $\phi : X \rightarrow W$, is a scroll over a normal surface; or
 - (d) (X, A) , $\phi : X \rightarrow W$, is a \mathbb{P}^{n-2} -bundle over a normal surface; furthermore ϕ is a fiber type contraction of an extremal ray; or
 - (e) $\phi : X \rightarrow W$ is the simultaneous contraction to distinct smooth points of disjoint divisors $E_i \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ such that $E_i \subset \text{Reg}(X)$, $\mathcal{O}_{E_i}(E_i) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(-1)$ and $A_{E_i} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(1)$ for $i = 1, \dots, t$. Furthermore $A_W := (\phi_* A)^{**}$ and $K_W + (n - 1)A_W$ are ample and $K_X + (n - 1)A \approx \phi^*(K_W + (n - 1)A_W)$;
4. $n = 2k - 3$, $\tau = \frac{n-2}{2}$, $A := K_X + (k - 2)L$ is ample and either:
 - (a) (X, A) is a Mukai variety, $L \approx 2A$; or
 - (b) (X, A) , $\phi : X \rightarrow W$, is a Del Pezzo fibration over a smooth curve; or
 - (c) (X, A) , $\phi : X \rightarrow W$, is a quadric fibration over a normal surface; or
 - (d) (X, A) , $\phi : X \rightarrow W$, is a scroll over a normal threefold; or
 - (e) $\phi : X \rightarrow W$ is the simultaneous contraction of a finite number of extremal rays and is an isomorphism outside of $\phi^{-1}(Z)$, where Z is an algebraic subset of W such that $\dim Z \leq 1$. Moreover ϕ is the blowing up of W along Z and the following cases can occur:
 - i. The 1-dimensional component Z_1 of Z is the disjoint union of locally complete intersection curves and it is contained in the regular set of W ; or
 - ii. If z is a 0-dimensional component of Z , then $\phi^{-1}(z)$ is an irreducible reduced divisor and either $(E, A_E) \cong (\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(1))$ with $\mathcal{N}_{E/X} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(-2)$, or $(E, A_E) \cong (\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}}(1))$, \mathcal{Q} a (possibly singular) hyperquadric in \mathbb{P}^n , with $\mathcal{N}_{E/X} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}}(-1)$;
5. $n = 6$, $\tau = \frac{7}{3}$, $(X, L) \cong (\mathbb{P}^6, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^6}(3))$;
6. $n = 9$, $\tau = \frac{10}{3}$, $(X, L) \cong (\mathbb{P}^9, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^9}(3))$;
7. $n = 7$, $\tau = \frac{8}{3}$, $(X, L) \cong (\mathbb{P}^7, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^7}(3))$;
8. $n = 7$, $\tau = \frac{7}{3}$ and either:

- (a) $(X, L) \cong (\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}}(3))$, \mathcal{Q} hyperquadric in \mathbb{P}^8 ; or
- (b) $A := 2K_X + 5L$ is ample, (X, A) , $\phi : X \rightarrow W$, is a \mathbb{P}^6 -bundle over a smooth curve; moreover ϕ is a fiber type contraction of an extremal ray;

9. $n = 5$, $\tau = \frac{4}{3}, \frac{5}{3}, \frac{5}{4}, \frac{6}{5}$ and (X, L) is described as in [13, (1.2), (iv)].

Proof. Throughout the proof we use over and over all the results from §1 without always explicitly referring to them. Let $\tau = \frac{u}{v}$, where $v \geq 2$ since τ is not integer. By Lemma 1.8 there exist positive integers a, b such that $av - bu = 1$ and the line bundle $A := bK_X + aL$ is ample. Thus

$$K_X + uA = a(vK_X + uL) \tag{1}$$

and hence $K_X + uA \approx \phi^*(\mathcal{H})$ for some ample line bundle \mathcal{H} on W and u is the nef-value of (X, A) .

We put $m(\phi) := \max_{w \in W} \{\dim \phi^{-1}(w)\}$ and, if ϕ is not birational, we denote by $f(\phi)$ the dimension of the general fiber F . Note that in this case $K_F + uA_F \approx \mathcal{O}_F$ and hence

$$u \leq f(\phi) + 1 \leq m(\phi) + 1 \leq n + 1. \tag{2}$$

Let us first consider the case $v = 2$. Then, by Lemma 1.7,

$$\tau = n - k + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{2n - 2k + 1}{2}$$

and hence, recalling the assumption on n , one has $n + 1 \geq u = 2n - 2k + 1 \geq n - 2$.

If $u = n + 1$, then $n = 2k$, $A = K_X + (k + 1)L$, $(X, A) \cong (\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1))$ and we are in Case 1.

If $u = n$, or $n = 2k - 1$, we have $\tau = \frac{n}{2}$ and $A = K_X + kL$. Then $K_X + nA = k(2K_X + nL)$ by (1). Since $K_X + nA$ nef and big implies $K_X + nA$ ample by [4, (7.2.3)], we conclude that ϕ is not birational. Hence we have $u = n \leq m(\phi) + 1 \leq n + 1$. Then either $m(\phi) = n$ and ϕ contracts X to a point, or $u = n = m(\phi) + 1$. In the first case $2K_X + nL \approx \mathcal{O}_X$, so that $-K_X \approx nM$, $L \approx 2M$ for some ample line bundle M on X (and hence $A \approx (-n + 2k)M = M$), and therefore $(X, L) \cong (\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}}(2))$ as in Case 2 (a). In the latter case, by Theorem 1.9, (X, A) is a \mathbb{P}^{n-1} -bundle over W as in Case 2 (b).

If $u = n - 1$, or $n = 2k - 2$, then $\tau = \frac{n-1}{2}$ and $A = K_X + (k - 1)L$. If ϕ is not birational, we have $u = n - 1 \leq m(\phi) + 1$, and therefore $n - 2 \leq m(\phi) \leq n$. If $m(\phi) = n$, then ϕ contracts X to a point, and hence $2K_X + (n - 1)L \approx \mathcal{O}_X$. Thus, since $n - 1$ is odd, there exists an ample line bundle M on X such that $K_X \approx -(n - 1)M$, $L \approx 2M$ (and hence $A \approx (1 - n + 2(k - 1))M = M$) and therefore (X, A) is a Del Pezzo variety as in Case 3 (a). Let $m(\phi) = n - 1$. Thus (2) yields $n - 1 \leq f(\phi) + 1 \leq n$ and hence either $f(\phi) = n - 1$ or $f(\phi) = n - 2$. Since $u = m(\phi)$, and recalling that $K_X + (n - 1)A \approx \phi^*(\mathcal{H})$, we conclude from Theorem 1.9 that (X, A) , $\phi : X \rightarrow W$, is either

a quadric fibration over a smooth curve, or a scroll over a normal surface as in Cases 3 (b), 3 (c). If $m(\phi) = n - 2$, Inequality (2) gives $u = n - 1 = m(\phi) + 1$, $f(\phi) = n - 2$ and (X, A) , $\phi : X \rightarrow W$, is a \mathbb{P}^{n-2} -bundle as in Case 3 (d).

If ϕ is birational, since $u = n - 1$ is the nefvalue of (X, A) , the structure theorem [4, (7.3.2)] applies to give Case 3 (e).

Next, assume $u = n - 2$, or $n = 2k - 3$. Then $\tau = \frac{n-2}{2}$ and $A = K_X + (k - 2)L$. Assume ϕ is not birational. We have $u = n - 2 \leq m(\phi) + 1$, so that $n - 3 \leq m(\phi) \leq n$. If $m(\phi) = n$, then ϕ contracts X to a point, and hence $2K_X + (n - 2)L \approx \mathcal{O}_X$. Thus, since $n - 2$ is odd, there exists an ample line bundle M on X such that $K_X \approx -(n - 2)M$, $L \approx 2M$ (so that $A \approx (2 - n + 2(k - 2))M = M$) and therefore (X, A) is a Mukai variety as in Case 4 (a). Let $m(\phi) = n - 1$. Then (2) yields $n - 2 \leq f(\phi) + 1 \leq n$ and hence $n - 3 \leq f(\phi) \leq n - 1$. Let $f(\phi) = n - 1$ (respectively $f(\phi) = n - 2$; respectively $f(\phi) = n - 3$). Thus, since $K_X + (n - 2)A \approx \phi^*(\mathcal{H})$, we see that (X, A) , $\phi : X \rightarrow W$, is a Del Pezzo fibration over W as in Case 4 (b) (respectively (X, A) , $\phi : X \rightarrow W$, is a quadric fibration over W as in Case 4 (c); respectively (X, A) , $\phi : X \rightarrow W$, is a scroll over W as in Case 4 (d)). Assume now $m(\phi) = n - 2$. Then $n - 2 \leq f(\phi) + 1 \leq n - 1$, and hence either $f(\phi) = n - 2$, or $f(\phi) = n - 3$. Since $u = m(\phi)$, we conclude from Theorem 1.9 that (X, A) , $\phi : X \rightarrow W$, is either a quadric fibration over a normal surface (and all fibers are equidimensional in this case) as in 4 (c), or a scroll over a normal threefold as in 4 (d). Finally, let $m(\phi) = n - 3$. Then we find $f(\phi) = n - 3$ and, since $u = m(\phi) + 1$, (X, A) , $\phi : X \rightarrow W$, is again a scroll over a normal threefold as in Case 4 (d) (and in fact a linear \mathbb{P}^{n-3} -bundle if X is smooth by Theorem 1.9).

If ϕ is birational, since $u = n - 2$ is the nefvalue of (X, A) , the structure theorem [1, Theorem 3] (see also [4, (7.5.3)] in the smooth case) applies to give Case 4 (e).

From now on, we may assume $v \geq 3$. Lemma 1.7 yields the inequality

$$3 \leq v \leq \frac{n}{n-k}. \quad (3)$$

If $n \geq 2k - 1$, we find $3k \geq 2n \geq 2(2k - 1)$, or $k \leq 2$, contradicting our assumption on k .

Let $n = 2k - 2$. Then $3k \geq 2n \geq 4k - 4$, or $k \leq 4$. Hence $k = 4$, $n = 6$ and $v = 3$. Therefore Lemma 1.7 yields $\tau = 2 + \frac{i}{3}$, with $i = 1, 2$. If $i = 2$ one has $\tau = \frac{8}{3}$, $u = 8$, which contradicts the bound $u \leq 7$ from the Kawamata rationality theorem (1.1). Thus $i = 1$, $\tau = \frac{7}{3}$, and hence $u = 7 = m(\phi) + 1$. Then $m(\phi) = 6$, so that ϕ contracts X to a point. In this case $3K_X + 7L \approx \mathcal{O}_X$, and we are in Case 5.

Assume now $n = 2k - 3$. Inequality (3) gives now $n \leq 9$, so that $n = 9, 7, 5$ by parity.

Let $n = 9$. Then $k = 6$ and $v = 3$. Therefore $\tau = 3 + \frac{i}{3}$ with $i = 1, 2$. If $i = 2$, then $\tau = \frac{11}{3}$, $u = 11$, contradicting the bound $u \leq 10$ from Theorem 1.1. Thus $i = 1$, $\tau = \frac{10}{3}$ and hence $u = 10 = m(\phi) + 1$, so that $m(\phi) = 9$ and ϕ contracts X to a point. In this case $3K_X + 10L \approx \mathcal{O}_X$, and we are in Case 6.

Let $n = 7$. Then $k = 5$ and again $v = 3$ by (3). Therefore $\tau = 2 + \frac{i}{3}$ with $i = 1, 2$. If $i = 2$ we have $\tau = \frac{8}{3}$, and $u = 8 = m(\phi) + 1$. Thus $m(\phi) = 7$, so that ϕ contracts X

to a point. In this case $3K_X + 8L \approx \mathcal{O}_X$, and we are in Case 7. If $i = 1$, then $\tau = \frac{7}{3}$ and $u = 7 \leq m(\phi) + 1 \leq 8$, so that either $u = m(\phi) = 7$, or $u = 7 = m(\phi) + 1$. If $u = m(\phi) = 7$, ϕ contracts X to a point and therefore $3K_X + 7L \approx \mathcal{O}_X$, so we are in Case 8 (a). Let $u = 7 = m(\phi) + 1$. Note that $A = 2K_X + 5L$ in this case. If ϕ is not birational, Theorem 1.9 applies to say that (X, A) is a \mathbb{P}^6 -bundle over W under ϕ as in Case 8 (b). We claim that ϕ is not birational. Indeed, otherwise, we conclude from Lemma 1.8 that $K_X + 7A (=5(3K_X + 7L))$ is nef and big and not ample. Since $n = 7$, this contradicts [4, (7.2.3)].

Let $n = 5$. Then $k = 4$ and $3 \leq v \leq 5$ by (3). The relations $\tau = \frac{u}{v} = 1 + \frac{i}{v}$, $(i, v) = 1$, $i < v$, and $u \leq n + 1 = 6$ yield for τ the values $\frac{4}{3}, \frac{5}{3}, \frac{5}{4}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{6}{5}$. If $\tau = \frac{3}{2}$ we are in the previous Case 4 of the statement. The remaining cases are described in [13, (1.2), (iv)], to which we refer for details. □

Remark 2.2. Note that, if X is smooth, in the scroll Cases 3 (c) and 4 (d) of Theorem 2.1, ϕ is a contraction of an extremal ray by [4, (14.1.1)]. Furthermore, if A is very ample, then ϕ is a linear $\mathbb{P}^{n-\dim(W)}$ -bundle by [4, (14.1.3)].

3 The case of dimension $n = 2k - 4$

In this section we deal with the case of a manifold of dimension $n = 2k - 4$. The smoothness assumption is needed to use the Ionescu–Wiśniewski inequality (see e.g. [4, (6.3.6)]).

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension $n = 2k - 4$, $k \geq 5$. Let L be an ample line bundle on X . Let τ be the nefvalue of (X, L) and let $\phi : X \rightarrow W$ be the nefvalue morphism of (X, L) . Assume $n - k < \tau < n - k + 1$. Then (X, L) is described as follows:*

1. $\tau = \frac{n-3}{2}$, $A := K_X + (k - 3)L$ is ample, and either:
 - (a) (X, A) is a Fano variety of co-index 4, i.e., $K_X \approx -(n - 3)A$, $L \approx 2A$; or
 - (b) (X, A) , $\phi : X \rightarrow W$, is a Mukai fibration over a smooth curve; or
 - (c) (X, A) , $\phi : X \rightarrow W$, is a Del Pezzo fibration over a normal surface; or
 - (d) (X, A) , $\phi : X \rightarrow W$, is a quadric fibration over a normal threefold; or
 - (e) (X, A) , $\phi : X \rightarrow W$, is a scroll over a normal fourfold; or
 - (f) (X, A) , $\phi : X \rightarrow W$, is a \mathbb{P}^{n-4} -bundle over a normal fourfold; furthermore ϕ is a fiber type contraction of an extremal ray; or
 - (g) $n \geq 8$, ϕ is the simultaneous contraction of a finite number of extremal rays and is an isomorphism outside of $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{B})$ where \mathcal{B} is an algebraic subset of W which is the disjoint union of irreducible components of dimension 2. Let B be an irreducible component of \mathcal{B} and let $E = \phi^{-1}(B)$. The general fiber, Δ , of the restriction, ϕ_E of ϕ to E is a linear \mathbb{P}^{n-3} , $(\Delta, A_\Delta) \cong (\mathbb{P}^{n-3}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-3}}(1))$, $\mathcal{N}_{E/X|_\Delta} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-3}}(-1)$ and W is factorial with terminal singularities; or
 - (h) $n = 6$. Let R be an extremal ray subordinated to ϕ , i.e., $(K_X + 3A) \cdot R = 0$. Let E be an irreducible component of the exceptional locus of the contraction $\rho : X \rightarrow Y$ of R . Let Δ be any irreducible component of any fiber of the

restriction, ρ_E , of ρ to E . Thus ρ is a birational third adjoint contraction with supporting divisor $K_X + 3A$, and either:

- i. ρ is of divisorial type, E is a prime divisor and E, Δ are described as in [11, Theorem 1.3]; or
 - ii. $E = \Delta$, $E \cong \mathbb{P}^4$ and $\mathcal{N}_{E/X} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(-1)$.
2. $n = 12$, $\tau = \frac{13}{3}$, $(X, L) \cong (\mathbb{P}^{12}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{12}}(3))$;
 3. $n = 10$ and either:
 - (a) $\tau = \frac{11}{3}$, $(X, L) \cong (\mathbb{P}^{10}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{10}}(3))$; or
 - (b) $\tau = \frac{10}{3}$, $(X, L) \cong (\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}}(3))$, \mathcal{Q} a hyperquadric in \mathbb{P}^{11} ; or
 - (c) $\tau = \frac{10}{3}$, $A := 2K_X + 7L$ is ample, (X, A) , $\phi : X \rightarrow W$, is a \mathbb{P}^9 -bundle over a smooth curve, and ϕ is a fiber type contraction of an extremal ray;
 4. $n = 8$, $\tau = \frac{7}{3}$, $A := 2K_X + 5L$ is ample and either:
 - (a) (X, A) is a Del Pezzo variety, $L \approx 3A$; or
 - (b) (X, A) , $\phi : X \rightarrow W$, is a quadric fibration over a nonsingular curve, and all fibers are equidimensional; or
 - (c) (X, A) , $\phi : X \rightarrow W$, is a scroll over a normal surface; or
 - (d) (X, A) , $\phi : X \rightarrow W$, is a \mathbb{P}^6 -bundle over a normal surface, and ϕ is a fiber type contraction of an extremal ray; or
 - (e) $\phi : X \rightarrow W$ is the simultaneous contraction to distinct smooth points of disjoint divisors $E_i \cong \mathbb{P}^7$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{E_i}(E_i) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^7}(-1)$ and $A_{E_i} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^7}(1)$ for $i = 1, \dots, t$. Furthermore $A_W := (\phi_* A)^{**}$ and $K_W + 7A_W$ are ample and $K_X + 7A \approx \phi^*(K_W + 7A_W)$;
 5. $n = 8$, $\tau = \frac{8}{3}$, $A := K_X + 3L$ is ample and either:
 - (a) $(X, L) \cong (\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}}(3))$, \mathcal{Q} a hyperquadric in \mathbb{P}^9 ; or
 - (b) (X, A) , $\phi : X \rightarrow W$, is a \mathbb{P}^7 -bundle over a nonsingular curve, and ϕ is a fiber type contraction of an extremal ray;
 6. $n = 8$, $\tau = \frac{9}{4}$, $(X, L) \cong (\mathbb{P}^8, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^8}(4))$;
 7. $n = 6$, $\tau = \frac{4}{3}$, $A := 2K_X + 3L$ is ample and either:
 - (a) (X, A) is a Mukai variety, $L \approx 3A$; or
 - (b) (X, A) , $\phi : X \rightarrow W$, is a Del Pezzo fibration over a smooth curve; or
 - (c) (X, A) , $\phi : X \rightarrow W$, is a quadric fibration over a normal surface; or
 - (d) (X, A) , $\phi : X \rightarrow W$, is a scroll over a normal threefold; or
 - (e) (X, A) , $\phi : X \rightarrow W$, is a \mathbb{P}^3 -bundle over a normal threefold, and ϕ is the contraction of an extremal ray; or
 - (f) ϕ is the simultaneous contraction of a finite number of extremal rays and is an isomorphism outside of $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{B})$ where \mathcal{B} is an algebraic subset of W which is the disjoint union of irreducible components of dimension 1. Let B be an irreducible component of \mathcal{B} and let $E = \phi^{-1}(B)$. The general fiber, Δ , of the restriction, ϕ_E , of ϕ to E is a linear \mathbb{P}^4 , $(\Delta, A_\Delta) \cong (\mathbb{P}^4, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(1))$, $\mathcal{N}_{E/X|\Delta} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(-1)$ and W is factorial with terminal singularities;

8. $n = 6, \tau = \frac{5}{3}, A := K_X + 2L$ is ample and either:
 - (a) (X, A) is a Del Pezzo variety, $L \approx 3A$; or
 - (b) $(X, A), \phi : X \rightarrow W$, is a quadric fibration over a smooth curve, and all fibers are equidimensional; or
 - (c) $(X, A), \phi : X \rightarrow W$, is a scroll over a normal surface; or
 - (d) $(X, A), \phi : X \rightarrow W$, is a \mathbb{P}^4 -bundle over a normal surface, and ϕ is the contraction of an extremal ray; or
 - (e) ϕ is the simultaneous contraction of a finite number of extremal rays and is an isomorphism outside of $\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{B})$ where \mathcal{B} is the union of a finite set of points. For each point $b \in \mathcal{B}$ let $E = \phi^{-1}(b)$. Then $(E, A_E) \cong (\mathbb{P}^5, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^5}(1)), \mathcal{O}_E(E) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^5}(-1)$ and W is factorial with terminal singularities;
9. $n = 6, \tau = \frac{5}{4}, A := 3K_X + 4L$ is ample and either:
 - (a) (X, A) is a Del Pezzo variety, $L \approx 4A$; or
 - (b) $(X, A), \phi : X \rightarrow W$, is as in one of cases 8 (b), 8 (c), 8 (d), 8 (e) respectively;
10. $n = 6, \tau = \frac{7}{4}, (X, L) \cong (\mathbb{P}^6, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^6}(4))$;
11. $n = 6, \tau = \frac{6}{5}, A := 4K_X + 5L$ is ample and either:
 - (a) $(X, L) \cong (\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Q}}(5))$, \mathcal{Q} a hyperquadric in \mathbb{P}^7 ; or
 - (b) $(X, A), \phi : X \rightarrow W$, is a \mathbb{P}^5 -bundle over a nonsingular curve; furthermore ϕ is a contraction of an extremal ray;
12. $n = 6, \tau = \frac{7}{5}, (X, L) \cong (\mathbb{P}^6, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^6}(5))$;
13. $n = 6, \tau = \frac{7}{6}, (X, L) \cong (\mathbb{P}^6, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^6}(6))$.

Proof. Throughout the proof we use over and over all the results from §1 without always explicitly referring to them. Let $\tau = \frac{u}{v}$, where $v \geq 2$ since τ is not integer. By Lemma 1.8 there exist positive integers a, b such that $av - bu = 1$ and the line bundle $A := bK_X + aL$ is ample. Thus $K_X + uA = a(vK_X + uL)$ and hence $K_X + uA \approx \phi^*(\mathcal{H})$ for some ample line bundle \mathcal{H} on W and u is the nefvalue of (X, A) .

We put $m(\phi) := \max_{w \in W} \{\dim \phi^{-1}(w)\}$ and, if ϕ is not birational, we denote by $f(\phi)$ the dimension of the general fiber F . Note that in this case $K_F + uA_F \approx \mathcal{O}_F$ and hence Inequality (2) holds true.

Step I: Let us first consider case $v = 2$. From Lemma 1.7 we have

$$\tau = n - k + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{2k - 7}{2} = \frac{n - 3}{2}.$$

Therefore $u = n - 3$ and hence $A = K_X + (k - 3)L$.

If ϕ is not birational, then the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 lead to Cases (a) to (f) in 1.

Thus we can assume ϕ birational. If $n \geq 8$, we are in the range $u \geq \frac{n+1}{2}$ and therefore we are in Case 1 (f) by using Theorem 1.9, (c).

Then we can assume $n = 6$. Hence $u = 3$ is the nefvalue of (X, A) and $K_X + 3A \approx \phi^*(\mathcal{H})$. Let R be an extremal ray subordinated to $K_X + 3A$ (i.e., $(K_X + 3A) \cdot R = 0$) and let E be an irreducible component of the exceptional locus of the contraction $\rho =$

$\text{cont}_R : X \rightarrow Y$ of R . Let Δ be any irreducible component of any fiber of the restriction, ρ_E , of ρ to E . Then, since X is smooth, the Ionescu–Wiśniewski inequality (see e.g. [4, (6.3.6)]) yields $\dim E + \dim \Delta \geq \dim X + \ell(R) - 1$, where $\ell(R)$ denotes the length of R . In our case $\ell(R) = 3$ (cf. [4, (4.2.15)]), so that the above inequality gives

$$\dim E + \dim \Delta \geq 8. \quad (4)$$

Thus $2 \dim E \geq 8$, or $\dim E \geq 4$. Note that since $K_X + 3A$ is the supporting divisor of ρ , ρ is a 6-dimensional third reduction in the sense of [11]. If $\dim E = 5$, i.e., if ρ is of divisorial type, then E, Δ are completely described in [11, Theorem 1.3]. We are in Case 1 (h), i. If $\dim E = 4$, Inequality (4) yields $\dim \Delta \geq 4$, which implies $\Delta = E$ and hence ρ contracts E to a point. Thus [2, (5.8.1)] applies to give Case 1 (h), ii.

Thus from now on we can assume $v \geq 3$. Inequality (3) gives for n the possible values $n = 12, 10, 8, 6$.

If $n = 12, 10$, the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 (cases $n = 9, 7$) easily lead to Cases 2, 3.

Step II: The case $n = 8$. We have $k = 6$ and (3) yields $v = 3, 4$. We deal first with the case $v = 3$. From Lemma 1.7 either $\tau = \frac{7}{3}$, $u = 7$ or $\tau = \frac{8}{3}$, $u = 8$.

Let $\tau = \frac{7}{3}$, so that $A = 2K_X + 5L$. Assume ϕ is not birational. We have $7 \leq f(\phi) + 1 \leq m(\phi) + 1$ from Inequality (2) and hence $6 \leq m(\phi) \leq 8$. If $m(\phi) = 8$, ϕ contracts X to a point so that $3K_X + 7L \approx \mathcal{O}_X$. It follows that $K_X \approx -7A$, $L \approx 3A$, and we are in Case 4 (a). If $u = m(\phi) = 7$, one has $6 \leq f(\phi) \leq 7$. Then, recalling that $K_X + 7A \approx \phi^*(\mathcal{H})$, (X, A) , $\phi : X \rightarrow W$, is a quadric fibration over a nonsingular curve as in Case 4 (b) if $f(\phi) = 7$; and (X, A) , $\phi : X \rightarrow W$, is a scroll over a normal surface as in Case 4 (c) if $f(\phi) = 6$. If $m(\phi) = 6$, then $u = m(\phi) + 1$ and (X, A) , $\phi : X \rightarrow W$, is a \mathbb{P}^6 -bundle over a normal surface as in Case 4 (d). Whenever ϕ is birational, since $u = 7 = n - 1$ is the nefvalue of (X, A) , we are in Case 4 (e) by using [4, (7.3.2)].

Let $\tau = \frac{8}{3}$, so that $A = K_X + 3L$. If ϕ is not birational, we have $8 \leq f(\phi) + 1 \leq m(\phi) + 1$ from Inequality (2) and hence $7 \leq m(\phi) \leq 8$. If $m(\phi) = 8$, ϕ contracts X to a point, so that $3K_X + 8L \approx \mathcal{O}_X$ and we are in Case 5 (a). If $m(\phi) = 7$, then $u = m(\phi) + 1$ and (X, A) , $\phi : X \rightarrow W$, is a \mathbb{P}^7 -bundle over a smooth curve as in Case 5 (b).

We claim that ϕ is not birational. Indeed, if it was, then $K_X + 8A = K_X + 8(K_X + 3L) = 3(3K_X + 8L)$ would be nef and big and not ample; since $n = 8$ this is not possible by [4, (7.2.3)].

Let $v = 4$. From Lemma 1.7 either $\tau = \frac{9}{4}$, $u = 9$, or $\tau = \frac{11}{4}$, $u = 11$. The second case contradicts the bound $u \leq 9$ from the Kawamata rationality theorem. Therefore $\tau = \frac{9}{4}$. Then $u = m(\phi) + 1 = 9$, that is $m(\phi) = 8$ and $\phi : X \rightarrow W$ contracts X to a point. Hence $4K_X + 9L \approx \mathcal{O}_X$ and we are in Case 6.

Step III: The case $n = 6$. We have $k = 5$ and (3) yields $3 \leq v \leq 6$.

Let $v = 3$. From Lemma 1.7 either $\tau = \frac{4}{3}$, $u = 4$ or $\tau = \frac{5}{3}$, $u = 5$. Consider first the case $\tau = \frac{4}{3}$. Then $A = 2K_X + 3L$. Assume ϕ is not birational. Then Inequality (2) yields $4 \leq f(\phi) + 1 \leq m(\phi) + 1$, so that $3 \leq m(\phi) \leq 6$. If $m(\phi) = 6$, ϕ contracts X to a point, and therefore $3K_X + 4L \approx \mathcal{O}_X$; it follows that $K_X \approx -4A$, $L \approx 3A$ and we are in Case 7 (a). If $m(\phi) = 5$, then $3 \leq f(\phi) \leq 5$. If $f(\phi) = 5$ (respectively

$f(\phi) = 4$; respectively $f(\phi) = 3$), recalling that $K_X + 4L \approx \phi^*(\mathcal{H})$, we see that (X, A) , $\phi : X \rightarrow W$, is a Del Pezzo fibration over a smooth curve as in Case 7 (b) (respectively a quadric fibration over a normal surface as in Case 7 (c); respectively a scroll over a normal threefold as in Case 7 (d)). If $u = m(\phi) = 4$, then $3 \leq f(\phi) \leq 4$ and (X, A) , $\phi : X \rightarrow W$, is either a quadric fibration over a normal surface if $f(\phi) = 4$ (and all fibers are equidimensional since $u = m(\phi)$), or a scroll over a normal threefold if $f(\phi) = 3$; we fall again in Cases 7 (c), 7 (d). If $m(\phi) = 3$, then $u = m(\phi) + 1$ and (X, A) , $\phi : X \rightarrow W$, is a \mathbb{P}^3 -bundle over a normal threefold as in Case 7 (e). Whenever ϕ is birational Theorem 1.9, (c) applies to give Case 7 (f).

Let $\tau = \frac{5}{3}$, and hence $A = K_X + 2L$. If ϕ is not birational, Inequality (2) gives $5 \leq f(\phi) + 1 \leq m(\phi) + 1$. Thus $4 \leq m(\phi) \leq 6$ and exactly the same argument as in the case $\tau = \frac{4}{3}$, shows that we are in one of Cases 8 (a), 8 (b), 8 (c), 8 (d) (note that in Case 8 (c) all fibers are equidimensional since $u = m(\phi)$). If ϕ is birational we are in Case 8 (e) by using again Theorem 1.9, (c).

Let $v = 4$. From Lemma 1.7 either $\tau = \frac{5}{4}$, $u = 5$, or $\tau = \frac{7}{4}$, $u = 7$. Let $\tau = \frac{5}{4}$, so that $A = 3K_X + 4L$. If ϕ is not birational, we have $5 \leq f(\phi) + 1 \leq m(\phi) + 1$, so that $4 \leq m(\phi) \leq 6$. If $m(\phi) = 6$, ϕ contracts X to a point and hence $4K_X + 5L \approx \mathcal{O}_X$. Thus $K_X \approx -5A$, $L \approx 4A$ and (X, A) is a Del Pezzo variety as in Case 9 (a). If $u = m(\phi) = 5$, we have $4 \leq f(\phi) \leq 5$. Therefore, since $K_X + 5A \approx \phi^*(\mathcal{H})$, we see that (X, A) , $\phi : X \rightarrow W$, is a quadric fibration over a smooth curve, and all fibers are equidimensional, if $f(\phi) = 5$; and (X, A) , $\phi : X \rightarrow W$, is a scroll over a normal surface if $f(\phi) = 4$; we find the first two cases of 9 (b). If $m(\phi) = 4$, then $u = m(\phi) + 1$ and (X, A) , $\phi : X \rightarrow W$, is a \mathbb{P}^4 -bundle over a normal surface as in the third case of 9 (b). If ϕ is birational, Theorem 1.9 applies again and we are in the last case of 9 (b).

Let $\tau = \frac{7}{4}$. Since $u = m(\phi) + 1 = 7$ we have $m(\phi) = 6$, that is ϕ contracts X to a point, and therefore $4K_X + 7L \approx \mathcal{O}_X$; we are in Case 10 (a).

Next, let us assume $v = 5$. Lemma 1.7 yields $\tau = 1 + \frac{i}{5}$ with $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Hence we find for τ the possible numerical values $\frac{6}{5}, \frac{7}{5}, \frac{8}{5}, \frac{9}{5}$. Clearly the last two cases cannot occur since they contradict the bound $u \leq 7$ from the Kawamata rationality theorem.

Let $\tau = \frac{6}{5}$, and hence $A = 4K_X + 5L$. Note that ϕ is not birational. Indeed, if it was, $K_X + 6A (=5(5K_X + 6L))$ would be nef and not ample, contradicting [4, (7.2.3)]. Thus ϕ is a fibration satisfying $6 \leq f(\phi) + 1 \leq m(\phi) + 1$, and hence $5 \leq m(\phi) \leq 6$. If $m(\phi) = 6$, ϕ contracts X to a point, so that $5K_X + 6L \approx \mathcal{O}_X$ and we find Case 11 (a). If $m(\phi) = 5$, we are in Case 11 (b) since $u = m(\phi) + 1$.

Finally, let $\tau = \frac{7}{5}$. Since $u = m(\phi) + 1 = 7$ we have $m(\phi) = 6$ and therefore $5K_X + 7L \approx \mathcal{O}_X$; we are in Case 12.

If $v = 6$, then $\tau = 1 + \frac{i}{6}$, $i = 1, 5$, by Lemma 1.7. The case $i = 5$ is excluded by the usual bound $u \leq 7$. Therefore $\tau = \frac{7}{6}$ and hence $6K_X + 7L \approx \mathcal{O}_X$; we are in Case 13. □

Remark 3.2. Note that in the scroll Cases 1 (e) (with $n \geq 7$), 4 (c), 7 (d), 8 (c) of Theorem 3.1, ϕ is a contraction of an extremal ray by [4, (14.1.1)]. Furthermore, if A is very ample, in Cases 4 (c), 7 (d), 8 (c), ϕ is a linear $\mathbb{P}^{n-\dim(W)}$ -bundle by [4, (14.1.3)].

Note also that in the quadric fibration Cases 1 (d) (with $n \geq 7$), 4 (b), 7 (c), 8 (b), ϕ is a contraction of an extremal ray by [4, (14.2.1)].

References

- [1] M. Andreatta, Contractions of Gorenstein polarized varieties with high nef value. *Math. Ann.* **300** (1994), 669–679. [MR 96b:14007](#) [Zbl 0813.14009](#)
- [2] M. Andreatta, J. A. Wiśniewski, On contractions of smooth varieties. *J. Algebraic Geom.* **7** (1998), 253–312. [MR 99f:14021a](#) [Zbl 0966.14012](#)
- [3] M. C. Beltrametti, A. J. Sommese, A remark on the Kawamata rationality theorem. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **45** (1993), 557–568. [MR 94e:14006](#) [Zbl 0803.14003](#)
- [4] M. C. Beltrametti, A. J. Sommese, *The adjunction theory of complex projective varieties*. de Gruyter 1995. [MR 96f:14004](#) [Zbl 0845.14003](#)
- [5] T. Fujita, *Classification theories of polarized varieties*. Cambridge Univ. Press 1990. [MR 93e:14009](#) [Zbl 0743.14004](#)
- [6] T. Fujita, On singular del Pezzo varieties. In: *Algebraic geometry (L'Aquila, 1988)*, volume 1417 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, 117–128, Springer 1990. [MR 91b:14057](#) [Zbl 0714.14026](#)
- [7] T. Fujita, On Kodaira energy and adjoint reduction of polarized manifolds. *Manuscripta Math.* **76** (1992), 59–84. [MR 93i:14032](#) [Zbl 0766.14027](#)
- [8] Y. Kawamata, K. Matsuda, K. Matsuki, Introduction to the minimal model problem. In: *Algebraic geometry, Sendai, 1985*, volume 10 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, 283–360, North-Holland 1987. [MR 89e:14015](#) [Zbl 0672.14006](#)
- [9] S. Mukai, Biregular classification of Fano 3-folds and Fano manifolds of coindex 3. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **86** (1989), 3000–3002. [MR 90g:14024](#) [Zbl 0679.14020](#)
- [10] S. Mukai, New classification of Fano threefolds and manifolds of coindex 3. Preprint 1988.
- [11] S. Nakamura, On the third adjoint contractions. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **467** (1995), 51–65. [MR 97a:14007](#) [Zbl 0832.14003](#)
- [12] S. Nakamura, On the classification of the third reduction with a spectral value condition. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **49** (1997), 633–646. [MR 98g:14016](#) [Zbl 0932.14023](#)
- [13] Y. Zhao, On classification of polarized varieties with non-integral nef values. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **129** (2001), 1907–1913. [MR 2002b:14011](#) [Zbl 01597844](#)

Received 18 April, 2002; revised 26 June, 2002

M. C. Beltrametti, Dipartimento di Matematica, Via Dodecaneso 35, I-16146 Genova, Italy
Email: beltrame@dima.unige.it

S. Di Termini, Via G. Opisso 252/25, I-16155 Genova, Italy
Email: 2100177@studenti.unige.it