

# Cohomology of groups, abelian Sylow subgroups and splendid equivalences

Alexander Zimmermann

## Abstract

Let  $G$  be a finite group and let  $R$  be a complete discrete valuation domain of characteristic 0 with residue field  $k$  of characteristic  $p$  and let  $S$  be  $R$  or  $k$ . The cohomology rings  $H^*(K, S)$  for subgroups  $K$  of  $G$  together with restriction to subgroups of  $G$ , transfer from subgroups of  $G$  and conjugation by elements of  $G$  gives  $H^*(-, S)$  the structure of a Mackey functor. Moreover, the group  $HSpl_{S}(K)$  of splendid auto-equivalences of the bounded derived category of finitely generated  $SG$ -modules fixing the trivial module acts  $S$ -linearly on  $H^*(K, S)$ . In this note we study the compatibility of these structures and get some consequences when  $G$  has an abelian Sylow  $p$  subgroup. In particular we see that in case  $G$  has an abelian Sylow  $p$  subgroup, then  $HSpl_{R}(G)$  acts by automorphisms of the Sylow subgroup on the cohomology.

2000 AMS Subject Classification : 20C05, 20J06, 16G30, 18E30, 14L30

Let  $G$  be a finite group and let  $R$  be a commutative ring, considered as a trivial  $RG$ -module. The bounded derived category  $D^b(RG)$  of finitely generated  $RG$ -modules is used in modular representation theory of finite groups to provide a geometric framework for the classical conjectures like Dade's conjecture or Alperin's conjecture [3, 4]. These two are consequences of Broué's conjecture [1, 4] which states that in case  $R = k$  is a field of characteristic  $p$  and  $G$  is a finite group with abelian Sylow  $p$  subgroup  $P$ , the derived categories of the principal block  $B_0(kG)$  of  $kG$  and the principal block  $B_0(kN_G(P))$  of  $kN_G(P)$  are equivalent. Besides the above conjectures of Alperin and Dade a positive answer to Broué's conjecture implies for example that the K-theory, the cyclic and the Hochschild (co-)homology of the principal blocks of  $kG$  and of  $kN_G(P)$  coincide. For an account of other consequences and most known results see [4].

If there is an equivalence between two derived categories, an immediate question is, how many equivalences there are. This way, one is lead to the definition of the group  $TrPic_R(B_0(RG))$  of auto-equivalences of the derived category  $D^b(B_0(RG))$  (see [8]). This group comes into the play from a very different approach as well. The Mirror symmetry conjecture of Kontsevitch imply that symplectic automorphisms of a symplectic manifold with vanishing first Chern class induce auto-equivalences of the derived category of sheaves of the mirror Calabi-Yau manifold. From there as well one is lead to the group of auto-equivalences of the derived category (see [9]).

Studying a group is done most naturally by studying its modules. So, one should look for a natural module on which these groups act on. From many points of view the derived category is the right object to consider homology. Since the derived categories, we are interested in, are derived categories of group rings, in the context of auto-equivalences of group rings the natural module we asked for is the cohomology of groups.

In the present note we construct a module structure on  $H^*(G, R)$  coming from interpreting this object in the derived category. Let  $A$  be an  $R$ -algebra. Bernhard Keller proved [2] that  $D^b(B_0(RG)) \simeq D^b(A)$  as triangulated categories if and only if there is an  $X \in D^b(A \otimes_R B_0(RG)^{op})$  so that  $X \otimes_{B_0(RG)}^{\mathbb{L}} -$  is an equivalence. Such an  $X$  is called a two-sided tilting complex. In [11] it is proved that if  $R$  is hereditary, then  $A$  is  $R$ -projective again and by [2] the inverse equivalence is again a derived tensor product by a complex of bimodules. For a complex  $X$  note by  $[X]$  its isomorphism class in the derived category. Set [8]

$$TrPic_R(B_0(RG)) := \{[X] \mid X \in D^b(B_0(RG) \otimes B_0(RG)^{op}) \text{ is a 2-sided tilting complex}\}$$

and  $HD_R(G) := \{[X] \in TrPic_R(B_0(RG)) \mid X \otimes_{B_0(RG)} R \simeq R\}$ . It is shown (cf. [12]) that the group cohomology  $H^*(G, R)$  is an  $R HD_R(G)$ -module by composing the following morphisms: Take  $X$  with

$[X] \in HD_R(G)$ . Then  $X$  acts on  $H^*(G, R)$  by the composition  $F_X$  of the following maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^n(G, R) & & H^n(G, R) \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ Hom_{D^b(RG)}(R, R[n]) & \longrightarrow & Hom_{D^b(RG)}(X \otimes_{RG} R, X \otimes_{RG} R[n]) \simeq Hom_{D^b(RG)}(R, R[n]) \end{array}.$$

In [12] it is shown that  $F_X$  does not depend on the isomorphisms chosen.

## 1 Splendid Equivalences

Let now  $R$  be a complete discrete valuation ring with field of fractions  $K$  of characteristic 0 and residue field  $k$  of characteristic  $p$ . Let  $P$  be a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of  $G$  and let  $\Delta : G \rightarrow G \times G$  be the codiagonal  $\Delta(g) = (g, g^{-1})$ .

**(1.1)** A two-sided tilting complex  $X$  with isomorphism class in  $TrPic_R(RG)$  is called splendid [5] provided all homogeneous components of  $X$  are  $\Delta P$ -projective  $p$ -permutation modules, projective as  $B_0(RG)$ -modules from the left and from the right, and provided  $Hom_{B_0(RG)^{op}}(X, X) \simeq B_0(RG) \simeq Hom_{B_0(RG)}(X, X)$  in the homotopy category of complexes of  $B_0(RG)$ -bimodules. Let  $SplnPic_R(G)$  be the group (!) of homotopy equivalence classes ( $X$ ) of splendid tilting complexes of  $B_0(RG) \otimes_R B_0(RG)^{op}$ -modules. Then, there is a natural group homomorphism  $\varphi : SplnPic_R(G) \rightarrow TrPic_R(B_0(RG))$  by taking isomorphism classes of the objects in the derived category instead of in the homotopy category. Let  $HSpln_R(RG) := \varphi^{-1}(HD_R(G)) \cap SplnPic_R(RG)$ . We use similar notations for  $k$  as base ring.

**(1.2)** A second major ingredient in what follows is the Brauer construction. For any  $p$ -subgroup  $Q$  of  $G$  and any  $kG$ -module  $M$  set  $M(Q) := M^Q / \sum_{R < Q, R \neq Q} Tr_R^Q M^R$  where  $M^Q$  denotes  $Q$ -fixed points and  $Tr$  is the transfer map [10]. The mapping  $M \mapsto M(Q)$  is functorial.

We shall use the Brauer functor in the following way: Results of Rickard [5] imply that  $-(\Delta Q) : SplnPic_k(G) \rightarrow SplnPic_k(C_G(Q))$  is a homomorphism of groups.

We come to the main theorem.

**Theorem 1** *Let  $G$  be a finite group, let  $k$  be a field of characteristic  $p$  and let  $Q$  be a  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . For any  $(X) \in HSpln_R(G)$  with  $(X(\Delta Q)) \in HSpln_R(C_G(Q))$  we have*

$$F_{X(\Delta Q)} \circ res_{C_G(Q)}^G = res_{C_G(Q)}^G \circ F_X \text{ and } F_X \circ tr_{C_G(Q)}^G = tr_{C_G(Q)}^G \circ F_{X(\Delta Q)}.$$

The proof of the theorem is based essentially on the isomorphism  $X(\Delta Q) \otimes_{kC_G(Q)} k \simeq X^G(Q)$  for elements  $(X) \in SplnPic_k(G)$ . In order to show that the action of  $X$  commutes with the transfer one uses in addition an abstract variant of Frobenius reciprocity. Showing that the action of  $X$  commutes with restriction is more straightforward.

We shall be concerned with functorial properties of the above constructions.

**(1.3)** Let  $\mathfrak{P}_{(P,G)}$  be a set of  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$ , partially ordered by inclusion and let  $Sub_G$  be the set of subgroups of  $G$  partially ordered by inclusion. Then,  $\mathfrak{C}_{(P,G)} := \{C_G(Q) \mid Q \in \mathfrak{P}_{(P,G)}\}$  is a partially ordered set as well, and  $C_G(-)$  is an inclusion reversing mapping  $\mathfrak{P}_{(P,G)} \rightarrow Sub_G$  with image  $\mathfrak{C}_{(P,G)}$ . A partially ordered set  $(S, \leq)$  may be seen as category with objects being the elements of the set and the set of morphisms from one element  $x$  to another  $y$  of  $S$  is a singleton if  $x \leq y$  and empty otherwise. A group sheaf is then a contravariant functor of  $(S, \leq)$  to the category of groups. Suppose that each element of  $\mathfrak{P}_{p,G}$  is abelian. Then the functor given by  $SplnPic_R(-)$  on objects and the Brauer functor on morphisms is a group sheaf over the partially ordered set  $\mathfrak{C}_{(P,G)}$ . In fact,  $Q_1 \subseteq Q_2 \Rightarrow C_G(Q_1) \supseteq C_G(Q_2)$  and this inclusion is mapped to the homomorphism  $SplnPic_R(C_G(Q_1)) \rightarrow SplnPic_R(C_G(Q_2))$  given by  $(X) \mapsto (X(\Delta Q_2))$ .

**(1.4)** The cohomology of groups  $H^*(-, R)$  together with restriction  $res$  on morphisms is a contravariant functor  $Sub_G \rightarrow R\text{-mod}$  and  $H^*(-, R)$  together with transfer  $tr$  is a covariant functor  $Sub_G \rightarrow R\text{-mod}$ . Moreover, for any  $g \in G$  and any  $K < G$  there is an  $R$ -linear mapping  $c_g : H^*(K, R) \rightarrow H^*({}^gK, R)$ . This mapping is a natural transformation for  $(H^*(-, R), res)$  as well as for  $(H^*(-, R), tr)$ . Each  $c_g$  acts as the identity on  $H^*(K, R)$  if  $g \in K$  and  $c_g c_h = c_{gh}$ . Furthermore, one has the Mackey formula  $res_L^H tr_K^H = \sum_{x \in L \backslash H/K} tr_{L \cap {}_xK}^L c_x res_{L \cap K}^K$  for subgroups  $L, K \leq H \leq G$ .

All these properties may be subsumed by saying that  $(H^*(-, R), res, tr, c)$  is a *Mackey functor* (see [10, section 53]). A natural transformation  $\eta : H^*(-, R) \rightarrow H^*(-, R)$  with respect to both *res* and *tr* is called a morphism of Mackey functors.

**(1.5)** Let  $S$  be a partially ordered set and  $F$  be a group sheaf on  $S$ . Let  $M$  be a sheaf of  $R$ -modules on  $S$ . Then, we say that  $F$  acts on  $M$  if there is a natural transformation  $F \times M \rightarrow M$  satisfying the usual properties of a group action locally for any fixed  $x \in S$ . This means that we demand for this action in addition to the usual axioms of a group action to be natural with respect to the order relation: More precisely, if  $x < y$ , then the natural diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(x) \times M(x) & \longrightarrow & M(x) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ F(y) \times M(y) & \longrightarrow & M(y) \end{array}$$

is commutative. We say that  $F$  acts on a *covariant functor*  $N : S \rightarrow R\text{-mod}$  if  $F$  acts in the above sense on the sheaf  $N : S \rightarrow (R\text{-mod})^{op}$ . We define an action of a group sheaf  $F$  on a Mackey functor  $(M, res, tr, c)$  as an action of  $F$  on  $(M, res)$  and on  $(M, tr)$ . Remark that for technical reasons we consider  $(M, tr) : X \rightarrow (R\text{-mod})^{op}$  being valued in the opposite category. Note that a particular case is the constant sheaf where  $F(x)$  equals a fixed group  $\Gamma$  for any  $x \in S$ .

Define for any partially ordered set of abelian  $p$ -subgroups  $\mathfrak{P}_{p,G}$  of the finite group  $G$  the following:

$$HSplen_{k, \mathfrak{P}_{p,G}}(C_G(Q)) := \{(X) \in HSplen_k(C_G(Q)) \mid Q \leq Q' \in \mathfrak{P}_{p,G} \Rightarrow (X(\Delta Q')) \in HSplen_k(C_G(Q'))\}$$

It is clear that  $G = C_G(1)$ . With this definition we have the

**Theorem 2** Let  $\mathfrak{P}_{p,G}$  be a partially ordered set of  $p$ -subgroups of the finite group  $G$  and let  $\mathfrak{C}_{p,G} := \{C_G(Q) \mid Q \in \mathfrak{P}_{p,G}\}$ .

If  $\mathfrak{C}_{p,G}$  is closed under intersection and conjugation, then the constant sheaf  $HSplen_{k, \mathfrak{P}_{p,G}}(G)$  acts as morphisms of Mackey functors on  $H^*(-, k)$ .

Suppose that each element of  $\mathfrak{P}_{p,G}$  is abelian. Let  $Res : \mathfrak{C}_{p,G} \rightarrow k\text{-Mod}$  and  $Trans : \mathfrak{C}_{p,G} \rightarrow (k\text{-Mod})^{op}$  be the two functors which are identical on objects:  $H^*(-, k) : \mathfrak{C}_{p,G} \rightarrow k\text{-Mod}$  while on morphisms  $Res(C_G(Q_1) \leq C_G(Q_2)) := res_{C_G(Q_1)}^{C_G(Q_2)}$  and  $Trans(C_G(Q_1) \leq C_G(Q_2)) := tr_{C_G(Q_1)}^{C_G(Q_2)}$ . Then,  $HSplen_{k, \mathfrak{P}_{p,G}}(-) : \mathfrak{C}_{p,G} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Group}$  acts by natural transformations on  $Res$  and on  $Trans$ .

Observe that the second statement makes sense since we assume that the groups in  $\mathfrak{P}_{p,G}$  are abelian. This implies that for  $Q_2 > Q_1$  one has  $Q_2 \leq C_G(Q_1)$  for all  $Q_1, Q_2 \in \mathfrak{P}_{p,G}$ .

If  $Q_2$  is not abelian it is false in general that  $Q_1 < Q_2$  imply that  $Q_2$  centralize  $Q_1$  and the Brauer functor  $-(\Delta Q_2)$  is not defined on  $SplenPic_R(C_G(Q_1))$ .

Note however that we did not have to assume that the Sylow  $p$  subgroup of  $G$  is abelian.

## 2 Lifting to characteristic 0 and Abelian Sylow subgroups

In this section we shall give some consequences of Theorem 2 in case  $G$  is a finite group with abelian Sylow  $p$  subgroup  $P$ . We keep the hypotheses on  $R$  and  $k$  at the beginning of section 1.

**(2.1)** It is easy to see that the group homomorphism  $-\otimes_R k : TrPic_R(B_0(RG)) \rightarrow TrPic_k(B_0(kG))$  gives rise to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} TrPic_R(RG) & \longrightarrow & TrPic_k(kG) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ SplenPic_R(RG) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & SplenPic_k(kG) \\ \cup & & \cup \\ HSplen_R(G) & \longrightarrow & HSplen_k(G) \end{array}$$

where the middle horizontal morphism is an isomorphism as a consequence of a result of Rickard [5, Theorem 5.2]. This implies that the lower mapping is injective.

**(2.2)** The Künneth sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^i(X \otimes_{RG} R) \otimes_R k \longrightarrow H^i(X \otimes_{RG} k) \longrightarrow Tor_1^R(k, H^{i+1}(X \otimes_{RG} R)) \longrightarrow 0$$

implies that  $X \otimes_{RG} R \simeq M \in RG\text{-mod}$  with  $M \otimes_R k \simeq k$ . Since  $X$  is a two-sided tilting complex, this is true for  $K \otimes_R X$  as well and  $K \otimes_R X \otimes_{RG} R \simeq X \otimes_{RG} K \neq 0$ . Hence,  $M$  is an  $RG$ -lattice and since  $M \otimes_R k \simeq k$ , we conclude that the module  $M$  is  $R$ -free of rank 1. There are only a finite number of such  $RG$ -modules since their  $G$ -structure is entirely fixed by their rational (one-dimensional) character. Let  $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_n$  be representatives of the isomorphism classes of such modules. Then, the mapping  $R \rightarrow \text{End}_R(M_i)$  induced by scalar multiplication is an isomorphism of  $RG$ -modules. Hence, the induced map  $H^m(G, R) \rightarrow H^m(G, \text{End}_R(M_i)) \simeq \text{Ext}_{RG}^m(M_i, M_i)$  is an isomorphism. Set  $\Gamma := \{(X) \in \text{Spl}en_{RG}(RG) \mid (X \otimes_R k) \in \text{HSpl}en_R(G)\}$ . Then,

$$-\otimes_R k : \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \text{Ext}_{RG}^m(M_i, M_i) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n H^m(G, k)$$

is a homomorphism of  $R\Gamma$ -modules. Any  $(X) \in \Gamma$  acts on the right hand side as  $(X \otimes_R k)$  and therefore diagonally. It is clear that  $(X)$  acts on the left hand side as monomial matrices with the same induced permutation in each degree. For  $m = 0$  each of the summands on the right hand side is a copy of  $k$  and each of the summands on the left hand side is a copy of  $R$ . One concludes that  $(X)$  acts diagonally on the left hand side as well. We proved the following

**Lemma 2.1**  $-\otimes_R k : \text{HSpl}en_R(G) \simeq \text{HSpl}en_k(G)$ .

As a consequence we formulate

**Theorem 3** *Let  $G$  be a group with abelian Sylow  $p$  subgroup  $P$  and let  $R$  be a complete discrete valuation domain of characteristic 0 and residue field  $k$  of characteristic  $p$ . Then,  $\text{HSpl}en_{k, \{P\}}(G)$  acts on  $H^*(G, k)$  by outer automorphisms of  $P$ .*

Proof. Let  $S$  be  $R$  or  $k$ . Let  $X$  be a splendid tilting complex with isomorphism class in  $\text{HSpl}en_S(G)$ . Then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^*(G, S) & \xrightarrow{\text{res}_{C_G(P)}^G} & H^*(C_G(P), S) \\ \downarrow F_X^* & & \downarrow F_{X(\Delta P)}^* \\ H^*(G, S) & \xrightarrow{\text{res}_{C_G(P)}^G} & H^*(C_G(P), S) \end{array}$$

is a commutative diagram. The image of  $\text{res}_{C_G(P)}^G$  is the set of stable elements in  $H^*(C_G(P), S)$ . By definition,  $C_G(P)$  acts trivially on  $P$  and so every element of  $H(P, S)$  is  $C_G(P)$ -stable. Hence  $\text{res}_P^{C_G(P)}$  is an isomorphism. Since the index  $[G : C_G(P)]$  is invertible in  $S$ , the homomorphism  $\text{res}_{C_G(P)}^G$  is injective. Moreover,  $P$  is a normal subgroup of  $C_G(P)$  and the quotient  $C_G(P)/P$  is a  $p'$ -group. So,  $C_G(P) = P \times C_G(P)/P$ . It is clear that the principal block of  $kC_G(P)$  is isomorphic to  $kP$ . The isomorphism is induced by the trivial representation of  $C_G(P)/P$ . By [8],  $\text{TrPic}_S(SP) = \text{Pic}_S(SP) \times C_\infty$  where  $C_\infty$  is the group generated by the shift of degree. So,  $\text{HSpl}en_{S, \{P\}}(G)$  acts on  $H^*(G, k)$  as  $\text{HSpl}en_S(C_G(P))$  acts on  $H^*(C_G(P), k)$  and  $\text{HSpl}en_S(C_G(P)) = \text{HSpl}en_S(P) \subseteq \text{Out}_S(SP)$ .

But,  $\text{HSpl}en_R(P) \simeq \text{HSpl}en_k(P)$  by Lemma 2.1. Roggenkamp and Scott prove (see [6]) that the set of automorphisms of  $RP$  which preserve the augmentation equals  $\text{Inn}(RP) \cdot \text{Aut}(P)$ . A theorem of Coleman and an improvement due to Leonard Scott (see [7, part I § 2.1, Lemma 2.1]) states that  $\text{Aut}(P) \cap \text{Inn}(RP) = \text{Inn}(P)$ . Hence  $\text{Out}_R(RP) \cap \text{HSpl}en_R(RP) \subseteq \text{Out}(P)$ . Since  $P$  is abelian,  $\text{Out}(P) = \text{Aut}(P)$ . This proves the theorem. ■

**Example (2.3)** : It is clear that the restriction  $\text{res}_P^G$  maps the action of an outer automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $G$  to the action of the restriction  $\alpha|_P$  to  $P$ , where one may modify if necessary  $\alpha$  by an inner automorphism so that  $\alpha$  fixes  $P$ . From that description it is clear that there are automorphisms of  $P$  which do not act as any automorphism of  $G$  on the image of the restriction map  $\text{res}_P^G$ . One might ask if the action of any automorphism of  $P$  on the image of  $\text{res}_P^G$  can be realized as the action of an element in the larger group  $\text{HSpl}en_R(G)$ . This is not the case. Let  $D_p$  be the dihedral group of order  $2p$  for a prime number  $p$ . Then, for  $R = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ , the  $p$ -adic integers, the group ring  $RD_p$  is a Brauer tree algebra with two edges and exceptional vertex in the middle vertex. By the arguments used in [8] and [12],  $\text{TrPic}_R(B_0(RD_p))$  is a central extension by an infinite cyclic group of some subgroup of  $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . In fact, it is not difficult to see that  $\text{TrPic}_R(B_0(RD_p))$  is generated by the

preimage of the level two congruence subgroup  $\Gamma(2)$  of  $PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  and the standard element  $\phi$  of order 2. Call  $s^2$  and  $t^2$  preimages of the standard generators of  $\Gamma(2)$ . The stabilizer of the trivial module equals the group  $\langle \phi t s (\phi s)^{-3}, t^2 \rangle$  remarking that  $(\phi s)^3$  is shift by 2 degrees. But, as the action of  $HD_R(G)$  on  $H^*(G, R)$  factors via the natural quotient of  $HD_R(G)$  to the group of auto-equivalences of the stable module category (see [12]) and as there are no stable auto-equivalences fixing the trivial module (cf Linckelmann [4, chapter XI]),  $\langle \phi t s (\phi s)^{-3}, t^2 \rangle$  acts trivially on  $H^*(D_p, \mathbb{F}_p)$ . Nevertheless,  $H^*(D_p, \mathbb{F}_p) = H^*(C_p, \mathbb{F}_p)^{C_2} = \mathbb{F}_p[X^2]$  where  $X$  is a 2-cocycle in  $H^*(C_p, \mathbb{F}_p)$ . The action of  $Aut(C_p) = C_{p-1}$  is multiplication by a multiplicative generator in degree 2, hence by its square in degree 4. As soon as  $p > 3$ , there exists  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_p$  with  $\alpha^2 \neq 1$ .

(2.4) Let  $G$  be a finite group with abelian Sylow  $p$  subgroup  $P$ . By the above considerations the action of  $HSplen_{R, \{P, \{1\}\}}(G)$  on  $H(G, R)$  induces a group homomorphism  $HSplen_{R, \{P, \{1\}\}}(G) \longrightarrow Aut(P)$ .

It might be an interesting question to determine the image of the Brauer functor  $-(\Delta P)$ . For an abelian group  $P$  it is clear that  $Aut(P)$  acts faithfully on  $H^*(P, R)$ , but there is a difficult and open question of S. Jackowski if for any  $p$ -group  $P$  the group  $Out(P)$  acts faithfully on  $H^*(P, R)$ . The answer is negative if  $R$  is replaced by a field  $k$  of characteristic  $p$ ; the cyclic group of order  $p^2$  gives already a counterexample.

## References

- [1] M. Broué, *Isométries Parfaites, Types de Blocs, Catégories Dérivées*. Astérisque **181–182** (1990), 61–92.
- [2] Bernhard Keller, *A remark on tilting theory and DG-algebras*, manuscripta mathematica **79** (1993) 247–253.
- [3] B. Külshammer, *Offene Probleme in der Darstellungstheorie endlicher Gruppen*, Jahresbericht der deutschen Mathematiker Vereinigung **94** (1992), 98–104.
- [4] Steffen König and Alexander Zimmermann, “Derived equivalences for group rings”, with contributions by Bernhard Keller, Markus Linckelmann, Jeremy Rickard and Raphaël Rouquier, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1685, Springer Verlag, Berlin 1998.
- [5] Jeremy Rickard, *Splendid equivalences: derived categories and permutation modules*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **72** (1996), 331–358.
- [6] Klaus Roggenkamp, *Subgroup Rigidity of  $p$ -adic group rings (Weiss’ argument revisited)*, J. London Mathematical Society **59** (1992) 534–544.
- [7] Klaus Roggenkamp and Martin Taylor, “Group Rings and Class Groups”, Birkhäuser Basel 1992.
- [8] Raphaël Rouquier and Alexander Zimmermann, *A Picard group for derived module categories*, accepted for Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society.
- [9] Paul Seidel and Richard Thomas, *Braid group actions on derived categories of coherent sheaves*, preprint (2000), <http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/math.AG/0001043>
- [10] J. Thévenaz, “ $G$ -algebras and modular representation theory”, Oxford 1995.
- [11] Alexander Zimmermann, *Tilted symmetric orders are symmetric orders*, Archiv der Mathematik **73** (1999) 15–17.
- [12] Alexander Zimmermann, *Auto-equivalences of derived categories acting on group cohomology*, preprint (August 1999).
- [13] Alexander Zimmermann, *Cohomology of groups and splendid equivalences of derived categories*, to appear in Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society (2001).

Faculté de Mathématiques  
 Université de Picardie  
 33, rue St Leu  
 80039 Amiens Cedex; France  
 electronic mail: Alexander.Zimmermann@u-picardie.fr

Amiens, June 2000

[AMA - Algebra Montpellier Announcements - 01-2000] [October, 2000]  
 Received July 2000, revised September 2000.