DISCRETE LAGUERRE FUNCTIONS AND EQUILIBRIUM CONDITIONS

SCHIPP FERENC

Dedicated to the 60th birthday of Professor Árpád Varecza

ABSTRACT. The discrete Laguerre functions L_n^a $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ forms an orthonormal system on the unite circle \mathbb{T} and the finite set of functions L_n^a $(n=0,1,\cdots,N-1)$ is orthonormal with respect to a discrete scalar product defined by the discrete subset \mathbb{T}_N^a of \mathbb{T} . It is showed that the set \mathbb{T}_N^a can be interpreted as a solution of an electrostatic equilibrium problem.

1. Introduction

In the control theory the discrete Laguerre functions and their generalizations are often used to identify the transfer function of the system [1], [2], [3]. The discrete Laguerre functions L_n^a $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ contain a complex parameter $a \in \mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ and can be expressed by the Blaschke functions

$$B_a(z) := \frac{z-a}{1-\bar{a}z} \quad (z \in \mathbb{C}).$$

Namely (see [2])

$$L_n^a(z) := \frac{\sqrt{1-|a|^2}}{1-\bar{a}z} B_a^n(z) = \sqrt{1-|a|^2} \frac{(z-a)^n}{(1-\bar{a}z)^{n+1}} \quad (z \in \mathbb{C}).$$

The Laguerre functions form an orthonormal system on the unite circle $\mathbb{T}:=\{z\in\mathbb{C}:|z|=1\},$ i.e.

$$\langle L_n^a, L_m^a \rangle := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} L_n^a(e^{it}) \overline{L_m^a(e^{it})} dt = \delta_{mn} \quad (m, n \in \mathbb{N}),$$

where δ_{mn} is the Kronecker symbol (see [2]). A generalization of this system is the Malmquist-Takenaka system (see [4]).

If a belongs to \mathbb{D} then B_a is a 1-1 map on \mathbb{D} and on \mathbb{T} , respectively. Moreover (see [2]) B_a can be written in the form

(1.1)
$$B_a(e^{it}) = e^{i\beta_a(t)} \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}, a = re^{i\alpha} \in \mathbb{D}),$$

where

$$\beta_a(t) := \alpha + \gamma_s(t - \alpha), \ \gamma_s(t) := 2\arctan(s\tan\frac{t}{2}) \ (t \in [-\pi, \pi)), \ s := \frac{1+r}{1-r}$$

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 42C05, 33C52.

Key words and phrases. Discrete Laguerre system, discrete orthogonal systems, electrostatic

This research was supported by the grant OTKA/T 032719 and FKFP/0198/1999.

and γ_s is extended to \mathbb{R} by $\gamma_s(t+2\pi)=2\pi+\gamma_s(t)$ $(t\in\mathbb{R})$. The finite collection of the functions L_n^a $(0\leq n< N)$ form a discrete orthonormal system with respect to the scalar product

$$[f,g]_N := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{T}_N^a} f(z) \overline{g(z)} \rho_a(z),$$

where

$$\mathbb{T}_{N}^{a} := \left\{ e^{i\beta_{a}^{-1}(t)} : t = \frac{2k\pi}{N}, k = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1 \right\},$$

$$\rho_{a}(z) := \frac{|1 - \bar{a}z|^{2}}{1 - |a|^{2}} \quad (z \in \mathbb{T}) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_{a}^{-1}(t) = \alpha + \gamma_{s^{-1}}(t - \alpha) \quad (t \in \mathbb{R})$$

is the inverse function of β_a (see [2]). Namely, it is easy to check that

$$[L_n^a, L_m^a] = \delta_{mn} \ (0 \le m, n < N).$$

Indeed, by the definition of L_n^a , \mathbb{T}_N^a and (1.1) and by the orthogonality of the discrete trigonometric system, for $0 \le m, n < N$ we have

$$[L_n^a, L_m^a]_N := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{T}_N^a} L_n^a(z) \overline{L_m^a(z)} \rho_a(z) =$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{T}_n^a} B_a^{n-m}(z) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} e^{2\pi i (n-m)k/N} = \delta_{mn}.$$

In this paper we show that the points of \mathbb{T}_N^a are the solution of the following problem of electrostatic equilibrium:

Problem. Let $a \in \mathbb{D}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $N \geq 2$ be given numbers. If N unite "masses" at the variable point $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_N \in \mathbb{T}$ and two fixed masses q = -(N-1)/2 at a and \bar{a}^{-1} are considered, for what position of the points z_1, z_2, \dots, z_N does the potential function

(1.2)
$$V(z_1, \dots, z_N) := -\log \left(\prod_{1 \le i < j \le N} |z_i - z_j| \prod_{1 \le i \le N} |z_i - a|^q |z_i - \bar{a}^{-1}|^q \right)$$
$$(z_1, \dots, z_N \in \mathbb{T})$$

become a minimum?

The function V can be interpreted as the energy of the electrostatic masses just defined. The minimum position corresponds to the condition of electrostatic equilibrium. Namely we have the following

Theorem. The numbers $w_k := e^{i\tau_k}$, $\tau_k := \beta_a^{-1}(2\pi(k-1)/N)$ $(k = 1, \dots, N)$ of \mathbb{T}_N^a are the solution of the equilibrium equations

(1.3)
$$\sum_{k=1,k\neq n}^{N} \frac{1}{w_n - w_k} + \frac{q}{w_n - a} + \frac{q}{w_n - \bar{a}^{-1}} = 0 \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots, N).$$

Moreover the point $(\tau_1, \tau_2, \cdots, \tau_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ is a stationary point of the potential

$$V(e^{it_1}, \cdots, e^{it_N}) \ ((t_1, \cdots, t_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N),$$

i.e.

(1.4)
$$\frac{\partial V(e^{i\tau_1}, \cdots, e^{i\tau_N})}{\partial t_n} = 0 \quad (n = 1, \cdots, N).$$

The system of equations (1.3) can be interpreted as the electrostatic equilibrium condition for the masses in question. We remark that the zeros of the Jacobi, Laguerre and Hermite polynomials admit a similar interpretation (see [6], pp. 140, 153).

A generalization of these results with respect to the Malmquist–Takenaka systems will be published in [5].

2. The equilibrium condition

First we show (1.3).

Proof of (1.3). Denote

$$\varphi(z) := B_a^N(z) - 1 = \frac{(z - a)^N - (1 - \bar{a}z)^N}{(1 - \bar{a}z)^N} \quad (z \in \mathbb{C}).$$

By (1.1) it is clear that $\varphi(z)=0$ if and inly if $z=w_k:=e^{i\beta_a^{-1}(2\pi k/N)}$ $(k=1,2,\cdots,N)$. Set

$$f(z) := \prod_{k=1}^{N} (z - w_k) \quad (z \in \mathbb{C}).$$

Since the polynomials f and $g(z):=(z-a)^N-(1-\bar{a}z)^N$ $(z\in\mathbb{C})$ have the same degree and roots, therefore $f(z)=\lambda[(z-a)^N-(1-\bar{a}z)^N]$ $(z\in\mathbb{C})$ with a constant $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}$.

It is easy to see that

(2.1)
$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{g''(w_n)}{g'(w_n)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{f''(w_n)}{f'(w_n)} = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{1}{w_n - w_k} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots, N).$$

On the other hand by the definition of the function g and by

$$\left(\frac{w_n - a}{1 - \bar{a}w_n}\right)^N = 1 \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots, N)$$

we have

$$\frac{g''(w_n)}{g'(w_n)} = \frac{N(N-1)(w_n - a)^{N-2} - \bar{a}^2 N(N-1)(1 - \bar{a}w_n)^{N-2}}{N(w_n - a)^{N-1} + \bar{a}N(1 - \bar{a}w_n)^{N-1}} = \frac{N(N-1)}{\frac{(w_n - a)^2}{(1 - \bar{a}w_n)^2}} - \frac{\bar{a}^2 N(N-1)}{\frac{(1 - \bar{a}w_n)^2}{(1 - \bar{a}w_n)^2}}.$$

Hence we get

(2.2)
$$\frac{g''(w_n)}{g'(w_n)} = (N-1)\frac{\frac{1}{(w_n-a)^2} - \frac{1}{(w_n-\bar{a}^{-1})^2}}{\frac{1}{w_n-a} - \frac{1}{w_n-\bar{a}^{-1}}} = (N-1)\left(\frac{1}{w_n-a} + \frac{1}{w_n-\bar{a}^{-1}}\right).$$

Comparing (2.1) and (2.2) we get (1.3). \square

Now we show that for the solution of (1.3) the condition (1.4) is satisfied.

Proof of (1.4). Set $a := re^{i\alpha}$. Then by (1.3) we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{\cos \tau_n - \cos \tau_k}{|w_n - w_k|^2} + \frac{q(\cos \tau_n - r \cos \alpha)}{|w_n - a|^2} + \frac{q(\cos \tau_n - r^{-1} \cos \alpha)}{|w_n - \bar{a}^{-1}|^2} = 0,$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{\sin \tau_n - \sin \tau_k}{|w_n - w_k|^2} + \frac{q(\sin \tau_n - r \sin \alpha)}{|w_n - \bar{a}|^2} + \frac{q(\sin \tau_n - r^{-1} \sin \alpha)}{|w_n - \bar{a}^{-1}|^2} = 0$$

$$(n = 1, 2, \dots, N).$$

Multiplying the first equality by $\sin \tau_n$ the second by $\cos \tau_n$ and taking the difference we get

$$(2.3) \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{\sin(\tau_n - \tau_k)}{|w_n - w_k|^2} + \frac{qr\sin(\tau_n - \alpha)}{|w_n - a|^2} + \frac{qr^{-1}\sin(\tau_n - \alpha)}{|w_n - \bar{a}^{-1}|^2} = 0 \quad (k = 1, \dots, N).$$

By the definition of V

$$V(e^{it_1}, \dots, e^{it_N}) = -\log \left(\prod_{1 \le j < k \le N} |e^{it_j} - e^{it_k}| \prod_{1 \le j \le N} |e^{it_j} - a|^q |e^{it_j} - \bar{a}^{-1}|^q \right) =$$

$$= -\log \left(\prod_{1 \le j < k \le N} |e^{i(t_j - t_k)} - 1| \prod_{1 \le j \le N} |e^{i(t_j - \alpha)} - r|^q |e^{i(t_j - \alpha)} - r^{-1}|^q \right)$$

$$(0 \le t_1 \le \dots \le t_N < 2\pi).$$

It is easy to check that

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial V(e^{i\tau_1}, \cdots, e^{i\tau_N})}{\partial t_n} &= \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\sin(\tau_n - \tau_k)}{|w_n - w_k|^2} + \frac{qr\sin(\tau_n - \alpha)}{|w_n - a|^2} + \frac{qr^{-1}\sin(\tau_n - \alpha)}{|w_n - \bar{a}^{-1}|^2} \\ &\qquad \qquad (k = 1, \cdots, N). \end{split}$$

and by (2.3) we get (1.4).

References

- [1] J. Bokor and F. Schipp. L^{∞} system approximation algorithms generated by φ summation. *IFAC AUTOMATICA J.*, 33:2019–2024, 1997.
- [2] J. Bokor and F. Schipp. Approximate linear H^{∞} identification in Laguerre and Kautz basis. IFAC AUTOMATICA J., 34:463–468, 1998.
- [3] J. Bokor, F. Schipp, and Z. Szabó. Identification of rational approximate models in H^{∞} using generalized orthonormal basis. *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, 44(1):153–158, 1999.
- [4] G. G. Lorentz, M. Golitschek, and Y. Makovoz. Constructive Approximation, volume 304 of Grundlehren math. Wiss. Springer, 1996.
- [5] M. Papp and F. Schipp. Discrete Malmquist-Takenaka systems and electrostatic equilibrium condition. to appear.
- [6] G. Szegő. Orthogonal polynomials (fourth edition), volume 23 of Colloquium Publications. Amer. Math. Soc., 1975.

Received November 11, 2000.

DEPARTMENT OF NUMERICAL ANALYSIS, EÖTVÖS L. UNIVERSITY, COMPUTER AND AUTOMATION RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF HAS, UNIVERSITY OF PÉCS, PÁZMÁNY P. SÉTÁNY I/D, H-1117 BUDAPEST, HUNGARY *E-mail address*: schipp@ludens.elte.hu