

## ORE EXTENSIONS OVER NEAR PSEUDO-VALUATION RINGS AND NOETHERIAN RINGS

V. K. BHAT

ABSTRACT. We recall that a ring  $R$  is called near pseudo-valuation ring if every minimal prime ideal is a strongly prime ideal.

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring,  $\sigma$  an automorphism of  $R$  and  $\delta$  a  $\sigma$ -derivation of  $R$ . We recall that a prime ideal  $P$  of  $R$  is  $\delta$ -divided if it is comparable (under inclusion) to every  $\sigma$ -invariant and  $\delta$ -invariant ideal  $I$  (i.e.  $\sigma(I) \subseteq I$  and  $\delta(I) \subseteq I$ ) of  $R$ . A ring  $R$  is called a  $\delta$ -divided ring if every prime ideal of  $R$  is  $\delta$ -divided. A ring  $R$  is said to be almost  $\delta$ -divided ring if every minimal prime ideal of  $R$  is  $\delta$ -divided.

Recall that an endomorphism  $\sigma$  of a ring  $R$  is called Min.Spec-type if  $\sigma(U) \subseteq U$  for all minimal prime ideals  $U$  of  $R$  and  $R$  is a Min.Spec-type ring (if there exists a Min.Spec-type endomorphism of  $R$ ). With this we prove the following.

Let  $R$  be a commutative Noetherian  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra ( $\mathbb{Q}$  is the field of rational numbers),  $\sigma$  a Min.Spec-type automorphism of  $R$  and  $\delta$  a  $\sigma$ -derivation of  $R$  such that  $\sigma(\delta(a)) = \delta(\sigma(a))$  for all  $a \in R$ . Further let any strongly prime ideal  $U$  of  $R$  with  $\sigma(U) \subseteq U$  and  $\delta(U) \subseteq U$  implies that  $U[x; \sigma, \delta]$  is a strongly prime ideal of  $R[x; \sigma, \delta]$ . Then

- (1)  $R$  is a near pseudo valuation ring implies that  $R[x; \sigma, \delta]$  is a near pseudo valuation ring
- (2)  $R$  is an almost  $\delta$ -divided ring if and only if  $R[x; \sigma, \delta]$  is an almost  $\delta$ -divided ring.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

We follow the notation as in Bhat [14]. All rings are associative with identity. Throughout this paper  $R$  denotes a commutative ring with identity  $1 \neq 0$ . The set of prime ideals of  $R$  is denoted by  $\text{Spec}(R)$ , the set of minimal prime ideals of  $R$  is denoted by  $\text{Min. Spec}(R)$ , and the set of strongly prime ideals is denoted

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 16N40; Secondary 16P40, 16S36.

*Key words and phrases.* Ore extension, Min.Spec-type automorphism, derivation, divided prime, almost divided prime, pseudo-valuation ring, near pseudo-valuation ring.

The author would like to express his sincere thanks to the referee for the remarks and suggestions.

by  $S.\text{Spec}(R)$ . The fields of rational numbers and real numbers are denoted by  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathbb{R}$  respectively unless otherwise stated.

We recall that as in Hedstrom and Houston [16], an integral domain  $R$  with quotient field  $F$ , is called a pseudo-valuation domain (PVD) if each prime ideal  $P$  of  $R$  is strongly prime ( $ab \in P$ ,  $a \in F$ ,  $b \in F$  implies that either  $a \in P$  or  $b \in P$ ). For a survey article on pseudo-valuation domains, the reader is referred to Badawi [6]

In Badawi, Anderson and Dobbs [8], the study of pseudo-valuation domains was generalized to arbitrary rings in the following way. A prime ideal  $P$  of  $R$  is said to be strongly prime if  $aP$  and  $bR$  are comparable (under inclusion; i.e.  $aP \subseteq bR$  or  $bR \subseteq aP$ ) for all  $a, b \in R$ . A ring  $R$  is said to be a pseudo-valuation ring (PVR) if each prime ideal  $P$  of  $R$  is strongly prime. For more details on pseudo-valuation rings, the reader is referred to Badawi [7].

The concept of pseudo-valuation domain is generalized to the context of rings with zero divisors as in [8, 1, 3, 4, 5].

This article concerns the study of skew polynomial rings over PVDs. Let  $R$  be a ring,  $\sigma$  an endomorphism of  $R$  and  $\delta$  a  $\sigma$ -derivation of  $R$  ( $\delta: R \rightarrow R$  is an additive map with  $\delta(ab) = \delta(a)\sigma(b) + a\delta(b)$ , for all  $a, b \in R$ ). In case  $\sigma$  is identity,  $\delta$  is just called a derivation. For example let  $R = F[x]$ ,  $F$  a field. Then  $\sigma: R \rightarrow R$  defined by  $\sigma(f(x)) = f(0)$  is an endomorphism of  $R$ . Also let  $K = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $g: K \rightarrow K$  by  $g(a, b) = (b, a)$  is an automorphism of  $K$ .

Let  $\sigma$  be an automorphism of a ring  $R$  and  $\delta: R \rightarrow R$  any map. Let  $\phi: R \rightarrow M_2(R)$  be a map defined by

$$\phi(r) = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma(r) & 0 \\ \delta(r) & r \end{pmatrix}, \text{ for all } r \in R.$$

Then  $\delta$  is a  $\sigma$ -derivation of  $R$  if and only if  $\phi$  is a homomorphism.

Also let  $R = F[x]$ ,  $F$  a field. Then the usual differential operator  $\frac{d}{dx}$  is a derivation of  $R$ .

We denote the Ore extension  $R[x; \sigma, \delta]$  by  $O(R)$ . If  $I$  is an ideal of  $R$  such that  $I$  is  $\sigma$ -invariant; i.e.  $\sigma(I) \subseteq I$  and  $I$  is  $\delta$ -invariant; i.e.  $\delta(I) \subseteq I$ , then we denote  $I[x; \sigma, \delta]$  by  $O(I)$ . We would like to mention that  $R[x; \sigma, \delta]$  is the usual set of polynomials with coefficients in  $R$ , i.e.  $\{\sum_{i=0}^n x^i a_i, a_i \in R\}$  in which multiplication is subject to the relation  $ax = x\sigma(a) + \delta(a)$  for all  $a \in R$ .

In case  $\delta$  is the zero map, we denote the skew polynomial ring  $R[x; \sigma]$  by  $S(R)$  and for any ideal  $I$  of  $R$  with  $\sigma(I) \subseteq I$ , we denote  $I[x; \sigma]$  by  $S(I)$ . In case  $\sigma$  is the identity map, we denote the differential operator ring  $R[x; \delta]$  by  $D(R)$  and for any ideal  $J$  of  $R$  with  $\delta(J) \subseteq J$ , we denote  $J[x; \delta]$  by  $D(J)$ .

Ore-extensions (skew-polynomial rings and differential operator rings) have been of interest to many authors. For example see [10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15].

*Near Pseudo-valuation rings.* Recall that a ring  $R$  is called a near pseudo-valuation ring (NPVR) if each minimal prime ideal  $P$  of  $R$  is strongly prime (Bhat [13]). For example a reduced ring is NPVR. Here the term near may not

be interpreted as near ring (Bell and Mason [9]). We note that a near pseudo-valuation ring (NPVR) is a pseudo-valuation ring (PVR), but the converse is not true. For example a reduced ring is a NPVR, but need not be a PVR.

*Divided rings.* We recall that a prime ideal  $P$  of  $R$  is said to be divided if it is comparable (under inclusion) to every ideal of  $R$ . A ring  $R$  is called a divided ring if every prime ideal of  $R$  is divided (Badawi [2]). It is known (Lemma (1) of Badawi, Anderson and Dobbs [8]) that a pseudo-valuation ring is a divided ring. Recall that a ring  $R$  is called an almost divided ring if every minimal prime ideal of  $R$  is divided (Bhat [13]).

*$\delta$ -divided rings.* A prime ideal  $P$  of  $R$  is said to be  $\delta$ -divided (where  $\delta$  is a  $\sigma$ -derivation of  $R$ ) if it is comparable (under inclusion) to every  $\sigma$ -invariant and  $\delta$ -invariant ideal  $I$  of  $R$ . A ring  $R$  is called a  $\delta$ -divided ring if every prime ideal of  $R$  is  $\delta$ -divided (Bhat [11]). A ring  $R$  is said to be almost  $\delta$ -divided ring if every minimal prime ideal of  $R$  is  $\delta$ -divided (Bhat [13]). For more details on near pseudo-valuation rings,  $\delta$ -divided rings and almost  $\delta$ -divided rings the reader is referred to [11, 13, 14].

The author of this paper has proved the following in [14] concerning strongly prime ideals of Ore extensions.

**Theorem B** (Bhat [14]). *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring, which is also an algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $\delta$  be a derivation of  $R$ . Further let any  $U \in \text{S.Spec}(R)$  with  $\delta(U) \subseteq U$  implies that  $O(U) \in \text{S.Spec}(O(R))$ . Then*

- (1)  *$R$  is a near pseudo-valuation ring implies that  $D(R)$  is a near pseudo-valuation ring*
- (2)  *$R$  is an almost  $\delta$ -divided ring if and only if  $D(R)$  is an almost  $\delta$ -divided ring.*

**Theorem BB** (Bhat [14]). *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring. Let  $\sigma$  be a Min.Spec-type automorphism of  $R$ . Further let any  $U \in \text{S.Spec}(R)$  with  $\sigma(U) = U$  implies that  $O(U) \in \text{S.Spec}(O(R))$ . Then*

- (1)  *$R$  is a near pseudo-valuation ring implies that  $S(R)$  is a near pseudo-valuation ring*
- (2)  *$R$  is an almost  $\sigma$ -divided ring if and only if  $S(R)$  is an almost  $\sigma$ -divided ring.*

In this paper we generalize the above results of [14] and answer the problem posed in [14].

**Theorem A.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative Noetherian  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra,  $\sigma$  a Min.Spec-type automorphism of  $R$  and  $\delta$  a  $\sigma$ -derivation of  $R$  such that  $\sigma(\delta(a)) = \delta(\sigma(a))$  for all  $a \in R$ . Further let any  $U \in \text{S.Spec}(R)$  with  $\sigma(U) \subseteq U$  and  $\delta(U) \subseteq U$  implies that  $O(U) \in \text{S.Spec}(O(R))$ . Then*

- (1)  *$R$  is a near pseudo valuation ring implies that  $R[x; \sigma, \delta]$  is a near pseudo valuation ring*
- (2)  *$R$  is an almost  $\delta$ -divided ring if and only if  $R[x; \sigma, \delta]$  is an almost  $\delta$ -divided ring.*

This is proved in Theorem (2.5), but before that, we have the following definition.

**Definition 1.1** (see [14]). Let  $R$  be a ring. We say that an endomorphism  $\sigma$  of  $R$  is Min.Spec-type if  $\sigma(U) \subseteq U$  for all minimal prime ideals  $U$  of  $R$ . We say that a ring  $R$  is Min.Spec-type ring if there exists a Min.Spec-type endomorphism of  $R$ .

*Example 1.2* (see [14]). Let  $R = \begin{pmatrix} F & F \\ 0 & F \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $F$  is a field. Let  $\sigma: R \rightarrow R$  be defined by  $\sigma \left( \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}$ . Then it can be seen that  $\sigma$  is a Min.Spec-type endomorphism of  $R$ , and therefore,  $R$  is a Min.Spec-type ring.

## 2. PROOF OF MAIN THEOREM

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $R$  be a right Noetherian ring which is also an algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $\sigma$  be a Min.Spec-type automorphism of  $R$  and  $\delta$  a  $\sigma$ -derivation of  $R$ . Then  $\delta(U) \subseteq U$  for all  $U \in \text{Min. Spec}(R)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $U \in \text{Min. Spec}(R)$ . We have  $\sigma(U) \subseteq U$ . Consider the set

$$T = \{a \in U \mid \text{such that } \delta^k(a) \in U \text{ for all integers } k \geq 1\}.$$

First of all, we will show that  $T$  is an ideal of  $R$ . Let  $a, b \in T$ . Then  $\delta^k(a) \in U$  and  $\delta^k(b) \in U$  for all integers  $k \geq 1$ . Now  $\delta^k(a - b) = \delta^k(a) - \delta^k(b) \in U$  for all  $k \geq 1$ . Therefore  $a - b \in T$ . Therefore  $T$  is a  $\delta$ -invariant ideal of  $R$ .

We will now show that  $T \in \text{Spec}(R)$ . Suppose  $T \notin \text{Spec}(R)$ . Let  $a \notin T, b \notin T$  be such that  $aRb \subseteq T$ . Let  $t, s$  be least such that  $\delta^t(a) \notin U$  and  $\delta^s(b) \notin U$ . Now there exists  $c \in R$  such that  $\delta^t(a)c\sigma^t(\delta^s(b)) \notin U$ . Let  $d = \sigma^{-t}(c)$ . Now  $\delta^{t+s}(adb) \in U$  as  $aRb \subseteq T$ . This implies on simplification that

$$\delta^t(a)\sigma^t(d)\sigma^t(\delta^s(b)) + u \in U,$$

where  $u$  is sum of terms involving  $\delta^l(a)$  or  $\delta^m(b)$ , where  $l < t$  and  $m < s$ . Therefore by assumption  $u \in U$  which implies that  $\delta^t(a)\sigma^t(d)\sigma^t(\delta^s(b)) \in U$ . This is a contradiction. Therefore, our supposition must be wrong. Hence  $T \in \text{Spec}(R)$ . Now  $T \subseteq U$ , so  $T = U$  as  $U \in \text{Min. Spec}(R)$ . Hence,  $\delta(U) \subseteq U$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $R$  be a right Noetherian ring which is also an algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $\sigma$  be a Min.Spec-type automorphism of  $R$  and  $\delta$  a  $\sigma$ -derivation of  $R$ . Then*

- (1) *if  $U$  is a minimal prime ideal of  $R$ , then  $O(U)$  is a minimal prime ideal of  $O(R)$  and  $O(U) \cap R = U$*
- (2) *if  $P$  is a minimal prime ideal of  $O(R)$ , then  $P \cap R$  is a minimal prime ideal of  $R$ .*

*Proof.* (1) Let  $U$  be a minimal prime ideal of  $R$ . Now  $\sigma(U) \subseteq U$  and by Theorem (2.1)  $\delta(U) \subseteq U$ . Now, on the same lines as in Theorem (2.22) of

Goodearl and Warfield [15] we have  $O(U) \in \text{Spec}(O(R))$ . Suppose  $L \subset O(U)$  be a minimal prime ideal of  $O(R)$ . Then  $L \cap R \subset U$  is a prime ideal of  $R$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $O(U) \in \text{Min.Spec}(O(R))$ . Now it is easy to see that  $O(U) \cap R = U$ .

(2) We note that  $x \notin P$  for any prime ideal  $P$  of  $O(R)$  as it is not a zero divisor. Now, the proof follows on the same lines as in Theorem (2.22) of Goodearl and Warfield [15] using Lemma (2.1) and Lemma (2.2) of Bhat [11] and Theorem (2.1).  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $R$  be a right/left Noetherian ring. Let  $\sigma$  and  $\delta$  be as usual. Then the ore extension  $O(R) = R[x; \sigma, \delta]$  is right/left Noetherian.*

*Proof.* See Theorem (1.12) of Goodearl and Warfield [15].  $\square$

*Remark 2.4.* Let  $\sigma$  be an endomorphism of a ring  $R$  and  $\delta$  a  $\sigma$ -derivation of  $R$  such that  $\sigma(\delta(a)) = \delta(\sigma(a))$  for all  $a \in R$ . Then  $\sigma$  can be extended to an endomorphism (say  $\bar{\sigma}$ ) of  $R[x; \sigma, \delta]$  by  $\bar{\sigma}(\sum_{i=0}^m x^i a_i) = \sum_{i=0}^m x^i \sigma(a_i)$ . Also  $\delta$  can be extended to a  $\bar{\sigma}$ -derivation (say  $\bar{\delta}$ ) of  $R[x; \sigma, \delta]$  by  $\bar{\delta}(\sum_{i=0}^m x^i a_i) = \sum_{i=0}^m x^i \delta(a_i)$ .

We note that if  $\sigma(\delta(a)) \neq \delta(\sigma(a))$  for all  $a \in R$ , then the above does not hold. For example let  $f(x) = xa$  and  $g(x) = xb$ ,  $a, b \in R$ . Then

$$\bar{\delta}(f(x)g(x)) = x^2\{\delta(\sigma(a))\sigma(b) + \sigma(a)\delta(b)\} + x\{\delta^2(a)\sigma(b) + \delta(a)\sigma(b)\},$$

but

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\delta}(f(x))\bar{\sigma}(g(x)) + f(x)\bar{\delta}(g(x)) &= \\ &= x^2\{\sigma(\delta(a))\sigma(b) + \sigma(a)\delta(b)\} + x\{\delta^2(a)\sigma(b) + \delta(a)\sigma(b)\}. \end{aligned}$$

We are now in a position to prove Theorem A as follows.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative Noetherian  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra,  $\sigma$  a Min.Spec-type automorphism of  $R$  and  $\delta$  a  $\sigma$ -derivation of  $R$  such that  $\sigma(\delta(a)) = \delta(\sigma(a))$  for all  $a \in R$ . Further let any  $U \in \text{S.Spec}(R)$  with  $\sigma(U) \subseteq U$  and  $\delta(U) \subseteq U$  implies that  $O(U) \in \text{S.Spec}(O(R))$ . Then*

- (1)  $R$  is a near pseudo valuation ring implies that  $R[x; \sigma, \delta]$  is a near pseudo valuation ring
- (2)  $R$  is an almost  $\delta$ -divided ring if and only if  $R[x; \sigma, \delta]$  is an almost  $\delta$ -divided ring.

*Proof.* (1) Let  $R$  be a near pseudo-valuation ring which is also an algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Now  $O(R)$  is Noetherian by Theorem (2.3). Let  $J \in \text{Min.Spec}(O(R))$ . Then by Lemma (2.2)  $J \cap R \in \text{Min.Spec}(R)$ . Now  $R$  is a near pseudo-valuation  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra, therefore  $J \cap R \in \text{S.Spec}(R)$ . Also  $\delta(J \cap R) \subseteq J \cap R$  by Theorem (2.1). Now Lemma (2.2) implies that  $O(J \cap R) = J$ , and by hypothesis  $O(J \cap R) \in \text{S.Spec}(O(R))$ . Therefore,  $J \in \text{S.Spec}(O(R))$ . Hence  $O(R)$  is a near pseudo-valuation ring.

(2) Let  $R$  be an almost  $\delta$ -divided which is also an algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Now  $O(R)$  is Noetherian by Theorem (2.3). Let  $J \in \text{Min.Spec}(O(R))$  and  $K$  be an ideal

of  $O(R)$  such that  $\sigma(K) \subseteq K$  and  $\delta(K) \subseteq K$ . Note that  $\sigma$  can be extended to an automorphism of  $O(R)$  and  $\delta$  can be extended to a  $\sigma$ -derivation of  $O(R)$  by Remark (2.4). Now by Lemma (2.2)  $J \cap R \in \text{Min. Spec}(R)$ . Now  $R$  is an almost  $\delta$ -divided commutative Noetherian  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra, therefore  $J \cap R$  and  $K \cap R$  are comparable (under inclusion), say  $J \cap R \subseteq K \cap R$ . Now  $\delta(K \cap R) \subseteq K \cap R$ . Therefore,  $O(K \cap R)$  is an ideal of  $O(R)$  and so  $O(J \cap R) \subseteq O(K \cap R)$ . This implies that  $J \subseteq K$ . Hence  $O(R)$  is an almost  $\delta$ -divided ring.

Conversely suppose that  $O(R)$  is almost  $\delta$ -divided. Let  $U \in \text{Min. Spec}(R)$  and  $V$  be an ideal of  $R$  such that  $\sigma(U) \subseteq U$  and  $\delta(U) \subseteq U$ . Now by Theorem (2.1)  $\delta(U) \subseteq U$ , and Lemma (2.2) implies that  $O(U) \in \text{Min. Spec}(O(R))$ . Now  $O(R)$  is an almost  $\delta$ -divided ring, therefore  $O(U)$  and  $O(V)$  are comparable (under inclusion), say  $O(U) \subseteq O(V)$ . Therefore,  $O(U) \cap R \subseteq O(V) \cap R$ ; i.e.  $U \subseteq V$ . Hence  $R$  is an almost  $\delta$ -divided ring.  $\square$

We note that in above Theorem the hypothesis that any  $U \in \text{S. Spec}(R)$  with  $\delta(U) \subseteq U$  implies that  $O(U) \in \text{S. Spec}(O(R))$  can not be deleted as extension of a strongly prime ideal of  $R$  need not be a strongly prime ideal of  $O(R)$ .

*Example 2.6* (see [14]).  $R = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ . This is in fact a discrete valuation domain, and therefore, its maximal ideal  $P = pR$  is strongly prime. But,  $pR[x]$  is not strongly prime in  $R[x]$  because it is not comparable with  $xR[x]$  (so the condition of being strongly prime in  $R[x]$  fails for  $a = 1$  and  $b = x$ ).

*Question 2.7.* Let  $R$  be a NPVR. Let  $\sigma$  be an automorphism of  $R$  and  $\delta$  a  $\sigma$ -derivation of  $R$ . Is  $O(R) = R[x; \sigma, \delta]$  a NPVR?

## REFERENCES

- [1] D. F. Anderson, A. Badawi, and D. E. Dobbs. Pseudo-valuation rings. II. *Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. Sez. B Artic. Ric. Mat. (8)*, 3(2):535–545, 2000.
- [2] A. Badawi. On divided commutative rings. *Comm. Algebra*, 27(3):1465–1474, 1999.
- [3] A. Badawi. On  $\phi$ -pseudo-valuation rings. In *Advances in commutative ring theory (Fèz, 1997)*, volume 205 of *Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math.*, pages 101–110. Dekker, New York, 1999.
- [4] A. Badawi. On  $\Phi$ -pseudo-valuation rings. II. *Houston J. Math.*, 26(3):473–480, 2000.
- [5] A. Badawi. On  $\phi$ -chained rings and  $\phi$ -pseudo-valuation rings. *Houston J. Math.*, 27(4):725–736, 2001.
- [6] A. Badawi. Pseudo-valuation domains: a survey. In *Mathematics & mathematics education (Bethlehem, 2000)*, pages 38–59. World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2002.
- [7] A. Badawi. On pseudo-almost valuation domains. *Comm. Algebra*, 35(4):1167–1181, 2007.
- [8] A. Badawi, D. F. Anderson, and D. E. Dobbs. Pseudo-valuation rings. In *Commutative ring theory (Fès, 1995)*, volume 185 of *Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math.*, pages 57–67. Dekker, New York, 1997.
- [9] H. E. Bell and G. Mason. On derivations in near-rings and rings. *Math. J. Okayama Univ.*, 34:135–144 (1994), 1992.
- [10] V. K. Bhat. On 2-primal Ore extensions. *Ukr. Mat. Visn.*, 4(2):173–179, 2007.

- [11] V. K. Bhat. Polynomial rings over pseudovaluation rings. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, pages Art. ID 20138, 6, 2007.
- [12] V. K. Bhat. Associated prime ideals of skew polynomial rings. *Beiträge Algebra Geom.*, 49(1):277–283, 2008.
- [13] V. K. Bhat. On near pseudo-valuation rings and their extensions. *Int. Electron. J. Algebra*, 5:70–77, 2009.
- [14] V. K. Bhat. Ore extensions over near pseudo-valuation rings. *Acta Math. Acad. Paedagog. Nyházi. (N.S.)*, 26(1):45–53, 2010.
- [15] K. R. Goodearl and R. B. Warfield, Jr. *An introduction to noncommutative Noetherian rings*, volume 16 of *London Mathematical Society Student Texts*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [16] J. R. Hedstrom and E. G. Houston. Pseudo-valuation domains. *Pacific J. Math.*, 75(1):137–147, 1978.

*Received May 17, 2010.*

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS,  
SHRI MATA VAISHNO DEVI UNIVERSITY,  
SUB-POST OFFICE, KATRA, JAMMU AND KASHMIR - 182320,  
INDIA  
*E-mail address:* vijaykumarbhat2000@yahoo.com