AN EXAMPLE OF INFINITELY MANY SINKS FOR SMOOTH INTERVAL MAPS

A. F. IVANOV

ABSTRACT. We show, for arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$, the existence of a $C^{2-\epsilon}$ unimodal interval map with infinitely many sinks outside a neighbourhood of the critical point. It is known that such C^2 maps do not exist.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let a continuous map f of a closed interval I be given. Recall that an attracting cycle of f is called a **sink**, and an interval $J \subset I$ such that $f^n(J) \bigcap f^m(J) = \emptyset$, $n \neq m$, and J is not attracted by a sink, is called a **wandering interval**.

 C^{∞} interval maps having wandering intervals or infinitely many sinks can be constructed by using similar procedures [6]. Note that C^{∞} circle map with wandering intervals was first constructed by Hall [2] as an improvement of the classical Denjoy example. Using a procedure suggested by Coven and Nitecki [1] it can be easily transformed into C^{∞} interval map with wandering intervals. Some other examples were given by de Melo [5].

In [3] Mañé proved that C^2 interval maps cannot possess infinitely many sinks or wandering intervals outside a neighbourhood of the critical set (see also [7]). Recall that the critical set K for a smooth interval map f is defined by $K = \{x \in I | f'(x) = 0\}$. Martens, de Melo, and van Strien have shown [4] that C^2 interval maps which are C^3 in some neighbourhood of the critical set¹ cannot have wandering intervals or infinitely many sinks provided all critical points are nonflat. Given f(x), a critical point $c \in K$ is called nonflat if there exists an integer $k \geq 2$ such that $f(x) \in C^k$ in some neighbourhood of x = c and $f^{(k)}(c) \neq 0$. This means that typical (in C^3 topology) interval maps have finitely many sinks and do not have wandering intervals.

We construct, for any given $0 < \epsilon < 1$, an example of a unimodal interval map which has infinitely many sinks outside a neighbourhood of the critical point and which is C^{∞} everywhere except at one point where it is $C^{2-\epsilon}$. A similar example

Received October 21, 1991; revised April 30, 1992.

¹⁹⁸⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification (1991 Revision). Primary 58F08, 26A18; Secondary 54H20.

The research was supported by the Alexander von Humboldt Stiftung

¹The exact statement in [4] is slightly stronger

of $C^{2-\epsilon}$ map with wandering intervals can be constructed. However, the proof is different (unlike **[6]** where both examples are treated along the same line), and we plan to discuss it in a forthcoming paper. This shows, in particular, that C^2 smoothness for the above results by Mañé and Martens et.al. can not be decreased.

Recall that given $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and a set $M \subset \mathbb{R}$, f(x) is said to belong to C^{ϵ} on M if $\sup_{x,y \in M} |f(x) - f(y)|/|x - y|^{\epsilon} < \infty$. Given $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < \epsilon < 1$ it is said that $f(x) \in C^{k+\epsilon}$ iff $f^{(k)}(x) \in C^{\epsilon}$. We say that $f(x) \in C^{k+\epsilon}$ at a point if it is of this class in some neighbourhood of the point.

2. AUXILIARY FUNCTIONS

Consider on the interval [0, 1] the following function

$$\phi(x) = \int_0^x \exp\{1/t(t-1)\} dt \Big/ \int_0^1 \exp\{1/t(t-1)\} dt, x \in (0,1),$$

$$\phi(0) = 0, \ \phi(1) = 1.$$

It is an easy exercise to verify that $\phi(x)$ has the following properties:

- $\phi(x)$ strictly increases for $x \in [0, 1]$;
- $\phi(x) \in C^{\infty}[0,1], \ \phi^{(k)}(0) = \phi^{(k)}(1) = 0, \ k \in \mathbb{N};$
- for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sup\{|\phi^{(k)}(x)|, x \in [0,1]\} = c_k, c_k$ is a constant depending on k only.

Given an interval J = [a, b] and prescribed values $g_1 \neq g_2$, suppose it is required to construct a smooth function $g(x), x \in [a, b]$, such that $g(a) = g_1, g(b) = g_2$. For this purpose the above $\phi(x)$ can be used. We set

$$g(x) = \phi(x, J) = g_1 + (g_2 - g_1)\phi(\frac{x - a}{b - a})$$

The properties of $\phi(x)$ imply that $\phi(a, J) = g_1, \phi(b, J) = g_2, \phi(x, J)$ is strictly monotone and infinitely differentiable on J = [a, b] with $\phi^{(k)}(a, J) = \phi^{(k)}(b, J) = 0$ for all $k \ge 1$, and

(1)
$$\sup\{|\phi^{(k)}(x,J)|, x \in J\} = c_k|g_2 - g_1|/|b - a|^k$$

where c_k are the above constants depending on k only.

Let $a_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, be a monotone sequence such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = a_0$ exists. Define J_n to be intervals with endpoints a_n and a_{n+1} . The function $\phi(x, J)$ will be used on the sequence of intervals J_n with given values g_n at the endpoints. Suppose in addition that $\lim_{n\to\infty} g_n = g_0$ exists. Then a function g(x) is defined on the whole interval $[a_1, a_0]$ (or $[a_0, a_1]$) by

$$g(x) = \phi(x, J_n), x \in J_n, g(a_0) = g_0$$

Proposition 1. Suppose given J_n and g_n satisfy $\lim_{n\to\infty} |g_{n+1} - g_n|/|a_{n+1} - a_n|^k = 0$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then g(x) is of C^{∞} class on $[a_1, a_0]$ $([a_0, a_1])$.

Proof is an immediate consequence of the equality (1). We shall need also the following

Proposition 2. Suppose $g(x) \in C^{k+1}, k \geq 1$, in some neighborhood of x = a and $g^{(i)}(a) = 0, i = 1, ..., k$. Then $\lim_{b\to a} (g(b) - g(a))/(b - a)^i = 0$ for all i = 1, ..., k.

Proof follows from the Taylor expansion of g(x) in the neighborhood of x = a. Proposition 2 includes also the case $g(x) \in C^{\infty}$, $g^{(k)}(a) = 0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, in which $\lim_{b\to a} (g(b) - g(a))/(b-a)^i = 0$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $z_m, m \in \mathbb{N}$, be an increasing sequence with $0 < z_1$, and $\lim_{m\to\infty} z_m = 1$. With $z_0 = 0$ denote $[z_{m-1}, z_m] = J_m$, and consider $\phi(x, J_m)$ with prescribed values $\phi(z_{m-1}), \phi(z_m)$ at the endpoints. Define $\eta(x) = \phi(x, J_m), x \in J_m$, and $\eta(1) = 1$. The function $\eta(x)$ depends on $\phi(x)$ and particular choice of $z_m, m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proposition 3. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be given. There exist constants L > 0 and $0 < \delta < 1$ which depend on k only and such that

(2)
$$\sup\{|\eta^{(i)}(x)|, x \in [0,1]\} \le Lc_i, i = 1, \dots, k$$

provided $|1-z_2| \leq \delta$.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ be given. Consider $\phi(x, [0, \alpha])$ and $\phi(x, [\alpha, 1])$ with prescribed values $\phi(0), \phi(\alpha)$, and $\phi(1)$ at the endpoints. Define $\psi(x) = \phi(x, [0, \alpha]), x \in [0, \alpha], \ \psi(x) = \phi(x, [\alpha, 1]), x \in [\alpha, 1]$. Then there exists a positive constant L' independent of α such that

$$\sup\{|\psi^{(i)}(x)|, x \in [0,1]\} \le L' \sup\{|\phi^{(i)}(x)|, x \in [0,1]\} = L'c_i, \ i = 1, \dots, k.$$

To prove this assume i = 1 (case $i \ge 2$ is anologous). For $\alpha \in (0,1)$, $\sup\{|\phi'(x,[0,\alpha])|, x \in [0,\alpha]\} = c_1\phi(\alpha)/\alpha$. Since $\phi(\alpha)/\alpha \to 0$ as $\alpha \to 0$ one has $\phi(\alpha)/\alpha \le L'$ for some L' > 0.

Consider now $\eta(x)$ and $\psi(x)$ with $\alpha = z_1$. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the functions $\psi(x)$ and $\eta(x)$ are close in uniform C^k metric provided z_2 is close to 1. This follows from Proposition 2 and equality (1). Therefore, for every L > L' there exists $\delta > 0$ such that inequality (2) holds provided $|1 - z_2| \leq \delta$.

Let an interval J = [a, b] and a sequence $a < u_1 < u_2 < \cdots < u_n < u_{n+1} < \cdots \rightarrow b$ be given with prescribed values $g_1 \neq g_2$ at the endpoints a and b respectively. Define $\eta(x, J) = g_1 + (g_2 - g_1)\eta(\frac{x-a}{b-a}), x \in J$, where $\eta(t), t \in [0, 1]$, is constructed as above with $z_i = (u_i - a)/(b - a)$.

Proposition 4. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be given. There exist constants L > 0 and $0 < \delta < 1$ which depend on k only and such that

$$\sup\{|\eta^{(i)}(x,J)|, x \in J\} \le \frac{|g_2 - g_1|}{|b - a|^i} Lc_i, \ i = 1, \dots, k.$$

provided $|b - z_2| \leq \delta(b - a)$.

Proof. By differentiation $\eta(x, J)$ and using (2) the proof follows.

3. Example

3.1 Construction.

Take a sequence $x_n, n \ge 0$, such that $x_0 = 1$ and x_n monotonically approaches zero (particular choices of x_n will be specified later). Define $f(x_n) = x_{n-1}, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Set $g(x_n) = x_{n-1} - x_n = g_n$ and consider intervals $I_n = [x_{n+1}, x_n]$ with given values g_{n+1}, g_n at the endpoints. Define $g(x) = \phi(x, I_n), x \in I_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and g(0) = 0.

Take arbitrary (but fixed) $z \in (x_1, 1), \lambda \in (0, 1)$, and define f(x) in the following way:

 $\begin{array}{l} - f(x) = x + g(x), \ x \in [0, x_1]; \\ - f(x) = \lambda(1-x), \ x \ge z; \\ - f(x) \text{ is an arbitrary unimodal } C^{\infty} \text{ function on } [x_1, z] \text{ such that } f(x_1) = \\ 1, \ f'(x_1) = 1, \ f(z) = \lambda(1-z), \ f'(z) = -\lambda, \ f^{(i)}(x_1) = f^{(i)}(z) = 0, \ i = \\ 2, 3, \dots; \end{array}$

$$- f(x) \equiv x, \ x \le 0.$$

We note that given interval $[\alpha, \beta]$ it is always possible to construct $C^{\infty}[\alpha, \beta]$ function f(x) which takes prescribed values $f^{(i)}(\alpha) = f_i^{\alpha}$, $f^{(i)}(\beta) = f_i^{\beta}$, $i = 0, 1, \ldots, N$, at the endpoints, and $f^{(i)}(\alpha) = f^{(i)}(\beta) = 0$, i > N. In our case such f(x) may be chosen as follows. Take arbitrary $x_* \in (x_1, z)$ and define

$$f(x) = 1 + (x - x_1) - (1 + \lambda) \int_{x_1}^x \phi(\frac{t - x_1}{x_* - x_1}) dt, \quad x \in [x_1, x_*].$$

Set $f_* = f(x_*)$ and define next

$$f(x) = \lambda(1-x) + [f_* - \lambda(1-x_*)]\phi(\frac{z-x}{z-x_*}), \quad x \in [x_*, z].$$

It is an easy exercise to verify that f is unimodal for $x_* - x_1$ small enough and is C^{∞} in $[x_1, z]$.

If we define $b = \max\{f(x), x \in [x_1, z]\}$ and set $a = \lambda(1 - b)$, the interval I = [a, b] is mapped by f onto itself.

Since $\phi(x, I_n) \in C^{\infty}$ it is straightforward that $f(x) \in C^{\infty}$ everywhere on [a, b] except possibly the point x = 0.

Next we are going to choose a sequence of cycles of f(x) with unbounded periods. These cycles will be transformed, by a further change of f(x), into attracting ones. To guarantee required smoothness of the resulting map we choose the sequence in a special way.

Due to the construction we have: $f(I_n) = I_{n-1}, n \in N$, and $f(I_0) \supset [0,1]$ (here $I_0 = [x_1,1]$). Therefore, for every $n \in N$ there exists a cycle $\beta = \beta(n) = \{z_0^{(n)}, \ldots, z_{n-1}^{(n)}\}$ of period n such that $z_0^{(n)} \in I_0, z_1^{(n)} \in I_1, \ldots, z_{n-1}^{(n)} \in I_{n-1}$. Since $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = 0$ there exists $n_0 \in N$ with $z_0^{(n)} \in [z,1]$ for all $n \ge n_0$.

Take some $n_1 \ge n_0$ and consider the cycle $\beta_1 = \{z_0^{(n_1)}, z_1^{(n_1)}, \ldots, z_{n_1-1}^{(n_1)}\}$ of period n_1 as the first chosen one. Let L > 1 and $0 < \delta < 1$ be fixed constants (their further choice is specified in subsection 3.2). Take next $n_2 > n_1$ in such a way that the cycle $\beta_2 = \{z_0^{(n_2)}, z_1^{(n_2)}, \ldots, z_{n_2-1}^{(n_2)}\}$ has the following property:

$$\begin{aligned} x_i - z_i^{(n_2)} &\leq \delta \operatorname{diam} I_i, \\ \frac{|g(z_i^{(n_2)}) - g(z_i^{(n_1)})|}{|z_i^{(n_2)} - z_i^{(n_1)}|} &\leq L \frac{|g(x_i) - g(z_i^{(n_1)})|}{|x_i - z_i^{(n_1)}|}, \end{aligned}$$

 $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n_1 - 1$. In view of Proposition 2 such a choice is always possible, since point $z_0^{(n)}$ of the cycle $\beta(n)$ satisfies $\lim_{n\to\infty} z_0^{(n)} = 1$. This implies $z_i^{(n)} \to x_i$ as $n \to \infty$ for arbitrary $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

In the next step choose $n_3 > n_2$ in such a way that the cycle $\beta_3 = \{z_0^{(n_3)}, \ldots, z_{n_3-1}^{(n_3)}\}$ has the property:

$$\begin{aligned} x_i - z_i^{(n_3)} &\leq \delta \operatorname{diam} I_i, \\ \frac{|g(z_i^{(n_3)}) - g(z_i^{(n_2)})|}{|z_i^{(n_3)} - z_i^{(n_2)}|^2} &\leq L \frac{|g(x_i) - g(z_i^{(n_2)})|}{|x_i - z_i^{(n_2)}|^2} \end{aligned}$$

 $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n_2 - 1$. Note that we have to care about inequalities $x_i - z_i^{(n_3)} \leq \delta \operatorname{diam} I_i$ for $i = n_1, \ldots, n_2 - 1$ only since they are satisfied for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n_1 - 1$ because $x_i > z_i^{(n_3)} > z_i^{(n_2)}$.

In the k^{th} step we choose $n_k > n_{k-1}$ in such a way that the cycle $\beta_k = \{z_0^{(n_k)}, \ldots, z_{n_k-1}^{(n_k)}\}$ has the property:

(3)
$$x_i - z_i^{(n_k)} \le \delta \operatorname{diam} I_i,$$

(4)
$$\frac{|g(z_i^{(n_k)}) - g(z_i^{(n_{k-1})})|}{|z_i^{(n_k)} - z_i^{(n_{k-1})}|^{k-1}} \le L \frac{|g(x_i) - g(z_i^{(n_{k-1})})|}{|x_i - z_i^{(n_{k-1})}|^{k-1}},$$

 $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n_{k-1} - 1.$

A. F. IVANOV

Proceeding in this way we obtain on each of the intervals $I_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, a sequence $z_n^{(n_m)}, m \geq l$, for some l = l(n) satisfying $x_{n+1} < z_n^{(n_l)} < z_n^{(n_{l+1})} < z_n^{(n_{l+2})} < \ldots < z_n^{(n_m)} < \ldots \rightarrow x_n$. Denote $[x_{n+1}, z_n^{(n_l)}] = J_{nl}, [z_n^{(n_l)}, z_n^{(n_{l+1})}] = J_{nl+1}, \ldots, [z_n^{(n_{m-1})}, z_n^{(n_m)}] = J_{nm}, \ldots$, with prescribed values of given g(x) at the endpoints. Redefine g(x) on I_n by setting:

$$g^*(x) = \phi(x, J_{ni}), x \in J_{ni}, i \ge l(n), \text{ and}, g^*(x_n) = g(x_n).$$

Consider now new f(x) as defined above with g(x) replaced by $g^*(x)$. Since

$$\frac{d}{dx}f(x)\big|_{x=z_0^{(n_k)}} = -\lambda, \quad \frac{d}{dx}f(x)\big|_{x=z_i^{(n_k)}} = 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n_k - 1,$$

the multiplicator of the cycle $\beta_k = \{z_0^{(n_k)}, z_1^{(n_k)}, \dots, z_{n_k-1}^{(n_k)}\}$ equals $-\lambda$. This shows that every cycle $\beta_k, k \in N$, is attracting one.

3.2 Smoothness of f(x).

Theorem. For arbitrary $0 < \epsilon < 1$ the sequence x_n and cycles $\beta_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, may be chosen in such a way that $f(x) \in C^{\infty}$ for $x \in [a, b] \setminus \{0\}$, and $f(x) \in C^{2-\epsilon}$ for x = 0.

Proof. Choose L > 1 and $0 < \delta < 1$ for inequalities (3), (4) and Propositions 3, and 4 with $J = I_n$ to hold.

Claim 1. $g^*(x)$ is of C^{∞} class on every interval $I_n, n \ge 1$.

Proof. It is enough to show that $\lim_{i\to\infty} \sup\{|\phi^{(k)}(x,J_{ni})|\} = 0$ for every $k \geq 1$. Using (1) and (4) we have $\sup\{|\phi^{(k)}(x,J_{ni})|, x \in J_{ni}\} = c_k|g(z_n^{(n_i)}) - g(z_n^{(n_{i-1})})|/|z_n^{(n_i)} - z_n^{(n_{i-1})}|^k \leq c_k L|g(x_n) - g(z_n^{(n_{i-1})})|/|x_n - z_n^{(n_{i-1})}|^k$ for i sufficiently large. Proposition 2 gives $|g(x_n) - g(z_n^{(n_{i-1})})|/|x_n - z_n^{(n_{i-1})}|^k \to 0$ as $i \to \infty$. Therefore, Proposition 1 applies to conclude $g^*(x) \in C^\infty$ for $x \in I_n$ for every finite n.

Choose the sequence $x_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, in such a way that $\Delta x_n = x_n - x_{n+1} = d/n^{1+\tau}$ for large N where 0 < d and $\tau > 0$ are constants. Then $x_n = \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \Delta x_i \sim d_1/n^{\tau}$ as $n \to \infty$ for some $d_1 > 0$.

Claim 2. For every $\tau > 0$ f'(x) is continuous for all $x \in [a, b]$, moreover, $\sup\{|g^{*'}(x)|, x \in I_n\} \leq d_2/n$ for some $d_2 > 0$.

Proof. It is enough to show the continuity at x = 0 only. With constants Land $0 < \delta < 1$ chosen above one has $\sup\{|g^{*'}(x)|, x \in I_n\} \leq L \sup\{|g'(x)|, x \in I_n\}$ provided $|x_n - z_n^{(n_l)}| \leq \delta$ diam I_n . This follows from Proposition 4. Using this and (1) we obtain $\sup\{|g^{*'}(x)|, x \in I_n\} \leq c_1 L |\Delta x_{n-1} - \Delta x_n| / \Delta x_n \sim d_2/n$ as $n \to \infty$ for some $d_2 > 0$. Therefore, $\lim_{x\to 0} f'(x) = 1 = f'(0)$.

Claim 3. For arbitrary $0 < \epsilon < 1$ there exists $\tau = \tau(\epsilon)$ such that $f'(x) \in C^{1-\epsilon}$ at x = 0.

Proof. We have to show that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\tau > 0$ such that

(5)
$$\limsup_{x,y\to+0} \frac{|f'(x) - f'(y)|}{|x-y|^{1-\epsilon}} < \infty$$

Suppose first $x, y \in I_n$. Then $|x - y| \leq \Delta x_n, g^*(x) = \eta(x, I_n)$ for a respective η . Using intermediate value theorem and Proposition 3 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|f'(x) - f'(y)|}{|x - y|^{1 - \epsilon}} &= \frac{|g_n - g_{n+1}|}{|x_n - x_{n+1}|} |\eta'(\frac{x - x_{n+1}}{x_n - x_{n+1}}) - \eta'(\frac{y - x_{n+1}}{x_n - x_{n+1}})|/|x - y|^{1 - \epsilon} \le \\ &\le d_4 \frac{|g_n - g_{n+1}|}{|x_n - x_{n+1}|^2} |x - y|^{\epsilon} \le d_4 n^{\tau - \epsilon(1 + \tau)}, \end{aligned}$$

for some $d_4 > 0$ and large n. By choosing $0 < \tau < \epsilon$ (5) follows.

Suppose next $x \in I_n, y \in I_{n+1}$. Then

$$\frac{|f'(x) - f'(y)|}{|x - y|^{1 - \epsilon}} \le \frac{|f'(x) - f'(x_{n+1})|}{|x - x_{n+1}|^{1 - \epsilon}} + \frac{|f'(y) - f'(x_{n+1})|}{|y - x_{n+1}|^{1 - \epsilon}},$$

and by the first case considered, (4) follows.

Suppose finally $x \in I_n, y \in I_m, n+1 < m$. Then $|x-y| \ge \Delta x_{n+1}$, and in view of Claim 2 we have

$$\frac{|f'(x) - f'(y)|}{|x - y|^{1 - \epsilon}} \le d_2 \frac{|1/n + 1/m|}{1/(n + 1)^{(1 + \tau)(1 - \epsilon)}} \le d_5 n^{\tau - \epsilon(1 + \tau)},$$

for some $d_5 > 0$ and large n. By choosing $0 < \tau < \epsilon$, (4) follows.

The remaining case $x \in I_n, y = 0$ follows from the above with 1/m = 0. This completes the proof.

Acknowledgement. I am thankful to the referee for his careful reading the manuscript and helpful suggestions to improve the paper.

References

- Coven E. M. and Nitecki Z., Non-wandering sets of the powers of maps of the interval, Ergod. Th.& Dynam. Sys. 1 (1981), 9–31.
- 2. Hall G. R., A C[∞] Denjoy counterexample., Ergod. Th.& Dynam.Sys. 1 (1981), 261–272.
- Mañé R., Hyperbolicity, sinks, and measure in one-dimensional dynamics., Commun. Math. Phys. 100 (1985), 495–524.
- Martens M., de Melo W., and van Strien S., Julia-Fatou-Sullivan theory for real one-dimensional dynamics, Preprint no. 88–100, Delft University (1988).
- de Melo W., A finiteness problem for one-dimensional dynamics., Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 101 (1987), 721–727.
- Sharkovsky A. N. and Ivanov A. F., C[∞] interval maps with attracting cycles of arbitrarily large periods, Ukrainian Math. J. 35 no. 4 (1983), 537–539. (Russian)
- van Strien S., Hyperbolicity and invariant measures for general C² interval maps satisfying the Misiurewicz condition, Commun. Math. Phys. 128 (1990), 437–495.

A. F. Ivanov, Institute of Mathematics of the Ukrainian Academy of Sciences, Kiev, Ukraine; current address: Alexander von Humboldt Fellowship at Mathematisches Institut der Universität München, Germany