ON AN INEQUALITY FOR ENTIRE FUNCTIONS

T. HUSAIN

ABSTRACT. It is shown that the entire function $F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-v(n)} z^n$ satisfies an inequality: $|F(z)| \ge MF(|z|)$ for some M > 0 and for a set of z in the complex plane.

1. Introduction

The entire function $e^z = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n!}$ trivially satisfies the inequality: $|e^z| \le e^{|z|}$ for all z in the complex plane. It is of some interest to know the set of z for which $|e^z| \ge Me^{|z|}$ for some M > 0. Indeed, if e^x is real-valued then for any M, $0 \le M \le 1$, $|e^x| \ge Me^{|x|}$ for all $x \ge 0$.

Here we are concerned with a more general function

(1)
$$F(z) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-v(n)} \cdot z^n$$

where v(x) is a suitable real-valued function so that F(z) becomes an entire function and satisfies the inequality:

$$|F(z)| \ge MF(|z|)$$

for some M > 0 and for a set of z in the complex plane.

Received November 7, 2003.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 26D20; 30A10; 39B72.

Inequalities of the type (**) are useful in evaluating, among other results, the glb or minorant of an entire function in the same way as the inequality of the type $|F(z)| \leq MF(|z|)$ is used in obtaining the lub or majorant. For details on entire functions and their properties, the reader may consult the references [1]-[7].

Note that if $v(x) = \left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right) \log x - x$, then by using the Stirling's formula: $n! \approx \sqrt{2\pi n} \cdot n^n e^{-n}$, we see that

$$1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-(n + \frac{1}{2}) \log n + n} \cdot z^n \le 1 + c \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n!}$$

for some c > 0.

2. The Theorem

We use $z = r e^{i\theta} = x + iy$ $(i = \sqrt{-1})$ throughout and prove:

Theorem. Let v(x) be a twice differentiable real-valued function defined for all $x \ge 0$ such that

- (i) v(x) is increasing and $\longrightarrow \infty$ as $x \longrightarrow \infty$;
- (ii) v'(x) is increasing and $\longrightarrow \infty$ as $x \longrightarrow \infty$;
- (iii) v''(x) is decreasing and $\longrightarrow 0$ as $x \longrightarrow \infty$;
- (iv) $v''(x) \ge 0$ for all $x \ge 0$;
- (v) There exist numbers $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$ and $x_0 > 0$ such that for all $x \ge x_0$, $\alpha \le xv''(x) \le \beta$.

Then F(z), given by (1), is an entire function and there is $r_0 > 0$ such that for a fixed $r \ge r_0$ and for all z = x + iy with its y-coordinate satisfying the inequality:

$$|y| \le \left(\sqrt{2}r\right) / \left(\frac{\alpha}{v''(x)} + 2\right),$$

we have

$$|F(z)| \ge MF(|z|)$$

for some M > 0, depending on r, but $0 \le M \le 1$ for all z.

Proof. If $a_n = e^{-v(n)} \cdot z^n$, then

$$\left| \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \right| = |e^{-v'(\eta)}| \cdot |z| \to 0 \qquad (n < \eta < n+1)$$

as $n \to \infty$ for all z by using the Mean Value Theorem and the hypothesis (ii). So F(z) is indeed an entire function.

Put

(2)
$$F(|z|) = F(r) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-v(n)} \cdot r^n$$

The maximum term [5] or [7] of the series (2) is given as follows: clearly

$$\frac{d}{dx}\left(e^{-v(x)}\cdot r^x\right) = e^{-v(x)}\cdot r^x\left(\log r - v'(x)\right) = 0$$

if and only if

$$\log r - v'(x) = 0$$

has a solution for a fixed r. Since v'(x) is continuous (because v''(x) exists), (3) has a solution. Let x be the largest solution of (3) for a fixed r and let $\xi = [x]$, the integral part of x, denote the index. Then

$$T(r) = e^{-v(\xi)} \cdot r^{\xi}$$

is the maximum term of the series (2) for a fixed r. Clearly $|x-\xi| \leq 1$ and so

$$\xi - 1 < \xi \le x \le \xi + 1.$$

We choose

$$(4) n_0 = \left[x - \frac{\alpha}{v''(x)} \right]$$

$$(5) n_1 = \left[x + \frac{\alpha}{v''(x)}\right].$$

From (4) and (5), we have

(6)
$$n_0 \le x - \frac{\alpha}{v''(x)}, \quad x - n_0 \le \frac{\alpha}{v''(x)} + 1$$

and

(7)
$$n_{1} \leq x + \frac{\alpha}{v''(x)} \Rightarrow n_{1} + 1 \leq x + \frac{\alpha}{v''(x)} + 1$$
 and
$$x - n_{1} - 1 \leq -\frac{\alpha}{v''(x)}.$$

We choose r large enough so that

(8)
$$n_0 + 1 < \xi - 1 < \xi = [x] \le x \le \xi + 1 < n_1.$$

Write

(9)
$$F(z) = T(r) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-v(n)+v(\xi)} \cdot r^{n-\xi} \cdot e^{in\theta}.$$

Put

(10)
$$H(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-v(n)+v(\xi)} \cdot r^{n-\xi} \cdot e^{in\theta} = S_1 + S_2 + S_3,$$

where

(11)
$$S_{1} = \sum_{n=0}^{n_{0}} e^{-v(n)+v(\xi)} \cdot r^{n-\xi} \cdot e^{i n\theta}$$

$$S_{2} = \sum_{n=n_{0}+1}^{n_{1}} e^{-v(n)+v(\xi)} \cdot r^{n-\xi} \cdot e^{i n\theta}$$

$$S_{3} = \sum_{n=n_{1}+1}^{\infty} e^{-v(n)+v(\xi)} \cdot r^{n-\xi} \cdot e^{i n\theta}.$$

First we show that

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} |S_1| = 0.$$

From (11), we have

$$|S_1| \le \left(e^{-v(n_0) + v(\xi)} \cdot r^{n_0 - \xi} \right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{n_0} e^{-v(n) + v(n_0)} \cdot r^{n - n_0} \right).$$

Set

$$A_1 = \left(e^{-v(n_0) + v(\xi)} \cdot r^{n_0 - \xi} \right), \qquad B_1 = \sum_{n=0}^{n_0} e^{-v(n) + v(n_0)} \cdot r^{n - n_0}.$$

Then

$$(13) |S_1| \le A_1 \times B_1.$$

Using Taylor's theorem and the equation (3), we estimate upper bounds of A_1 , B_1 .

$$A_{1} = \left(e^{v(\xi)} \cdot r^{-\xi}\right) \left(e^{-v(n_{0})} \cdot r^{n_{0}}\right)$$

$$= \left(e^{v(\xi)} \cdot r^{-\xi}\right) \left(e^{-\{v(x) + (n_{0} - x)v'(x) + \frac{1}{2}(n_{0} - x)^{2}v''(n_{0} + \theta(x - n_{0})\}} \cdot e^{n_{0}v'x)}\right)$$

$$(0 < \theta < 1 \text{ and } (\log r = v'(x))$$

$$= \left(e^{v(\xi) - v(x) + xv'(x) - \xi v'(x)}\right) \times \left(e^{-\frac{1}{2}(n_{0} - x)^{2}v''(n_{0} + \theta(x - n_{0}))}\right)$$

$$= C_{1} \times D_{1}$$

where

(14)

$$C_{1} = e^{v(\xi) - v(x)} \cdot e^{(x - \xi)v'(x)}$$

$$= e^{(\xi - x)v'(x) + \frac{1}{2}(\xi - x)^{2}v'(\xi + \theta(x - \xi))} \cdot e^{(x - \xi)v'(x)}$$

$$= e^{\frac{1}{2}(\xi - x)^{2}v''(\xi + \theta(x - \xi))}$$

$$\geq e^{0} = 1$$

(because $\frac{1}{2} (\xi - x)^2 v'' (\xi + \theta(x - \xi)) \ge 0$).

On the other hand, since $|x - \xi| \le 1$ and $\xi + \theta(x - \xi) \ge \min\{x, \xi\} \ge x - 1$, we have

$$C_1 \le e^{\frac{1}{2}(1)^2 v''(x-1)} \to e^0 = 1$$
 as $x \to \infty$,

because of (iii).

Thus we have shown that $\lim_{x\to\infty} C_1 = 1$.

But $x \to \infty \Rightarrow r \to \infty$ and so $\lim_{r \to \infty} C_1 = 1$.

Also

$$D_1 = e^{-\frac{1}{2}(n_0 - x)^2 v''(n_0 + \theta(x - n_0))} < e^{-\frac{1}{2}(n_0 - x)^2 v''(x)}$$

because $n_0 + \theta (x - n_0) < x$.

In view of (6)

$$D_1 \le e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(-\frac{\alpha}{v''(x)}\right)^2 \cdot v''(x)} = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\alpha^2}{v'(x)}} \to 0$$

as $x \to \infty$ by (iii). Since $x \to \infty \Rightarrow r \to \infty$, we have shown that

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} D_1 = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lim_{r \to \infty} A_1 = 0. \quad \text{(from (14))}$$

To find an upper bound for B_1 , we have

$$B_{1} = \sum_{n=0}^{n_{0}} e^{-v(n)+v(n_{0})} \cdot r^{n-n_{0}}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{n_{0}} e^{-\left\{(n-n_{0})v'(n_{0})+\frac{1}{2}(n-n_{0})^{2}v''(n_{0}+\theta(n-n_{0}))\right\}} \cdot e^{(n-n_{0})v'(x)}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{n_{0}} e^{(n-n_{0})\left(v'(x)-v'(n_{0})\right)} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2}(n-n_{0})^{2}v''(n_{0}+\theta(n-n_{0}))}$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=0}^{n_{0}} e^{(n-n_{0})\left(v'(x)-v'(n_{0})\right)} \quad \left(\operatorname{since} -\frac{1}{2}(n-n_{0})^{2}v''(n_{0}+\theta(n-n_{0})) \leq 0\right)$$

Put $n - n_0 = -m$. Then

$$B_1 \le \sum_{m=0}^{n_0} e^{-m(v'(x)-v'(n_0))} \le \frac{1}{1-e^{-(v'(x)-v'(n_0))}}$$

because $n_0 < x \Rightarrow v'(n_0) \le v'(x)$ and so the resulting geometric series is convergent. To obtain an upper bound for

(15)
$$v'(n_0) - v'(x) = (n_0 - x) v''(n_0 + \theta(x - n_0))$$

where $0 < \theta < 1$, we observe that

$$n_0 < x \text{ and } n_0 + \theta(x - n_0) < x \implies v''(x) \le v''(n_0 + \theta(x - n_0)).$$

From (6), we have

$$n_0 - x \le -\frac{\alpha}{v''(x)}$$

and so from (15) we have

$$v'(n_0) - v'(x) \le \left(-\frac{\alpha}{v''(x)}\right)v''(x) = -\alpha.$$

And so

$$B_1 \leq \frac{1}{1-e^{-\alpha}} < \infty.$$

This shows that

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} |S_1| = \lim_{r \to \infty} A_1 \cdot \lim_{r \to \infty} B_1 = 0.$$

Next we prove that

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} |S_3| = 0$$

From (11) we have:

$$|S_3| \le \sum_{n=n_1+1}^{\infty} e^{-v(n)+v(\xi)} \cdot r^{n-\xi}$$

$$= \left(e^{-v(n_1+1)+v(\xi)} \cdot r^{n_1+1-\xi} \right) \left(\sum_{n=n_1+1}^{\infty} e^{-v(n)+v(n_1+1)} \cdot r^{n-n_1-1} \right)$$

$$= A_3 \times B_3,$$

where

$$A_3 = e^{-v(n_1+1)+v(\xi)} \cdot r^{n_1+1-\xi}$$
 $B_3 = \sum_{n=n_1+1}^{\infty} e^{-v(n)+v(n_1+1)} \cdot r^{n-n_1-1}$.

To see that $B_3 < \infty$, we consider

$$B_{3} = \sum_{n=n_{1}+1}^{\infty} e^{-\left\{(n-n_{1}-1)v'(n_{1}+1) + \frac{1}{2}(n-n_{1}-1)^{2}v''(n_{1}+1+\theta(n-n_{1}-1))\right\}} \cdot e^{(n-n_{1}-1)v'(x)}$$

$$(0 < \theta < 1 \text{ and } \log r = v'(x))$$

$$= \sum_{n=n_{1}+1}^{\infty} e^{(n-n_{1}-1)(v'(x)-v'(n_{1}+1))} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2}(n-n_{1}-1)^{2}v''(n_{1}+1+\theta(n-n_{1}-1))}$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=n_{1}+1}^{\infty} e^{(n-n_{1}-1)(v'(x)-v'(n_{1}+1))}$$

$$\left(\operatorname{since} -\frac{1}{2}(n-n_{1}-1)^{2}v''(n_{1}+1+\theta(n-n_{1}-1)) \leq 0\right)$$

Put $m = n - n_1 - 1$.

Then

(17)

$$B_3 \le \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} e^{m(v'(x) - v'(n_1 + 1))}$$
$$\le \frac{1}{1 - e^{v'(x) - v'(n_1 + 1)}}$$

because the geometric series is convergent, since $x < n_1 + 1 \Rightarrow v'(x) < v'(n_1 + 1)$.

Further,

$$v'(x) - v'(n_1 + 1) = (x - n_1 - 1) v''(x + \theta (n_1 + 1 - x))$$

 $(0 < \theta < 1)$

$$(18) \leq (x - n_1 - 1) v''(n_1 + 1)$$

because $x < n_1 + 1$ and $x + \theta (n_1 + 1 - x) < n_1 + 1$ imply

$$v''(n_1+1) \le v''(x+\theta(n_1+1-x)).$$

Now if $x \ge x_0$, then $n_1 + 1 \ge x_0$ and from hypothesis (v),

$$v''(n_1+1) \ge \frac{\alpha}{n_1+1}.$$

But from (7),

$$n_1 + 1 \le x + \frac{\alpha}{v''(x)} + 1$$

and so

(19)
$$v''(n_1+1) \ge \frac{\alpha}{x + \frac{\alpha}{v''(x)} + 1}.$$

But then from (18), we have

$$v'(x) - v'(n_1 + 1) \le (x - n_1 - 1) \frac{\alpha}{x + \frac{\alpha}{v''(x)} + 1} \le \left(\frac{-\alpha}{v''(x)}\right) \left(\frac{\alpha}{x + \frac{\alpha}{v''(x)} + 1}\right) = \frac{-\alpha^2}{xv''(x) + \alpha + v''(x)}.$$

Since $x \ge x_0 > 0$ implies $v''(x) \le v''(0)$, and so from hypothesis (v) again,

(20)
$$xv''(x) + \alpha + v''(x) \le \alpha + \beta + v''(0).$$

But then

$$v'(x) - v'(n_1 + 1) \le \frac{-\alpha^2}{\alpha + \beta + v''(0)}$$

and so from (17), we have

$$B_3 \le \frac{1}{1 - e^{\frac{-\alpha^2}{1 + \beta^2 + \alpha''(0)}}} < \infty.$$

As for A_{3} , we have:

$$\begin{split} A_3 &= \left(\mathrm{e}^{v(\xi)} \cdot r^{-\xi} \right) \left(\mathrm{e}^{-v(n_1+1)} \cdot r^{n_1+1} \right) \\ &= \left(\mathrm{e}^{v(\xi)} \cdot r^{-\xi} \right) \left(\mathrm{e}^{-\left\{ v(x) + (n_1+1-x)v'(x) + \frac{1}{2}(n_1+1-x)^2 v''(x+\theta(n_1+1-x)) \right\}} \cdot \mathrm{e}^{(n_1+1)v'(x)} \right) \\ &= \left(\mathrm{e}^{-v(\xi)} \cdot v(x) + (-\xi+x)v'(x) \right) \left(\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}(n_1+1-x)^2 v''(x+\theta(n_1+1-x))} \right) \\ &= C_3 \times D_3, \qquad \text{say.} \end{split}$$

Since $C_3 = C_1$, $\lim_{r \to \infty} C_3 = 1.$

Also

$$x + \theta (n_1 + 1 - x) < n_1 + 1$$

implies

$$v''(x + \theta(n_1 + 1 - x)) \ge v''(n_1 + 1)$$

and so

(21)
$$D_3 \le e^{-\frac{1}{2}(n_1 + 1 - x)^2 v''(n_1 + 1)}$$

Using (7) and (19), we have

$$D_{3} \leq e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\alpha}{v''(x)} + 1\right)^{2} \left(\frac{\alpha}{x + \frac{\alpha}{v''(x)} + 1}\right)} \leq e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\alpha}{v''(x)} + 1\right) \left(\frac{\alpha}{v''(x)} + 1\right) \left(\frac{\alpha}{x + \frac{\alpha}{v''(x)} + 1}\right)}$$

$$\leq e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\alpha}{v''(x)} + 1\right) \left(\frac{\alpha^{2}}{xv''(x) + \alpha + v''(x)} + \frac{\alpha}{x + \frac{\alpha}{v''(x)} + 1}\right)} \leq e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\alpha}{v''(x)} + 1\right) \left(\frac{\alpha^{2}}{xv''(x) + \alpha + v''(x)}\right)}$$

$$\left(\text{since } \frac{\alpha}{x + \frac{\alpha}{v''(x)} + 1} \geq 0\right)$$

$$\leq e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\alpha}{v''(x)} + 1\right) \left(\frac{\alpha^{2}}{\alpha + \beta + v''(0)}\right)}$$

$$\to 0 \quad \text{as } x \to \infty.$$

$$(\text{by } (20))$$

And so $\lim_{x \to \infty} D_3 = 0$ \Rightarrow $\lim_{x \to \infty} D_3 = 0$ \Rightarrow $\lim_{x \to \infty} A_3 = 0$.

This proves that $\lim_{r\to\infty} |S_3| = 0$.

Now consider

$$S_2 = \sum_{n=-1}^{n_1} e^{-v(n)+v(\xi)} \cdot r^{n-\xi} \cdot e^{in\theta}$$
.

First we assume that for $n, n_0 + 1 \le n \le n_1, |(n - \xi)\theta| \le \frac{\pi}{2}$ and show that $|S_2| \ge 1$.

Clearly S_2 can be expressed as:

$$S_2 = e^{i\xi\theta} \sum_{n=n_0+1}^{n_1} e^{-v(n)+v(\xi)} \cdot r^{n-\xi} \cdot e^{i(n-\xi)\theta}$$

and so

$$|S_2| \ge \left| \operatorname{Re} \sum_{n=n_0+1}^{n_1} e^{-v(n)+v(\xi)} \cdot r^{n-\xi} \cdot e^{\mathrm{i}(n-\xi)\theta} \right| \ge \left| \sum_{n=n_0+1}^{n_1} e^{-v(n)+v(\xi)} \cdot r^{n-\xi} \cdot \cos(n-\xi)\theta \right|.$$

In view of our assumption: $|(n-\xi)\theta| \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ for $n_0+1 \leq n \leq n_1$, we have

$$\cos(n-\xi)\theta \ge 0$$

for $n_0 + 1 \le n \le n_1$ and so

$$|S_2| \ge \cos(\xi - \xi) \theta \cdot r^{(\xi - \xi)} e^{-v(\xi) + v(\xi)} + \sum_{\substack{n = n_0 + 1 \\ n \ne \xi}}^{n_1} e^{-v(n) + v(\xi)} \cdot r^{n - \xi} \cdot \cos(n - \xi) \theta \ge 1 + 0$$

and so $|S_2| \geq 1$, if

$$|(n-\xi)\theta| \le \frac{\pi}{2} \text{ for } n_0 + 1 \le n \le n_1.$$

Now we show that the assumption:

 $|(n-\xi)\theta| \le \frac{\pi}{2}$, $n_0 + 1 \le n \le n_1$ is implied by those z = x + iy whose y-coordinate satisfies the condition

$$|y| \le \frac{\sqrt{2}r}{\frac{\alpha}{v''(x)} + 2}.$$

Let $\theta \geq 0$. Then $|(n-\xi)\theta| \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$, $n_0 + 1 \leq n \leq n_1$ yields

$$(n_1 + 1 - \xi) \theta \le \frac{\pi}{2}$$
 and $(\xi - n_0) \theta \le \frac{\pi}{2}$.

But

$$|n_1 + 1 - \xi| \le |n_1 + 1 - x| + |x - \xi|$$

 $\le |n_1 + 1 - x| + 1 = (n_1 + 1 - x) + 1$

(since $x \leq n_1 + 1$) and from (7)

$$n_1 + 1 - x \le \frac{\alpha}{v''(x)} + 1$$

implies
$$|n_1 + 1 - \xi| \le \left(\frac{\alpha}{v''(x)} + 1\right) + 1 = \frac{\alpha}{v''x} + 2$$
. Also
$$|\xi - n_0| \le |\xi - x| + |x - n_0| \le 1 + |x - n_0|$$

$$\le 1 + x - n_0 \qquad \text{(since } n_0 < x\text{)}$$

$$\le 1 + \left(\frac{\alpha}{v''(x)} + 1\right) \qquad \text{(from (6))}$$

$$= \frac{\alpha}{v''(x)} + 2.$$

Thus the assumption:

$$|(n-\xi)\theta| \le \frac{\pi}{2} \text{ for } n_0 + 1 \le n \le n_1$$

is implied by the condition that

$$\left(\frac{\alpha}{v''(x)} + 2\right)\theta \le \frac{\pi}{2} \text{ for } \theta \ge 0$$

or

(22)
$$\theta \le \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) / \left(\frac{\alpha}{v''(x)} + 2\right).$$

Set

(23)
$$\delta_x = \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) / \left(\frac{\alpha}{v''(x)} + 2\right) \ge 0.$$

To estimate δ_x we use Euler's formular see [7]:

$$\sin(\pi\omega) = (\pi\omega) \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{\omega^2}{k^2}\right).$$

Substituting θ for $\pi\omega$, we get

(24)
$$\sin\left(\theta\right) = \theta \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{\theta^2}{\pi^2 k^2}\right)$$

The product in (24) is ≥ 0 if for all $k \geq 1$, $1 - \frac{\theta^2}{\pi^2 k^2} \geq 0$. In particular, $1 - \frac{\theta^2}{\pi^2} \geq 0$ if and only if $\theta \leq \pi$ for $\theta \geq 0$. Clearly

$$\frac{\alpha}{v''(x)} + 2 \ge 2$$

for all $x \ge 0$ and so

$$\frac{\pi}{4} \ge \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \left/ \left(\frac{\alpha}{v''(x)} + 2\right) \implies 0 \le \theta \le \delta_x \le \frac{\pi}{4}.$$

Further, if $0 \le \theta_1 \le \theta_2 \le \pi$, then $\theta_1^2 \le \theta_2^2$ implies

$$1 - \frac{\theta_1^2}{\pi^2 k^2} \ge 1 - \frac{\theta_2^2}{\pi^2 k^2}$$

for all $k \geq 1$ and so

$$\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{\theta_1^2}{\pi^2 k^2} \right) \ge \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{\theta_2^2}{\pi^2 k^2} \right).$$

But then $0 \le \theta \le \delta_x \le \frac{\pi}{4}$ implies

(25)
$$\frac{\sin \theta}{\theta} = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{\theta^2}{\pi^2 k^2} \right) \ge \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{\delta_x^2}{\pi^2 k^2} \right) \ge \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^2}{\pi^2 k^2} \right).$$

But the last term $=\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\pi}$ if we put $\theta=\frac{\pi}{4}$ in (24).

Thus from (25), we obtain

$$\theta \le \frac{\pi \sin \theta}{2\sqrt{2}}.$$

Remark: As the referee pointed out, the last inequality can also be obtained by elementary means, noting that

 $\frac{d}{dx}\left(\frac{\sin x}{x}\right) < 0$ in $(0, \frac{\pi}{4}]$ and the value of $\frac{\sin x}{x} = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\pi}$ at $x = \frac{\pi}{4}$. Now the inequality in (22) will be satisfied if we set

$$\frac{\pi \sin \theta}{2\sqrt{2}} \le \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) / \left(\frac{\alpha}{v''(x)} + 2\right) \quad \text{or} \quad \sin \theta \le \sqrt{2} / \left(\frac{\alpha}{v''(x)} + 2\right)$$

(26)
$$\Rightarrow |y| = r \sin \theta \le \frac{\sqrt{2}r}{\frac{\alpha}{v''(x)} + 2}.$$

Thus we have shown that if z = x + iy is such that

$$|y| \le \frac{\sqrt{2}r}{\frac{\alpha}{v''(x)} + 2},$$

then

$$|S_2| \ge 1$$
.

Since we have already established that

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} |S_1| = 0 = \lim_{r \to \infty} |S_3|$$

and the fact from (9) that

$$|F(z)| \ge T(r) (|S_2| - |S_1| - |S_3|)$$

the proof of the theorem follows, since F(r) and T(r) differ only slightly (see [7]). In other words, there is $r_0 > 0$ such that $r \ge r_0$ and for all z = x + iy with

$$|y| \le \left(\sqrt{2}r\right) \left/ \left(\frac{\alpha}{v''(x)} + 2\right)\right.$$

we have $|F(z)| \ge MF(r)$ for some M > 0. Since $f(r) \ge |f(z)|$, clearly $0 \le M \le 1$ for all z.

Example. As a particular case of the theorem take:

$$F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-(n+1)\log(n+1)+n} \cdot z^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^n}{(n+1)^{n+1}} \cdot z^n.$$

Here $v(x) = (x+1)\log(x+1) - x$, for $x \ge 0$, $v'(x) = \log(x+1)$ and $v''(x) = \frac{1}{x+1}$.

Choose $x_0 = 1$, then for all $x \ge 1$, $\frac{1}{2} \le xv''(x) = \frac{x}{x+1} \le 1$, i.e. $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, $\beta = 1$ for all $x \ge 1$.

Thus v(x) satisfies all the conditions of the theorem. For the index of the maximum term of

$$F(r) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^n}{(n+1)^{n+1}} \cdot r^n$$

we solve: $v'(x) = \log r$, i.e. $\log (x+1) = \log r \Rightarrow x = r-1$ and so $\xi = [x] = [r]-1$.

Here
$$\delta_x = \frac{\frac{\pi}{2}}{\frac{\alpha}{v''(x)} + 2} = \frac{\pi}{x+5} \le \frac{\pi}{6}$$
 for all $x \ge 1$ and so $0 \le |\theta| \le \frac{\pi}{6}$. Thus if for $z = x + iy$,

$$|y| \le \frac{2\sqrt{2}r}{x+5} \le \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}r, \quad x \ge 1$$

then there is r_0 such that for $r \ge r_0$ and for all those z = x + iy for which $|y| \le \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}r$, we have

$$|F(z)| \ge MF(r)$$

for some M > 0, depending on r.

- 1. Bak J. and Newman D. J., Complex Analysis, 2nd ed., Springer Verlag, New York, Heidelberg etc., 1996.
- 2. Hille E. S., Analytic Function Theory, v. II, Chelsea Publishing Company, N. Y., 1973.
- 3. Lang S., Complex analysis, 3rd ed., Springer Verlag, N. Y., Heidelberg, etc., 1993.
- 4. Mitrinovic D. S., Analytic Inequalities, Springer Verlag, N.Y., Heidelberg, etc., 1970.
- 5. Ruble L. A. and Collander J. E., Entire and Meromorphic Functions, Springer Verlag, N. Y. Heidelberg, 1995.
- 6. Sansone G. and Gerretsen J., Lectures on the theory of Functions of a Complex Variable, P. Noordhoff, Groningen, 1960.
- 7. Titchmarsh E. C., Theory of Functions, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1932.
- T. Husain, Department of Math & Stats., McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario L8S 4K1, Canada, e-mail: taqdir.husain@sympatico.ca