# APPROXIMATION FOR PERIODIC FUNCTIONS VIA STATISTICAL A-SUMMABILITY

### S. KARAKUŞ AND K. DEMIRCI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, using the concept of statistical A-summability which is stronger than the A-statistical convergence, we prove a Korovkin type approximation theorem for sequences of positive linear operator defined on  $C^*(\mathbb{R})$  which is the space of all  $2\pi$ -periodic and continuous functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ , the set of all real numbers. We also compute the rates of statistical A-summability of sequence of positive linear operators.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The idea of statistical convergence was introduced by Fast [5], which is closely related to the concept of natural density or asymptotic density of subsets of the set of natural numbers  $\mathbb{N}$ . Let K be a subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ . The natural density of K is the nonnegative real number given by  $\delta(K) := \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : k \in K\}|$  provided that the limit exists, where |B| denotes the cardinality of the set B (see [14] for details). Then, a sequence  $x = \{x_k\}$  is called statistically convergent to a number L if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\delta(\{k : |x_k - L| \ge \varepsilon\}) = 0.$$

This is denoted by  $st - \lim_{k\to\infty} x_k = L$  (see [5], [7]). It is easy to see that every convergent sequence is statistically convergent, but not conversely.

If  $x = \{x_k\}$  is a number sequence and  $A = \{a_{jk}\}$  is an infinite matrix, then Ax is the sequence whose *j*-th term is given by

$$A_{j}\left(x\right) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} x_{k}$$

provided that the series converges for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus we say that x is A-summable to L if

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} A_j(x) = L.$$

Received March 28, 2011.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 40G15, 41A25, 41A36, 47B38.

Key words and phrases. Statistical convergence; statistical A-summability; positive linear operator; Korovkin type approximation theorem; Fejér operators.

We say that A is regular if  $\lim_{j\to\infty} A_j(x) = L$  whenever  $\lim_{k\to\infty} x_k = L$ . The well-known necessary and sufficient conditions [1] (Silverman-Toeplitz) for A to be regular are:

- R1)  $||A|| = \sup_{j \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{jk}| < \infty,$ R2)  $\lim_{j \to \infty} a_{jk} = 0$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N},$
- R3)  $\lim_{j \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} = 1.$

Freedman and Sember [6] introduced the following extension of statistical convergence. Let  $A = \{a_{jk}\}$  be a nonnegative regular matrix. The A-density of K is defined by

$$\delta_{A}(K) := \lim_{j \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} \chi_{K}(k)$$

provided that the limit exists, where  $\chi_K$  is the characteristic function of K. Then the sequence  $x = \{x_k\}$  is said to be A-statistically convergent to the number L if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\delta_A\left(\{k \in \mathbb{N} : |x_k - L| \ge \varepsilon\}\right) = 0$$

or equivalently

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \sum_{k: |x_k - L| \ge \varepsilon} a_{jk} = 0.$$

We denote this limit by  $st_A - \lim_{k \to \infty} x_k = L$  (see [6], [8], [9]). The case in which  $A = C_1$ , the Cesàro matrix of order one, reduces to the statistical convergence, and also if A = I, the identity matrix, then it coincides with the ordinary convergence.

Recently, the idea of statistical (C, 1)-summability was introduced in [11] and of statistical (H,1)-summability in [12] by Moricz, and of statistical  $(\overline{N},p)$ -summability by Moricz and Orhan [13]. Then these statistical summability methods were generalized by defining the statistical A-summability in [4].

Now we recall statistical A-summability for a nonnegative regular matrix A.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $A = \{a_{jk}\}$  be a nonnegative regular matrix and  $x = \{x_k\}$ be a sequence. We say that x is statistically A-summable to L if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\delta(\{j \in \mathbb{N} : |A_j(x) - L| \ge \varepsilon\}) = 0,$$

i.e.,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} |\{j \le n : |A_j(x) - L| \ge \varepsilon\}| = 0.$$

Thus  $x = \{x_k\}$  is statistically A-summable to L if and only if Ax is statistically convergent to L. In this case we write  $(A)_{st} - \lim_{k \to \infty} x_k = L$  or,  $st - \lim_{i \to \infty} A_i(x) = L$ .

Using the Definition 1.1, we see that if a sequence is bounded and A-statistically convergent to L, then it is A-summable to L, and hence statistically A-summable to L. However, its converse is not always true. Such examples were given in [4].

In this paper, using the concept of statistical A-summability where  $A = \{a_{ik}\}$ is a nonnegative regular matrix, we give a generalization of the classical Korovkin

approximation theorem by means of sequences of positive linear operators defined on the space of all real valued continuous and  $2\pi$  periodic functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ . We also compute the rates of statistical A-summability of sequence of positive linear operators.

### 2. A KOROVKIN TYPE THEOREM

We denote  $C^*(\mathbb{R})$ , the space of all real valued continuous and  $2\pi$  periodic functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ . We recall that if a function f in  $\mathbb{R}$  has period  $2\pi$ , then for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$f(x) = f(x + 2\pi k)$$

holds for  $k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$  This space is equipped with he supremum norm

$$||f||_{C^*(\mathbb{R})} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |f(x)|, \qquad (f \in C^*(\mathbb{R})).$$

Let L be a linear operator from  $C^*(\mathbb{R})$  into  $C^*(\mathbb{R})$ . Then, as usual, we say that L is a positive linear operator provided that  $f \ge 0$  implies  $L(f) \ge 0$ . Also, we denote the value of L(f) at a point  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  by L(f(u); x) or, briefly, L(f; x).

Throughout the paper, we also use the following test functions

$$f_0(x) = 1$$
,  $f_1(x) = \cos x$   $f_2(x) = \sin x$ .

We also have to recall the classical Korovkin theorem [10].

**Theorem A.** Let  $\{L_k\}$  be a sequence of positive linear operators acting from  $C^*(\mathbb{R})$  into itself. Then, for all  $f \in C^*(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \|L_k(f) - f\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R})} = 0$$

if and only if

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \|L_k(f_i) - f_i\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R})} = 0, \qquad (i = 0, 1, 2).$$

Recently, the statistical analog of Theorem A was studied by Duman [3]. It will be read as follows.

**Theorem B.** Let  $A = \{a_{jk}\}$  be a nonnegative regular matrix and let  $\{L_k\}$  be a sequence of positive linear operators acting from  $C^*(\mathbb{R})$  into itself. Then for all  $f \in C^*(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$st_A - \lim_{k \to \infty} \|L_k(f) - f\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R})} = 0$$

if and only if

$$st_A - \lim_{k \to \infty} \|L_k(f_i) - f_i\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R})} = 0, \qquad (i = 0, 1, 2)$$

Now we study the approximation properties of sequence of positive linear operators on the space  $C^*(\mathbb{R})$  via statistical A-summability where  $A = \{a_{jk}\}$  is a nonnegative regular matrix.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $A = \{a_{jk}\}$  be a nonnegative regular matrix and let  $\{L_k\}$  be a sequence of positive linear operators acting from  $C^*(\mathbb{R})$  into itself. Then, for all  $f \in C^*(\mathbb{R})$ ,

(2.1) 
$$st - \lim_{j \to \infty} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_k(f) - f \right\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R})} = 0$$

if and only if

(2.2) 
$$st - \lim_{j \to \infty} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_k(f_i) - (f_i) \right\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R})} = 0 \quad (i = 0, 1, 2).$$

Proof. Since each  $f_i$  (i = 0, 1, 2) belongs to  $C^*(\mathbb{R})$ , the implication  $(2.1) \Rightarrow (2.2)$ is clear. Now, to prove the implication  $(2.2) \Longrightarrow (2.1)$ , assume that (2.2) holds. Let  $f \in C^*(\mathbb{R})$  and let I be a closed subinterval of length  $2\pi$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Fix  $x \in I$ . By the continuity of f at x, for given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$|f(t) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$$

for all t satisfying  $|t - x| < \delta$ . On the other hand, by the boundedness of f, we have

$$|f(t) - f(x)| \le 2||f||_{C^*(\mathbb{R})}$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Now consider the subintervals  $(x - \delta, 2\pi + x - \delta]$  of length  $2\pi$ . From [3] we can see that

(2.3) 
$$|f(t) - f(x)| < \varepsilon + \frac{2\|f\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R})}}{\sin^2 \frac{\delta}{2}} \psi(t)$$

holds for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\psi(t) := \sin^2\left(\frac{t-x}{2}\right)$ .

By using (2.3) and the positivity and monotonicity of  $L_k$  we have

$$\begin{split} & \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_k(f;x) - f(x) \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_k(|f(t) - f(x)|;x) + |f(x)| \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_k(f_0;x) - f_0(x) \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_k \left( \varepsilon + \frac{2 \|f\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R})}}{\sin^2 \frac{\delta}{2}} \psi(t);x \right) + |f(x)| \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_k(f_0;x) - f_0(x) \right| \\ & \leq \varepsilon + \varepsilon \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_k(f_0;x) - f_0(x) \right| + \|f\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R})} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_k(f_0;x) - f_0(x) \right| \\ & \quad + \frac{2 \|f\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R})}}{\sin^2 \frac{\delta}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_k(\psi(t);x) \,. \end{split}$$

After some simple calculations, we also get

$$\psi(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \cos t \cos x - \sin t \sin x \right).$$

So we can get

(2.4) 
$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_k(\psi(t); x) \le \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_k(f_0; x) - f_0(x) \right| + \left| \cos x \right| \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_k(f_1; x) - f_1(x) \right| + \left| \sin x \right| \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_k(f_2; x) - f_2(x) \right| \right\}.$$

Then, using (2.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_k(f;x) - f(x) \right| \\ &\leq \varepsilon + \left( \varepsilon + \|f\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R})} + \frac{\|f\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R})}}{\sin^2 \frac{\delta}{2}} \right) \left\{ \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_k(f_0;x) - f_0(x) \right| \right. \\ &\left. + \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_k(f_1;x) - f_1(x) \right| + \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_k(f_2;x) - f_2(x) \right| \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we obtain

(2.5) 
$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_k(f) - f \right\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R})} \le \varepsilon + U \left\{ \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_k(f_0) - f_0 \right\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R})} + \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_k(f_1) - f_1 \right\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R})} + \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_k(f_2) - f_2 \right\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R})} \right\}$$

where  $U := \varepsilon + \|f\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R})} + \frac{\|f\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R})}}{\sin^2 \frac{\delta}{2}}$ . Now, for a given r > 0, choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\varepsilon < r$ . By (2.5), it is easy to see that

$$\frac{1}{n} \left| \left\{ j \le n : \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_k(f) - f \right\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R})} \ge r \right\} \right|$$

$$\le \frac{1}{n} \left| \left\{ j \le n : \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_k(f_0) - f_0 \right\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R})} \ge \frac{r - \varepsilon}{3U} \right\} \right|$$

$$+ \frac{1}{n} \left| \left\{ j \le n : \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_k(f_1) - f_1 \right\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R})} \ge \frac{r - \varepsilon}{3U} \right\} \right|$$

$$+ \frac{1}{n} \left| \left\{ j \le n : \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_k(f_2) - f_2 \right\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R})} \ge \frac{r - \varepsilon}{3U} \right\} \right|.$$

Then using the hypothesis (2.2), we get

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left| \left\{ j \le n : \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_k(f) - f \right\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R})} \ge r \right\} \right| = 0$$

for every r > 0 and the proof is compete.

# 

### 3. Rate of Convergence

In this section, using statistical A-summability we study the rate of convergence of positive linear operators defined  $C^*(\mathbb{R})$  into itself with the help of the modulus of continuity.

Demirci and Karakuş [2] introduced the rates of statistical A-summability of sequence as follows.

**Definition 3.1** ([2]). Let  $A = \{a_{jk}\}$  be a nonnegative regular matrix. A sequence  $x = \{x_k\}$  is statistical A-summable to a number L with the rate of  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|\{j \le n : |A_j(x) - L| \ge \varepsilon\}|}{n^{1-\beta}} = 0.$$

In this case, it is denoted by

$$x_k - L = o\left(n^{-\beta}\right) \quad \left((A)_{st}\right).$$

Using this definition, we obtain the following auxiliary result.

**Lemma 3.2** ([2]). Let  $A = \{a_{jk}\}$  be a nonnegative regular matrix. Let  $x = \{x_k\}$ and  $y = \{y_k\}$  be bounded sequences. Assume that  $x_k - L_1 = o(n^{-\beta_1})((A)_{st})$  and  $y_k - L_2 = o(n^{-\beta_2})((A)_{st})$ . Let  $\beta := \min\{\beta_1, \beta_2\}$ . Then we have:

(i) 
$$(x_k - L_1) \mp (y_k - L_2) = o(n^{-\beta})$$
 ((A)<sub>st</sub>)

(ii)  $\lambda(x_k - L_1) = o(n^{-\beta_1})$  ((A)<sub>st</sub>) for any real number  $\lambda$ .

Now we remind the concept of modulus of continuity. For  $f \in C^*(\mathbb{R})$ , the modulus of continuity of f, denoted by  $\omega(f; \delta_1)$ , is defined by

$$\omega\left(f;\delta_{1}\right) := \sup_{|t-x| \le \delta_{1}} \left|f(t) - f(x)\right| \quad \left(\delta_{1} > 0\right).$$

It is also well know that, for any  $\lambda > 0$  and for all  $f \in C^*(\mathbb{R})$ 

(3.1) 
$$\omega(f;\lambda\delta_1) \le (1+[\lambda])\,\omega(f;\delta_1)$$

where  $[\lambda]$  is defined to be the greatest integer less than or equal to  $\lambda$ .

Then we have the following result.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $A = \{a_{jk}\}$  be a nonnegative regular matrix and let  $\{L_k\}$  be a sequence of positive linear operators acting from  $C^*(\mathbb{R})$  into itself. Assume that the following conditions holds:

(i)  $\|L_k(f_0) - f_0\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R})} = o(n^{-\beta_1})$  ((A)<sub>st</sub>) on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,

(*ii*) 
$$\omega(f;\gamma_j) = o\left(n^{-\beta_2}\right)$$
 ((A)<sub>st</sub>) on  $\mathbb{R}$  where  $\gamma_j := \sqrt{\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_k\left(\varphi\right)\right\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R})}}$   
with  $\varphi(t) = \sin^2\left(\frac{t-x}{2}\right)$ .

Then we have for all  $f \in C^*(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$||L_k(f) - f||_{C^*(\mathbb{R})} = o(n^{-\beta})$$
 ((A)<sub>st</sub>) on  $\mathbb{R}$ 

where  $\beta := \min\{\beta_1, \beta_2\}.$ 

*Proof.* Let  $f \in C^*(\mathbb{R})$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  be fixed. Using (3.1) and the positivity and monotonicity of  $L_k$ , we get for any  $\delta_1 > 0$  and  $j \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_{k}(f;x) - f(x) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_{k}(|f(t) - f(x)|;x) + |f(x)| \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_{k}(f_{0};x) - f_{0}(x) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_{k} \left( \left( 1 + \frac{(t-x)^{2}}{\delta_{1}^{2}} \right);x \right) \omega(f;\delta_{1}) + \|f\|_{C^{*}(\mathbb{R})} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_{k}(f_{0};x) - f_{0}(x) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_{k} \left( \left( 1 + \frac{\pi^{2}}{\delta_{1}^{2}} \sin^{2} \left( \frac{t-x}{2} \right) \right);x \right) \omega(f;\delta_{1}) \\ &+ \|f\|_{C^{*}(\mathbb{R})} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_{k}(f_{0};x) - f_{0}(x) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_{k}(f_{0};x) - f_{0}(x) \right| \omega(f;\delta_{1}) + \omega(f;\delta_{1}) \\ &+ \frac{\pi^{2}}{\delta_{1}^{2}} \omega(f;\delta_{1}) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_{k} \left( \sin^{2} \left( \frac{t-x}{2} \right);x \right) \\ &+ \|f\|_{C^{*}(\mathbb{R})} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_{k}(f_{0};x) - f_{0}(x) \right| . \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get

$$\begin{split} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_k(f) - f \right\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R})} &\leq \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_k(f_0) - f_0 \right\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R})} \omega(f;\gamma_j) + (1 + \pi^2) \omega(f;\gamma_j) \\ &+ \left\| f \right\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R})} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_k(f_0) - f_0 \right\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R})} \end{split}$$

where  $\delta_1 := \gamma_j := \sqrt{\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_k(\varphi)\right\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R})}}$ . Then, we obtain

(3.2) 
$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_k(f) - f \right\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R})} \leq K \left\{ \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_k(f_0) - f_0 \right\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R})} \omega(f;\gamma_j) + \omega(f;\gamma_j) + \omega(f;\gamma_j) + \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_k(f_0) - f_0 \right\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R})} \right\} \right\}$$

where  $K = \max\left\{\|f\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R})}, 1+\pi^2\right\}$ . Hence, for given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , from (3.2) and Lemma 3.2, it follows

$$\frac{1}{n^{1-\beta}} \left| \left\{ j \le n : \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_k(f) - f \right\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R})} \ge \varepsilon \right\} \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{n^{1-\beta_1}} \left| \left\{ j \le n : \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_k(f_0) - f_0 \right\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R})} \ge \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{3K}} \right\} \right|$$

$$(3.3) \qquad \qquad + \frac{1}{n^{1-\beta_2}} \left| \left\{ j \le n : \omega(f;\gamma_j) \ge \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{3K}} \right\} \right|$$

$$+ \frac{1}{n^{1-\beta_2}} \left| \left\{ j \le n : \omega(f;\gamma_j) \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{3K} \right\} \right|$$

$$+ \frac{1}{n^{1-\beta_1}} \left| \left\{ j \le n : \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_k(f_0) - f_0 \right\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R})} \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{3K} \right\} \right|$$

where  $\beta := \min \{\beta_1, \beta_2\}$ . Letting  $n \to \infty$  in (3.3), from (i) and (ii), we conclude that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n^{1-\beta}} \left\| \left\{ j \le n : \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_k(f) - f \right\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R})} \ge \varepsilon \right\} \right\| = 0,$$

which means

$$\|L_k(f) - f\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R})} = o\left(n^{-\beta}\right) \ ((A)_{st}) \quad \text{on} \quad \mathbb{R}.$$

The proof is completed.

Now we give the following classical rates of convergence of a sequence of positive linear operators defined on  $C^*(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Corollary 1.** Let  $\{L_k\}$  be a sequence of positive linear operators acting from  $C^*(\mathbb{R})$  into itself. Assume that the following conditions holds:

(i)  $\lim_{k \to \infty} \|L_k(f_0) - f_0\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R})} = 0,$ 

(*ii*)  $\lim_{k\to\infty} \omega(f;\delta_k) = 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  where  $\delta_k := \sqrt{\|L_k(\varphi)\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R})}}$  with  $\varphi(t) = \sin^2(\frac{t-x}{2})$ .

Then for all  $f \in C^*(\mathbb{R})$ , we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \|L_k(f) - f\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R})} = 0.$$

#### APPROXIMATION FOR PERIODIC FUNCTIONS

### 4. An Application to Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 3.1

In this section, we display an example of a sequence of positive linear operators. First of all, we show that Theorem 2.1 holds, but Theorem A and Theorem B do not hold. Then, using the same sequence of positive linear operators, we show that Theorem 3.1 holds but, Corollary 1 does not hold.

Let A be Cesàro matrix, i.e.,

$$a_{jk} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{j}, & 1 \le k \le j, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and let

(4.1) 
$$\xi_k = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } k \text{ is odd,} \\ -1, & \text{if } k \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Then, we observe that,  $A = \{a_{jk}\}$  is a nonnegative regular matrix and for the sequence  $\xi := \{\xi_k\}$ 

$$st - \lim_{j \to \infty} A_j(\xi) = 0.$$

However, the sequence  $\{\xi_k\}$  is not convergent in the usual sense and A-statistical convergent to 0. Then, consider the following Fejér operators

(4.2) 
$$F_k(f;x) := \frac{1}{k\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) \frac{\sin^2\left(\frac{k}{2}\left(t-x\right)\right)}{2\sin^2\left[\frac{t-x}{2}\right]} dt$$

where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f \in C^*[-\pi, \pi]$ . Then, we get (see [10])

$$F_k(f_0; x) = 1$$
,  $F_k(f_1; x) = \frac{k-1}{k} \cos x$ ,  $F_k(f_2; x) = \frac{k-1}{k} \sin x$ .

Now, using (4.1) and (4.2), we introduce the following positive linear operators defined on the space  $C^* [-\pi, \pi]$ 

(4.3) 
$$L_k(f;x) = (1+\xi_k)F_k(f;x).$$

(i) Now, we consider the positive linear operators defined by (4.3) on  $C^*[-\pi,\pi]$ . Since  $st - \lim_{j\to\infty} A_j(\xi) = 0$ , we conclude that

$$st - \lim_{j \to \infty} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_k(f_i) - (f_i) \right\|_{C^*[-\pi,\pi]} = 0, \quad (i = 0, 1, 2).$$

Then, by Theorem 2.1, for all  $f \in C^*[-\pi, \pi]$ , we obtain

$$st - \lim_{j \to \infty} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_k(f) - (f) \right\|_{C^*[-\pi,\pi]} = 0.$$

However, since  $\{\xi_k\}$  does not converge in the usual sense and A-statistical converges to 0, we conclude that Theorem A and Theorem B do not work for the operators  $L_k$  in (4.3) while our Theorem 2.1 still works.

(ii) Now, we consider the positive linear operators defined by (4.3) on  $C^*$   $[-\pi, \pi]$ . We observe that

$$\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_k(f_0) - f_0\right\|_{C^*[-\pi,\pi]} = \left|\frac{1}{j} \sum_{k=1}^{j} (1+\xi_k) - 1\right| = \left|\frac{1}{j} \sum_{k=1}^{j} \xi_k\right|.$$

Since

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_k(f_0) - f_0 \right\|_{C^*[-\pi,\pi]} = 0,$$

then we get

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n^{1-\beta_1}} \left\| \left\{ j \le n : \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_k(f_0) - f_0 \right\|_{C^*[-\pi,\pi]} \ge \varepsilon \right\} \right\| = 0,$$

which means that

(4.4) 
$$\|L_k(f_0) - f_0\|_{C^*[-\pi,\pi]} = o\left(n^{-\beta_1}\right) \quad ((A)_{st}).$$

Now, we compute the quantity  $L_k(\varphi; x)$  where  $\varphi(t) = \sin^2\left(\frac{t-x}{2}\right)$ . After some calculations, we get

$$L_k\left(\varphi;x\right) = \frac{1+\xi_k}{2k}.$$

Then, we obtain  $\gamma_j := \sqrt{\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{jk} L_k(\varphi)\right\|_{C^*[-\pi,\pi]}} = \sqrt{\left|\frac{1}{j} \sum_{k=1}^{j} \frac{1+\xi_k}{2k}\right|}$ . Since  $\lim_{j\to\infty} \sqrt{\left|\frac{1}{j} \sum_{k=1}^{j} \frac{1+\xi_k}{2k}\right|} = 0$ , we get  $st - \lim_{j\to\infty} \sqrt{\left|\frac{1}{j} \sum_{k=1}^{j} \frac{1+\xi_k}{2k}\right|} = 0$ . By the uniform continuity of f on  $[-\pi,\pi]$ , we write

(4.5) 
$$\omega(f;\gamma_j) = o\left(n^{-\beta_2}\right) \quad ((A)_{st}).$$

From (4.4) and (4.5), the sequence of positive linear operators  $\{L_k\}$  satisfies all hypotheses of Theorem 3.1. So, for all  $f \in C^*[-\pi, \pi]$ , we have

$$||L_k(f) - f||_{C^*[-\pi,\pi]} = o(n^{-\beta}) \quad ((A)_{st}).$$

However, since  $\{\xi_k\}$  is not convergent, the conditions (i) and (ii) of Corollary 1 do not hold. So, the sequence  $\{L_k\}$  given by (4.3) does not converge uniformly to the function  $f \in C^* [-\pi, \pi]$ .

# References

- Boos J., Classical and Modern Methods in Summability, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000.
- Demirci K. and Karakuş S., Statistical A-Summability of Positive Linear Operators, Mathematical and Computer Modelling 53 (2011), 189–195.
- Duman O., Statistical approximation for periodic functions, Dem. Math. 36(4) (2003), 873–878.
- Edely O. H. H., Mursaleen M., On statistical A-summability, Mathematical and Computer Modelling 49 (2009), 672–680.
- 5. Fast H., Sur la convergence statistique, Colloq. Math. 2 (1951), 241–244.

### APPROXIMATION FOR PERIODIC FUNCTIONS

- Freedman A. R. and Sember J. J., Densities and summability, Pacific J. Math. 95 (1981), 293–305.
- 7. Fridy J. A., On statistical convergence, Analysis 5 (1985), 301–313.
- Fridy J. A. and Miller H. I., A matrix characterization of statistical convergence, Analysis 11 (1991), 59–66.
- 9. Kolk E., Matrix summability of statistically convergent sequences, Analysis 13 (1993), 77–83.
- Korovkin P. P., Linear operators and approximation Theory, Hindustan Publ. Co., Delhi, 1960.
- Moricz F., Tauberian conditions under which statistical convergence follows from statistical summability (C, 1), J. Math. Anal. Appl. 275 (2002), 277–287.
- 12. \_\_\_\_\_, Theorems related to statistical harmonic summability and ordinary convergence of slowly decreasing or oscillating sequences, Analysis 24 (2004), 127–145.
- Moricz F. and Orhan C., Tauberian conditions under which statistical convergence follows from statistical summability by weighted means, Studia Sci. Math. Hung. 41(4) (2004), 391–403.
- 14. Niven I., Zuckerman H. S. and Montgomery H., An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers, 5<sup>th</sup> Edition, Wiley, New York, 1991.

S. Karakuş, Sinop University, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Department of Mathematics, 57000, Sinop, Turkey, *e-mail*: skarakus@sinop.edu.tr

K. Demirci, Sinop University, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Department of Mathematics, 57000, Sinop, Turkey, *e-mail*: kamild@sinop.edu.tr