doi: 10.17114/j.aua.2020.65.03

ON SUBCLASS OF BAZILEVIC FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH CERTAIN CARATHEODORY-TYPE FUNCTIONS NORMALIZED BY OTHER THAN UNITY

A.A. Yusuf

ABSTRACT. In this research work, we study a new subclass of Bazilevic functions via Caratheodory maps with normalization by other than unity defined by new operator denoted by $B_{\sigma,\gamma}^n(\lambda)$. We obtain some basic properties of the new class, namely inclusion, closure under certain integral transformation, Coefficient bounds, bound on the Fekete Szego functional.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45.

Keywords: Analytic and univalent functions, Bazilevic maps, Caratheodory maps with normalization by other than unity,.

1. Introduction

Let P_{λ} is the class of all functions of the form

$$h(z) = 1 + i\frac{\mu}{\eta} + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + p_3 z^3 + \cdots$$
 (1)

such that for $z\in U$, a complex number $\lambda=\eta+i\mu$ with $\mu\geq 0$ and $\eta>0$, then h(z) is said to belong to P_λ if and only if $h(0)=\frac{\lambda}{\eta}=1+i\frac{\mu}{\eta}$ and Reh(z)>0. The class of functions of the form (1) is Caratheodory-type with normalization

The class of functions of the form (1) is Caratheodory-type with normalization $1 + i\frac{\mu}{\eta}$ as against normalization p(0) = 1 for Caratheodory maps and the function $L_0(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z} + \frac{i\mu}{\eta}$ plays a central role in the class P_{λ} especially with respect to extremal problems.

In [2], the Basilevic map given as follows

$$f(z) = \left[\frac{\alpha}{1+\beta^2} \int_0^z [p(t) - i\beta] t^{-\left(1 + \frac{i\alpha\beta}{1+\beta^2}\right)} g(t)^{\left(\frac{\alpha}{1+\beta^2}\right)} \right]. \tag{2}$$

where $p \in P$ and $g(z) = z + b_2 z^2 + \cdots$ is starlike with the parameters $\alpha > 0$ and β are real and all powers mean principal determinations only. The Basilevic map

was redefined to suit the caratheodory type normalised by other than unity in the following definition

DEFINITION 1[2] Let $\lambda = \alpha/(1+i\beta)$, the function f(z) belong to the class $B(\lambda, g)$ if and only if

$$\frac{z(f(z)^{\lambda})}{\eta z^{iu}g(z)^{\eta}} \in P_{\lambda}.$$
 (3)

By taking g(z)=z and using the salagean differential operator, we have the following definition

Definition 2[4] The function f(z) belong to the class $B_n(\lambda)$ if and only if

$$\frac{D^n(f(z)^{\lambda})}{n\lambda^{n-1}z^{\lambda}} \in P_{\lambda}. \tag{4}$$

Using the salagean differential operator $D^n f(z)$ and and inverse of integral operator $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma} f(z) = \frac{(\lambda+\gamma)^{-\sigma}t^{\gamma-1}}{z^{\gamma}\Gamma-\sigma} \int_0^z (\log \frac{z}{t})^{-\sigma-1} f(t)^{\lambda} dt$ (see [12], [5]), on $f(z)^{\lambda}$, we have

$$D^{n}(\mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}f(z)^{\lambda}) = z^{\lambda}\lambda^{n} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\lambda + \gamma + k - 1}{\lambda + \gamma}\right)^{\sigma} (\lambda + k - 1)^{n} A_{k}(\lambda) z^{\lambda + k - 1}.$$
 (5)

where A_k for $k=2,3,\cdots$ depends on the coefficients a_k of f(z) and the index λ . We denote

$$\mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}(D^n f(z)^{\lambda}) = D^n(\mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma} f(z)^{\lambda}) = L_{\sigma,\gamma}^n f(z)^{\lambda}. \tag{6}$$

 $n \in N \cup \{0\}, \sigma > 0, \gamma > -1.$

Note that $L_{1,0}^n=D^{n+1}f(z)^{\lambda},\ L_{1,0}^0=Df(z)^{\lambda}=zf'(z)^{\lambda}.$ If $\eta=1,\mu=0,$ then $L_{1,0}^0=zf'(z).$

From the series expansions of the operator $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}$ on $f(z)^{\lambda}$, we have the recursive relation

$$z(\mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}f(z)^{\lambda})' = (\lambda + \gamma)\mathcal{L}_{\sigma+1,\gamma}f(z)^{\lambda} - (\lambda + \gamma)\mathcal{L}_{\sigma,\gamma}f(z)^{\lambda}.$$
 (7)

Applying D^n on (7), we have

$$L_{\sigma,\gamma}^{n+1} f(z)^{\lambda} = (\lambda + \gamma) L_{\sigma+1,\gamma}^{n} f(z)^{\lambda} - (\lambda + \gamma) L_{\sigma,\gamma}^{n} f(z)^{\lambda}. \tag{8}$$

Using the salagean anti-derivative define as $I_n = I(I_{n-1}f(z)) = \int_0^z \frac{I_{n-1}f(t)}{t}dt$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\sigma,\gamma}f(z) = \frac{(\lambda + \gamma)^{\sigma}t^{\gamma-1}}{z^{\gamma}\Gamma\sigma} \int_0^z (\log\frac{z}{t})^{\sigma-1}f(t)dt$. (see [12], [5]) on $f(z)^{\lambda}$.

$$I_n(\mathcal{J}_{\sigma,\gamma}f(z)^{\lambda}) = \frac{z^{\lambda}}{\lambda^n} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\lambda + \gamma}{\lambda + \gamma + k - 1}\right)^{\sigma} \frac{A_k(\lambda)}{(\lambda + k - 1)^n} z^{\lambda + k - 1}.$$
 (9)

We denote

$$I_n(\mathcal{J}_{\sigma,\gamma}f(z)^{\lambda}) = \mathcal{J}_{\sigma,\gamma}(I_nf(z)^{\lambda}) = J_{\sigma,\gamma}^n f(z)^{\lambda}. \tag{10}$$

It can be seen that

$$L_{\sigma,\gamma}^n(J_{\sigma,\gamma}^n f(z)^{\lambda}) = J_{\sigma,\lambda}^n(L_{\sigma,\gamma}^n f(z)^{\lambda}) = f(z)^{\lambda}. \tag{11}$$

Using the operator $L_{\sigma,\gamma}^n$, we introduce a new class defined as.

Definition 3

An analytic function $f \in A$ is said to belong to the class $B_{\sigma,\gamma}^n(\lambda)$ if and only if

$$\frac{L_{\sigma,\gamma}^n f(z)^{\lambda}}{\eta \lambda^{n-1} z^{\lambda}} \in P_{\lambda}. \tag{12}$$

and the integral representation is as follows

$$f(z) = \left\{ \eta \lambda^{n-1} [J_{\sigma,\gamma}^n z^{\lambda} h(z)] \right\}^{1/\lambda}.$$

2. Preliminary Lemmas

Lemma 1. [4] Let $u = u_1 + u_2i$ and $v = v_1 + v_2i$. Let a be a complex number with Rea > 0 and $\psi(u, v)$ a complex-valued function satisfying:

- (a) $\psi(u,v)$ is continuous in a domain of Ω of \mathbb{C}^2 ,
- (b) $(a,0) \in \Omega$ and Re(a;0) > 0,
- (c) $Re(u_2i, v_1) \leq 0$ when (u_2i, v_1) and $2v_1Rea \leq -|a-iu|^2$. If $h = a+c_1z+c_2z^2+\cdots$ such that $(h(z), zh'(z)) \in \Omega$ and its real part is greater than zero, then Reh(z) > 0.

Lemma 2. [4] Let $h \in P_{\lambda}$. Then,

$$|p_k| \le 2, k = 1, 2, 3 \dots$$

The result is sharp. Equality holds for the function $h(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z} + \frac{i\mu}{\eta}$.

Lemma 3. [3] Let $h \in P_{\lambda}$. Then, we have the sharp inequalities

$$\left| p_2 - \sigma \frac{p_1^2}{2} \right| \le 2 \max\{1, |1 - \sigma|\}.$$

Lemma 4. [6] Let $f \in A$, for any complex number ζ .

(i) If for $z \in E$, $D^{n+1}f(z)^{\zeta}/D^nf(z)^{\zeta}$ is independent of n, then

$$\frac{D^{n+1}f(z)^\zeta}{D^nf(z)^\zeta}=\zeta\frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^nf(z)}$$

(ii) The equality also holds if $D^{n+1}f(z)/D^nf(z)$ is independent of $n, z \in E$.

Lemma 5. [2] Let $h \in P_{\lambda}$

$$Re \quad \frac{zh'(z)}{h(z)} \ge \frac{-2r}{1-r^2}$$

3. Main Results

Theorem 6. $B^{n+1}_{\sigma,\gamma}(\lambda) \subset B^n_{\sigma,\gamma}(\lambda)$

Proof. Let

$$\frac{L_{\sigma,\gamma}^n f(z)^{\lambda}}{n\lambda^{n-1} z^{\lambda}} = h(z) \tag{13}$$

$$L_{\sigma,\gamma}^{n} f(z)^{\lambda} = \eta \lambda^{n-1} (z^{\lambda} h(z)) \tag{14}$$

$$(L_{\sigma,\gamma}^{n} f(z)^{\lambda})' = \eta \lambda^{n-1} (z^{\lambda} h'(z) + \lambda z^{\lambda-1} h(z))$$

$$\tag{15}$$

$$z(L_{\sigma,\gamma}^{n}f(z)^{\lambda})' = \eta \lambda^{n}z^{\lambda} \left(\frac{zh'(z)}{\lambda} + h(z)\right)$$
(16)

which becomes

$$L_{\sigma,\gamma}^{n+1} f(z)^{\lambda} = \eta \lambda^n z^{\lambda} \left(\frac{zh'(z)}{\lambda} + h(z) \right)$$
 (17)

so that if $f \in B^{n+1}_{\sigma,\gamma}(\lambda)$ then

$$Re\frac{L_{\sigma,\gamma}^{n+1}f(z)^{\lambda}}{\eta\lambda^{n}z^{\lambda}} = Re\left(\frac{zh'(z)}{\lambda} + h(z)\right) > 0$$
(18)

Now define $\psi(u,v)=u+\frac{v}{\lambda}, Re\lambda>0$. Noting that $a=1+i\frac{\mu}{\eta}$, then ψ satisfies all the conditions of Lemma 1, it follows that

$$Re\frac{L_{\sigma,\gamma}^{n+1}f(z)^{\lambda}}{\eta\lambda^{n-1}z^{\lambda}} = Reh(z) > 0$$
 (19)

meaning that $f \in B^n_{\sigma,\gamma}(\lambda)$

Theorem 7. $B_{\sigma,\gamma}^n(\lambda) \subset B_{\sigma+1,\gamma}^n(\lambda)$

Proof. Let
$$\frac{L_{\sigma+1,\gamma}^n f(z)}{\eta z^{\lambda} \lambda^{n-1}} = h(z)$$
 then

$$L_{\sigma+1,\gamma}^n f(z) = \eta \lambda^{n-1}(z^{\lambda} h(z))$$

$$L_{\sigma+1,\gamma}^{n+1} f(z)^{\lambda} = \eta \lambda^{n} z^{\lambda} \left(\frac{zh'(z)}{\lambda} + h(z) \right)$$

since $B^{n+1}_{\sigma,\gamma}(\lambda)\subset B^n_{\sigma,\gamma}(\lambda)$ from theorem 1 then

$$Re\frac{L_{\sigma+1,\gamma}^{n+1}f(z)^{\lambda}}{\eta\lambda^{n}z^{\lambda}} = Re\left(\frac{zh^{'}(z)}{\lambda} + h(z)\right) > 0$$

by lemma 1, it follows that

$$Re \frac{L_{\sigma+1,\gamma}^{n+1} f(z)^{\lambda}}{\eta \lambda^{n-1} z^{\lambda}} = Reh(z) > 0$$
 (20)

meaning that $f \in B^n_{\sigma+1,\gamma}(\lambda)$

Theorem 8. Let $f \in B^n_{\sigma,\gamma}(\lambda)$, then f is a $\alpha-n$ spiral univalent in the disk $|z| < r_0(\eta)$ where given by

$$r_0(\eta) = \frac{1}{\eta} \left(\sqrt{1 + \eta^2} - 1 \right)$$

Proof. By definition, let

$$\frac{L_{\sigma,\gamma}^n f(z)^{\lambda}}{\eta \lambda^{n-1} z^{\lambda}} = h(z)$$

by some simple calculation, we have

$$L_{\sigma,\gamma}^{n+1}f(z)^{\lambda} = \eta \lambda^{n-1}z^{\lambda} \left(zh'(z) + \lambda h(z)\right)$$

and

$$\frac{L_{\sigma,\gamma}^{n+1}f(z)^{\lambda}}{L_{\sigma,\gamma}^{n}f(z)^{\lambda}} = \frac{zh'(z)}{h(z)} + \lambda$$

Since $\mathcal{L}_{0,\gamma}f(z)^{\lambda}=f(z)^{\lambda}$, we have

$$\frac{D^{n+1}f(z)^{\zeta}}{D^{n}f(z)^{\zeta}} \Rightarrow \frac{L_{1,\gamma}^{n+1}f(z)^{\lambda}}{L_{1,\gamma}^{n}f(z)^{\lambda}} \Rightarrow \frac{L_{2,\gamma}^{n+1}f(z)^{\lambda}}{L_{2,\gamma}^{n}f(z)^{\lambda}} \Rightarrow \cdots$$

and so on for all $\sigma \in N$. By lemma 4, we have

$$\zeta \frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^nf(z)} \Rightarrow \lambda \frac{L_{1,\gamma}^{n+1}f(z)}{L_{1,\gamma}^nf(z)} \Rightarrow \lambda \frac{L_{2,\gamma}^{n+1}f(z)}{L_{2,\gamma}^nf(z)} \Rightarrow \cdots$$

and so on for all $\sigma \in N$, therefore

$$\lambda \frac{L_{\sigma,\gamma}^{n+1} f(z)}{L_{\sigma,\gamma}^{n} f(z)} = \frac{zh'(z)}{h(z)} + \lambda$$

Taking $\alpha = tan^{-1}\frac{\mu}{\eta}$ and by Lemma 5, we have

$$Re \quad e^{i\alpha} \frac{L_{\sigma,\gamma}^{n+1} f(z)}{L_{\sigma,\gamma}^{n} f(z)} > \frac{\eta}{|\lambda|} - \frac{2r^2}{1 - r^2}$$
$$\frac{\eta - \eta r^2 - 2r}{(1 - r^2)|\lambda|} > 0$$

where $|z| < r_0(\eta)$

Theorem 9. The class $B^n_{\sigma,\gamma}(\lambda)$ is closed under the integral

$$F(z)^{\lambda} = \frac{\lambda + c}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} f(t)^{\lambda} dt, \lambda = \eta + i\mu$$
 (21)

Proof. From

$$F(z)^{\lambda} = \frac{\lambda + c}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} f(t)^{\lambda} dt$$
 (22)

we have that

$$z^{c}F(z)^{\lambda} = (\lambda + c) \int_{0}^{z} t^{c-1}f(t)^{\lambda}dt$$
 (23)

by differentiation, we have

$$cz^{c-1}F(z)^{\lambda} + z^{c}(F(z)^{\lambda})' = (\lambda + c)z^{c-1}f(z)^{\lambda}$$
(24)

multiplying through z and by simple computation

$$z(F(z)^{\lambda})' + c(F(z)^{\lambda} = (\lambda + c)f(z)^{\lambda}$$
(25)

so that

$$\frac{L_{\sigma,\gamma}^{n+1}F(z)^{\lambda}}{\eta\lambda^{n-1}z^{\lambda}} + c\frac{L_{\sigma,\gamma}^{n}F(z)^{\lambda}}{\eta\lambda^{n-1}z^{\lambda}} = (\lambda + c)\frac{L_{\sigma,\gamma}^{n}f(z)^{\lambda}}{\eta\lambda^{n-1}z^{\lambda}}$$
(26)

define $h(z) \in P_{\lambda}$ by

$$\frac{L_{\sigma,\gamma}^n F(z)^{\lambda}}{\eta \lambda^{n-1} z^{\lambda}} = h(z) \tag{27}$$

we have that

$$\frac{L_{\sigma,\gamma}^{n+1}F(z)^{\lambda}}{\eta\lambda^{n-1}z^{\lambda}} = \lambda h(z) + zh'(z)$$
(28)

so that

$$\frac{L_n^{\sigma} F(z)^{\lambda}}{\eta \lambda^{n-1} z^{\lambda}} = h(z) + \frac{zh'(z)}{\lambda + c}$$
(29)

which implies that

$$Re(\psi(h(z), zh(z))) = Re\left(h(z) + \frac{zh'(z)}{\lambda + c}\right)$$
 (30)

by lemma 1, we have Reh(z) > 0 and the proof completes

Theorem 10. Let $f \in B^n_{\sigma,\gamma}(\lambda)$, then

$$|a_{2}| \leq \frac{2\eta |\lambda|^{n-2}}{|\lambda+1|^{n}} \left| \frac{\lambda+\gamma}{\lambda+\gamma+1} \right|^{\sigma}$$

$$|a_{3}| \leq \frac{2\eta |\lambda|^{n-2} |\lambda+\gamma|^{\sigma}}{|\lambda+2|^{n} |\lambda+\gamma+2|^{\sigma}} \max\{1, |\mathbf{M}_{1}|\}$$
(31)

where $\mathbf{M_1} = \frac{(\lambda+1)^{2n}(\lambda+\gamma+1)^{2\sigma}+(1-\lambda)\eta\lambda^{n-2}(\lambda+\gamma)^{\sigma}(\lambda+2)^{n}(\lambda+\gamma+2)^{\sigma}}{(\lambda+1)^{2n}(\lambda+\gamma+1)^{2\sigma}}$ The bounds are best possible. Equalities are obtained also by

$$f(z)^{\lambda} = \left\{ \eta \lambda^{n-1} \left[J_{\sigma,\gamma}^{n} z^{\lambda} \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} + i \frac{u}{\eta} \right) \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}$$

$$= z + \frac{\eta \lambda^{n-2}}{(\lambda+1)^{n}} \left(\frac{\lambda+\gamma}{\lambda+\gamma+1} \right)^{\sigma} z^{2} + \frac{\eta(\lambda)^{n-2} (\lambda+\gamma)^{\sigma}}{(\lambda+2)^{n} (\lambda+\gamma+2)^{\sigma}} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda+1)^{2n} (\lambda+\gamma+1)^{2\sigma} + (1-\lambda)\eta \lambda^{n-2} (\lambda+\gamma)^{\sigma} (\lambda+2)^{n} (\lambda+\gamma+2)^{\sigma}}{(\lambda+1)^{2n} (\lambda+\gamma+1)^{2\sigma}} \right\} z^{3} + \dots$$

Proof. Let $f \in B^n_{\sigma,\lambda}(\lambda)$, then there exists $h \in P_\lambda$ such that

$$\frac{L_{\sigma,\lambda}^{n} f(z)^{\lambda}}{\eta \lambda^{n-1} z^{\lambda}} = h(z) = 1 + \frac{i\mu}{\eta} + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + c_3 c^3 + \cdots$$
 (32)

$$L_{\sigma,\gamma}^n f(z)^{\lambda} = \lambda^n z^{\lambda} + \eta \lambda^{n-1} c_1 z^{\lambda+1} + \eta \lambda^{n-1} c_2 z^{\lambda+2} + \eta \lambda^{n-1} c_3 z^{\lambda+3} + \eta \lambda^{n-1} c_4 z^{\lambda+4} + \cdots$$

Using the anti-derivative of the operator $L_{\sigma,\gamma}^n$ denoted as $J_{\sigma,\gamma}^n$, we have that

$$f(z)^{\lambda} = z^{\lambda} + \frac{\eta \lambda^{n-1}}{(\lambda+1)^n} \left(\frac{\lambda+\gamma}{\lambda+\gamma+1}\right)^{\sigma} c_1 z^{\lambda+1} + \frac{\eta \lambda^{n-1}}{(\lambda+2)^n} \left(\frac{\lambda+\gamma}{\lambda+\gamma+2}\right)^{\sigma} c_2 z^{\lambda+2} + \frac{\eta \lambda^{n-1}}{(\lambda+3)^n} \left(\frac{\lambda+\gamma}{\lambda+\gamma+3}\right)^{\sigma} c_3 z^{\lambda+3} + \frac{\eta \lambda^{n-1}}{(\lambda+4)^n} \left(\frac{\lambda+\gamma}{\lambda+\gamma+4}\right)^{\sigma} c_4 z^{\lambda+4} \cdots$$

Given that

$$f(z)^{\lambda} = z^{\lambda} + \lambda a_2 z^{\lambda+1} + \left(\lambda a_3 + \frac{\lambda(\lambda - 1)}{2} a_2^2\right) z^{\lambda+2} + \left(\lambda a_4 + \lambda(\lambda - 1) a_2 a_3 + \frac{\lambda(\lambda - 1)(\lambda - 2)}{6} a_2^3\right) z^{\lambda+3}$$

$$+ \left(\lambda a_5 + \lambda(\lambda - 1) a_2 a_4 + \frac{\lambda(\lambda - 1)}{2} a_3^2 + \frac{\lambda(\lambda - 1)(\lambda - 2)}{2} a_2^2 a_3 + \frac{\lambda(\lambda - 1)(\lambda - 2)(\lambda - 3)}{12} a_2^4\right) z^{\lambda+4} + \cdots$$

$$a_3 = \frac{\eta \lambda^{n-2} (\lambda + \gamma)^{\sigma} c_2}{(\lambda + 2)^n (\lambda + \gamma + 2)^{\sigma}} - \frac{(\lambda - 1)\eta^2 \lambda^{2(n-2)} (\lambda + \gamma)^{2\sigma}}{(\lambda + 1)^{2n} (\lambda + \gamma + 1)^{2\sigma}} \frac{c_1^2}{2}$$

By comparing the coefficient, we have

$$a_2 = \frac{\eta \lambda^{n-2}}{(\lambda+1)^n} \left(\frac{\lambda+\gamma}{\lambda+\gamma+1}\right)^{\sigma} c_1$$

By Lemma 2, we obtained the bound of a_2

$$a_{3} = \frac{\eta \lambda^{n-2} (\lambda + \gamma)^{\sigma}}{(\lambda + 2)^{n} (\lambda + \gamma + 2)^{\sigma}} \left[c_{2} - \frac{\eta \lambda^{n-2} (\lambda - 1)(\lambda + \gamma)^{\sigma} (\lambda + 2)^{n} (\lambda + \gamma + 2)^{\sigma}}{(\lambda + 1)^{2n} (\lambda + \gamma + 1)^{2\sigma}} \frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2} \right]$$

By Lemma 3 and with $\rho = \frac{\eta \lambda^{n-2} (\lambda-1)(\lambda+\gamma)^{\sigma} (\lambda+2)^n (\lambda+\gamma+2)^{\sigma}}{(\lambda+1)^{2n} (\lambda+\gamma+1)^{2\sigma}}$, we obtained the bound on the third coefficient of these function. By letting

$$h(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z} + i\frac{u}{\eta}$$

from the integral representation we have the equality attained by the extremal function given.

Theorem 11. Let $f \in B_{\sigma,\gamma}^n(\lambda)$. Then

$$|a_3 - \rho a_2^2| \le \frac{2\eta \lambda^{n-2} (\lambda + \gamma)^{\sigma}}{(\lambda + 2)^n (\lambda + \gamma + 2)^{\sigma}} \max\{1, |\mathbf{M_2}|\}$$

$$(33)$$

where
$$\mathbf{M_2} = \frac{(\lambda+1)^{2n}(\lambda+\gamma+1)^{2\sigma} + \eta(1+2\rho-\lambda)\lambda^{n-2}(\lambda+\gamma)^{\sigma}(\lambda+2)^{n}(\lambda+\gamma+2)^{\sigma}}{(\lambda+1)^{2n}(\lambda+\gamma+1)^{2\sigma}}$$

Proof. From the computation that

$$f(z)^{\lambda} = z^{\lambda} + \frac{\eta \lambda^{n-1}}{(\lambda+1)^n} \left(\frac{\lambda+\gamma}{\lambda+\gamma+1}\right)^{\sigma} c_1 z^{\lambda+1} + \frac{\eta \lambda^{n-1}}{(\lambda+2)^n} \left(\frac{\lambda+\gamma}{\lambda+\gamma+2}\right)^{\sigma} c_2 z^{\lambda+2} + \frac{\eta \lambda^{n-1}}{(\lambda+3)^n} \left(\frac{\lambda+\gamma}{\lambda+\gamma+3}\right)^{\sigma} c_3 z^{\lambda+3} + \cdots$$

and by comparing coefficient, then

$$a_2 = \frac{\eta \lambda^{n-2}}{(\lambda+1)^n} \left(\frac{\lambda+\gamma}{\lambda+\gamma+1}\right)^{\sigma} c_1 \tag{34}$$

and

$$a_3 = \frac{\eta \lambda^{n-2} (\lambda + \gamma)^{\sigma} c_2}{(\lambda + 2)^n (\lambda + \gamma + 2)^{\sigma}} + \frac{(1 - \lambda) \eta^2 \lambda^{2(n-2)} (\lambda + \gamma)^{2\sigma}}{(\lambda + 1)^{2n} (\lambda + \gamma + 1)^{2\sigma}} \frac{c_1^2}{2}$$
(35)

Hence

$$|a_{3} - \rho a_{2}^{2}| = \frac{\eta \lambda^{n-2} (\lambda + \gamma)^{\sigma}}{(\lambda + 2)^{n} (\lambda + \gamma + 2)^{\sigma}} c_{2} - \frac{(\lambda - 1 + 2\rho)(\lambda + 2)^{n} \eta \lambda^{n-2} (\lambda + \gamma)^{\sigma} (\lambda + \gamma + 2)^{\sigma}}{(\lambda + 1)^{2n} (\lambda + \gamma + 1)^{2\sigma}} \frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}$$
(36)

by lemma 3 we have the required inequality

References

- [1] Abdulhalim, S. (1992). On a class of analytic functions involving the Salagean differential operator. Tankang Journal of mathematics. 23(1), 51-58.
- [2] Babalola, K. O. (2016), New Insights into Bazilevic Maps, An. Univ. Oradea Fasc. Mat. 23 . 5-10.
- [3] Babalola, K. O. (2005). Some new results on a certain family of analytic functions Defined by the salagean Derivative. Unpublished Doctoral Thesis University of Ilorin.
- [4] Babalola, K. O. (2016), New generalizations of Bazilevic maps, J. Class. Anal. 8. 163-170.
- [5] Babalola, K.O.(2012), Subclasses of Analytic Functions defined by the Inverse of Certain Integral Operator, Analele Universitatis Oradea Fasc. Matematica, Tom XIX, Issue No. 1, 255-264.
- [6] Babalola, K. O. (2010), On n-starlike integral operators, Kragujevac Journal of Mathemat- ics, 34, 61-71.

- [7] Bazilevič, I. E. (1955). On a class of integrability by quadratures of the equation of Loewner-Kufarev. Mat. Sb. 37, 471 -476 (Russian)
- [8] Caratheodŏry, C. (1960), Theory of functions of a complex variable. II. Chelsea Publishing Co. New York
- [9] Goodman, A. W. (1983); *Univalent functions*. I. Mariner Publishing Co. Inc. Tampa, Florida.
- [10] Lin, L. J. and S. Owa (1998). Properties of the salagean operator. Georgian Mathematics Journal 5(4), 361-366
- [11] Opoola, T. O. (1994). On a new subclass of Univalent functions. mathematica (cluj) 36, 59(2),195-200.
- [12] Salagean, G. S. (1983). Subclasses of Univalent functions, lecture notes in maths, 1013, 362-372. Springer-verlag, Berlin, New York.
- [13] Schober, G. (1975), Univalent functions Selected Topics. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. 478 Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg and New York.

Abdulahi Adebayo Yusuf Department of Mathematics, Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta Ogun State, Nigeria. email: yusuf.abdulai4success@qmail.com.

email: yusufaa@funaab.edu.nq