

NOTES ON INTEGRAL MEANS INEQUALITIES

TADAYUKI SEKINE, SHIGEYOSHI OWA AND RIKUO YAMAKAWA

ABSTRACT. Applying subordination theorem of J. E. Littlewood in [1], and Lemma of S. S. Miller and P. T. Monanu in [2] to certain analytic functions and the Koebe function, we show an integral means inequality. Further, we obtain an integral means inequality for the first derivative.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 30C45.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of functions $f(z)$ of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (1)$$

that are analytic in the open unit disk $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$.

Denote by $k(z)$ the Koebe function

$$k(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2} \quad (z \in U). \quad (2)$$

Further we denote by $h(z)$ the analytic function in U defined by

$$h(z) = \frac{1}{1+z}. \quad (3)$$

In this paper, we discuss the integral means inequalities of $f(z)$ in \mathcal{A} and the Koebe function $k(z)$ given by (2), and $f'(z)$ ($f(x) \in \mathcal{A}$) and $h(z)$ of the form (3). Moreover we show an estimate of $f'(z)$.

We recall the concept of subordination between analytic functions. Given two functions $f(z)$ and $g(z)$, which are analytic in U , the function $f(z)$ is

said to be subordinate to $g(z)$ in U if there exists a function $w(z)$ analytic in U with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$, such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$. We denote this subordination by $f(z) \prec g(z)$. If $g(z)$ is univalent in U , $f(z) \prec g(z)$ if and only if $f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(U) \subset g(U)$.

We need the following subordination theorem of J. E. Littlewood.

Lemma A(Littlewood [1]) *If $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are analytic in U with $f(z) \prec g(z)$, then, for $\mu > 0$ and $z = re^{i\theta}$ ($0 < r < 1$)*

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f(z)|^\mu d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |g(z)|^\mu d\theta.$$

Applying the lemma of Littlewood above, H. Silverman [5] showed the integral means inequalities for univalent functions with negative coefficients. S. Owa and T. Sekine [3] proved integral means inequalities with coefficients inequalities for normalized analytic functions and polynomials(see also Sekine et al. [4]).

In addition we need the following Lemma of S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu.

Lemma A(S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu [2]) *Let $g(z) = g_n z^n + g_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots$ be analytic in U with $g(z) \neq 0$ and $n \geq 1$. If $z_0 = r_0 e^{i\theta_0}$ ($r_0 < 1$) and*

$$|g(z_0)| = \max_{|z| \leq |z_0|} |g(z)|$$

then

$$(i) \frac{z_0 g'(z_0)}{g(z_0)} = k$$

and

$$(ii) \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{z_0 g''(z_0)}{g'(z_0)} \right) + 1 \geq k,$$

where $k \geq n \geq 1$.

2. INTEGRAL MEANS INEQUALITIES FOR $f(z)$ AND $k(z)$

Theorem 1. *Let $f(z)$ be in \mathcal{A} and $k(z)$ be the Koebe function given by (2). If the function $f(z)$ satisfies*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \alpha \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} - \beta \left(1 + \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right\} > 0 \tag{4}$$

for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta \geq 0$, then, for $\mu > 0$ and $z = re^{i\theta}$ ($0 < r < 1$)

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^\mu d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |k(re^{i\theta})|^\mu d\theta. \quad (5)$$

Proof. By applying Lemma A, it suffices to show that

$$f(z) \prec \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}.$$

Let us define the function $w(z)$ by

$$f(z) = \frac{w(z)}{(1-w(z))^2} \quad (w(z) \neq 1). \quad (6)$$

Thus we have an analytic function $w(z)$ in U such that $w(0) = 0$. Further, we prove that the analytic function $w(z)$ satisfies $|w(z)| < 1$ ($z \in U$) for

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \alpha \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - \beta \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right\} \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \alpha \left(\frac{zw'(z)}{w(z)} + \frac{2zw'(z)}{1-w(z)} \right) - \beta \left(1 + \frac{zw''(z)}{w'(z)} + \frac{zw'(z)}{1+w(z)} + \frac{3zw'(z)}{1-w(z)} \right) \right\} \\ &> 0 \quad (z \in U). \end{aligned}$$

If there exists $z_0 \in U$ such that

$$\max_{|z| \leq |z_0|} |w(z)| = |w(z_0)| = 1,$$

then we have by Lemma B,

$$w(z_0) = e^{i\theta}, \quad \frac{z_0 w'(z_0)}{w(z_0)} = k \geq 1, \quad \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{z_0 w''(z_0)}{w'(z_0)} \right) + 1 \geq k.$$

For such a point $z_0 \in U$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \alpha \frac{z_0 f'(z_0)}{f(z_0)} - \beta \left(1 + \frac{z_0 f''(z_0)}{f'(z_0)} \right) \right\} \\
 &= \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \alpha \left(\frac{z_0 w'(z_0)}{w(z_0)} + \frac{2z_0 w'(z_0)}{1-w(z_0)} \right) - \beta \left(1 + \frac{z_0 w''(z_0)}{w'(z_0)} + \frac{z_0 w'(z_0)}{1+w(z_0)} + \frac{3z_0 w'(z_0)}{1-w(z_0)} \right) \right\} \\
 &= \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \alpha k + \alpha \frac{2kw(z_0)}{1-w(z_0)} - \beta - \frac{\beta z_0 w''(z_0)}{w'(z_0)} - \frac{\beta kw(z_0)}{1+w(z_0)} - \frac{3\beta kw(z_0)}{1-w(z_0)} \right\} \\
 &= \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \alpha k + (2\alpha k - 3\beta k) \frac{w(z_0)}{1-w(z_0)} - \beta - \frac{\beta z_0 w''(z_0)}{w'(z_0)} - \frac{\beta kw(z_0)}{1+w(z_0)} \right\} \\
 &\leq \alpha k + (2\alpha k - 3\beta k) \left(-\frac{1}{2} \right) - \beta + \beta(1-k) - \beta k \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \\
 &= 0 \quad (\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \beta \geq 0),
 \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the hypothesis (4) of the theorem. Therefore there is no $z_0 \in U$ such that $|w(z_0)| = 1$. This implies that $|w(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in U$. Thus we have that

$$f(z) \prec \frac{z}{(1-z)^2},$$

which shows that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^\mu d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |k(re^{i\theta})|^\mu d\theta.$$

This completes the proof.

Corollary 1. *Let the function $f(z)$ in \mathcal{A} and the Koebe function given by (2) satisfy the conditions in Theorem 1. Then, for $\mu > 0$ and $z = r^{i\theta}$ ($0 < r < 1$)*

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^\mu d\theta \leq 2\pi \left(\frac{r}{1+r^2} \right)^\mu \left\{ 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{2n-1} (j+\mu)}{(n!)^2} \left(\frac{r}{1+r^2} \right)^{2n} \right\}. \quad (7)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^\mu d\theta &\leq \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{re^{i\theta}}{(1-re^{i\theta})^2} \right|^\mu d\theta \\
 &= \left(\frac{r}{1+r^2} \right)^\mu \int_0^{2\pi} \left(1 - \frac{2r \cos \theta}{1+r^2} \right)^{-\mu} d\theta \\
 &= \left(\frac{r}{1+r^2} \right)^\mu \int_0^{2\pi} \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{-\mu}{n} \left(-\frac{2r \cos \theta}{1+r^2} \right)^n \right\} d\theta \\
 &= \left(\frac{r}{1+r^2} \right)^\mu \int_0^{2\pi} \left\{ 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \binom{-\mu}{n} (-1)^n \left(\frac{2r \cos \theta}{1+r^2} \right)^n \right\} d\theta \\
 &= \left(\frac{r}{1+r^2} \right)^\mu \left\{ 2\pi + \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \binom{-\mu}{n} (-1)^n \left(\frac{2r \cos \theta}{1+r^2} \right)^n d\theta \right\} \\
 &= \left(\frac{r}{1+r^2} \right)^\mu \left\{ 2\pi + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \binom{-\mu}{n} (-1)^n \left(\frac{2r}{1+r^2} \right)^n \int_0^{2\pi} \cos^n \theta d\theta \right\} \\
 &= \left(\frac{r}{1+r^2} \right)^\mu \left\{ 2\pi + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \binom{-\mu}{2n} (-1)^{2n} \left(\frac{2r}{1+r^2} \right)^{2n} \int_0^{2\pi} \cos^{2n} \theta d\theta \right\} \\
 &= \left(\frac{r}{1+r^2} \right)^\mu \left\{ 2\pi + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \binom{-\mu}{2n} \left(\frac{r}{1+r^2} \right)^{2n} \cdot 2^{2n} \cdot \frac{4(2n)!}{(2^n n!)^2} \cdot \frac{\pi}{2} \right\} \\
 &= 2\pi \left(\frac{r}{1+r^2} \right)^\mu \left\{ 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{2n-1} (\mu + j)}{(2n)!} \left(\frac{r}{1+r^2} \right)^{2n} \cdot \frac{(2n)!}{(n!)^2} \right\} \\
 &= 2\pi \left(\frac{r}{1+r^2} \right)^\mu \left\{ 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{2n-1} (\mu + j)}{(n!)^2} \left(\frac{r}{1+r^2} \right)^{2n} \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

3. INTEGRAL MEANS INEQUALITIES FOR THE FIRST DERIVATIVE

The proof for the first derivative is similar.

Theorem 2. Let $f(z)$ be in \mathcal{A} and $h(z)$ be the analytic function given by (3). If the function $f(z)$ satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \alpha f'(z) + \beta \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \gamma \frac{zf'''(z)}{f''(z)} \right\} > \frac{\alpha - \beta - 2\gamma}{2} \quad (8)$$

for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\beta + 4\gamma \geq 0$ and $\gamma \geq 0$, then, for $\mu > 0$ and $z = re^{i\theta}$ ($0 < r < 1$)

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f'(re^{i\theta})|^\mu d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |h(re^{i\theta})|^\mu d\theta. \quad (9)$$

Proof. By Lemma A, it suffices to show that

$$f'(z) \prec \frac{1}{1+z}.$$

Let us define the function $w(z)$ by

$$f'(z) = \frac{1}{1+w(z)} \quad (w(z) \neq 1). \quad (10)$$

Then we have an analytic function $w(z)$ in U such that $w(0) = 0$. Further, we prove that the analytic function $w(z)$ satisfies $|w(z)| < 1$ ($z \in U$) for

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \alpha f'(z) + \beta \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \gamma \frac{zf'''(z)}{f''(z)} \right\} \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \alpha \frac{1}{1+w(z)} - \beta \frac{zw'(z)}{1+w(z)} - \gamma \left(\frac{zw''(z)}{w'(z)} + \frac{2zw'(z)}{1+w(z)} \right) \right\} \\ &> \frac{\alpha - \beta - 2\gamma}{2} \quad (z \in U). \end{aligned}$$

If there exists $z_0 \in U$ such that

$$\max_{|z| \leq |z_0|} |w(z)| = |w(z_0)| = 1,$$

then we have by Lemma B,

$$w(z_0) = e^{i\theta}, \quad \frac{z_0 w'(z_0)}{w(z_0)} = k \geq 1, \quad \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{z_0 w''(z_0)}{w'(z_0)} \right) + 1 \geq k.$$

For such a point $z_0 \in U$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \alpha f'(z_0) + \beta \frac{z_0 f''(z_0)}{f'(z_0)} - \gamma \frac{z_0 f'''(z_0)}{f''(z_0)} \right\} \\
 &= \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \alpha \frac{1}{1+w(z_0)} - \beta \frac{z_0 w'(z_0)}{1+w(z_0)} - \gamma \left(\frac{z_0 w''(z_0)}{w'(z_0)} + \frac{2z_0 w'(z_0)}{1+w(z_0)} \right) \right\} \\
 &= \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\alpha}{1+w(z_0)} \right) - \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\beta k w(z_0)}{1+w(z_0)} \right) - \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\gamma z_0 w''(z_0)}{w'(z_0)} \right) - 2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\gamma k w(z_0)}{1+w(z_0)} \right) \\
 &= \frac{\alpha}{2} - \frac{\beta k}{2} - \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\gamma z_0 w''(z_0)}{w'(z_0)} \right) - \gamma k \\
 &\leq \frac{\alpha}{2} - \frac{\beta k}{2} + \gamma(1-k) - \gamma k \\
 &= \frac{\alpha}{2} - k \left(\frac{\beta}{2} + 2\gamma \right) + \gamma \\
 &\leq \frac{\alpha - \beta - 2\gamma}{2} \quad (\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \beta + 4\gamma \geq 0, \gamma \geq 0),
 \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the hypothesis (8) of the Theorem 2. Therefore there is no $z_0 \in U$ such that $|w(z_0)| = 1$. This implies that $|w(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in U$. Thus we have that

$$h(z) \prec \frac{1}{1+z},$$

which shows that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f'(re^{i\theta})|^\mu d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |h(re^{i\theta})|^\mu d\theta.$$

This completes the proof.

Corollary 2. *Let the function $f(z)$ in \mathcal{A} and the function $h(z)$ given by (3) satisfy the conditions in Theorem 2. Then, for $\mu > 0$ and $z = r^{i\theta}$ ($0 < r < 1$)*

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f'(re^{i\theta})|^\mu d\theta \leq \frac{2\pi}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{\mu}{2}}} \left\{ 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{2n-1} (\mu + 2j)}{2^{2n}(n!)^2} \left(\frac{r}{1+r^2} \right)^{2n} \right\}. \quad (11)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} |f'(re^{i\theta})|^\mu d\theta &\leq \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{1}{1+re^{i\theta}} \right|^\mu d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{\mu}{2}}} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(1 + \frac{2r \cos \theta}{1+r^2} \right)^{-\frac{\mu}{2}} d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{\mu}{2}}} \int_0^{2\pi} \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{\mu}{2}}{n} \left(\frac{2r \cos \theta}{1+r^2} \right)^n \right\} d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{\mu}{2}}} \int_0^{2\pi} \left\{ 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{\mu}{2}}{n} \left(\frac{2r \cos \theta}{1+r^2} \right)^n \right\} d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{\mu}{2}}} \left\{ 2\pi + \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{\mu}{2}}{n} \left(\frac{2r \cos \theta}{1+r^2} \right)^n d\theta \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{\mu}{2}}} \left\{ 2\pi + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{\mu}{2}}{n} \left(\frac{2r}{1+r^2} \right)^n \int_0^{2\pi} \cos^n \theta d\theta \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{\mu}{2}}} \left\{ 2\pi + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{\mu}{2}}{2n} \left(\frac{2r}{1+r^2} \right)^{2n} \int_0^{2\pi} \cos^{2n} \theta d\theta \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{\mu}{2}}} \left\{ 2\pi + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{\mu}{2}}{2n} \left(\frac{r}{1+r^2} \right)^{2n} \cdot 2^{2n} \cdot \frac{4(2n)!}{(2^n n!)^2} \cdot \frac{\pi}{2} \right\} \\ &= \frac{2\pi}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{\mu}{2}}} \left\{ 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{2n-1} (\mu + 2j)}{2^{2n}(2n)!} \left(\frac{r}{1+r^2} \right)^{2n} \cdot \frac{(2n)!}{(n!)^2} \right\} \\ &= \frac{2\pi}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{\mu}{2}}} \left\{ 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{2n-1} (\mu + 2j)}{2^{2n}(n!)^2} \left(\frac{r}{1+r^2} \right)^{2n} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Putting $\mu = 1$ in Corollary 2, we have the following.

Corollary 3. *Let the function $f(z)$ in \mathcal{A} and the function $h(z)$ given by (3) satisfy the conditions in Theorem 2. Then, for $0 < r < 1$*

$$|f(z)| \leq \frac{2\pi}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left\{ 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{2n-1} (1+2j)}{2^{2n} (n!)^2} \left(\frac{r}{1+r^2} \right)^{2n} \right\}.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] J. E. Littlewood, *On inequalities in the theory of functions*, Proc. London Math. Soc., (2) **23** (1925), 481-519.
- [2] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, *Second order differential inequalities in the complex plane*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **65**(1978), 289-305.
- [3] S. Owa and T. Sekine, *Integral means for analytic functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **304**(2005), 772-782.
- [4] T. Sekine, S. Owa and R. Yamakawa, *Integral means of certain analytic functions*, General Math., **13**(2005),99-108.
- [5] H. Silverman, *Integral means for univalent functions with negative coefficients*, Houston J. Math., **23**(1997),169-174.

Authors:

Tadayuki Sekine
Research Unit of Mathematics, College of Pharmacy, Nihon University
7-1 Narashinodai 7-chome, Funabashi-shi, Chiba
274-8555, Japan
e-mail: tsekine@pha.nihon-u.ac.jp

Shigeyoshi Owa
Department of Mathematics, Kinki University
Higashi-Osaka, Osaka 577-8502, Japan
e-mail: owa@math.kindai.ac.jp

Rikuo Yamakawa
Shibaura Institute of Technology,
Minuma, Saitama-shi, Saitama 337-8570, Japan
e-mail: yamakawa@sci.shibaura-it.ac.jp