

**ABOUT SOME CONVEX FUNCTIONS WITH NEGATIVE
COEFFICIENTS**

MUGUR ACU, IRINA DORCA AND DANIEL BREAZ

ABSTRACT. Our subject of study in this paper is represented by a class of convex functions with negative coefficients defined by using a modified Sălăgean operator.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45.

Keywords and phrases: differential operators, convex, starlike, regular, subordination.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{H}(U)$ to be the set of functions which are regular in the unit disc U ,

$$A = \{f \in \mathcal{H}(U) : f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0\}$$

and $S = \{f \in A : f \text{ is univalent in } U\}$.

In [7] the subfamily T of S consisting of functions f of the form

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^j, \quad a_j \geq 0, j = 2, 3, \dots, z \in U \quad (1)$$

was introduced.

The purpose of this paper is to define a class of convex functions with negative coefficients and to give some properties of its by using a modified Sălăgean operator.

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Let D^n be the Sălăgean differential operator (see [6]) $D^n : A \rightarrow A$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} D^0 f(z) &= f(z) \\ D^1 f(z) &= Df(z) = zf'(z) \\ D^n f(z) &= D(D^{n-1}f(z)) \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 2.1. If $f \in T$, $f(z) = z - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^j$, $a_j \geq 0$, $j = 2, 3, \dots$, $z \in U$ then $D^n f(z) = z - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j^n a_j z^j$.

DEFINITION 2.1. [1] Let $\beta, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $\beta \geq 0$, $\lambda \geq 0$ and $f(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^j$.

We denote by D_λ^β the linear operator defined by

$$\begin{aligned} D_\lambda^\beta : A &\rightarrow A, \\ D_\lambda^\beta f(z) &= z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} (1 + (j-1)\lambda)^\beta a_j z^j. \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 2.1. [6] If $f(z) = z - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^j$, $a_j \geq 0$, $j = 2, 3, \dots$, $z \in U$ then the next assertions are equivalent:

- (i) $\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j a_j \leq 1$
- (ii) $f \in T$
- (iii) $f \in T^*$, where $T^* = T \cap S^*$ and S^* is the well-known class of starlike functions.

For $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote

$$S_n^c(\alpha) = \left\{ f \in A : \operatorname{Re} \frac{D^{n+2}f(z)}{D^{n+1}f(z)} > \alpha, z \in U \right\}$$

the set of n -convex functions of order α .

DEFINITION 2.2 [5] Let $I_c : A \rightarrow A$ be the integral operator defined by $f = I_c(F)$, where $c \in (-1, \infty)$, $F \in A$ and

$$f(z) = \frac{c+1}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} F(t) dt. \quad (2)$$

We note if $F \in A$ is a function of the form (1), then

$$f(z) = I_c F(z) = z - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{c+1}{c+j} a_j z^j. \quad (3)$$

We denote by $f * g$ the modified Hadamard product of two functions $f(z), g(z) \in T$, $f(z) = z - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^j$, ($a_j \geq 0, j = 2, 3, \dots$) and $g(z) = z - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} b_j z^j$, ($b_j \geq 0, j=2, 3, \dots$), is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = z - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j b_j z^j.$$

An analytic function f is set to be subordinate to an analytic function g if $f(z) = g(w(z))$, $z \in U$, for some analytic function w with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1 (z \in U)$. We denote this subordination by $f \prec g$.

THEOREM 2.2. [4] If f and g are analytic in U with $f \prec g$, then for $\mu > 0$ and $z = re^{i\theta}$ ($0 < r < 1$), we have

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f(z)|^\mu d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |g(z)|^\mu d\theta.$$

DEFINITION 2.3. [2] We consider the integral operator $I_{c+\delta} : A \rightarrow A$, $0 < u \leq 1, 1 \leq \delta < \infty, 0 < c < \infty$, defined by

$$f(z) = I_{c+\delta}(F(z)) = (c+\delta) \int_0^1 u^{c+\delta-2} F(uz) du. \quad (4)$$

REMARK 2.2. For $F(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^j$. From (4) we obtain

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{c + \delta}{c + j + \delta - 1} a_j z^j.$$

Also, we notice that $0 < \frac{c + \delta}{c + j + \delta - 1} < 1$, where $0 < c < \infty$, $j \geq 2$, $1 \leq \delta < \infty$.

REMARK 2.3. It is easy to prove that for $F(z) \in T$ and $f(z) = I_{c+\delta}(F(z))$, we have $f(z) \in T$, where $I_{c+\delta}$ is the integral operator defined by (4).

3. MAIN RESULTS

DEFINITION 3.1. Let $f \in T$, $f(z) = z - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^j$, $a_j \geq 0$, $j = 2, 3, \dots$, $z \in U$. We say that f is in the class $T^c L_{\beta}(\alpha)$ if:

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{D_{\lambda}^{\beta+2} f(z)}{D_{\lambda}^{\beta+1} f(z)} > \alpha, \quad \alpha \in [0, 1), \quad \lambda \geq 0, \quad \beta \geq 0, \quad z \in U.$$

THEOREM 3.1. Let $\alpha \in [0, 1)$, $\lambda \geq 0$ and $\beta \geq 0$. The function $f \in T$ of the form (1) is in the class $T^c L_{\beta}(\alpha)$ iff

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} [(1 + (j-1)\lambda)^{\beta+1} (1 + (j-1)\lambda - \alpha)] a_j < 1 - \alpha. \quad (5)$$

Proof. Let $f \in T^c L_{\beta}(\alpha)$, with $\alpha \in [0, 1)$, $\lambda \geq 0$ and $\beta \geq 0$. We have

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{D_{\lambda}^{\beta+2} f(z)}{D_{\lambda}^{\beta+1} f(z)} > \alpha.$$

If we take $z \in [0, 1)$, $\beta \geq 0$, $\lambda \geq 0$, we have (see Definition 2.1):

$$\frac{1 - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} (1 + (j-1)\lambda)^{\beta+2} a_j z^{j-1}}{1 - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} (1 + (j-1)\lambda)^{\beta+1} a_j z^{j-1}} > \alpha. \quad (6)$$

From (6) we obtain:

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} (1 + (j-1)\lambda)^{\beta+1} (1 + (j-1)\lambda - \alpha) a_j z^{j-1} < 1 - \alpha.$$

Letting $z \rightarrow 1^-$ along the real axis we have:

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} (1 + (j-1)\lambda)^{\beta+1} (1 + (j-1)\lambda - \alpha) a_j < 1 - \alpha.$$

Conversely, let take $f \in T$ for which the relation (5) hold.

The condition $Re \frac{D_{\lambda}^{\beta+2} f(z)}{D_{\lambda}^{\beta+1} f(z)} > \alpha$ is equivalent with

$$\alpha - Re \left(\frac{D_{\lambda}^{\beta+2} f(z)}{D_{\lambda}^{\beta+1} f(z)} - 1 \right) < 1. \quad (7)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha - Re \left(\frac{D_{\lambda}^{\beta+2} f(z)}{D_{\lambda}^{\beta+1} f(z)} - 1 \right) &\leq \alpha + \left| \frac{D_{\lambda}^{\beta+2} f(z)}{D_{\lambda}^{\beta+1} f(z)} - 1 \right| \\ &= \alpha + \left| \frac{\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} (1 + (j-1)\lambda)^{\beta+1} a_j [(j-1)\lambda] z^{j-1}}{1 - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^{\beta+1} a_j z^{j-1}} \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \leq \alpha + \frac{\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^{\beta+1} a_j |1 - j|\lambda|z|^{j-1}}{1 - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^{\beta+1} a_j |z|^{j-1}} \\
 & = \alpha + \frac{\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^{\beta+1} a_j (j-1)\lambda |z|^{j-1}}{1 - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^{\beta+1} a_j |z|^{j-1}} \\
 & < \alpha + \frac{\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^{\beta+1} a_j (j-1)\lambda}{1 - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^{\beta+1} a_j} < 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\alpha + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^{\beta+1} a_j [(j-1)\lambda + 1 - \alpha] < 1,$$

which is the condition (5).

REMARK 3.1. Using the condition (5) it is easy to prove that $T^c L_{\beta+1}(\alpha) \subseteq T^c L_{\beta}(\alpha)$, where $\beta \geq 0$, $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ and $\lambda \geq 0$.

THEOREM 3.2. If $f(z) = z - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^j \in T^c L_{\beta}(\alpha)$, ($a_j \geq 0$, $j = 2, 3, \dots$),
 $g(z) = z - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} b_j z^j \in T^c L_{\beta}(\alpha)$, ($b_j \geq 0$, $j = 2, 3, \dots$), $\alpha \in [0, 1)$, $\lambda \geq 0$, $\beta \geq 0$,
then $f(z) * g(z) \in T^c L_{\beta}(\alpha)$.

Proof. We have

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^{\beta+1} [(j-1)\lambda + 1 - \alpha] a_j < 1 - \alpha$$

and

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^{\beta+1} [(j-1)\lambda + 1 - \alpha] b_j < 1 - \alpha.$$

We know from the definition of the modified convolution product that $f(z) * g(z) = z - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j b_j z^j$. From $g(z) \in T$, by using Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j b_j \leq 1. \text{ We notice that } b_j < 1, \quad j = 2, 3, \dots.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^{\beta+1} [(j-1)\lambda + 1 - \alpha] a_j b_j &< \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^{\beta+1} [(j-1)\lambda + 1 - \alpha] a_j \\ &< 1 - \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

This means that $f(z) * g(z) \in T^c L_{\beta}(\alpha)$, $\beta \geq 0$, $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ and $\lambda \geq 0$.

THEOREM 3.3. *If $F(z) = z - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^j \in T^c L_{\beta}(\alpha)$, then $f(z) = I_c F(z) \in T^c L_{\beta}(\alpha)$, where I_c is the integral operator defined by (2).*

Proof. We have $f(z) = z - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} b_j z^j$, where $b_j = \frac{c+1}{c+j} a_j$, $c \in (-1, \infty)$, $j=2,3,\dots$.

Thus $b_j < a_j$, $j=2,3 \dots$ and using the condition (5) for $F(z)$ we obtain

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^{\beta+1} [(j-1)\lambda + 1 - \alpha] b_j < \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^{\beta+1} [(j-1)\lambda + 1 - \alpha] a_j < 1 - \alpha.$$

This completes our proof.

THEOREM 3.4. *Let $F(z)$ be in the class $T^c L_{\beta}(\alpha)$, $F(z) = z - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^j$, $a_j \geq 0$, $j \geq 2$. Then $f(z) = I_{c+\delta}(F(z)) \in T^c L_{\beta}(\alpha)$, where $I_{c+\delta}$ is the integral operator defined by (4).*

Proof. From $F(z) \in T^c L_{\beta}(\alpha)$ we have (see Theorem 3.1)

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} [(1 + (j-1)\lambda)^{\beta+1} (1 + (j-1)\lambda - \alpha)] a_j < 1 - \alpha$$

where $\lambda \geq 0$, $\beta \geq 0$, $0 < c < \infty$ and $1 \leq \delta < \infty$. Let $f(z) = z - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} b_j z^j$, where (see Remark 3.2)

$$b_j = \frac{c + \delta}{c + \delta + j - 1} a_j \geq 0 \text{ and } 0 < \frac{c + \delta}{c + \delta + j - 1} < 1.$$

From Remark 3.3 we obtain $f(z) \in T$. We have

$$[(1 + (j - 1)\lambda)^{\beta+1}(1 + (j - 1)\lambda - \alpha)]b_j < [(1 + (j - 1)\lambda)^{\beta+1}(1 + (j - 1)\lambda - \alpha)]a_j.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} [(1 + (j - 1)\lambda)^{\beta+1}(1 + (j - 1)\lambda - \alpha)]b_j &\leq \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} [(1 + (j - 1)\lambda)^{\beta+1}(1 + (j - 1)\lambda - \alpha)]a_j \\ &< 1 - \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

This completes our proof.

THEOREM 3.5. *Let $f_1(z) = z$ and*

$$f_j(z) = z - \frac{1 - \alpha}{(1 + (j - 1)\lambda)^{\beta+1}(1 - \alpha + (j - 1)\lambda)} z^j, \quad j = 2, 3, \dots$$

Then $f \in T^c L_{\beta}(\alpha)$ iff it can be expressed in the form $f(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j f_j(z)$,

where $\lambda_j \geq 0$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j = 1$.

Proof. Let $f(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j f_j(z)$, $\lambda_j \geq 0$, $j=1, 2, \dots$, with $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j = 1$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j f_j(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \left(z - \frac{1 - \alpha}{[1 + (j - 1)\lambda]^{\beta+1}[1 - \alpha + (j - 1)\lambda]} z^j \right) \\ &= z - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \lambda_j \frac{1 - \alpha}{[1 + (j - 1)\lambda]^{\beta+1}[1 - \alpha + (j - 1)\lambda]} z^j. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^{\beta+1} [1 - \alpha + (j-1)\lambda] \lambda_j \frac{1 - \alpha}{[1 + (j-1)\lambda]^{\beta+1} [1 - \alpha + (j-1)\lambda]} \\ &= (1 - \alpha) \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \lambda_j = (1 - \alpha) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j - \lambda_1 \right) < 1 - \alpha \end{aligned}$$

which is the condition (5) for $f(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j f_j(z)$. Thus $f(z) \in T^c L_{\beta}(\alpha)$.

Conversely, we suppose that $f(z) \in T^c L_{\beta}(\alpha)$, $f(z) = z - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^j$, $a_j \geq 0$ and we take $\lambda_j = \frac{[1 + (j-1)\lambda]^{\beta+1} [1 - \alpha + (j-1)\lambda]}{1 - \alpha} a_j \geq 0$, $j=2,3, \dots$, with

$$\lambda_1 = 1 - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \lambda_j.$$

Using the condition (5), we obtain

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \lambda_j = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} [1 + (j-1)\lambda]^{\beta+1} [1 - \alpha + (j-1)\lambda] a_j < \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} (1 - \alpha) = 1.$$

Then $f(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j f_j$, where $\lambda_j \geq 0$, $j=1,2, \dots$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j = 1$.

This completes our proof.

COROLARY 3.1. *The extreme points of $T^c L_{\beta}(\alpha)$ are $f_1(z) = z$ and*

$$f_j(z) = z - \frac{1 - \alpha}{(1 + (j-1)\lambda)^{\beta+1} (1 - \alpha + (j-1)\lambda)} z^j, \quad j = 2, 3, \dots$$

THEOREM 3.6. *Let $f(z) \in T^c L_{\beta}(\alpha)$, $\beta \geq 0$, $\lambda \geq 0$, $\alpha \in [0, 1)$, $\mu > 0$ and $f_j(z) = z - \frac{1 - \alpha}{[(1 + (j-1)\lambda)^{\beta+1} (1 + (j-1)\lambda - \alpha)]} z^j$, $j=2,3, \dots$. Then for $z = re^{i\theta}$ ($0 < r < 1$), we have*

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f(z)|^{\mu} d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |f_j(re^{i\theta})|^{\mu} d\theta.$$

Proof We have to show that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left| 1 - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^{j-1} \right|^{\mu} d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} \left| 1 - \frac{1-\alpha}{[(1+(j-1)\lambda)^{\beta+1}(1+(j-1)\lambda-\alpha)]} z^{j-1} \right|^{\mu} d\theta.$$

From Theorem 2.3 we deduce that it is sufficient to prove that

$$1 - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^{j-1} \prec 1 - \frac{1-\alpha}{[(1+(j-1)\lambda)^{\beta+1}(1+(j-1)\lambda-\alpha)]} z^{j-1}.$$

Considering

$$1 - \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^{j-1} = 1 - \frac{1-\alpha}{[(1+(j-1)\lambda)^{\beta+1}(1+(j-1)\lambda-\alpha)]} w(z)^{j-1}$$

we find that

$$\{w(z)\}^{j-1} = \frac{[(1+(j-1)\lambda)^{\beta+1}(1+(j-1)\lambda-\alpha)]}{1-\alpha} \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^{j-1}$$

which readily yields $w(0) = 0$.

By using the condition (5), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} 1-\alpha &> [(1+\lambda)^{\beta+1}(1+\lambda-\alpha)]a_2 + [(1+2\lambda)^{\beta+1}(1+2\lambda-\alpha)]a_3 + \dots \\ &+ [(1+(j-1)\lambda)^{\beta+1}(1+(j-1)\lambda-\alpha)]a_j + [(1+j\lambda)^{\beta+1}(1+j\lambda-\alpha)]a_{j+1} + \dots \\ &\geq \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} [(1+(j-1)\lambda)^{\beta+1}(1+(j-1)\lambda-\alpha)]a_i \\ &= [(1+(j-1)\lambda)^{\beta+1}(1+(j-1)\lambda-\alpha)] \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} a_i. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j < \frac{1-\alpha}{[(1+(j-1)\lambda)^{\beta+1}(1+(j-1)\lambda-\alpha)]}$$

and

$$|\{w(z)\}^{j-1}| = \left| \frac{[(1+(j-1)\lambda)^{\beta+1}(1+(j-1)\lambda-\alpha)]}{1-\alpha} \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^{j-1} \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{[(1 + (j - 1)\lambda)^{\beta+1}(1 + (j - 1)\lambda - \alpha)]}{1 - \alpha} \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j |z|^{j-1} < |z| < 1.$$

This completes our theorem's proof.

REMARK 3.2. *We notice that in the particular case, obtained for $\lambda = 1$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$, we find similarly results for the class $T_n^c(\alpha)$ of the n -convex functions of order α with negative coefficients.*

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Acu, S. Owa, *Note on a class of starlike functions*, Proceeding Of the International Short Joint Work on Study on Calculus Operators in Univalent Function Theory - Kyoto 2006, 1-10.
- [2] M. Acu, I. Dorca, S. Owa, *On some starlike functions with negative coefficients* (to appear).
- [4] D. Blezu, *On the n -uniform close to convex functions with respect to a convex domain*, General Mathematics, Vol. 9, Nr. 3 - 4, 3 - 14.
- [5] J.E. Littlewood, *On inequalities in the theory of functions*, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 23(1995), 481-519.
- [6] G. S. Sălăgean, *On some classes of univalent functions*, Seminar of geometric function theory, Cluj - Napoca, 1983.
- [7] G. S. Sălăgean, *Geometria Planului Complex*, Ed. Promedia Plus, Cluj - Napoca, 1999.
- [8] H. Silverman, *Univalent functions with negative coefficients*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 5(1975), 109-116.

Authors:

Mugur Acu
"Lucian Blaga" University of Sibiu,
Department of Mathematics,
Str. Dr. I. Rațiu, Nr. 5-7, 550012 - Sibiu, Romania.
email: acu_mugur@yahoo.com

Irina Dorca
"Lucian Blaga" University of Sibiu,
Department of Mathematics,
Str. Dr. I. Rațiu, Nr. 5-7, 550012 - Sibiu, Romania.
email: ira_dorca@yahoo.com

Daniel Breaz
"1 Decembrie 1918" University of Alba Iulia,
Department of Mathematics
Alba Iulia, Romania.
email: dbreaz@uab.ro