

**ON SOME LINEAR  $D$ -CONNECTIONS ON THE TOTAL  
SPACE  $E$  OF A VECTOR BUNDLE  $\xi = (E, \pi, M)$**

ADRIAN LUPU

ABSTRACT. Using the theory introduced by R. Miron and M. Anastasiei [2] and some results from the theory given by P. Stavre [4], [5], [6], we will obtain in this paper the results from section 2. Based on [4]-[6], we will obtain other results in a future paper.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 53C60.

*Keywords and phrases:* linear  $d$ -connections on the total space of a vector bundle,  $D$  operators,  $w$  conjugations.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let us consider  $\xi = (E, \pi, M)$  a vector bundle with the base space  $M_n = (M, [A], \mathbb{R}^n)$  a  $C^\infty$  differentiable,  $n$ -dimensional, paracompact manifold, with the  $m$ -dimensional fiber type. We obtain the total space  $E$ , with the structure  $E_{n+m} = (E, [\mathcal{A}], \mathbb{R}^{n+m})$  of  $C^\infty$ -differentiable,  $(n + m)$ -dimensional, paracompact manifold ([2]).

Let us consider an almost symplectic structure  $w$ , on  $E$  whose restriction to the vertical subspace is nondegenerate. It results that  $(n + m)$  must be an even number and that  $m$  must also be an even number. Therefore  $n$  is an even number. In these conditions, there is a nonlinear connection,  $N_{(w)}$ , given by:

$$w(hY, vZ) = 0, \quad X, Z \in \mathcal{X}(E). \quad (1)$$

It results the decomposition:

$$w = hw + vw, \quad (2)$$

where:

$$(hw)(X, Z) = w(hX, hZ), \quad (vw)(X, Z) = w(vX, vZ), \quad X, Z \in \mathcal{X}(E)$$

and  $h, v$  - the horizontal and vertical projectors associated to  $\overset{(w)}{N}$ . In a similar way, if we have  $N$  we will have an horizontal distribution  $H : u \in E \rightarrow H_u E$  such that  $T_u E = \overset{(w)}{H_u} E \oplus V_u E$ . In the followings we will use the notions and the notations from [2].

Since  $E_{n+m}$  is  $C^\infty$ -differentiable and paracompact it results that there are linear connections,  $\{D\}$ , on  $E$ . The linear connections  $D$ , on  $E$ , which have the remarkable geometric property that regarding parallel transport, preserve the horizontal distribution  $H$  and the vertical one,  $V$ , have an important role. This property is important for analytical mechanics and theoretical physics. Such connections  $D$ , are called linear  $d$ -connections (or remarkable connections). It results:

PROPOSITION 1. [2] *A linear connection  $D$ , on  $E$ , for which is fixed a nonlinear connection  $N$ , of  $h$  and  $v$  projectors is a linear  $d$ -connection iff:*

$$hD_X vY = 0, \quad vD_X hY = 0. \quad (3)$$

In the followings we will consider  $N = \overset{(w)}{N}$ , without notice that. Into a local base, adapted to  $N$ :  $\left\{ \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a} \right\}$  ( $i = \overline{1, n}, a = \overline{1, m}$ ) we can write:

$$D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta x^k}}^h \frac{\delta}{\delta x^j} = \Gamma_{jk}^i(x, y) \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}, \quad D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta x^k}}^h \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a} = \Gamma_{n+a \ k}^{n+b}(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^b} \quad (4)$$

$$D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^b}}^v \frac{\delta}{\delta x^j} = \Gamma_{jn+b}^i \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}, \quad D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^b}}^u \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a} = \Gamma_{n+a \ n+b}^{n+c}(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^c}, \quad (5)$$

where  $(\Gamma_{jk}^i, \Gamma_{n+a \ k}^{n+b}) = D^h$  are the local coefficients of the  $h$ -covariant derivative and  $(\Gamma_{jn+b}^i, \Gamma_{n+a \ n+b}^{n+c}) = D^v$  are the local coefficients of the  $v$ -covariant derivative ( $i, j, k = \overline{1, n}, a, b, c = \overline{1, m}$ ).

Now we can write the  $d$ -tensor field of torsion, which characterize the torsion  $T$  of a linear  $d$ -connection and the  $d$ -tensor field of curvature, which characterize the curvature tensor of one linear  $d$ -connection [2].

1. SPECIAL OPERATORS  $\overset{(12)}{D} w, \overset{(21)}{D} w$

Let us consider  $\overset{(1)}{D}$ , a  $d$ -linear connection on  $E$ , given in the adapter base to  $N = \overset{(w)}{N}$ , by the local coefficients:

$$\overset{(1)}{D}^h = (\overset{(1)}{\Gamma}_{jk}^i, \overset{(1)}{\Gamma}_{n+a k}^{n+c}), \quad \overset{(1)}{D}^v = (\overset{(1)}{\Gamma}_{j n+b}^i, \overset{(1)}{\Gamma}_{n+a n+b}^{n+c})$$

$\overset{(2)}{D}$ , a linear  $d$ -connection, given by the local coefficients:

$$\overset{(2)}{D}^h = (\overset{(2)}{\Gamma}_{jk}^i, \overset{(2)}{\Gamma}_{n+a k}^{n+c}), \quad \overset{(2)}{D}^v = (\overset{(2)}{\Gamma}_{j n+b}^i, \overset{(2)}{\Gamma}_{n+c n+b}^{n+a})$$

given by:

$$\overset{(2)}{\Gamma}_{jk}^i = \overset{(1)}{\Gamma}_{jk}^i + w^{ir} \overset{(1)}{D}_k^h w_{rj}, \quad \overset{(2)}{\Gamma}_{n+a k}^{n+c} = \overset{(1)}{\Gamma}_{n+a k}^{n+c} + w^{n+c n+b} \overset{(1)}{D}_k^h w_{n+b n+a} \quad (1)$$

$$\overset{(2)}{\Gamma}_{j n+b}^i = \overset{(1)}{\Gamma}_{j n+b}^i + w^{ir} \overset{(1)}{D}_{n+b}^v w_{rj}, \quad (2)$$

$$\overset{(2)}{\Gamma}_{n+a n+b}^{n+c} = \overset{(1)}{\Gamma}_{n+a n+b}^{n+c} + w^{n+c n+d} \overset{(1)}{D}_{n+b}^v w_{n+d n+a}$$

where:

$$w_{ir} = w \left( \frac{\delta}{\delta x^r}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} \right), \quad w_{n+a n+b} = w \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y^b}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a} \right) \quad (3)$$

$$w_{r n+b} = w \left( \frac{\delta}{\delta x^r}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^b} \right) = 0, \quad w^{\alpha\beta} w_{\beta\gamma} = \delta_\gamma^\alpha. \quad (4)$$

We have:

$$w = \frac{1}{2} w_{ik} dx^i \wedge dx^k + \frac{1}{2} w_{n+a n+b} \delta y^a \wedge \delta y^b \quad (5)$$

where  $(dx^i, \delta y^a)$  is a local base, dual to the local adapted base  $\left( \frac{\delta}{\delta x^r}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^b} \right)$ .

Let us consider:

$$\overset{(21)}{\tau}_{jk}^i \stackrel{def}{=} \overset{(2)}{\Gamma}_{jk}^i - \overset{(1)}{\Gamma}_{jk}^i, \quad \overset{(21)}{\tau}_{n+a k}^{n+c} \stackrel{def}{=} \overset{(2)}{\Gamma}_{n+a k}^{n+c} - \overset{(1)}{\Gamma}_{n+a k}^{n+c} \quad (6)$$

$$\tau_{j \ n+b}^{(21)i} \stackrel{def}{=} \Gamma_{j \ n+b}^{(2)i} - \Gamma_{j \ n+b}^{(1)i}, \quad \tau_{n+a \ n+b}^{(21)n+c} \stackrel{def}{=} \Gamma_{n+a \ n+b}^{(2)n+c} - \Gamma_{n+a \ n+b}^{(1)n+c}. \quad (7)$$

From (1), (2), (6), (7) it results:

$$0 = D_k^{(1)h} w_{jl} - \tau_{jk}^{(21)r} w_{rl} \quad (8)$$

$$0 = D_k^{(1)h} w_{n+a \ n+b} - \tau_{n+a \ k}^{(21)n+c} w_{n+c \ n+b} \quad (9)$$

$$0 = D_{n+c}^{(1)v} w_{jl} - \tau_{j \ n+c}^{(21)r} w_{rl} \quad (10)$$

$$0 = D_{n+c}^{(1)v} w_{n+a \ n+b} - \tau_{n+a \ n+c}^{(21)n+d} w_{n+d \ n+b}. \quad (11)$$

It results:

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Let us consider an almost symplectic structure  $w$  on  $E$ , and  $D^{(1)}$  a linear  $d$ -connection with its local coefficients  $D^h, D^v$ . Then (1), (2) are equivalent with (8)-(11).*

Let's give an interpretation for (8)-(11). In [6] P. Stavre has introduced the operators:  $D^{(21)} w, D^{(12)} w$  by:

$$(D^{(12)}_X w)(Y, Z) = (D^{(1)}_X w)(Y, Z) - w(Y, \tau^{(21)}(X, Z)) \quad (12)$$

$$(D^{(21)}_X w)(Y, Z) = (D^{(2)}_X w)(Y, Z) - w(Y, \tau^{(12)}(X, Z)) \quad (13)$$

and has studied their general properties, where:

$$\tau^{(21)}(X, Z) = D^{(2)}_X Z - D^{(1)}_X Z, \quad \tau^{(12)}(X, Z) = D^{(1)}_X Z - D^{(2)}_X Z. \quad (14)$$

In the general case we have:

$$(D^{(12)}_X w)(Y, Z) \neq (D^{(12)}_X w)(Z, Y), \quad (15)$$

$$(D^{(12)}_X w)(Y, Z) \neq -(D^{(12)}_X w)(Z, Y)$$

$$D^{(12)}_X w \neq D^{(21)}_X w. \quad (16)$$

The notion of almost symplectic conjugation of two linear connections  $\overset{(1)}{D}$ ,  $\overset{(2)}{D}$  is also introduced in [6]. It has been proved that this is equivalent with the relation:

$$\overset{(12)}{(D_X w)}(Y, Z) = -2\beta(X)w(Y, Z) \quad (17)$$

where  $\beta$  is a certain 1-form. An ample theory is obtained starting from here. In this case we write  $\overset{(2)}{D} \overset{w}{\sim} \overset{(1)}{D}$ .

Taking into account [5] we shall use the  $w$ -conjugation theory for two linear  $d$ -connections  $\overset{(1)}{D}$ ,  $\overset{(2)}{D}$  on the total space  $E$ , equipped with an almost symplectic structure  $w$ .

**DEFINITION 1.** If  $\overset{(12)}{D_X w} = 0$  then we will say that  $\overset{(1)}{D}$  and  $\overset{(2)}{D}$  are  $(w)$ -absolute conjugated. We shall write:  $\overset{(2)}{D} \overset{w}{\underset{abs}{\sim}} \overset{(1)}{D}$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.** Let us consider  $\overset{(1)}{D}$ ,  $\overset{(2)}{D}$  two linear  $d$ -connection on  $E$ , with respect to  $N = N$ . Then the relation  $\overset{(2)}{D} \overset{w}{\underset{abs}{\sim}} \overset{(1)}{D}$  is characterized by:

$$\overset{(12)}{D_X^h} h w = 0, \quad \overset{(12)}{D_X^h} v w = 0 \quad (18)$$

$$\overset{(12)}{D_X^v} h w = 0, \quad \overset{(12)}{D_X^v} v w = 0. \quad (19)$$

*Proof.* From (1), (2) section 1 and from the definition of  $\overset{(12)}{D} w$ , since  $\overset{(1)}{D}$ ,  $\overset{(2)}{D}$  are linear  $d$ -connections, it results that  $\overset{(12)}{D} w = 0$  is characterized only by (18), (19).

From Proposition 2 and from (1), (2) section 2 it results:

**PROPOSITION 3.** A  $d$ -linear connection  $\overset{(2)}{D}$  such that  $\overset{(2)}{D} \overset{w}{\underset{abs}{\sim}} \overset{(1)}{D}$  is well-defined by (1), (2) and conversely.

A characterization of a  $d$ -linear connection  $\overset{(2)}{D}$ , defined by (1), (2), is given in that way:

PROPOSITION 4. The relation  $D \stackrel{(2)}{\underset{abs}{\sim}} \stackrel{(1)}{w} D$  is symmetric i.e.:

$$\stackrel{(2)}{D} \stackrel{(1)}{\underset{abs}{\sim}} \stackrel{(1)}{w} D \Leftrightarrow \stackrel{(1)}{D} \stackrel{(2)}{\underset{abs}{\sim}} \stackrel{(1)}{w} D \quad (20)$$

PROOF. If we have  $D \stackrel{(2)}{\underset{abs}{\sim}} \stackrel{(1)}{w} D$  then we will have (1), (2) and conversely. By direct calculus, it results:

$$D_k^h w_{ij} = \tau_{ik}^{(12)r} w_{rj} \quad (21)$$

$$D_k^h w_{n+a \ n+b} = \tau_{n+a \ k}^{(12)n+c} w_{n+c \ n+b} \quad (22)$$

$$D_{n+b}^v w_{ij} = \tau_{i \ n+b}^{(12)r} w_{rj} \quad (23)$$

$$D_{n+c}^v w_{n+a \ n+b} = \tau_{n+a \ n+c}^{(12)n+d} w_{n+d \ n+b} \quad (24)$$

and therefore  $D^{(12)} w = 0$ . Hence  $D \stackrel{(1)}{\underset{abs}{\sim}} \stackrel{(2)}{w} D$ .

Like a corollary, it results:

PROPOSITION 5. If we have (1), (2) then we will have:

$$\Gamma_{jk}^{(1)i} = \Gamma_{jk}^{(2)i} + w^{ir} D_k^h w_{rj} \quad (25)$$

$$\Gamma_{n+a \ k}^{(1)n+c} = \Gamma_{n+a \ k}^{(2)n+c} + w^{n+c \ n+d} D_k^h w_{n+d \ n+a} \quad (26)$$

$$\Gamma_{j \ n+b}^{(1)i} = \Gamma_{j \ n+b}^{(2)i} + w^{ir} D_{n+b}^v w_{rj} \quad (27)$$

$$\Gamma_{n+a \ n+b}^{(1)n+c} = \Gamma_{n+a \ n+b}^{(2)n+c} + w^{n+c \ n+d} D_{n+b}^v w_{n+d \ n+a}. \quad (28)$$

PROPOSITION 6. Let us consider  $D, D, D \neq D$  two linear  $d$ -connections on  $E$ , with respect to  $N = N$ . If  $D \stackrel{(2)}{\underset{(w)}{\sim}} \stackrel{(1)}{w} D$  then  $D, D$  won't be  $w$ -compatible.

*Proof.* A linear  $d$ -connection  $D$ , on  $E$ , is  $w$ -compatible ( $Dw = 0$ ) iff ([2]):

$$D_X^h h w = 0, \quad D_X^h v w = 0 \quad (29)$$

$$D_X^v h w = 0, \quad D_X^v v w = 0 \quad (30)$$

Let us consider  $\overset{(1)}{D}, \overset{(2)}{D}$  two linear  $d$ -connections. If  $\overset{(1)}{D}$  is  $w$ -compatible and  $\overset{(2)}{D} \underset{abs}{\overset{w}{\sim}} \overset{(1)}{D}$  then we will have (1), (2) with  $\overset{(1)}{D} w = 0$ . It results  $\overset{(2)}{D} = \overset{(1)}{D}$  which is a contradiction.

In the same way, if  $\overset{(2)}{D}$  had been  $w$ -compatible and  $\overset{(2)}{D} \underset{abs}{\overset{w}{\sim}} \overset{(1)}{D}$ , taking into account of (25)-(28) it would have resulted  $\overset{(2)}{D} = \overset{(1)}{D}$  which is a contradiction.

Therefore, it will not exist a  $d$ -linear connection  $\overset{(2)}{D}$  on  $E$  so that  $\overset{(2)}{D} \underset{abs}{\overset{w}{\sim}} \overset{(1)}{D}$  if  $\overset{(1)}{D}$  is a linear,  $w$ -compatible connection on  $E$ .

Let us consider a linear connection  $D$  on  $E$ , with the torsion:

$$T(X, Y) = D_X Y - D_Y X - [X, Y], \quad X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(E).$$

We will denote the coefficients of  $T$  in a local base  $\{X_{(\alpha)}\}$  ( $\alpha = \overline{1, n+m}$ ) by:

$$T_{(\beta)(\alpha)}^{(\gamma)}(X, X) = T_{\alpha\beta(\sigma)}^\sigma X, \quad T_{\alpha\beta}^\sigma = -T_{\beta\alpha}^\sigma. \quad (31)$$

With these notations, if  $D$  is a linear  $d$ -connection on  $E$  and we choose the adapted local base  $\left\{ X_{(r)} = \frac{\delta}{\delta x^r}; X_{(n+a)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a} \right\}$  then  $T$  will be characterized by the  $d$ -tensorial fields of the local components:

$$T_{jk}^i = \Gamma_{jk}^i - \Gamma_{kj}^i, \quad T_{jk}^{n+a} = R_{jk}^{n+a} \quad (32)$$

$$T_{j \ n+b}^i = \Gamma_{j \ n+b}^i, \quad T_{j \ n+b}^{n+a} = \frac{\partial N_j^a}{\partial y^b} - \Gamma_{n+b \ j}^{n+a} \quad (33)$$

$$T_{n+a \ n+b}^i = 0, \quad T_{n+a \ n+b}^{n+c} = \Gamma_{n+a \ n+b}^{n+c} - \Gamma_{n+b \ n+a}^{n+c} \quad (34)$$

where ([2]):

$$R_{jk}^{n+a} = \frac{\delta N_k^a}{\delta x^j} - \frac{\delta N_j^a}{\delta x^k} \quad (35)$$

A problem which appears is to establish on what conditions two linear  $d$ -connections,  $w$ -absolute conjugated,  $\overset{(1)}{D}$  and  $\overset{(2)}{D}$  have the same torsion:  $\overset{(2)}{T} = \overset{(1)}{T}$ .

PROPOSITION 7. Let us consider  $\overset{(1)}{D}, \overset{(2)}{D}$  two linear  $d$ -connections on  $E$  such that  $\overset{(2)}{D} \underset{abs}{\sim} \overset{(1)}{D}$ . Then  $\overset{(1)}{T} = \overset{(2)}{T}$  iff:

$$\overset{(1)}{D}_k^h w_{lj} - \overset{(1)}{D}_j^h w_{lk} = 0 \quad (36)$$

$$\overset{(1)}{D}_k^h w_{n+d \ n+a} = 0 \quad (37)$$

$$\overset{(1)}{D}_{n+b}^v w_{lj} = 0 \quad (38)$$

$$\overset{(1)}{D}_{n+b}^v w_{n+d \ n+a} - \overset{(1)}{D}_{n+a}^v w_{n+d \ n+b} = 0. \quad (39)$$

*Proof.* Because  $\overset{(1)}{D}, \overset{(2)}{D}$  are linear  $d$ -connections on  $E$ , their torsions  $\overset{(1)}{T}, \overset{(2)}{T}$  in the local, adapted base have the components (32)-(35).

We already have:

$$\overset{(1)}{T}_{jk}^{n+a} = \overset{(2)}{T}_{jk}^{n+a} = R_{jk}^{n+a} \quad (40)$$

$$\overset{(1)}{T}_{n+a \ n+b}^i = \overset{(2)}{T}_{n+a \ n+b}^i = 0 \quad (41)$$

From the condition  $\overset{(1)}{T}_{jk}^i = \overset{(2)}{T}_{jk}^i$  and from (1) we obtain the equivalent relation:

$$\overset{(1)}{D}_k^h w_{lj} - \overset{(1)}{D}_j^h w_{lk} = 0 \quad (42)$$

From (1) it will also result the equivalent relation:

$$\overset{(1)}{D}_k^h w_{n+d \ n+a} = 0 \quad (43)$$

if we have the condition:

$$\overset{(1)}{T}_{j \ n+b}^{n+a} = \overset{(2)}{T}_{j \ n+b}^{n+a}.$$

From (2) we will obtain the equivalent relation:

$$\overset{(1)}{D}_{n+b}^v w_{lj} = 0 \quad (44)$$

if we have  $\overset{(1)}{T}_{j \ n+b}^i = \overset{(2)}{T}_{j \ n+b}^i$ .



Furthermore from (2) we obtain the equivalent relation

$$D_{n+b}^{(1)v} w_{n+d \ n+a} - D_{n+a}^{(1)v} w_{n+d \ n+b} = 0 \quad (45)$$

if we have the condition:

$$T_{n+a \ n+b}^{(1)n+c} = T_{n+a \ n+b}^{(2)n+c}.$$

We obtain thus (36)-(39) and conversely.

Like a corollary we get, equivalent:

PROPOSITION 8. Let us consider  $D, D$  two linear  $d$ -connections on  $E$ , so that  $D \stackrel{(2)}{\underset{abs}{\sim}} D$ . Then  $T = T$  iff:

$$D_k^{(2)h} w_{ij} - D_j^{(2)h} w_{ik} = 0 \quad (46)$$

$$D_k^{(2)h} w_{n+a \ n+b} = 0 \quad (47)$$

$$D_{n+b}^{(2)v} w_{ij} = 0 \quad (48)$$

$$D_{n+b}^{(2)v} w_{n+c \ n+a} - D_{n+a}^{(2)v} w_{n+d \ n+b} = 0. \quad (49)$$

DEFINITION 2. A linear  $d$ -connection on  $E$  will be called  $w$ -semicompatible if we have:

$$D_k^h w_{n+a \ n+b} = 0, \quad D_X^h v w = 0 \quad (50)$$

$$D_{n+b}^v w_{ij} = 0, \quad D_X^v h w = 0. \quad (51)$$

DEFINITION 3. A linear  $d$ -connection  $D$ , on  $E$ , will be called  $w$ -semi Codazzi connection if we have:

$$D_k^h w_{ij} = D_j^h w_{ik} \quad (52)$$

$$D_{n+b}^v w_{n+c \ n+a} = D_{n+a}^v w_{n+c \ n+b} \quad (53)$$

We have:

PROPOSITION 9. Let us consider  $D, D$  two linear  $d$ -connections on  $E$  such that  $D \stackrel{(2)}{\underset{abs}{\sim}} D$ . Then  $T = T$  iff  $D$  is  $w$ -semicompatible and it is  $w$ -semi Codazzi.

PROPOSITION 10. Let us consider  $\overset{(1)}{D}, \overset{(2)}{D}$  two linear  $d$ -connections on  $E$  such that  $\overset{(2)}{D} \underset{abs}{\overset{w}{\sim}} \overset{(1)}{D}$ . Then  $\overset{(1)}{T} = \overset{(2)}{T}$  iff  $\overset{(2)}{D}$  is  $w$ -semi compatible and  $w$ -semi Codazzi.

If the distribution  $H$  is integrable then we will have:

$$R_{jk}^{n+a} = 0.$$

More general results and the relation between the curvature tensors  $\overset{(1)}{R}, \overset{(2)}{R}$  will be given in a future paper.

I would like to thank Professor P. Stavre for his support during the preparation of this paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. Lupu, *The  $w$ -conjugation in the vector bundle*, RIGA Conference, Braşov, June 2007.
- [2] R. Miron, M. Anastasiei, *Fibrat vectoriale. Spaţii Lagrange. Aplicaţii în teoria relativităţii*, Ed. Academiei, 1987.
- [3] A. Nastaselu, A. Lupu, *On some structures  $F, F^*$* , National Seminar of Finsler Lagrange Spaces, Braşov, 2004.
- [4] P. Stavre, *Fibrat vectoriale*, vol. I, II, III, Ed. Universitaria, Craiova, 2004-2006.
- [5] P. Stavre, *Aprofundări în geometria diferenţială. Aplicaţii la spaţii Norden şi la teoria relativităţii*, vol. III, Ed. Universitaria, Craiova, 2006.
- [6] P. Stavre, *Introducere în teoria structurilor geometrice conjugate*, Ed. Matrix, Bucureşti, 2007.
- [7] P. Stavre, *On Some Remarkable Results of R. Miron*, Libertas Math., tom XXIV, Arlington, Texas, 2004, p. 51-58.

#### Author:

Adrian Lupu  
Technical High School "Decebal",  
Drobeta Turnu Severin, Romania  
email: adilupudts@yahoo.ca