

**SOME FAMILIES OF MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS WITH
POSITIVE COEFFICIENTS GIVEN BY AN INTEGRAL
OPERATOR**

A. AKGÜL

ABSTRACT. In the present study, the author define a new subclass of meromorphic functions with positive coefficient defined in the punctured unit disc $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < |z| < 1\}$ with a simple pole at the origin with residue 1, by using the certain integral operator. Coefficient inequality, convex linear combinations, extreme points are obtained. We also investigated meromorphically radii of close-to-convexity, meromorphically convexity, meromorphically starlikeness and Hadamard product. Then, we prove a property using an integral operator for the functions f in this class.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 30C45.

Keywords: Meromorphic functions; Positive coefficients; coefficient inequality; integral operator;convex linear combination.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Σ symbolized the class of analytic functions, which are whith a simple pole at the origin with residue 1 of the form in the punctured unit disc $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < |z| < 1\}$, and are in the form of the

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n. \quad (1)$$

For functions $f \in \Sigma$ given by (1) and $g \in \Sigma$ defined by

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n,$$

their Hadamard product [10] is given by

$$(f * g)(z) := \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n.$$

Let $\sum_s, \sum^*(\alpha), \sum_c(\alpha)$ be the subclass of Σ consisting of univalent, meromorphically starlike of order α and meromorphically convex of order α ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$) respectively.

A function given by (1) in the class $\sum^*(\alpha)$ if and only if

$$R\left(-\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right) > \alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

and $f \in \sum_c(\alpha)$ if and only if

$$R\left\{-\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right)\right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) .$$

Recent years, many authors investigated the subclass of meromorphic functions with positive coefficient (see [1], [4], [2], [3], [6], [8], [14]). Juena and Reddy (see [12]) introduced the class of \sum_p functions of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad a_n \geq 0 \quad (2)$$

which are regular and univalent in \mathbb{U} . The functions in this class are said to be meromorphic functions with positive coefficient.

Analogous to the integral operator defined by Jung at all [13] on the normalized analytic functions, Lashin [15] defined the integral operator $Q_\beta^\gamma : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$, for $\beta > 0, \gamma > 1$; $z \in \mathbb{U}^*$,

$$Q_\beta^\gamma = Q_\beta^\gamma f(z) = \frac{\Gamma(\beta + \gamma)}{\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(\gamma)} \frac{1}{z^{\beta+1}} \int_0^z t^\beta \left(1 - \frac{t}{z}\right)^{\gamma-1} f(t) dt ,$$

where Γ is the familiar Gamma function. Using the integral representation of the Gamma and Beta functions, it can be shown that

$$Q_\beta^\gamma f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{\Gamma(\beta + \gamma)}{\Gamma(\beta)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n + \beta + 1)}{\Gamma(n + \beta + \gamma + 1)} a_n z^n = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} L(n, \beta, \gamma) a_n z^n$$

where

$$L(n, \beta, \gamma) = \frac{\Gamma(\beta + \gamma)}{\Gamma(\beta)} \frac{\Gamma(n + \beta + 1)}{\Gamma(n + \beta + \gamma + 1)} .$$

Now we introduce the following subclass of Σ_p associated with the integral operator $Q_\beta^\gamma f(z)$.

Definition 1. A function $f \in \Sigma$ is said to be in the class $\sum Q(\alpha, \lambda, \beta, \gamma, \zeta, q)$ if and only if the following condition is satisfied:

$$R \left\{ \frac{-z(\psi(z))'}{\psi(z)} \right\} \geq q \left| \frac{z(\psi(z))'}{\psi(z)} + 1 \right| + \zeta \quad (3)$$

where $0 \leq \zeta < 1$, $\beta > 0$, $\gamma > 1$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq \lambda < \frac{1}{2}$, $q \geq 0$ and

$$\psi(z) = \lambda \alpha z^2 (Q_\beta^\gamma f(z))'' + (\lambda - \alpha) z (Q_\beta^\gamma f(z))' + (1 - \lambda + \alpha) Q_\beta^\gamma f(z) \quad (4)$$

It is noted that

$$\sum_p Q(\alpha, \lambda, \beta, \gamma, \zeta, q) = \sum Q(\alpha, \lambda, \beta, \gamma, \zeta, q) \cap \sum_p .$$

Lemma 1. [5] Let σ is a real number and $w = u + iv$ is a complex number. Then,

$$R(w) \geq \sigma \iff |w - (1 + \sigma)| \leq |w + (1 - \sigma)|.$$

Lemma 2. [5] Let $w = u + iv$ and σ, γ are real numbers. Then

$$R(-w) > \sigma | -w - 1 | + \gamma \iff \{ -w(1 + \sigma e^{i\Phi}) - \sigma e^{i\Phi} \} > \gamma, (-\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi).$$

2. COEFFICIENT BOUNDS

We obtain in this section a necessary and sufficient condition for a function f to be in the class $\sum_p Q(\alpha, \lambda, \beta, \gamma, \zeta, q)$. We employ the technique adopted by Aqlan at all [5] and Athsan and Kulkarni [7] to find the coefficient estimates for the functions f defined by the equation (1) in the class $\sum_p Q(\alpha, \lambda, \beta, \gamma, \zeta, q)$.

Theorem 3. A meromorphic function f defined by the equation (1) in the class $\sum_p Q(\alpha, \lambda, \beta, \gamma, \zeta, q)$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [(n + \zeta) + q(n + 1)] [(n - 1)(n\lambda + \lambda - \alpha)] L(n, \beta, \gamma) a_n \\ & \leq (1 - \zeta) (2\alpha\lambda - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

for some $0 \leq \zeta < 1, \beta > 0, \gamma > 1, 0 \leq \alpha \leq \lambda < \frac{1}{2}$ and $q \geq 0$.

Proof. Let $f \in \sum_p$ and satisfies the condition (5). Then by applying lemma 2 we have to show that

$$R \left\{ \frac{-z (\psi(z))'}{\psi(z)} (1 + qe^{i\theta}) - qe^{i\theta} \right\} > \zeta$$

$$(-\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi, 0 \leq \zeta < 1, q \geq 0)$$

or equivalently

$$R \left\{ \frac{-z (\psi(z))' (1 + qe^{i\theta}) - qe^{i\theta} \psi(z)}{\psi(z)} \right\} > \zeta. \quad (6)$$

Let

$$\varphi(z) = -z\psi'(z) [1 + qe^{i\theta}] - qe^{i\theta} \psi(z).$$

Thus, the equation(6) is equivalent to

$$R \left(\frac{\varphi(z)}{\psi(z)} \right) > \zeta. \quad (7)$$

In view of Lemma 1 it is sufficient to prove that

$$|\varphi(z) + (1 - \zeta)\psi(z)| - |\varphi(z) - (1 + \zeta)\psi(z)| > 0 . \quad (8)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & |\varphi(z) + (1 - \zeta)\psi(z)| \\ &= \left| (2 - \zeta) (2\lambda\alpha - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1) \left(\frac{1}{z} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [(1 - n - \zeta) + q(-n - 1)e^{\theta}] \right. \\ & \quad \left. [(n - 1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha) + 1] L(n, \beta, \gamma) a_n z^n \right| \\ &\geq (2 - \zeta) (2\lambda\alpha - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1) \left| \frac{1}{z} \right| \\ & \quad - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [(n + \zeta - 1) + q(n + 1)] \\ & \quad [(n - 1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha) + 1] L(n, \beta, \gamma) a_n |z^n|. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Also, similarly we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |\varphi(z) - (1 + \zeta)\psi(z)| \\
 \leq & \zeta (2\lambda\alpha - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1) \left| \frac{1}{z} \right| \\
 & + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [(n + \zeta + 1) + q(n + 1)] \\
 & [(n - 1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha) + 1] L(n, \beta, \gamma) a_n |z^n|. \tag{10}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus from (9) and (10) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |\varphi(z) + (1 - \zeta)\psi(z)| - |\varphi(z) - (1 + \zeta)\psi(z)| \\
 \geq & 2(1 - \zeta) (2\lambda\alpha - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1) \\
 & - [2(n + \zeta) + 2q(n + 1)] [(n - 1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha) + 1] L(n, \beta, \gamma) a_n \\
 \geq & 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

If we use the inequality (5) in last inequality, then we obtain the desired result. Conversely, let the function f defined by the equation (1) be in the class $\sum_p Q(\alpha, \lambda, \beta, \gamma, \zeta, q)$. That is, the inequality (4) holds for the function f . By choosing the value of z on the positive real axis, where $0 \leq z = r < 1$ the inequality (4) reduces to

$$R \left\{ \frac{(1 + \zeta) (2\lambda\alpha - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [(n + \zeta) + q(n + 1)] [(n - 1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha) + 1] L(n, \beta, \gamma) a_n r^{n+1}}{(2\lambda\alpha - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [(n - 1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha) + 1] L(n, \beta, \gamma) a_n r^{n+1}} \right\} \geq 0,$$

where $R(-e^{i\theta}) \geq -|e^{i\theta}| = -1$. Letting $r \rightarrow 1^-$ through positive values we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [(n + \zeta) + q(n + 1)] [(n - 1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha) + 1] L(n, \beta, \gamma) a_n \\
 \leq & (1 - \zeta) (2\lambda\alpha - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1)
 \end{aligned}$$

and this is desired result.

Corollary 4. *Let the function f defined by the equation (2) be in the class $\sum_p Q(\alpha, \lambda, \beta, \gamma, \zeta, q)$. Then*

$$a_n \leq \frac{(1 - \zeta) (2\lambda\alpha - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1)}{[(n + \zeta) + q(n + 1)] [(n - 1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha) + 1] L(n, \beta, \gamma)}, \quad (n \geq 1).$$

The result is sharp for the function f of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{(1 - \zeta)(2\alpha\lambda - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1)}{[(n + \zeta) + q(n + 1)][(n - 1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha) + 1]L(n, \beta, \gamma)} z^n. \quad (n \geq 1) \quad (11)$$

3. CONVEX LINEAR COMBINATION

Theorem 5. *The class $\sum_p Q(\alpha, \lambda, \beta, \gamma, \zeta, q)$ is closed under convex combination.*

Proof. Let the functions

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad \text{and} \quad g(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n$$

be in the class $\sum_p Q(\alpha, \lambda, \beta, \gamma, \zeta, q)$. Then, by Theorem 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [(n + \zeta) + q(n + 1)][(n - 1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)] L(n, \beta, \gamma) a_n \\ & \leq (1 - \zeta)(2\alpha\lambda - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [(n + \zeta) + q(n + 1)][(n - 1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)] L(n, \beta, \gamma) b_n \\ & \leq (1 - \zeta)(2\alpha\lambda - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

For $0 \leq \tau \leq 1$, define the function h as

$$h(z) = \tau f(z) + (1 - \tau)g(z).$$

Then, we get

$$h(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [\tau a_n + (1 - \tau)b_n] z^n.$$

Now, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [(n + \zeta) + q(n + 1)][(n - 1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)] L(n, \beta, \gamma) [\tau a_n + (1 - \tau)b_n] \\ & = \tau [(n + \zeta) + q(n + 1)][(n - 1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)] L(n, \beta, \gamma) a_n \\ & \quad + (1 - \tau) [(n + \zeta) + q(n + 1)][(n - 1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)] L(n, \beta, \gamma) b_n \\ & \leq \tau(1 - \alpha) + (1 - \tau)(1 - \alpha) \\ & = (1 - \alpha). \end{aligned}$$

So, $h(z) \in \sum_p Q(\alpha, \lambda, \beta, \gamma, \zeta, q)$.

4. EXTREME POINT

Theorem 6. *Let*

$$f_0(z) = \frac{1}{z}$$

and

$$f_n(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{(1 - \zeta)(2\alpha\lambda - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1)}{[(n + \zeta) + q(n + 1)][(n - 1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)]L(n, \beta, \gamma)} z^n \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Then $f \in \sum_p Q(\alpha, \lambda, \beta, \gamma, \zeta, q)$ if and only if it can be represented in the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_n f_n(z)$$

where $\mu_n \geq 0$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_n = 1$.

Proof. Assume that $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_n f_n(z)$, ($\mu_n \geq 0$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$; $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_n = 1$). Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_n f_n(z) \\ &= \mu_0 f_0(z) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n f_n(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n \frac{(1 - \zeta)(2\alpha\lambda - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1)}{[(n + \zeta) + q(n + 1)][(n - 1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)]L(n, \beta, \gamma)} z^n. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [(n + \zeta) + q(n + 1)][(n - 1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)]L(n, \beta, \gamma)\mu_n \\ &\quad \frac{(1 - \zeta)(2\alpha\lambda - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1)}{[(n + \zeta) + q(n + 1)][(n - 1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)]L(n, \beta, \gamma)} \\ &= (1 - \zeta)(2\alpha\lambda - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n \\ &= (1 - \zeta)(2\alpha\lambda - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1)(1 - \mu_0) \\ &\leq (1 - \zeta)(2\alpha\lambda - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Theorem 3, $f \in \sum_p Q(\alpha, \lambda, \beta, \gamma, \zeta, q)$.

Conversely, suppose that $f \in \sum_p Q(\alpha, \lambda, \beta, \gamma, \zeta, q)$. Since by Corollary 4,

$$a_n \leq \frac{(1 - \zeta)(2\alpha\lambda - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1)}{[(n + \zeta) + q(n + 1)][(n - 1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)]L(n, \beta, \gamma)}, \quad (n \geq 1),$$

If we set

$$\mu_n = \frac{[(n + \zeta) + q(n + 1)][(n - 1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)]L(n, \beta, \gamma)}{(1 - \zeta)(2\alpha\lambda - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1)} a_n, \quad (n \geq 1)$$

and $\mu_0 = 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n$, then we obtain

$$f(z) = \mu_0 f_0(z) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n f_n(z).$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

5. RADII OF STARLIKENESS AND CONVEXITY

We now find the radii of meromorphically close-to-convexity, starlikeness and convexity for functions f in the class $\sum_p Q(\alpha, \lambda, \beta, \gamma, \zeta, q)$.

Theorem 7. *Let $f \in \sum_p Q(\alpha, \lambda, \beta, \gamma, \zeta, q)$. Then f is meromorphically close-to-convex of order δ ($0 \leq \delta < 1$) in the disk $|z| < r_1$, where*

$$r_1 = \inf_n \left[\frac{(1 - \delta)[(n + \zeta) + q(n + 1)][(n - 1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)]L(n, \beta, \gamma)}{n(1 - \delta)(2\alpha\lambda - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1)} \right]^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \quad (14)$$

($n \geq 1$) and the result is sharp.

Proof. Let $f \in \sum_p Q(\alpha, \lambda, \beta, \gamma, \zeta, q)$. It is sufficient to prove that

$$\left| z^2 f'(z) + 1 \right| \leq 1 - \delta, \quad 0 \leq \delta < 1, \quad |z| < r_1$$

By Theorem 3, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{[(n + \zeta) + q(n + 1)][(n - 1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)]L(n, \beta, \gamma)}{(1 - \zeta)(2\alpha\lambda - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1)} a_n \leq 1.$$

So the inequality

$$\left| z^2 f'(z) + 1 \right| = \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_n z^{n+1} \right| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_n |z|^{n+1} \leq 1 - \delta$$

holds true if

$$\frac{n|z|^{n+1}}{1-\delta} \leq \frac{[(n+\zeta)+q(n+1)][(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)]L(n,\beta,\gamma)}{(1-\zeta)(2\alpha\lambda-2\lambda+2\alpha+1)}.$$

Then, inequality (14) holds true if

$$|z|^{n+1} \leq \frac{(1-\delta)}{n} \frac{[(n+\zeta)+q(n+1)][(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)]L(n,\beta,\gamma)}{(1-\zeta)(2\alpha\lambda-2\lambda+2\alpha+1)}, \quad (n \geq 1)$$

which yields the close-to-convexity of the family and completes the proof and the result is sharp for the function given by (11).

Theorem 8. *Let $f \in \Sigma_p$. Then f is meromorphically starlike of order δ ($0 \leq \delta < 1$) in the disk $|z| < r_2$, where*

$$r_2 = \inf_n \left[\frac{(1-\delta)}{(n+2-\delta)} \frac{[(n+\zeta)+q(n+1)][(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)]L(n,\beta,\gamma)}{(1-\zeta)(2\alpha\lambda-2\lambda+2\alpha+1)} \right]^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \quad (n \geq 1).$$

The result is sharp.

Proof. Let $f \in \Sigma_p Q(\alpha, \lambda, \beta, \gamma, \zeta, q)$. It is sufficient to prove that

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + 1 \right| \leq 1 - \delta, \quad 0 \leq \delta < 1, |z| < r_2 \quad (15)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + 1 \right| &= \left| \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+1)a_n z^{n+1}}{\frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+1)a_n |z|^{n+1}}{1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n |z|^{n+1}} \\ &\leq 1 - \delta. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3 we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{[(n+\zeta)+q(n+1)][(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)]L(n,\beta,\gamma)}{(1-\zeta)(2\alpha\lambda-2\lambda+2\alpha+1)} a_n \leq 1.$$

Then inequality (15) holds true if

$$\frac{(n+2-\delta)}{(1-\delta)} |z|^{n+1} \leq \frac{[(n+\zeta)+q(n+1)][(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)]L(n,\beta,\gamma)}{(1-\zeta)(2\alpha\lambda-2\lambda+2\alpha+1)}$$

which is equivalent to

$$|z|^{n+1} \leq \frac{(1-\delta)[(n+\zeta)+q(n+1)][(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)]L(n,\beta,\gamma)}{(n+2-\delta)(1-\zeta)(2\alpha\lambda-2\lambda+2\alpha+1)}$$

or

$$|z| \leq \left[\frac{(1-\delta)}{(n+2-\delta)} \frac{[(n+\zeta)+q(n+1)][(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)]L(n,\beta,\gamma)}{(1-\zeta)(2\alpha\lambda-2\lambda+2\alpha+1)} \right]^{\frac{1}{n+1}}$$

which yields the starlikeness of the family and completes the proof. Also, the result is sharp for the function given by the equation (11).

Theorem 9. Let $f \in \sum_p Q(\alpha, \lambda, \beta, \gamma, \zeta, q)$. Then f is meromorphically convex of order δ ($0 \leq \delta < 1$) in the disk $|z| < r_3$, where

$$r_3 = \inf_n \left[\frac{(1-\delta)}{n(n+2-\delta)} \frac{[(n+\zeta)+q(n+1)][(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)]L(n,\beta,\gamma)}{(1-\zeta)(2\alpha\lambda-2\lambda+2\alpha+1)} \right]^{\frac{1}{n+1}}, \quad (n \geq 1). \quad (16)$$

The result is sharp for the extremal function f given by

$$f_n(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{n(1-\zeta)(2\alpha\lambda-2\lambda+2\alpha+1)}{[(n+\zeta)+q(n+1)][(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)]L(n,\beta,\gamma)} z^n, \quad (n \geq 1). \quad (17)$$

Proof. By using the technique employed in the proof of Theorem 8 and 9, we can show that

$$\left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} + 2 \right| < 1 - \mu,$$

for $|z| < r_3$, and prove that the assertion of the theorem is true.

Theorem 10. For functions $f, g \in \Sigma_p$ defined by (1) let $f, g \in \sum_p Q(\alpha, \lambda, \beta, \gamma, \zeta, q)$. Then the Hadamard product $f * g \in \sum_p Q(\alpha, \lambda, \beta, \gamma, \rho, q)$, where

$$\rho \leq \frac{[[n+\zeta)+q(n+1)][(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)]^2 L(n,\beta,\gamma) - n(1-\alpha)^2}{[[n+\zeta)+q(n+1)][(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)]^2 L(n,\beta,\gamma) + (1-\alpha)^2 [1-\delta(n+1)]}$$

Proof. From Theorem 3, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{[(n+\zeta)+q(n+1)][(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)]L(n,\beta,\gamma)}{(1-\zeta)(2\alpha\lambda-2\lambda+2\alpha+1)} a_n \leq 1, \quad (18)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{[(n + \zeta) + q(n + 1)] [(n - 1) (n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)] L(n, \beta, \gamma)}{(1 - \zeta) (2\alpha\lambda - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1)} b_n \leq 1. \quad (19)$$

From (18) and (19) we find, by means of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{[(n + \zeta) + q(n + 1)] [(n - 1) (n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)] L(n, \beta, \gamma)}{(1 - \zeta) (2\alpha\lambda - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1)} \sqrt{a_n b_n} \leq 1. \quad (20)$$

We need to find the largest ρ such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{[(n + \rho) + q(n + 1)] [(n - 1) (n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)] L(n, \beta, \gamma)}{(1 - \rho) (2\alpha\lambda - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1)} a_n b_n \leq 1.$$

Thus it is enough to show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{[(n + \rho) + q(n + 1)] [(n - 1) (n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)] L(n, \beta, \gamma)}{(1 - \rho) (2\alpha\lambda - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1)} a_n b_n \\ & \leq \frac{[(n + \zeta) + q(n + 1)] [(n - 1) (n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)] L(n, \beta, \gamma)}{(1 - \zeta) (2\alpha\lambda - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1)} \sqrt{a_n b_n}, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\sqrt{a_n b_n} \leq \frac{(1 - \rho) [(n + \zeta) + q(n + 1)]}{(1 - \zeta) [(n + \rho) + q(n + 1)]}. \quad (21)$$

On the other hand, from 20 we have

$$\sqrt{a_n b_n} \leq \frac{(1 - \zeta) (2\alpha\lambda - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1)}{[(n + \zeta) + q(n + 1)] [(n - 1) (n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)] L(n, \beta, \gamma)}. \quad (22)$$

Therefore in view of 21 and 22 it is enough to show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(1 - \zeta) (2\alpha\lambda - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1)}{[(n + \zeta) + q(n + 1)] [(n - 1) (n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)] L(n, \beta, \gamma)} \\ & \leq \frac{(1 - \rho) [(n + \zeta) + q(n + 1)]}{(1 - \zeta) [(n + \rho) + q(n + 1)]} \end{aligned}$$

which simplifies to

$$\rho \leq 1 - \frac{2(1-\zeta)^2(2\alpha\lambda-2\lambda+2\alpha+1)L(n,\beta,\gamma)}{[(n+\zeta)+q(n+1)]^2[(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)]L(n,\beta,\gamma)+2(1-\zeta)^2(2\alpha\lambda-2\lambda+2\alpha+1)}.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi(n) \\ & = \frac{2(1-\zeta)^2(2\alpha\lambda-2\lambda+2\alpha+1)L(n,\beta,\gamma)}{[(n+\zeta)+q(n+1)]^2[(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)]L(n,\beta,\gamma)+2(1-\zeta)^2(2\alpha\lambda-2\lambda+2\alpha+1)} \end{aligned}$$

Clearly $\psi(n)$ is an increasing function of $n(n \geq 1)$. Letting $n = 1$, we prove the assertion.

Theorem 11. For functions $f, g \in \Sigma_p$ defined by the equation 1 let $f, g \in \sum_p Q(\alpha, \lambda, \beta, \gamma, \zeta, q)$. Then the function $k(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n^2 + b_n^2)z^n$ is in the class $\sum_p Q(\alpha, \lambda, \beta, \gamma, \rho, q)$ and

$$\rho \leq 1 - \frac{4(1-\zeta)^2(2\alpha\lambda - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1)L(n, \beta, \gamma)}{\{[(n+\zeta) + q(n+1)]\}^2 [(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)]L(n, \beta, \gamma) - 2(1-\zeta)^2[n+q(n+1)](2\alpha\lambda - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1)}$$

Proof. Since $f, g \in \sum_p Q(\alpha, \lambda, \beta, \gamma, \zeta, q)$ we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{[(n+\zeta) + q(n+1)] [(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)] L(n, \beta, \gamma)}{(1-\zeta)(2\alpha\lambda - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1)} a_n \right\}^2 \leq 1 \quad (23)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{[(n+\zeta) + q(n+1)] [(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)] L(n, \beta, \gamma)}{(1-\zeta)(2\alpha\lambda - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1)} b_n \right\}^2 \leq 1 \quad (24)$$

combining the inequalities (23) and (24), we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{[(n+\zeta) + q(n+1)] [(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)] L(n, \beta, \gamma)}{(1-\zeta)(2\alpha\lambda - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1)} \right\}^2 (a_n^2 + b_n^2) \leq 1.$$

But, we need to find the largest ρ such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{[(n+\rho) + q(n+1)] [(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)] L(n, \beta, \gamma)}{(1-\rho)(2\alpha\lambda - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1)} (a_n^2 + b_n^2) \leq 1 \quad (25)$$

The inequality (25) would hold if

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{[(n+\rho) + q(n+1)] [(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)] L(n, \beta, \gamma)}{(1-\rho)(2\alpha\lambda - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1)} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{[(n+\zeta) + q(n+1)] [(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)] L(n, \beta, \gamma)}{(1-\zeta)(2\alpha\lambda - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1)} \right\}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\rho \leq 1 - \frac{4(1-\zeta)^2(2\alpha\lambda - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1)L(n, \beta, \gamma)}{\{[(n+\zeta) + q(n+1)]\}^2 [(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)]L(n, \beta, \gamma) - 2(1-\zeta)^2[n+q(n+1)](2\alpha\lambda - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1)}$$

Let

$$\phi(n) = \frac{4(1-\zeta)^2(2\alpha\lambda-2\lambda+2\alpha+1)L(n,\beta,\gamma)}{\{[(n+\zeta)+q(n+1)]\}^2[(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)]L(n,\beta,\gamma)-2(1-\zeta)^2[n+q(n+1)](2\alpha\lambda-2\lambda+2\alpha+1)}$$

A simple computation shows that $\phi(n+1) - \phi(n) > 0$ for all n . This means that $\phi(n)$ is increasing and $\phi(n) \geq \phi(1)$. Letting $n = 1$, we prove the assertion.

6. INTEGRAL OPERATORS

In this section, we consider integral transforms of functions in the class $\sum_p Q(\alpha, \lambda, \beta, \gamma, \zeta, q)$ of the type considered by Goel and Sohi [11].

Theorem 12. . Let the function $f \in \Sigma_p$ given by (1) is in the class $\sum_p Q(\alpha, \lambda, \beta, \gamma, \zeta, q)$. Then the integral operator

$$F(z) = c \int_0^z u^c f(uz) du, \quad 0 < u \leq 1, \quad 0 < c < \infty \quad (26)$$

is in $\sum_p Q(\alpha, \lambda, \beta, \gamma, \rho, q)$, where

$$\rho \leq 1 - \frac{2c(1-\zeta)(2\alpha\lambda-2\lambda+2\alpha+1)}{c(1-\zeta)(2\alpha\lambda-2\lambda+2\alpha+1) + (c+2)(2k+\zeta+1)}$$

and the result is sharp.

Proof. Let the function $f \in \Sigma_p$ given by (1) is in the class $\sum_p Q(\alpha, \lambda, \beta, \gamma, \zeta, q)$. Then by a simple computation we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(z) &= c \int_0^1 u^c f(uz) du \\ &= \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c}{c+n+1} a_n z^n \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

We have to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c[(n+\rho)+q(n+1)][(n-1)(n\lambda\alpha+\lambda-\alpha)]L(n,\beta,\gamma)}{(c+n+1)(1-\rho)(2\alpha\lambda-2\lambda+2\alpha+1)} a_n \leq 1. \quad (28)$$

Since $f \in \sum_p Q(\alpha, \lambda, \beta, \gamma, \zeta, q)$, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{[(n + \zeta) + q(n + 1)] [(n - 1) (n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)] L(n, \beta, \gamma)}{(1 - \zeta) (2\alpha\lambda - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1)} a_n \leq 1.$$

We note that the inequality (28) is satisfied if

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{c[(n + \rho) + q(n + 1)] [(n - 1) (n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)] L(n, \beta, \gamma)}{(c + n + 1) (1 - \rho) (2\alpha\lambda - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1)} \\ & \leq \frac{[(n + \zeta) + q(n + 1)] [(n - 1) (n\lambda\alpha + \lambda - \alpha)] L(n, \beta, \gamma)}{(1 - \zeta) (2\alpha\lambda - 2\lambda + 2\alpha + 1)} \end{aligned}$$

Then we get

$$\rho \leq 1 - \frac{2c(1 - \zeta) [n + k(n + 1)]}{[n + \xi - \xi\beta(n + 1)](n + c + 1) + (1 - \xi)[1 - \beta(n + 1)]}$$

By a simple computation, we can show that the function

$$\phi(n) = 1 - \frac{(1 - \xi)[1 + \beta(n + 1)] + cn}{(c + n + 1) [n + \beta + k(n + 1)] - c(1 - \beta)[n + k(n + 1)]}$$

is an increasing function of $n(n \geq 1)$ and $\phi(n) \geq \phi(1)$. Using this, we obtain the desired result.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Akgül, *A new subclass of meromorphic functions defined by Hilbert space operator*, Honam Mathematical J., 38, 3 (2016) ,495-506.
- [2] A. Akgül, *A subclass of meromorphic functions defined by a certain integral operator on Hilbert space* , Creative Mathematics and Informatics, 2, 2017
- [3] A. Akgül, *A new subclass of meromorphic functions with positive and fixed second coefficients defined by the Rafid-operator* , Commun .Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Series A1, 66, 2 Pages 1-13 (2017)
- [4] A. Akgül and S. Bulut, *On a certain subclass of meromorphic functions defined by Hilbert space operator L*, Acta Universitatis Apulensis 45,(2016), 1-9.
- [5] E. Aqlan, J.M.Jhangiri and S.R.Kulkarni, *Class of K-uniformly convex and starlike functions*, Tamkang J. Math., 35 (2004), 1-7.
- [6] M. K. Aouf, R.M.El-Ashwah and H.M.Zayed, *Subclass of meromorphic functions with positive coefficients defined by convolution*, Stud.Univ.Babes-Bolyai Math . 59, 3 (2014), 289-301.

- [7] W. G. Athsan and S. R. Kulkarni , *Subclass of meromorphic functions with positive coefficients defined by Ruscheweyh derivate I* , J. Rajasthan Acad. Phy.Sci. 6, 2 (2007),129-140.
- [8] W. G. Athsan , *Subclass of meromorphic functions with positive coefficients defined by Ruscheweyh derivate* , Surveys in Matemates and its Applications, 3, (2008), 67-77.
- [9] S. K. Bajpai, *A note on a class of meromorphic univalent functions*, Rev.Roumanie Math. Pure Appl., 22 (1977), 295-297.
- [10] P. L. Duren, *Univalent Functions* , Springer, New York, NY, USA, 1983.
- [11] R. M. Goel and N. S. Sohi, *On a class of meromorphic functions* , Glasnik Mathematicci, 17, (1981).
- [12] O. P. Junea and T. R. Reddy, *Meromorphic starlike univalent functions with positive coefficients*, Ann.Univ. Mariae Curie Sklodowska, Sect.A, 39 (1985), 65-76.
- [13] I. B. Jung, Y. C. Kim, H. M. Srivastava, *The Hardy spaces of analytic functions associated with certain one parameter families of integral operators* , J. Math.Anal.Appl. 176, 1 (1993), 138-147.
- [14] S.Kavitha , S. Sivasburamanian and K. Muthunagaia , *A new subclass of meromorphic function with positive coefficients* , Bulletin of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 3, 3 (2010), 109-121.
- [15] A. Y. Lashin, *On certain subclasses of meromorphic functions associated with certain integral operators* , Comput. Math. Appl., 59, 1, (2010), 524-531.

Arzu Akgül
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Arts,
Kocaeli University,
Umuttepe Campus, 41380,
Izmit-Kocaeli, TURKEY,
email: akgul@kocaeli.edu.tr