

Generalization on local property of absolute matrix summability of factored Fourier series

Şebnem Yıldız

Ahi Evran University/Department of Mathematicst, Kırşehir,Turkey

sebnemyildiz@ahievran.edu.tr; sebnem.yildiz82@gmail.com

Abstract: In this paper, a known theorem dealing with $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$ summability methods of Fourier series is generalized to more general cases by taking normal matrices and by using local property of absolute matrix summability of factored Fourier series.

Keywords: Summability factors, absolute matrix summability, Fourier series, infinite series, Hölder inequality, Minkowski inequality.

MSC2010: 26D15; 42A24; 40F05; 40G99.

1 Introduction

Let (s_n) denote the n -th partial sum of the series $\sum a_n$. We write

$$R_n = \left\{ s_1 + \frac{1}{2}s_2 + \dots + \frac{1}{n}s_n \right\} / \log n.$$

Then the series $\sum a_n$ is said to be *absolutely summable* $(R, \log n, 1)$ or *summable* $|R, \log n, 1|$ if the sequence $\{R_n\}$ is of bounded variation, that is, the infinite series

$$\sum |R_n - R_{n+1}|$$

is convergent. Let (p_n) be a sequence of positive numbers such that

$$P_n = \sum_{v=0}^n p_v \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (P_{-i} = p_{-i} = 0, \quad i \geq 1).$$

The sequence-to-sequence transformation

$$w_n = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{v=0}^n p_v s_v$$

defines the sequence (w_n) of the Riesz mean or simply the (\bar{N}, p_n) mean of the sequence (s_n) generated by the sequence of coefficients (p_n) (see [8]).

The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$, $k \geq 1$, if (see [3])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{k-1} |w_n - w_{n-1}|^k < \infty.$$

In the special case when $p_n = 1$ for all values of n (resp. $k = 1$), $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$ summability is the same as $|C, 1|_k$ (resp. $|\bar{N}, p_n|$) summability. Also, if we take $k = 1$ and $p_n = 1/(n + 1)$, $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$ summability is equivalent to $|R, \log n, 1|$ summability.

A lower triangular matrix of nonzero diagonal entries is said to be a normal matrix. Let $A = (a_{nv})$ be a normal matrix, we associate two lower semimatrices $\bar{A} = (\bar{a}_{nv})$ and $\hat{A} = (\hat{a}_{nv})$ with entries defined by,

$$\bar{a}_{nv} = \sum_{i=v}^n a_{ni}, \quad n, v = 0, 1, \dots$$

and

$$\hat{a}_{00} = a_{00}, \quad \hat{a}_{nv} = \bar{\Delta} \bar{a}_{nv}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

It should be noted that \hat{A} and \bar{A} are the well-known matrices of series to series and series to sequence transformations, respectively. Then, we have

$$A_n(s) = \sum_{v=0}^n a_{nv} s^v = \sum_{v=0}^n \bar{a}_{nv} a_v$$

$$\bar{\Delta} A_n(s) = \sum_{v=0}^n \hat{a}_{nv} a_v$$

Let (θ_n) be any sequence of positive real numbers. The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $|A, \theta_n|_k$, $k \geq 1$, (see [12],[20]) if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \theta_n^{k-1} |A_n(s) - A_{n-1}(s)|^k < \infty.$$

In the special case, if we take $a_{nv} = \frac{p_v}{P_n}$ and $\theta_n = \frac{P_n}{p_n}$, then we have $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$ summability. Also, if we take $\theta_n = n$ and $a_{nv} = \frac{p_v}{P_n}$, then we have $|R, p_n|_k$ summability (see [5]).

2 The Known Results

Let f be a periodic function with period 2π and integrable (L) over $(-\pi, \pi)$. Without any loss of generality the constant term in the constant term in the Fourier series of f can be taken to be zero, so that

$$f(t) \sim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos nt + b_n \sin nt) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n(t).$$

where

$$a_0 = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) dt, \quad a_n = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) \cos(nt) dt, \quad b_n = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) \sin(nt) dt.$$

We write

$$\varphi(t) = \frac{1}{2} \{f(x+t) + f(x-t)\}.$$

It is well known that the convergence of the Fourier series at $t = x$ is a local property of f (i.e., depends only on the behaviour of f in an arbitrarily small neighbourhood of x), and so the summability of the Fourier series $t = x$ by any regular linear summability method is also a local property of f .

It has been pointed out by Bosanquet [1] that for the case $\lambda_n = \log n$, the definition of *absolutely summable* $(R, \log n, 1)$ or *summable* $|R, \log n, 1|$ is equivalent to the definition of the summability $|R, \lambda_n, 1|$ used by Mohanty [11], λ_n being a monotonic increasing sequence tending to infinity with n .

Matsumoto [9] improved this result by replacing the series $\sum (\log n)^{-1} C_n(t)$ by

$$\sum (\log \log n)^{-p} C_n(t), \quad p > 1.$$

Bhatt [2] showed that the factor $(\log \log n)^{-p}$ in the above series can be replaced by the more general factor $\gamma_n \log n$ where (γ_n) is a convex sequence such that $\sum n^{-1} \gamma_n$ is convergent. Borwein [7] generalized Bhatt's result by proving that (λ_n) is a sequence for which

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_n}{P_n} |\lambda_n| < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\Delta \lambda_n| < \infty,$$

then the summability $|R, P_n, 1|$ of the factored Fourier series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n C_n(t)$$

at any point is a local property of f . On the other hand, Mishra [10] proved that if (γ_n) is as above, and if

$$P_n = O(np_n) \quad \text{and} \quad P_n \Delta p_n = O(p_n p_{n+1}),$$

the summability $|\bar{N}, p_n|$ of the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n \frac{P_n}{np_n} C_n(t),$$

at any point is a local property of f . Bor [4] showed that $|\bar{N}, p_n|$ in Mishra's result can be replaced by a more general summability method $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$, and introduced the following theorem on the local property of the summability $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$ of the factored Fourier series, which generalizes most of the above results under more appropriate conditions than those given in them.

Theorem 2.1[6] Let $k \geq 1$ and the sequences (p_n) and (λ_n) be such that

$$\Delta X_n = O(1/n), \tag{1}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1} \left\{ |\lambda_n|^k + |\lambda_{n+1}|^k \right\} X_n^{k-1} < \infty, \tag{2}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (X_n^k + 1) |\Delta \lambda_n| < \infty, \tag{3}$$

where $X_n = (np_n)^{-1} P_n$. Then the summability $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$ $k \geq 1$ of the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n X_n C_n(t)$ at a point can be ensured by a local property.

3 The Main Results

Many studies have been done for matrix generalization of Fourier series (see [13]-[28]). The aim of this paper is to extend Theorem 2.1 for $|A, \theta_n|_k$ summability method by taking normal matrices instead of weighted mean matrices.

Theorem 3.1 Let $A = (a_{nv})$ be a positive normal matrix such that

$$\bar{a}_{n0} = 1, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, \quad (4)$$

$$a_{n-1,v} \geq a_{nv}, \quad \text{for } n \geq v + 1, \quad (5)$$

$$\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} a_{vv} \hat{a}_{n,v+1} = O(a_{nn}). \quad (6)$$

Let $(\theta_n a_{nn})$ be a non increasing sequence. If (λ_n) and (X_n) are sequences satisfying the following conditions:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\theta_n a_{nn})^{k-1} n^{-1} \left\{ |\lambda_n|^k + |\lambda_{n+1}|^k \right\} X_n^{k-1} < \infty, \quad (7)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\theta_n a_{nn})^{k-1} (X_n^k + 1) |\Delta \lambda_n| < \infty, \quad (8)$$

$$\Delta X_n = O(1/n), \quad (9)$$

where $X_n = (na_{nn})^{-1}$, and (θ_n) is any sequence of positive constants, then the summability $|A, \theta_n|_k$, $k \geq 1$ of the series

$$\sum \lambda_n X_n C_n(t),$$

at a point can be ensured by a local property.

We need the following lemma for the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Lemma 3.2 Let $(\theta_n a_{nn})$ be a non increasing sequence. Suppose that the matrix A and the sequences (λ_n) and (X_n) satisfy all the conditions of Theorem 3.1, and that (s_n) is bounded and (θ_n) is any sequence of positive constants. Then the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n X_n a_n \quad (10)$$

is summable $|A, \theta_n|_k$, $k \geq 1$.

4 Proof of Lemma 3.2

Let (T_n) denotes the A-transform of the series (10). Then we have,

$$\bar{\Delta} T_n = \sum_{v=1}^n \hat{a}_{nv} a_v \lambda_v X_v, \quad X_0 = 0.$$

Applying Abel's transformation to this sum we have

$$\bar{\Delta} T_n = \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \Delta(\hat{a}_{nv} \lambda_v X_v) s_v + a_{nn} \lambda_n X_n s_n.$$

By the formula for the difference of products of sequences (see [8], p.129) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(\hat{a}_{nv}\lambda_v X_v) &= \lambda_v X_v \Delta \hat{a}_{nv} + \Delta(\lambda_v X_v) \hat{a}_{n,v+1} = \lambda_v X_v \Delta \hat{a}_{nv} + (X_v \Delta \lambda_v + \Delta X_v \lambda_{v+1}) \hat{a}_{n,v+1}, \\ \bar{\Delta} T_n &= \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{n,v+1} X_v \Delta \lambda_v s_v + \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{n,v+1} \lambda_{v+1} \Delta X_v s_v + \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \bar{\Delta} a_{nv} \lambda_v X_v s_v + a_{nn} \lambda_n X_n s_n \\ &= T_n(1) + T_n(2) + T_n(3) + T_n(4).\end{aligned}$$

To complete the proof of Lemma 3.2, by Minkowski inequality, it is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \theta_n^{k-1} |T_{n,r}|^k < \infty, \quad \text{for } r = 1, 2, 3, 4. \quad (11)$$

The elements $\hat{a}_{nv} \geq 0$ for each v, n . it is easily seen by using conditions (4) and (5) of Theorem 3.1. For detail (see [18]).

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\bar{\Delta} a_{nv}| &= \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} (a_{n-1,v} - a_{nv}) = \bar{a}_{n-1,0} - \bar{a}_{n0} + a_{n0} - a_{n-1,0} + a_{nn} \\ &= a_{n0} - a_{n-1,0} + a_{nn} \leq a_{nn}.\end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

First, by applying Hölder's inequality with indices k and k' , where $k > 1$ and $\frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{k'} = 1$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \theta_n^{k-1} |T_{n,1}|^k &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \theta_n^{k-1} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{n,v+1} X_v |\Delta \lambda_v| |s_v| \right)^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \theta_n^{k-1} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{n,v+1} X_v^k |\Delta \lambda_v| \right) \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{n,v+1} |\Delta \lambda_v| \right)^{k-1},\end{aligned}$$

and by taking account of (4) and (5), we have $\hat{a}_{n,v+1} \leq a_{nn}$, for $1 \leq v \leq n-1$ which implies that

$$\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{n,v+1} |\Delta \lambda_v| \leq a_{nn} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta \lambda_v| = O(a_{nn}),$$

thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \theta_n^{k-1} |T_{n,1}|^k &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \theta_n^{k-1} a_{nn}^{k-1} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{n,v+1} X_v^k |\Delta \lambda_v| \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m X_v^k |\Delta \lambda_v| \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} (\theta_n a_{nn})^{k-1} \hat{a}_{n,v+1} = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m (\theta_v a_{vv})^{k-1} X_v^k |\Delta \lambda_v| \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \hat{a}_{n,v+1} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m (\theta_v a_{vv})^{k-1} X_v^k |\Delta \lambda_v| \\ &= O(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty,\end{aligned}$$

in view of condition (8). Note that from (9) follows that $\Delta X_v = O(a_{vv}X_v)$. Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \theta_n^{k-1} |T_{n,2}|^k &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \theta_n^{k-1} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{n,v+1} |\lambda_{v+1}| |\Delta X_v| |s_v| \right)^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \theta_n^{k-1} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{n,v+1} |\lambda_{v+1}| a_{vv} X_v \right)^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \theta_n^{k-1} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{n,v+1} |\lambda_{v+1}|^k a_{vv} X_v^k \right) \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} a_{vv} \hat{a}_{n,v+1} \right)^{k-1} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \theta_n^{k-1} a_{nn}^{k-1} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} a_{vv} \hat{a}_{n,v+1} |\lambda_{v+1}|^k X_v^k \right) \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m |\lambda_{v+1}|^k a_{vv} X_v^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} (\theta_n a_{nn})^{k-1} \hat{a}_{n,v+1} = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m (\theta_v a_{vv})^{k-1} |\lambda_{v+1}|^k a_{vv} X_v^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \hat{a}_{n,v+1} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m (\theta_v a_{vv})^{k-1} |\lambda_{v+1}|^k a_{vv} X_v^{k-1} X_v = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m (\theta_v a_{vv})^{k-1} |\lambda_{v+1}|^k v^{-1} X_v^{k-1} \\
&= O(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

by virtue of the hypotheses of Lemma 3.2. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \theta_n^{k-1} |T_{n,3}|^k &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \theta_n^{k-1} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\bar{\Delta} a_{nv}| |\lambda_v| X_v \right)^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \theta_n^{k-1} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\bar{\Delta} a_{nv}| |\lambda_v|^k X_v^k \right) \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\bar{\Delta} a_{nv}| \right)^{k-1} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \theta_n^{k-1} a_{nn}^{k-1} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\bar{\Delta} a_{nv}| |\lambda_v|^k X_v^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m |\lambda_v|^k X_v^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} (\theta_n a_{nn})^{k-1} |\bar{\Delta} a_{nv}| = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m (\theta_v a_{vv})^{k-1} |\lambda_v|^k X_v^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} |\bar{\Delta} a_{nv}| \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m (\theta_v a_{vv})^{k-1} |\lambda_v|^k X_v^k a_{vv} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m (\theta_v a_{vv})^{k-1} |\lambda_v|^k X_v^{k-1} v^{-1} = O(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

by virtue of the hypotheses of Lemma 3.2. Finally, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \theta_n^{k-1} |T_{n,4}|^k &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \theta_n^{k-1} |\lambda_n|^k X_n^k a_{nn}^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\theta_n a_{nn})^{k-1} |\lambda_n|^k X_n^k a_{nn} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\theta_n a_{nn})^{k-1} |\lambda_n|^k X_n^{k-1} n^{-1} < \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

by virtue of the hypotheses of Lemma 3.2, This completes the proof of Lemma 3.2.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Since the convergence of the Fourier series at a point is a local property of its generating function f , the theorem follows by formula (7.1) from Chapter II of the book (see [29]) and from Lemma 3.2.

5 APPLICATIONS

We can apply Theorem 3.1 to weighted mean $A = (a_{nv})$ is defined as $a_{nv} = \frac{p_v}{P_n}$ when $0 \leq v \leq n$, where $P_n = p_0 + p_1 + \dots + p_n$. We have that,

$$\bar{a}_{nv} = \frac{P_n - P_{v-1}}{P_n} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{a}_{n,v+1} = \frac{p_n P_v}{P_n P_{n-1}}.$$

The following results can be easily verified.

1. If we take $\theta_n = \frac{P_n}{p_n}$ in Theorem 3.1, then we have another theorem dealing with absolute matrix summability (see [18]).
2. If we take $\theta_n = \frac{P_n}{p_n}$ and $a_{nv} = \frac{p_v}{P_n}$ in Theorem 3.1, then we have a theorem dealing with $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$ summability (see [6]).
3. If we take $\theta_n = n$ and $a_{nv} = \frac{p_v}{P_n}$ in Theorem 3.1, then we obtain a new result dealing with $|R, p_n|_k$ summability method.
4. If we take $\theta_n = n$, $a_{nv} = \frac{p_v}{P_n}$ and $p_n = 1$ for all values of n in Theorem 3.1, then we have a result for $|C, 1|_k$ summability.

References

- [1] L. S. Basanquet, *Mathematical Review* 12 (1951), 254.
- [2] S. N. Bhatt, *An aspect of local property of $|R, \log, 1|$ summability of the factored Fourier series*, Proc. Natl. Inst. India 26 (1960), 69-73.
- [3] H. Bor, *On two summability methods*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 97 (1) (1985), 147-149.
- [4] H. Bor, *Local property of $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$ summability of factored Fourier series*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica 17 (1989), 165-170.
- [5] H. Bor, *On the relative strength of two absolute summability methods*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 113 (1991), 1009-1012.
- [6] H. Bor, *On the local property of $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$ summability of factored Fourier series*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 163 (1992), 220-226.
- [7] D. Borwein, *The nonlocal nature of the summability of Fourier series by certain absolute Riesz methods*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 114 (1992), 89-94.
- [8] G. H. Hardy, *Divergent Series*, Clarendon Press Oxford, (1949).
- [9] K. Matsumoto, *Local property of the summability $|R, p_n, 1|$* , Tohoku Math. J. 2 8 (1956), 114-124.
- [10] K. N. Mishra, *Multipliers for $|\bar{N}, p_n|$ summability of Fourier series*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica 14 (1986), 431-438.
- [11] R. Mohanty, *On the summability $|R, \log w, 1|$ of Fourier series*, J. London Math. Soc. 25 (1950), 67-72.
- [12] H. S. Özarslan, T. Kandefer, *On the relative strength of two absolute summability methods*, J. Comput. Anal. Appl. 11 no. 3, (2009), 576-583.

- [13] H. S. Özarslan, Ş. Yıldız, *On the local property of summability of factored Fourier series*, Int. J. Pure Math. 3 (2016), 1-5.
- [14] H. S. Özarslan, Ş. Yıldız, *A new study on the absolute summability factors of Fourier series*, J. Math. Anal. 7 (2016), 31-36.
- [15] H. S. Özarslan, Ş. Yıldız, *Local properties of absolute matrix summability of factored Fourier series*, Filomat 31 15 (2017), 4897-4903.
- [16] M. A. Sarıgöl, *On absolute summability factors*, Comment. Math. Prace Mat. 31 (1991), 157-163.
- [17] M. A. Sarıgöl, *On the absolute weighted mean summability methods*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 115 (1992), 157-160.
- [18] M. A. Sarıgöl, H. Bor, *On local property of $|A|_k$ summability of factored Fourier series*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 188 (1994), 118-127.
- [19] M. A. Sarıgöl, H. Bor, *Characterization of absolute summability factors*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 195 (1995), 537-545.
- [20] M. A. Sarıgöl, *On the local properties of factored Fourier series*, Appl. Math. Comp. 216 (2010), 3386-3390.
- [21] Ş. YILDIZ, *A new theorem on local properties of factored Fourier series*, Bull Math. Anal. Appl. 8 (2) (2016) 1-8.
- [22] Ş. YILDIZ, *A new note on local property of factored Fourier series*, Bull Math. Anal. Appl. 8 (4) (2016) 91-97.
- [23] Ş. YILDIZ, *A new theorem on absolute matrix summability of Fourier series*, Pub. Inst. Math. (N.S.) 102 (116) (2017), 107-113.
- [24] Ş. Yıldız, *On absolute matrix summability factors of infinite series and Fourier series*, GU J. Sci. 30 1 (2017), 363-370.
- [25] Ş. Yıldız *On Riesz summability factors of Fourier series*, Trans. A. Razmadze Math. Inst. 171 (2017), 328-331.
- [26] Ş. Yıldız *A new generalization on absolute matrix summability factors of Fourier series*, J. Inequal. Spec. Funct. 8 (2) (2017), 65-73.
- [27] Ş. Yıldız, *On Application of Matrix Summability to Fourier Series*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. DOI: 10.1002/mma.4635, (2017)
- [28] Ş. Yıldız, *On the absolute matrix summability factors of Fourier series*, Math. Notes, Vol.103, No.2 (2018), 297-303.
- [29] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric Series*, vol.1, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1959).