

A basic inequality of submanifolds in quaternionic space forms

Dae Won Yoon

Abstract

In this article, we establish a sharp inequality involving δ -invariant introduced by Chen for submanifolds in quaternionic space forms of constant quaternionic sectional curvature with arbitrary codimension.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 53B25, 53B35.

Key words: δ -invariant, scalar curvature, mean curvature, quaternionic space form.

1 Introduction

Let \tilde{M} be a $4m$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold with metric g . \tilde{M} is called a quaternionic Kaehler manifold if there exists a 3-dimensional vector space V of tensors of type (1,1) with local basis of almost Hermitian structure ϕ_1, ϕ_2 and ϕ_3 such that for all $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$:

- (a) $\phi_i \phi_{i+1} = \phi_{i+2} = -\phi_{i+1} \phi_i$ and $\phi_i^2 = -1$ ($i \bmod 3$),
 - (b) for any local cross-section ξ of V , $\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi$ is also a cross-section of V , where X is an arbitrary vector field on \tilde{M} and $\tilde{\nabla}$ the Riemannian connection on \tilde{M} .
- In fact, condition (b) is equivalent to the following condition:
- (b') there exist local 1-forms q_1, q_2, q_3 such that

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X \phi_i = q_{i+2}(X) \phi_{i+1} - q_{i+1}(X) \phi_{i+2} \quad (i \bmod 3).$$

Now, let X be a unit vector on \tilde{M} , then $X, \phi_1(X), \phi_2(X)$ and $\phi_3(X)$ form an orthonormal frame on \tilde{M} . We denote by $Q(X)$ the 4-plane spanned by them, and denote by $\pi(X, Y)$ the plane spanned by X, Y . Any 2-plane in $Q(X)$ is called a quaternionic plane. The sectional curvature of a quaternionic

plane π is called the quaternionic sectional curvature of π . A quaternionic Kaehler manifold is a quaternionic space form if its quaternionic sectional curvatures are equal to a constant, say $4c$. A quaternionic space form will be denoted by $\tilde{M}(4c)$. It is well-known that a quaternionic Kaehler manifold \tilde{M} is a quaternionic space form if and only if its curvature tensor \tilde{R} is of the following ([4]):

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}(X, Y)Z &= c\{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^3 (g(\phi_i Y, Z)\phi_i X - g(\phi_i X, Z)\phi_i Y - 2g(\phi_i X, Y)\phi_i Z)\} \end{aligned}$$

for vectors X, Y, Z tangent to \tilde{M} .

An n -dimensional Riemannian manifold M isometrically immersed in $\tilde{M}(4c)$ is called invariant if ϕ_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) maps the tangent space $T_p M$ into $T_p M$ for each point $p \in M$. Also, M is called totally real or anti-invariant if ϕ_i maps the tangent space $T_p M$ into $T_p^\perp M$ for each point $p \in M$, that is, $\phi_i(T_p M) \subset T_p^\perp M$, where $T_p^\perp M$ is the normal space of M in $\tilde{M}(4c)$. A submanifold M is said to admit a quasi anti-invariant structure of rank k in $\tilde{M}(4c)$ if the tangent bundle TM of M is decomposed as $TM = \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{D}^\perp$ satisfying ([6]):

- (i) \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{D}^\perp are mutually orthogonal.
- (ii) \mathcal{D}^\perp is anti-invariant under the action of ϕ_i for every point p of M .
- (iii) $\dim \mathcal{D}^\perp = k$.

Let ∇ be the induced Levi-Civita connection on M . Then the Gauss and Weingarten formulas are given respectively by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_X Y &= \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y), \\ \tilde{\nabla}_X V &= -A_V X + D_X V \end{aligned}$$

for vector fields X, Y tangent to M and a vector field V normal to M , where h denotes the second fundamental form, D the normal connection and A_V the shape operator in the direction of V . The second fundamental form and the shape operator are related by

$$g(h(X, Y), V) = g(A_V X, Y).$$

We also use g for the induced Riemannian metric on M as well as the quaternionic space form $\tilde{M}(4c)$. The mean curvature vector H on M in $\tilde{M}(4c)$ plays an important role in determining our basic inequality later that is defined by

$$H = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h(e_i, e_i) = \text{tr} h$$

where $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ is a local orthonormal frame of tangent bundle TM of M . A submanifold M in $\tilde{M}(4c)$ is called minimal if the mean curvature vector H vanishes identically over M .

2 Riemannian invariants

Riemannian invariants of a Riemannian manifold are the intrinsic characteristic of the Riemannian manifold. In this section, we recall a string of Riemannian invariants on a Riemannian manifold ([3]).

For an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold M , we denote by $K(\pi)$ the sectional curvature of M associated with a plane section $\pi \subset T_p M, p \in M$. For any orthonormal basis e_1, \dots, e_n of the tangent space $T_p M$, the scalar curvature τ at p is defined by to be

$$(2.1) \quad \tau(p) = \sum_{i < j} K(e_i \wedge e_j).$$

Chen introduced an invariant δ_M on M by using

$$(\inf K)(p) = \inf\{K(\pi) \mid \pi \text{ is a plane section } \subset T_p M\}$$

in the following manner:

$$(2.2) \quad \delta_M = \tau - \inf K.$$

Let L be a subspace of $T_p M$ of dimension $r \geq 2$ and $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$ an orthonormal basis of L . We define the scalar curvature $\tau(L)$ of the r -plane section L by

$$(2.3) \quad \tau(L) = \sum_{\alpha < \beta} K(e_\alpha \wedge e_\beta), \quad 1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq r.$$

Given an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ of the tangent space $T_p M$, we simply denote by $\tau_{1 \dots r}$ the scalar curvature of the r -plane section spanned by e_1, \dots, e_r . The scalar curvature $\tau(p)$ of M at p is nothing but the scalar curvature of the tangent space of M at p , and if L is a 2-plane section, $\tau(L)$ is nothing but the sectional curvature $K(L)$ of L . Geometrically, $\tau(L)$ is nothing but the scalar curvature of the image $exp_p(L)$ of L at p under the exponential map at p . For an integer $k \geq 0$ denote by $\mathcal{S}(n, k)$ the finite set consisting of unordered k -tuples (n_1, \dots, n_k) of integers ≥ 2 satisfying $n_1 < n$ and $n_1 + \dots + n_k \leq n$. Denote by $\mathcal{S}(n)$ the set of unordered k -tuples with $k \geq 0$ for a fixed n . For each k -tuple $(n_1, \dots, n_k) \in \mathcal{S}(n)$ the sequence of Riemannian invariant $\mathcal{S}(n_1, \dots, n_k)(p)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{S}(n_1, \dots, n_k)(p) = \inf\{\tau(L_1) + \dots + \tau(L_k)\},$$

where L_1, \dots, L_k run over all k mutually orthogonal subspaces of $T_p M$ such that $\dim L_j = n_j, j = 1, \dots, k$. The string of Riemannian curvature invariant $\delta(n_1, \dots, n_k)$ is given by

$$(2.4) \quad \delta(n_1, \dots, n_k)(p) = \tau(p) - \mathcal{S}(n_1, \dots, n_k)(p).$$

For each $(n_1, \dots, n_k) \in \mathcal{S}(n)$, let $c(n_1, \dots, n_k)$ and $b(n_1, \dots, n_k)$ denote the positive constants given by

$$c(n_1, \dots, n_k) = \frac{n^2(n+k-1 - \sum n_j)}{2(n+k - \sum n_j)},$$

$$b(n_1, \dots, n_k) = \frac{1}{2} \left(n(n-1) - \sum_{j=1}^k n_j(n_j-1) \right).$$

3 An inequality for submanifolds in quaternionic space form

Let M be an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold isometrically immersed in a $4m$ -dimensional quaternionic space form $\tilde{M}(4c)$ of constant quaternionic sectional curvature $4c$. Then, the Gauss equation on M is given by

$$(3.1) \quad g(R(X, Y)Z, W) = c\{g(Y, Z)g(X, W) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W) + \\ + \sum_{i=1}^3 [g(\phi_i Y, Z)g(\phi_i X, W) - g(\phi_i X, Z)g(\phi_i Y, W) - 2g(\phi_i X, Y)g(\phi_i Z, W)]\}$$

for vectors X, Y, Z tangent to \tilde{M} . For any $p \in M$ and for any $X \in T_p M$, we have $\phi_i X = P_i X + F_i X$, $P_i \in T_p M$, $F_i \in T_p^\perp M$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $T_p M$. We put

$$\|P_k\|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n g^2(P_k e_i, e_j), \quad k = 1, 2, 3.$$

On the other hand, the scalar curvature τ satisfies

$$(3.2) \quad 2\tau = n(n-1)c + 3c \sum_{i=1}^3 (\|P_i\|^2 + n^2 \|H\|^2 - \|h\|^2).$$

Let $L \subset T_p M$ be a subspace of $T_p M$, $\dim L = r$. We put

$$\alpha_k(L) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq r} g^2(P_k e_i, e_j), \quad k = 1, 2, 3,$$

where $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$ is an orthonormal basis of L .

We now recall Chen's lemma:

Lemma 3.1.([2]) Let a_1, \dots, a_n, c be $n+1$ ($n \geq 2$) real numbers such that

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \right)^2 = (n-1) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 + c \right).$$

Then, $2a_1a_2 \geq c$, with the equality holding if and only if $a_1 + a_2 = a_3 = \dots = a_n$.

Theorem 3.2. Let M be an n -dimensional submanifold of a $4m$ -dimensional quaternionic space form $\tilde{M}(4c)$ of constant quaternionic sectional curvature $4c$. Then, for any point $p \in M$ and any plane section π in T_pM , we have

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \tau - K(\pi) \leq & \frac{(n-2)(n+1)}{2}c + \frac{n^2(n-2)}{2(n-1)}\|H\|^2 \\ & - 3c \sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_i(\pi) + \frac{3c}{2} \sum_{i=1}^3 \|P_i\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

The equality holds at $p \in M$ if and only if there exists an orthonormal basis $\{e_{n+1}, \dots, e_{4m}\}$ for $T_p^\perp M$ such that (a) $\pi = \text{span}\{e_1, e_2\}$ (b) the shape operator $A_r = A_{e_r}$, $r = n+1, \dots, 4m$, take the following forms:

$$(3.4) \quad A_{n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & c \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(3.5) \quad A_r = \begin{pmatrix} c_r & d_r & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ d_r & -c_r & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a + b = c$ and $c_r, d_r \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Let p be a point of M and π be a plane section contained in the tangent space T_pM of M at p . We choose an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ for T_pM and $\{e_{n+1}, \dots, e_{4m}\}$ for the normal space $T_p^\perp M$ at p such that e_1 and e_2 generator the plane section π and the normal vector e_{n+1} is in the direction of the mean curvature vector H . Then the Gauss equation (3.1) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
K(\pi) &= K(e_1 \wedge e_2) = c + 3c \sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_i(\pi) + h_{11}^{n+1} h_{22}^{n+1} \\
&\quad + \sum_{r \geq n+2} h_{11}^r h_{22}^r - (h_{12}^{n+1})^2 - \sum_{r \geq n+2} (h_{12}^r)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

We put

$$(3.6) \quad \rho = 2\tau - \frac{n^2(n-2)}{n-1} \|H\|^2 - 3c \sum_{i=1}^3 \|P_i\|^2 - n(n-1)c.$$

Substituting (3.2) into (3.6), we have

$$n^2 \|H\|^2 = (n-1)(\rho + \|h\|^2),$$

in other words,

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n h_{ii}^{n+1} \right)^2 = (n-1) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (h_{ii}^{n+1})^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} (h_{ij}^{n+1})^2 + \sum_{r \geq n+2} \sum_{i,j} (h_{ij}^r)^2 + \rho \right).$$

Applying Lemma 3.1, we get

$$h_{11}^{n+1} h_{22}^{n+1} \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i \neq j} (h_{ij}^{n+1})^2 + \sum_{r=n+2}^{4m} \sum_{i,j} (h_{ij}^r)^2 + \rho \right).$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.7) \quad K(\pi) &\geq c + 3c \sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_i(\pi) + \frac{1}{2} \rho + \sum_{r=n+1}^{4m} \sum_{j>2} \{(h_{1j}^r)^2 + (h_{2j}^r)^2\} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j > 2} (h_{ij}^{n+1})^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=n+2}^{4m} \sum_{i,j > 2} (h_{ij}^r)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=n+2}^{4m} (h_{11}^r + h_{22}^r)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Making use of (3.6), we get (3.3).

Suppose the equality of (3.3) holds. Then, the terms involving h_{ij}^r 's in (3.7) vanish at the same time and thus

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{1j}^{n+1} &= h_{2j}^{n+1} = 0, \quad h_{ij}^{n+1} = 0, \quad i \neq j > 2, \\
h_{1j}^r &= h_{2j}^r = h_{ij}^r = 0, \quad r = n+2, \dots, 4m; \quad i, j \geq 3, \\
h_{11}^r + h_{22}^r &= 0, \quad r = n+2, \dots, 4m.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we may choose e_1 and e_2 such that $h_{12}^{n+1} = 0$. Also, Lemma 3.1 implies that

$$h_{11}^{n+1} + h_{22}^{n+1} = h_{33}^{n+1} = \cdots = h_{nn}^{n+1}.$$

Therefore, the shape operator A_r ($r = n + 1, \dots, 4m$) take the form (3.4) and (3.5). The converse is obvious. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Let M be an n -dimensional submanifold of a $4m$ -dimensional quaternionic projective space $\tilde{M}(4c)$ ($c > 0$) of constant quaternionic sectional curvature $4c$. Then, for any point $p \in M$ and any plane section π in T_pM , we have*

$$(3.8) \quad \delta_M \leq \frac{1}{2}(n^2 + 8n - 2)c + \frac{n^2(n-2)}{2(n-1)}\|H\|^2$$

with equality holding if and only if M is invariant.

Proof. We suppose that $c > 0$, we must maximize the term $\sum_{i=1}^3 \|P_i\|^2 - 2\sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_i(\pi)$ in (3.3). The maximum value is reached for $\|P_i\|^2 = n$, $\alpha_i(\pi) = 0$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$), that is, M is invariant and we can also obtain (3.8). \square

Theorem 3.4. *Let M be an n -dimensional submanifold of a $4m$ -dimensional quaternionic hyperbolic space $\tilde{M}(4c)$ ($c < 0$) of constant quaternionic sectional curvature $4c$. Then, for any point $p \in M$ and any plane section π in T_pM , we have*

$$(3.9) \quad \delta_M \leq \frac{(n-2)(n+1)}{2}c + \frac{n^2(n-2)}{2(n-1)}\|H\|^2$$

with equality holding if and only if M admits a quasi anti-invariant structure of rank $n - 2$.

Proof. Assume that $c < 0$. We must minimize the last term $\sum_{i=1}^3 \|P_i\|^2 - 2\sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_i(\pi)$ in (3.3) in order to estimate δ_M . For an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ of T_pM with $\pi = \text{span}\{e_1, e_2\}$, we can write

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \|P_i\|^2 - 2\sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_i(\pi) = \sum_{k=1}^3 \left(\sum_{i,j=3}^n g^2(\phi_k e_i, e_j) + 2\sum_{j=3}^n (g^2(\phi_k e_1, e_j) + g^2(\phi_k e_2, e_j)) \right).$$

Thus, the minimum value is zero. This occurs only when $\pi = \text{span}\{e_1, e_2\}$ is orthogonal to $\text{span}\{\phi_k e_i | i = 3, \dots, n, k = 1, 2, 3\}$. Furthermore, $\text{span}\{\phi_k e_i | i = 3, \dots, n, k = 1, 2, 3\}$ is orthogonal to the tangent space T_pM . Thus, we have (3.9) with equality holding if and only if M admits a quasi anti-invariant structure of rank $(n - 2)$. \square

Theorem 3.5. *Let M be an n -dimensional submanifold of a $4m$ -dimensional quaternionic projective space $\tilde{M}(4c)$ ($c > 0$) of constant quaternionic sectional curvature $4c$. Then, we have*

$$(3.10) \quad \delta(n_1, \dots, n_k) \leq c(n_1, \dots, n_k) \|H\|^2 + b(n_1, \dots, n_k)c + \frac{9n}{2}c$$

for any k -tuple $(n_1, \dots, n_k) \in \mathcal{S}(n)$.

The equality case of inequality (3.10) holds at a point $p \in M$ if and only if there exists an orthonormal basis e_1, \dots, e_{4m} at p such that the shape operators of M in $\tilde{M}(4c)$ ($c > 0$) at p take the following forms:

$$(3.11) \quad A_r = \begin{pmatrix} A_1^r & \dots & 0 & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \mathbf{0} \\ 0 & \dots & A_k^r & \\ & & \mathbf{0} & \mu_r I \end{pmatrix}, \quad r = n+1, \dots, 4m,$$

where I is an identity matrix and each A_j^r are symmetric $n_j \times n_j$ submatrices such that

$$\text{trace}(A_1^r) = \dots = \text{trace}(A_k^r) = \mu_r.$$

Proof. Let M be a submanifold of a quaternionic projective space $\tilde{M}(4c)$ ($c > 0$) of constant quaternionic sectional curvature $4c$.

If $k = 1$, this was done in Theorem 3.3. Hence, we assume $k > 1$.

Let $(n_1, \dots, n_k) \in \mathcal{S}(n)$. Put

$$(3.12) \quad \eta = 2\tau - n(n-1)c - \frac{n^2(n+k-1-\sum n_j)}{(n+k-\sum n_j)} \|H\|^2 - 3c \sum_{i=1}^3 \|P_i\|^2.$$

Substituting (3.2) into (3.12), we have

$$(3.13) \quad n^2 \|H\|^2 = \gamma(\eta + \|h\|^2), \quad \gamma = n+k - \sum n_j.$$

Let L_1, \dots, L_k be mutually orthogonal subspaces of $T_p M$ with $\dim L_j = n_j, j = 1, \dots, k$. By choosing an orthonormal basis e_1, \dots, e_{4m} at p such that

$$L_j = \text{Span}\{e_{n_1+\dots+n_{j-1}+1}, \dots, e_{n_1+\dots+n_j}\}, \quad j = 1, \dots, k$$

and e_{n+1} is in the direction of the mean curvature vector, we obtain from (3.13) that

$$(3.14) \quad \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \right)^2 = \gamma \left(\eta + \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i)^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} (h_{ij}^{n+1})^2 + \sum_{r=n+2}^{4m} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (h_{ij}^r)^2 \right),$$

where $a_i = h_{ii}^{n+1}, i = 1, \dots, n$, and $\gamma = n+k - \sum n_j$.

We set

$$(3.15) \quad \Delta_1 = \{1, \dots, n_1\}, \dots, \Delta_k = \{n_1 + \dots + n_{k-1} + 1, \dots, n_1 + \dots + n_k\}.$$

In other words, the equation (3.14) can be rewritten in the form

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\gamma+1} \bar{a}_i \right)^2 = \gamma(\eta + \sum_{i=1}^{\gamma+1} (\bar{a}_i)^2) + \sum_{i \neq j} (h_{ij}^{n+1})^2 + \sum_{r=n+2}^{4m} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (h_{ij}^r)^2 \\ & - \sum_{2 \leq \alpha_1 \neq \beta_1 \leq n_1} a_{\alpha_1} a_{\beta_1} - \sum_{\alpha_2 \neq \beta_2} a_{\alpha_2} a_{\beta_2} - \dots - \sum_{\alpha_k \neq \beta_k} a_{\alpha_k} a_{\beta_k}, \\ & \alpha_2, \beta_2 \in \Delta_2, \dots, \alpha_k, \beta_k \in \Delta_k \end{aligned}$$

where we put

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{a}_1 &= a_1, \bar{a}_2 = a_2 + \dots + a_{n_1}, \\ \bar{a}_3 &= a_{n_1+1} + \dots + a_{n_1+n_2}, \dots, \bar{a}_{k+1} = a_{n_1+\dots+n_{k-1}+1} + \dots + a_{n_1+\dots+n_k}, \\ \bar{a}_{k+2} &= a_{n_1+\dots+n_k+1}, \dots, \bar{a}_{\gamma+1} = a_n. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 3.1 to (3.15), we can obtain the following inequality

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{\alpha_1 < \beta_1} a_{\alpha_1} a_{\beta_1} + \sum_{\alpha_2 < \beta_2} a_{\alpha_2} a_{\beta_2} + \dots + \sum_{\alpha_k < \beta_k} a_{\alpha_k} a_{\beta_k} \\ & \geq \frac{\eta}{2} + \sum_{i < j} (h_{ij}^{n+1})^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=n+2}^{4m} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (h_{ij}^r)^2, \\ & \alpha_j, \beta_j \in \Delta_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, k. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, from (2.3) and Gauss' equation we see that

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \tau(L_j) &= \frac{n_j(n_j-1)}{2}c + 3c \sum_{l=1}^3 \alpha_l(L_j) \\ &+ \sum_{r=n+1}^{4m} \sum_{\alpha_j < \beta_j} (h_{\alpha_j \alpha_j}^r h_{\beta_j \beta_j}^r - (h_{\alpha_j \beta_j}^r)^2), \quad \alpha_j, \beta_j \in \Delta_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, k. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, combining (3.16) and (3.17) we get

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \tau(L_1) + \dots + \tau(L_k) &\geq \frac{\eta}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{n_j(n_j-1)}{2}c + 3c \sum_{l=1}^3 \alpha_l(L_j) \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=n+1}^{4m} \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \notin \Delta^2} (h_{\alpha\beta}^r)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=n+2}^{4m} \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\sum_{\alpha_j \in \Delta_j} h_{\alpha_j \alpha_j}^r \right)^2 \\ &\geq \frac{\eta}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\frac{n_j(n_j-1)}{2}c + 3c \sum_{l=1}^3 \alpha_l(L_j) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta = \Delta_1 \cup \dots \cup \Delta_k$, $\Delta^2 = (\Delta_1 \times \Delta_1) \cup \dots \cup (\Delta_k \times \Delta_k)$.
Substituting (3.2) into (3.18), it follows that

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \tau - \sum_{j=1}^k \tau(L_j) &\leq c(n_1, \dots, n_k) \|H\|^2 + b(n_1, \dots, n_k)c \\ &+ \frac{3}{2}c \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \|P_i\|^2 + 2 \sum_{l=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_l(L_j) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $c > 0$, inequality (3.10) thus follows.

If the equality in (3.10) holds at a point p , then the inequalities in (3.16) and (3.18) are actually equalities at p . In this case, by applying Lemma 3.1 and (3.15)-(3.18), we also obtain (3.11). The converse can be verified by a straight-forward computation. \square

Corollary 3.6. *Let M be an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold and $p \in M$. If there exists a k -tuple $(n_1, \dots, n_k) \in \mathcal{S}(n)$ and a point $p \in M$ such that*

$$(3.21) \quad \delta(n_1, \dots, n_k) > \frac{1}{2} \left(n(n-1) - \sum_{j=1}^k n_j(n_j-1) + 9n \right) c,$$

then M admits no minimal submanifold into any $4m$ -dimensional quaternionic projective space $\tilde{M}(4c)$ ($c > 0$).

Theorem 3.7. *Let M be an n -dimensional submanifold of a $4m$ -dimensional quaternionic hyperbolic space $\tilde{M}(4c)$ ($c < 0$) of constant quaternionic sectional curvature $4c$. Then, we have*

$$(3.22) \quad \delta(n_1, \dots, n_k) \leq c(n_1, \dots, n_k) \|H\|^2 + b(n_1, \dots, n_k)c$$

for any k -tuple $(n_1, \dots, n_k) \in \mathcal{S}(n)$.

The equality case of inequality (3.20) holds at a point $p \in M$ if and only if there exists an orthonormal basis e_1, \dots, e_{4m} at p such that the shape operators of M in $\tilde{M}(4c)$ ($c < 0$) at p take the forms (3.11).

Proof. By using (3.19) and $c < 0$, one gets (3.20). \square

Corollary 3.8. *Let M be an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold and $p \in M$. If there exists a k -tuple $(n_1, \dots, n_k) \in \mathcal{S}(n)$ and a point $p \in M$ such that*

$$(3.23) \quad \delta(n_1, \dots, n_k) > \frac{1}{2} \left(n(n-1) - \sum_{j=1}^k n_j(n_j-1) \right) c,$$

then M admits no minimal submanifold into any m -dimensional quaternionic hyperbolic space $\tilde{M}(4c)$ ($c < 0$).

Corollary 3.9. *Let M be an n -dimensional totally real submanifold of a $4m$ -dimensional quaternionic space form $\tilde{M}(4c)$ of constant quaternionic sectional curvature $4c$. Then, we have*

$$(3.24) \quad \delta(n_1, \dots, n_k) \leq c(n_1, \dots, n_k) \|H\|^2 + b(n_1, \dots, n_k)c$$

for any k -tuple $(n_1, \dots, n_k) \in \mathcal{S}(n)$.

The equality case of inequality (3.21) holds at a point $p \in M$ if and only if there exists an orthonormal basis e_1, \dots, e_{4m} at p such that the shape operators of M in $\tilde{M}(4c)$ at p take the forms (3.11).

Proof. Let M be an n -dimensional totally real submanifold of a $4m$ -dimensional quaternionic space form $\tilde{M}(4c)$. Then we have $\|P_i\|^2 = 0$, $\alpha_i(L) = 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Thus, from (3.19) we obtain (3.21). \square

References

- [1] K. Arslan, R. Ezentas, I. Mihai, C. Murathan and C. Özgür, *B. Y. Chen inequalities for submanifolds in locally conformal almost cosymplectic manifolds*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica, 29 (2001), 231-242.
- [2] B. Y. Chen, *Some pinching and classification theorems for minimal submanifolds*, Arch. Math., 60 (1993), 568-578.
- [3] B. Y. Chen, *Some new obstructions to minimal and Lagrangian isometric immersions*, Japanese J. Math., 26 (2000), 105-127.
- [4] S. Ishihara, *Quaternion Kählerian manifolds*, J. Diff. Geometry, 9 (1974), 483-500.
- [5] J.-S. Kim and J. Choi, *A basic inequality for submanifolds in a cosymplectic space form*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. 2003 (2003), 539-547.
- [6] Y. H. Kim and D.-S. Kim, *A basic inequality for submanifolds in Sasakian space forms*, Houst. J. Math., 25 (1999), 247-257.
- [7] N. G. Kim and D. W. Yoon, *An obstruction to totally real submanifolds in locally conformal Kähler space forms*, Far East J. Math. Sci., 3 (2001), 645-655.
- [8] A. Oiaga and I. Mihai, *B. Y. Chen inequalities for slant submanifolds in complex space forms*, Demonstratio Math., 32 (1999), 835-846.

- [9] M. M. Tripathi, J.-S. Kim and S.-B. Kim, *A basic inequality for submanifolds in locally conformal almost cosymplectic manifolds*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci.(Math. Sci.), 112 (2002), 415-423.
- [10] D. W. Yoon, B.-Y. *Chen inequality CR-submanifolds of locally conformal Kaehler space forms*, Demonstratio Math., 36 (2003), 189-198.

Dae Won Yoon

Department of Mathematics Education and RINS,
Gyeongsang National University, Chinju 660-701, Rep. of Korea,
e-mail address: dwyoon@nongae.gsnu.ac.kr