

EXCHANGE FORMULA FOR GENERALIZED LAMBERT TRANSFORM AND ITS EXTENSION TO BOEHMIANS

R. ROOPKUMAR, E. R. NEGRIN

ABSTRACT. We derive the exchange formula for the generalized Lambert transform, by defining a suitable product in the range of generalized Lambert transform on $\mathcal{E}'((0, \infty))$. We prove that the generalized Lambert transform from $\mathcal{E}'((0, \infty))$ into $\mathcal{E}((0, \infty))$ is continuous. Applying the exchange formula and continuity of generalized Lambert transform, we construct a new Boehmian space which will be the range of generalized Lambert transform on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}'((0, \infty)), \mathcal{D}'((0, \infty)), *, \Delta_+)$. We establish that the generalized Lambert transform on Boehmians is consistent with that on $\mathcal{E}'((0, \infty))$, linear, one-to-one, onto and continuous with respect to δ -convergence and Δ -convergence. We also obtain the exchange formula for generalized Lambert transform in the context of Boehmians.

1. INTRODUCTION

We denote the set of all natural numbers and non-negative integers, respectively by \mathbb{N} and \mathbb{N}_0 . Let $\mathcal{E}((0, \infty))$ be the space of all infinitely differentiable complex valued functions on $(0, \infty)$ equipped with the Fréchet space topology given by the family of semi-norms [23, p. 36],

$$\gamma_{K,k}(\phi) = \sup_{x \in K} |\phi^{(k)}(x)|, \quad \text{where } K \subset (0, \infty) \text{ is compact and } k \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (1.1)$$

The dual space $\mathcal{E}'((0, \infty))$ of $\mathcal{E}((0, \infty))$ is called the space of compactly supported distributions on $(0, \infty)$. Throughout this paper, we use strong convergence in the space $\mathcal{E}'((0, \infty))$ which is defined as follows: (f_n) converges to f in $\mathcal{E}'((0, \infty))$, if for each bounded subset B of $\mathcal{E}((0, \infty))$,

$$\sup_{\phi \in B} |\langle f_n - f, \phi \rangle| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We recall the usual convolution defined on $\mathcal{E}'((0, \infty))$ by

$$\langle f * g, \phi \rangle = \langle f(t), \langle g(s), \phi(s+t) \rangle \rangle, \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{E}((0, \infty)). \quad (1.2)$$

By $\mathcal{D}'((0, \infty))$ and $\mathcal{D}((0, \infty))$, as usual we mean the Schwartz testing function space of all smooth functions with compact support and the space of Schwartz

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 44A15, 44A35.

Key words and phrases. Boehmians, convolution, distributions of compact support, generalized Lambert transform.

©2010 Universiteti i Prishtinës, Prishtinë, Kosovë.

Submitted March, 2010. Published April, 2010.

distributions respectively. It is well known that $\mathcal{D}((0, \infty))$ is a subset of $\mathcal{E}'((0, \infty))$ by the canonical identification.

Now we recall the theory of Lambert transform from the literature. Widder [22] introduced the Lambert transform of a suitable function by

$$F(x) = \int_0^\infty f(t) \frac{1}{e^{xt} - 1} dt, \quad x > 0, \quad (1.3)$$

and R. R. Goldberg [3] generalized the Lambert transform by

$$F(x) = \int_0^\infty f(t) \sum_{k=1}^\infty a_k e^{-kxt} dt, \quad x > 0, \quad (1.4)$$

where $\{a_k\}$ is a sequence of class C_r , for $r > 0$. That is, $A = \{a_k\}$ satisfies the following conditions.

- (1) $a_k \geq 0, k = 1, 2, \dots$
- (2) $a_k = O(k^{r-1}), k \rightarrow \infty$.
- (3) $a_1 > 0$.
- (4) $b_m = O(m^{r-1}), m \rightarrow \infty$ where $\sum_{d/p} a_d b_{p/d} = \begin{cases} 1, & p = 1 \\ 0, & p = 2, 3, \dots \end{cases}$

Observe that for $a_k = 1$, for all $k = 1, 2, \dots$, the generalized Lambert transform (1.4) agrees with the Lambert transform (1.3).

Negrin [11] extended the Lambert transform to the space $\mathcal{E}'((0, \infty))$ of compactly supported distributions on $(0, \infty)$ by

$$F(x) = \left\langle f(t), \frac{1}{e^{xt} - 1} \right\rangle, \quad x > 0. \quad (1.5)$$

N. Hayek, B. J. González and E. R. Negrin [4] extended the generalized Lambert transform to the context of compactly supported distributions on $(0, \infty)$ by

$$F(x) = \left\langle f(t), \sum_{k=1}^\infty a_k e^{-kxt} \right\rangle, \quad x > 0. \quad (1.6)$$

It is proved that F is infinitely differentiable and the inversion formula is obtained as follows.

$$\langle f, \phi \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \cdot \left(\frac{n}{t}\right)^{n+1} \cdot \sum_{m=1}^\infty b_m m^n \cdot D^n F\left(\frac{mn}{t}\right), \phi(t) \right\rangle, \quad (1.7)$$

for every $\phi \in \mathcal{D}((0, \infty))$.

For our convenience, we denote the generalized Lambert transform of f and the Laplace transform of f , respectively by $\mathcal{L}_A f$, \hat{f} , where Laplace transform of f is defined by

$$\hat{f}(s) = \langle f(t), e^{-st} \rangle, \quad s > 0. \quad (1.8)$$

By equation (1.7), if $\mathcal{L}_A f = \mathcal{L}_A g$ then $f = g$ as members of $\mathcal{D}'((0, \infty))$. Since $\mathcal{D}((0, \infty))$ is dense in $\mathcal{E}'((0, \infty))$, the equality holds in $\mathcal{E}'((0, \infty))$. In other words

$$\mathcal{L}_A : \mathcal{E}'((0, \infty)) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'((0, \infty)) \text{ is one-to-one.} \quad (1.9)$$

2. EXCHANGE FORMULA AND CONTINUITY

Now we define a new product \otimes for $F \in \mathcal{L}_A(\mathcal{E}'((0, \infty)))$ and $G \in \mathcal{L}_A(\mathcal{E}'((0, \infty)))$ by

$$(F \otimes G)(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \hat{f}(kx) \cdot \hat{g}(kx), \quad (2.1)$$

where $f, g \in \mathcal{E}'((0, \infty))$ such that $\mathcal{L}_A f = F$ and $\mathcal{L}_A g = G$.

We note that the above definition is well defined. Indeed, by using (1.9), we can find unique $f, g \in \mathcal{E}'((0, \infty))$ such that $F = \mathcal{L}_A f$ and $G = \mathcal{L}_A g$. Therefore $\text{supp } f \subset [a, b]$, $\text{supp } g \subset [c, d]$, for some $a, b, c, d \in (0, \infty)$. As $\mathcal{E}'((0, \infty))$ is a subset of Laplace-transformable generalized functions we can apply Theorem 3.10-2 of [23] and we get

$$|\hat{f}(kx)| \leq e^{-kxa} P_1(kx), \text{ and } |\hat{g}(kx)| \leq e^{-kxc} P_2(kx), \quad (2.2)$$

for some polynomials P_1 and P_2 .

Since $\{a_k\}$ is of class C_r from the relation (2.2) the series in equation (2.1) converges.

Before discussing the exchange formula for the generalized Lambert transform, it is necessary to show that $f * g \in \mathcal{E}'((0, \infty))$ whenever $f, g \in \mathcal{E}'((0, \infty))$. We note that every $f \in \mathcal{E}'((0, \infty))$ can be viewed as Schwartz distribution on $(0, \infty)$ with compact support. We also note that if $f, g \in \mathcal{E}'((0, \infty))$ with $\text{supp } f \subset [a, b]$ and $\text{supp } g \subset [c, d]$, for some $a, b, c, d \in (0, \infty)$, with $a < b$ and $c < d$ then the Schwartz distribution $f * g$ has compact support, in fact, $\text{supp } f * g \subset \text{supp } f + \text{supp } g \subset [a + b, c + d]$. Hence $f * g \in \mathcal{E}'((0, \infty))$.

Theorem 2.1 (Exchange formula).

If $f, g \in \mathcal{E}'((0, \infty))$ then $\mathcal{L}_A(f * g) = \mathcal{L}_A f \otimes \mathcal{L}_A g$.

Proof. First we observe from Proposition 2.2 of [4] that $(\mathcal{L}_A f)(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \hat{f}(kx)$.

Now let $x \in (0, \infty)$ be arbitrary. Since $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k e^{-kxs}$ converges in $\mathcal{E}'((0, \infty))$ and $\{e^{-kxt}\}, \{\hat{g}(kx)\}$ are bounded, we have the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k e^{-kxt} e^{-kxs}$ of functions of s and the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \hat{g}(kx) e^{-kxt}$ of functions of t converge in $\mathcal{E}'((0, \infty))$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_A(f * g))(x) &= \left\langle f(t), \left\langle g(s), \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k e^{-kx(s+t)} \right\rangle \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle f(t), \left\langle g(s), \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k e^{-kxs} e^{-kxt} \right\rangle \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle f(t), \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \langle g(s), e^{-kxs} \rangle e^{-kxt} \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle f(t), \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \hat{g}(kx) e^{-kxt} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \langle f(t), \hat{g}(kx) e^{-kxt} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \hat{f}(kx) \cdot \hat{g}(kx) \\ &= (\mathcal{L}_A f \otimes \mathcal{L}_A g)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the theorem follows. \square

Theorem 2.2. *The generalized Lambert transform $\mathcal{L}_A : \mathcal{E}'((0, \infty)) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'((0, \infty))$ is continuous .*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{E}'((0, \infty))$ is metrizable and the generalized Lambert transform is linear, it is enough to prove that $\mathcal{L}_A f_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, whenever $f_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in $\mathcal{E}'((0, \infty))$. We observe that the functions $\psi_x(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k e^{-kxt}$, $\forall t \in (0, \infty)$ constitute a bounded subset of $\mathcal{E}'((0, \infty))$ if x ranges over a compact subset of \mathbb{R} . For given $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $K \subset \mathbb{R}$ compact, we put $\mathcal{B} = \{\psi_x^{(k)} : x \in K\}$. Therefore from the equality

$$\sup_{x \in K} |(\mathcal{L}_A f_n)^{(k)}(x)| = \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{B}} |\langle f_n, \phi \rangle|$$

and by the assumption $f_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in $\mathcal{E}'((0, \infty))$, we conclude that $\mathcal{L}_A f_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in $\mathcal{E}'((0, \infty))$. \square

3. BOEHMIAN SPACE

J. Mikusiński and P. Mikusiński [7] introduced Boehmians as a generalization of distributions. An abstract construction of Boehmian space was given in [8] with two notions of convergence. Thereafter various Boehmian spaces have been defined and also various integral transforms have been extended on them, see [1, 2, 6, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 21].

First we recall the construction of an abstract Boehmian space from [8].

To consider the Boehmian space we need G, S, \star and Δ where G is a topological vector space, $S \subset G$ and $\star : G \times S \rightarrow G$ satisfying the following conditions.

Let $\alpha, \beta \in G$ and $\zeta, \xi \in S$ be arbitrary.

1. $\zeta \star \xi = \xi \star \zeta \in S$; 2. $(\alpha \star \zeta) \star \xi = \alpha \star (\zeta \star \xi)$; 3. $(\alpha + \beta) \star \zeta = \alpha \star \zeta + \beta \star \zeta$; 4. If $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in G and $\xi \in S$ then $\alpha_n \star \xi \rightarrow \alpha \star \xi$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

and Δ is a collection of sequences from S satisfying

(a) If $(\xi_n), (\zeta_n) \in \Delta$ then $(\xi_n \star \zeta_n) \in \Delta$.

(b) If $\alpha \in G$ and $(\xi_n) \in \Delta$ then $\alpha \star \xi_n \rightarrow \alpha$ in G as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Let \mathcal{A} denote the collection of all pairs of sequences $((\alpha_n), (\xi_n))$ where $\alpha_n \in G$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(\xi_n) \in \Delta$ satisfying the property

$$\alpha_n \star \xi_m = \alpha_m \star \xi_n, \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (3.1)$$

Each element of \mathcal{A} is called a quotient and it is denoted by α_n/ξ_n . Define a relation \sim on \mathcal{A} by

$$\alpha_n/\xi_n \sim \beta_n/\zeta_n \quad \text{if} \quad \alpha_n \star \zeta_m = \beta_m \star \xi_n, \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (3.2)$$

It is easy to verify that \sim is an equivalence relation on \mathcal{A} and hence it decomposes \mathcal{A} into disjoint equivalence classes. Each equivalence class is called a Boehmian and is denoted by $[\alpha_n/\xi_n]$. The collection of all Boehmians is denoted by $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(G, S, \star, \Delta)$. Every element α of G is identified uniquely as a member of \mathcal{B} by $[(\alpha \star \xi_n)/\xi_n]$ where $(\xi_n) \in \Delta$ is arbitrary.

\mathcal{B} is a vector space with addition and scalar multiplication defined as follows.

- $[\alpha_n/\xi_n] + [\beta_n/\zeta_n] = [(\alpha_n \star \zeta_n + \beta_n \star \xi_n)/(\xi_n \star \zeta_n)]$.
- $c[\alpha_n/\xi_n] = [(c\alpha_n)/\xi_n]$.

The operation \star can be extended to $\mathcal{B} \times S$ by the following definition.

Definition 3.1. If $x = [\alpha_n/\xi_n] \in \mathcal{B}$, and $\zeta \in S$ then $x \star \zeta = [(\alpha_n \star \zeta)/\xi_n]$.

Now we recall the δ -convergence on \mathcal{B} .

Definition 3.2 (δ -Convergence). We say that $X_n \xrightarrow{\delta} X$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in \mathcal{B} if there exists a delta sequence (ξ_n) such that $X_n \star \xi_k \in G, \forall n, k \in \mathbb{N}, X \star \xi_k \in G, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ and for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$X_n \star \xi_k \rightarrow X \star \xi_k \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } G.$$

The following lemma states an equivalent statement for δ -convergence.

Lemma 3.1. $X_n \xrightarrow{\delta} X$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in \mathcal{B} if and only if there exist $\alpha_{n,k}, \alpha_k \in G$ and $(\xi_k) \in \Delta$ such that $X_n = [\alpha_{n,k}/\xi_k], X = [\alpha_k/\xi_k]$ and for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\alpha_{n,k} \rightarrow \alpha_k \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } G.$$

Definition 3.3 (Δ -convergence). We say that $X_n \xrightarrow{\Delta} X$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in \mathcal{B} if there exists $(\xi_k) \in \Delta$ such that $(X_n - X) \star \xi_n \in G$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(X_n - X) \star \xi_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in G .

We construct a Boehmian space $\mathcal{B}_1 = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}'((0, \infty)), \mathcal{D}((0, \infty)), *, \Delta_+)$ where $*$ is the usual convolution defined in (1.2) and Δ_+ is the collection of all sequences (δ_n) from $\mathcal{D}((0, \infty))$ satisfying the following properties.

- (1) $\int_0^\infty \delta_n(t) dt = 1, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (2) $\int_0^\infty |\delta_n(t)| dt \leq M, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ for some $M > 0$.
- (3) If $s(\delta_n) = \sup\{t \in (0, \infty) : \delta_n(t) \neq 0\}$ then $s(\delta_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

It is well known that $\mathcal{E}'((0, \infty))$ is contained in \mathcal{B}_1 and one can prove that \mathcal{B}_1 is properly larger than $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R})$, by modifying the example given in [8].

Another Boehmian space is given by

$$\mathcal{B}_2 = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L}_A(\mathcal{E}'((0, \infty))), \mathcal{L}_A(\mathcal{E}'((0, \infty))), \otimes, \mathcal{L}_A(\Delta_+))$$

where $\mathcal{L}_A(\Delta_+) = \{(\mathcal{L}_A(\delta_n)) : (\delta_n) \in \Delta_+\}$.

4. EXTENDED LAMBERT TRANSFORM

Definition 4.1. The extended Lambert transform $\mathfrak{L}_A : \mathcal{B}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_2$ is defined by

$$\mathfrak{L}_A([f_n/\delta_n]) = [\mathcal{L}_A f_n / \mathcal{L}_A \delta_n]. \quad (4.1)$$

It is necessary to verify that $[\mathcal{L}_A f_n / \mathcal{L}_A \delta_n] \in \mathcal{B}_2$ and this definition is independent of the representatives. Indeed, using $[f_n/\delta_n] \in \mathcal{B}_1$, we get

$$f_n * \delta_m = f_m * \delta_n, \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.2)$$

Since $f_n * \delta_m \in \mathcal{E}'((0, \infty))$, we can apply the generalized Lambert transform on both sides and we get, in light of Theorem 2.1

$$\mathcal{L}_A f_n \otimes \mathcal{L}_A \delta_m = \mathcal{L}_A f_m \otimes \mathcal{L}_A \delta_n, \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.3)$$

Hence $\mathcal{L}_A f_n / \mathcal{L}_A \delta_n$ is a quotient and hence $[\mathcal{L}_A f_n / \mathcal{L}_A \delta_n] \in \mathcal{B}_2$. Moreover, if $[f_n/\delta_n] = [g_n/\epsilon_n]$ then

$$f_n * \epsilon_m = g_m * \delta_n, \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.4)$$

Again by the same reason, we get

$$\mathcal{L}_A f_n \otimes \mathcal{L}_A \epsilon_m = \mathcal{L}_A g_m \otimes \mathcal{L}_A \delta_n, \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (4.5)$$

and hence $[\mathcal{L}_A f_n / \mathcal{L}_A \delta_n] = [\mathcal{L}_A g_n / \mathcal{L}_A \epsilon_n]$.

Lemma 4.1. *The extended Lambert transform on \mathcal{B}_1 is consistent with the generalized Lambert transform on $\mathcal{E}'((0, \infty))$.*

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{E}'((0, \infty))$ be arbitrary. For any $(\delta_n) \in \Delta_+$, f is represented by $[(f * \delta_n) / \delta_n] \in \mathcal{B}_1$. Now

$$\mathfrak{L}_A [(f * \delta_n) / \delta_n] = [\mathcal{L}_A (f * \delta_n) / \mathcal{L}_A \delta_n] = [(\mathcal{L}_A f \otimes \mathcal{L}_A \delta_n) / \mathcal{L}_A \delta_n]$$

which represents $\mathcal{L}_A f$ in \mathcal{B}_2 . Thus we have proved the lemma. \square

Lemma 4.2. *The extended Lambert transform $\mathfrak{L}_A : \mathcal{B}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_2$ is a linear map.*

Proof. Let $[f_n / \delta_n], [g_n / \epsilon_n] \in \mathcal{B}_1$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$. By using the linearity of $\mathcal{L}_A : \mathcal{E}'((0, \infty)) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'((0, \infty))$ and by Theorem 2.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{L}_A (\alpha [f_n / \delta_n] + \beta [g_n / \epsilon_n]) &= \mathfrak{L}_A [(\alpha f_n * \epsilon_n + (\beta g_n) * \delta_n) / (\delta_n * \epsilon_n)] \\ &= \mathfrak{L}_A [(\alpha (f_n * \epsilon_n) + \beta (g_n * \delta_n)) / (\delta_n * \epsilon_n)] \\ &= [\mathcal{L}_A (\alpha (f_n * \epsilon_n) + \beta (g_n * \delta_n)) / \mathcal{L}_A (\delta_n * \epsilon_n)] \\ &= [(\alpha \mathcal{L}_A (f_n * \epsilon_n) + \beta \mathcal{L}_A (g_n * \delta_n)) / \mathcal{L}_A (\delta_n * \epsilon_n)] \\ &= [(\alpha (\mathcal{L}_A f_n) \otimes (\mathcal{L}_A \epsilon_n) + \beta (\mathcal{L}_A g_n) \otimes (\mathcal{L}_A \delta_n)) / (\mathcal{L}_A \delta_n) \otimes (\mathcal{L}_A \epsilon_n)] \\ &= [\alpha (\mathcal{L}_A f_n) / \mathcal{L}_A \delta_n] + [\beta (\mathcal{L}_A g_n) / \mathcal{L}_A \epsilon_n] \\ &= \alpha [\mathcal{L}_A f_n / \mathcal{L}_A \delta_n] + \beta [\mathcal{L}_A g_n / \mathcal{L}_A \epsilon_n] \\ &= \alpha \mathfrak{L}_A [f_n / \delta_n] + \beta \mathfrak{L}_A [g_n / \epsilon_n] \end{aligned}$$

Hence the lemma follows. \square

Lemma 4.3. *The extended Lambert transform $\mathfrak{L}_A : \mathcal{B}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_2$ is one-to-one.*

Proof. Let $X = [f_n / \delta_n], Y = [g_n / \epsilon_n] \in \mathcal{B}_1$. If $\mathfrak{L}_A X = \mathfrak{L}_A Y$ then we have

$$\mathcal{L}_A f_n \otimes \mathcal{L}_A \epsilon_m = \mathcal{L}_A g_m \otimes \mathcal{L}_A \delta_n, \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.6)$$

Theorem 2.1 enables us to obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_A (f_n * \epsilon_m) = \mathcal{L}_A (g_m * \delta_n), \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.7)$$

By virtue of (1.9) it follows that

$$f_n * \epsilon_m = g_m * \delta_n, \quad \text{as members of } \mathcal{E}'((0, \infty)) \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.8)$$

Thus we get $X = Y$. \square

Lemma 4.4. *The extended Lambert transform $\mathfrak{L}_A : \mathcal{B}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_2$ is onto.*

The proof is straightforward.

Theorem 4.5. *The extended Lambert transform $\mathfrak{L}_A : \mathcal{B}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_2$ is continuous with respect to the δ -convergence.*

Proof. Let $X_n \xrightarrow{\delta} X$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in \mathcal{B}_1 . Then by Lemma 3.1, there exists $f_{n,k}, f_k \in \mathcal{E}'((0, \infty))$, $\forall n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(\delta_k) \in \Delta_+$ such that $X_n = [f_{n,k} / \delta_k]$, $X = [f_k / \delta_k]$ and for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$f_{n,k} \rightarrow f_k \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{in } \mathcal{E}'((0, \infty)). \quad (4.9)$$

Applying Theorem 2.2, we get that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathcal{L}_A f_{n,k} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_A f_k \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } \mathcal{E}'((0, \infty)). \quad (4.10)$$

Being for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathfrak{L}_A X_n = [\mathcal{L}_A f_{n,k} / \mathcal{L}_A \delta_k]$ and $\mathfrak{L}_A X = [\mathcal{L}_A f_k / \mathcal{L}_A \delta_k]$, again by Lemma 3.1, it follows that $\mathfrak{L}_A X_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}_A X$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in \mathcal{B}_2 . \square

It is interesting to note that the operations $*$ and \otimes can be extended as binary operations on \mathcal{B}_1 and \mathcal{B}_2 by

$$\begin{aligned} [f_n / \delta_n] * [g_n / \epsilon_n] &= [(f_n * g_n) / \delta_n * \epsilon_n], \\ [F_n / \mathcal{L}_A \delta_n] \otimes [G_n / \mathcal{L}_A \epsilon_n] &= [(F_n \otimes G_n) / (\mathcal{L}_A \delta_n \otimes \mathcal{L}_A \epsilon_n)]. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence of Theorem 2.1, the exchange formula of generalized Lambert transform holds in the context of Boehmians as follows.

Theorem 4.6. *If $X, Y \in \mathcal{B}_1$ and $f \in \mathcal{D}'((0, \infty))$ then (1) $\mathfrak{L}_A(X * Y) = \mathfrak{L}_A X \otimes \mathfrak{L}_A Y$; (2) $\mathfrak{L}_A(X * f) = \mathfrak{L}_A X \otimes \mathcal{L}_A f$.*

Theorem 4.7. *The extended Lambert transform $\mathfrak{L}_A : \mathcal{B}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_2$ is continuous with respect to the Δ -convergence.*

Proof. Let $X_n \xrightarrow{\Delta} X$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in \mathcal{B}_1 . Then there exist $(\delta_n) \in \Delta_+$ and $f_n \in \mathcal{E}'((0, \infty))$ such that $(X_n - X) * \delta_n = [(f_n * \delta_k) / \delta_k]$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in $\mathcal{E}'((0, \infty))$. Using the continuity of the generalized Lambert transform on $\mathcal{E}'((0, \infty))$, we get $\mathcal{L}_A f_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in $\mathcal{E}'((0, \infty))$. Using Theorems 2.1, 4.2, 4.6, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathfrak{L}_A X_n - \mathfrak{L}_A X) \otimes \mathcal{L}_A \delta_n &= \mathfrak{L}_A((X_n - X) * \delta_n) \\ &= [\mathcal{L}_A(f_n * \delta_k) / \mathcal{L}_A \delta_k] \\ &= [(\mathcal{L}_A f_n \otimes \mathcal{L}_A \delta_k) / \delta_k], \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\mathfrak{L}_A X_n \xrightarrow{\Delta} \mathfrak{L}_A X$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in \mathcal{B}_2 . \square

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for his/her valuable suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. J. Betancor, M. Linares and J. M. R. Méndez, *The Hankel transform of integrable Boehmians*, Appl. Anal. **58** (1995) 367 – 382.
- [2] J. J. Betancor, M. Linares and J. M. R. Méndez, *Ultraspherical transform of summable Boehmians*, Math. Japon. **44** (1996) 81 – 88.
- [3] R. R. Goldberg, *An inversion of generalized Lambert transforms*, Duke Math. J. **25** (1958) 459 – 476.
- [4] N. Hayek, B. J. González and E. R. Negrin, *The generalized Lambert transform on distributions of compact support*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **275** (2002) 938 – 944.
- [5] V. Karunakaran and R. Roopkumar, *Boehmians and their Hilbert transforms*, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. **13** (2002) 131 – 141.
- [6] V. Karunakaran and R. Roopkumar, *Ultra Boehmians and their Fourier transforms*, Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal. **5** (2) (2002) 181 – 194.
- [7] J. Mikusiński and P. Mikusiński, *Quotients de suites et leurs applications dans l'analyse fonctionnelle*, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris **293** (1981) Sér. I, 463 – 464.
- [8] P. Mikusiński, *Convergence of Boehmians*, Japan. J. Math. **9** (1983) 159 – 179.
- [9] P. Mikusiński, *The Fourier transform of tempered Boehmians*, Fourier Analysis, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., Marcel Dekker, New York, (1994) 303 – 309.

- [10] P. Mikusiński, A. Morse and D. Nemzer, *The two sided Laplace Transform for Boehmians*, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. **2** (3) (1994) 219 – 230.
- [11] E. R. Negrin, *The Lambert transform on $\mathcal{E}'(I)$* , Portugal. Math. **50** (1993) 359 – 363.
- [12] D. Nemzer, *The Laplace transform on a class of Boehmians*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **46** (1992) 347 – 352.
- [13] R. Roopkumar, *Wavelet analysis on a Bohemian Space*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **2003** (15) (2003) 917 – 926.
- [14] R. Roopkumar, *On extension of Fourier transform*, Int. J. Math. Game Theory Algebra **13** (2003) 465 – 474.
- [15] R. Roopkumar, *Generalized Radon transform*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **36** (4) (2006) 1375 – 1390.
- [16] R. Roopkumar, *Stieltjes transform for Boehmians*, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. **18** (11) (2007) 845 – 853.
- [17] R. Roopkumar, *Mellin transform for Boehmians*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica, **4** (2009) 75 – 96.
- [18] R. Roopkumar, *An Extension of distributional wavelet transform*, Colloq. Math., **115** (2) (2009) 195 – 206.
- [19] R. Roopkumar, *Convolution theorems for wavelet transform on tempered distributions and their extensions to tempered Boehmians*, Asian-Eur. J. Math., **2** (1), (2009) 115 – 125
- [20] R. Roopkumar, *Ridgelet transform on square integrable Boehmians*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., **46** (5), (2009) 835 – 844.
- [21] Ah. I. Zayed and P. Mikusiński, *On the extension of the Zak transform*, Methods Appl. Anal. **2** (2) (1995) 160 – 172.
- [22] D. V. Widder, *An inversion of the Lambert transform*, Math. Mag. **23** (1950) 171 – 182.
- [23] A. H. Zemanian *Generalized Integral Transformations*, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1968.

R. ROOPKUMAR

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ALAGAPPA UNIVERSITY, KARAİKUDI - 630 003, INDIA.

E-mail address: roopkumarr@rediffmail.com

E. R. NEGRIN

DEPARTAMENTO DE ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO, UNIVERSIDAD DE LA LAGUNA, 38271 LA LAGUNA, CANARY ISLANDS, SPAIN.

E-mail address: enegrin@ull.es