

**ON SUBORDINATION RESULTS FOR CERTAIN NEW CLASSES
OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY USING SALAGEAN
OPERATOR**

(COMMUNICATED BY R. K. RAINA)

M. K. AOUF¹, R. M. EL-ASHWAH², A. A. M. HASSAN³ AND A. H. HASSAN⁴

ABSTRACT. In this paper we derive several subordination results for certain new classes of analytic functions defined by using Salagean operator.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let A denote the class of functions of the form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad (1.1)$$

that are analytic and univalent in the open unit disc $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. Let $f(z) \in A$ be given by (1.1) and $g(z) \in A$ be given by

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k. \quad (1.2)$$

Definition 1 (Hadamard Product or Convolution). Given two functions f and g in the class A , where $f(z)$ is given by (1.1) and $g(z)$ is given by (1.2) the Hadamard product (or convolution) of f and g is defined (as usual) by

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k = (g * f)(z). \quad (1.3)$$

We also denote by K the class of functions $f(z) \in A$ that are convex in U .

For $f(z) \in A$, Salagean [11] introduced the following differential operator:

$$D^0 f(z) = f(z), \quad D^1 f(z) = z f'(z), \dots, \quad D^n f(z) = D(D^{n-1} f(z)) (n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}).$$

We note that

$$D^n f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n a_k z^k (n \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}).$$

Definition 2 (Subordination Principle). For two functions f and g , analytic in U , we say that the function $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$ in U , and write $f(z) \prec g(z)$, if there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$, which (by definition) is analytic in U with

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30C45.

Key words and phrases. Analytic, univalent, convex, convolution, subordinating factor sequence.

©2012 Universiteti i Prishtinës, Prishtinë, Kosovë.

Submitted Jan 28, 2011. Published December 24, 2011.

$w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$, such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$ ($z \in U$). Indeed it is known that

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \implies f(0) = g(0) \text{ and } f(U) \subset g(U).$$

Furthermore, if the function g is univalent in U , then we have the following equivalence [8, p. 4]:

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \iff f(0) = g(0) \text{ and } f(U) \subset g(U).$$

Definition 3 [7]. Let $U_{m,n}(\beta, A, B)$ denote the subclass of A consisting of functions $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) and satisfy the following subordination,

$$\frac{D^m f(z)}{D^n f(z)} - \beta \left| \frac{D^m f(z)}{D^n f(z)} - 1 \right| \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (1.4)$$

$$(-1 \leq B < A \leq 1; \beta \geq 0; m \in \mathbb{N}; n \in \mathbb{N}_0, m > n; z \in U).$$

Specializing the parameters A, B, β, m and n , we obtain the following subclasses studied by various authors:

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad U_{m,n}(\beta, 1 - 2\alpha, -1) &= N_{m,n}(\alpha, \beta) \\ &= \left\{ f \in A : \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{D^m f(z)}{D^n f(z)} - \alpha \right\} > \beta \left| \frac{D^m f(z)}{D^n f(z)} - 1 \right| \right. \\ &\quad \left. (0 \leq \alpha < 1; \beta \geq 0; m \in \mathbb{N}; n \in \mathbb{N}_0; m > n; z \in U) \right\} \\ &\quad \text{(see Eker and Owa [4]);} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (ii) \quad U_{n+1,n}(\beta, 1 - 2\alpha, -1) &= S(n, \alpha, \beta) \\ &= \left\{ f \in A : \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^n f(z)} - \alpha \right\} > \beta \left| \frac{D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^n f(z)} - 1 \right| \right. \\ &\quad \left. (0 \leq \alpha < 1; \beta \geq 0; n \in \mathbb{N}_0; z \in U) \right\} \\ &\quad \text{(see Rosy and Murugusudaramoorthy [10] and Aouf [1]);} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (iii) \quad U_{1,0}(\beta, 1 - 2\alpha, -1) &= US(\alpha, \beta) \\ &= \left\{ f \in A : \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - \alpha \right\} > \beta \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \right. \\ &\quad \left. (0 \leq \alpha < 1; \beta \geq 0; z \in U) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} U_{2,1}(\beta, 1 - 2\alpha, -1) &= UK(\alpha, \beta) \\ &= \left\{ f \in A : \operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \alpha \right\} > \beta \left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \right. \\ &\quad \left. (0 \leq \alpha < 1; \beta \geq 0; z \in U) \right\} \\ &\quad \text{(see Shams et al. [13] and Shams and Kulkarni [12]);} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (iv) \ U_{1,0}(0, A, B) &= S^*(A, B) \\
 &= \left\{ f \in A : \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (-1 \leq B < A \leq 1; z \in U) \right\}, \\
 U_{2,1}(0, A, B) &= K(A, B) \\
 &= \left\{ f \in A : 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (-1 \leq B < A \leq 1; z \in U) \right\} \\
 &\quad \text{(see Janowski [6] and Padmanabhan and Ganesan [9]).}
 \end{aligned}$$

Also we note that:

$$\begin{aligned}
 U_{m,n}(0, A, B) &= U(m, n; A, B) = \left\{ f(z) \in A : \frac{D^m f(z)}{D^n f(z)} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. (-1 \leq B < A \leq 1; m \in \mathbb{N}; n \in \mathbb{N}_0; m > n; z \in U) \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Definition 4 (Subordination Factor Sequence). A Sequence $\{c_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ of complex numbers is said to be a subordinating factor sequence if, whenever $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) is analytic, univalent and convex in U , we have the subordination given by

$$\sum_{k=1}^\infty a_k c_k z^k \prec f(z) \quad (a_1 = 1; z \in U) \tag{1.5}$$

2. MAIN RESULT

Unless otherwise mentioned, we assume in the reminder of this paper that, $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1, \beta \geq 0, m \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, m > n$ and $z \in U$.

To prove our main result we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 1. [16]. The sequence $\{c_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ is a subordinating factor sequence if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} \{1 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^\infty c_k z^k\} > 0 \quad (z \in U). \tag{2.1}$$

Now, we prove the following lemma which gives a sufficient condition for functions belonging to the class $U_{m,n}(\beta, A, B)$.

Lemma 2. A function $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) is in the class $U_{m,n}(\beta, A, B)$ if

$$\sum_{k=2}^\infty \left[(1 + \beta(1 + |B|)) (k^m - k^n) + |Bk^m - Ak^n| \right] |a_k| \leq A - B \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. It suffices to show that

$$\left| \frac{p(z) - 1}{A - Bp(z)} \right| < 1,$$

where

$$p(z) = \frac{D^m f(z)}{D^n f(z)} - \beta \left| \frac{D^m f(z)}{D^n f(z)} - 1 \right|.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{p(z) - 1}{A - Bp(z)} \right| &= \left| \frac{D^m f(z) - \beta e^{i\theta} |D^m f(z) - D^n f(z)| - D^n f(z)}{AD^n f(z) - B [D^m f(z) - \beta e^{i\theta} |D^m f(z) - D^n f(z)]} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k^m - k^n) a_k z^k - \beta e^{i\theta} \left| \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k^m - k^n) a_k z^k \right|}{(A - B) z - \left[\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (Bk^m - Ak^n) a_k z^k - B\beta e^{i\theta} \left| \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k^m - k^n) a_k z^k \right| \right]} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k^m - k^n) |a_k| |z|^k + \beta \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k^m - k^n) |a_k| |z|^k}{(A - B) |z| - \left[\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |Bk^m - Ak^n| |a_k| |z|^k + |B| \beta \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k^m - k^n) |a_k| |z|^k \right]} \\ &\leq \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k^m - k^n) |a_k| + \beta \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k^m - k^n) |a_k|}{(A - B) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |Bk^m - Ak^n| |a_k| - |B| \beta \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k^m - k^n) |a_k|}. \end{aligned}$$

This last expression is bounded above by 1 if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[(1 + \beta(1 + |B|)) (k^m - k^n) + |Bk^m - Ak^n| \right] |a_k| \leq A - B,$$

and hence the proof is completed. ■

Remark 1.

- (i) The result obtained by Lemma 2 correct the result obtained by Li and Tang [7, Theorem 1];
- (ii) Putting $A = 1 - 2\alpha$ ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$), and $B = -1$ in Lemma 2, we correct the result obtained by Eker and Owa [4, Theorem 2.1];
- (iii) Putting $A = 1 - 2\alpha$ ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$), $B = -1$ and $m = n + 1$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}_0$), we obtain the result obtained by Rosy and Murugusudaramoorthy [10, Theorem 2].

Let $U_{m,n}^*(\beta, A, B)$ denote the class of $f(z) \in A$ whose coefficients satisfy the condition (2.2). We note that $U_{m,n}^*(\beta, A, B) \subseteq U_{m,n}(\beta, A, B)$.

Employing the technique used earlier by Attiya [3] and Srivastava and Attiya [14], we prove:

Theorem 3. *Let $f(z) \in U_{m,n}^*(\beta, A, B)$. Then*

$$\frac{(1 + \beta(1 + |B|)) (2^m - 2^n) + |B2^m - A2^n|}{2 [(1 + \beta(1 + |B|)) (2^m - 2^n) + |B2^m - A2^n| + (A - B)]} (f * h)(z) \prec h(z) \quad (z \in U), \tag{2.3}$$

for every function h in K , and

$$\operatorname{Re} \{f(z)\} > - \frac{(1 + \beta(1 + |B|)) (2^m - 2^n) + |B2^m - A2^n| + (A - B)}{(1 + \beta(1 + |B|)) (2^m - 2^n) + |B2^m - A2^n|} \quad (z \in U). \tag{2.4}$$

The constant factor $\frac{(1 + \beta(1 + |B|)) (2^m - 2^n) + |B2^m - A2^n|}{2 [(1 + \beta(1 + |B|)) (2^m - 2^n) + |B2^m - A2^n| + (A - B)]}$ in the subordination result (2.3) cannot be replaced by a larger one.

Proof. Let $f(z) \in U_{m,n}^*(\beta, A, B)$ and let $h(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c_k z^k \in K$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{(1 + \beta(1 + |B|)) (2^m - 2^n) + |B2^m - A2^n|}{2 [(1 + \beta(1 + |B|)) (2^m - 2^n) + |B2^m - A2^n| + (A - B)]} (f * h)(z) \\ &= \frac{(1 + \beta(1 + |B|)) (2^m - 2^n) + |B2^m - A2^n|}{2 [(1 + \beta(1 + |B|)) (2^m - 2^n) + |B2^m - A2^n| + (A - B)]} \left(z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k c_k z^k \right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Thus, by Definition 4, the subordination result (2.3) will hold true if the sequence

$$\left\{ \frac{(1 + \beta(1 + |B|)) (2^m - 2^n) + |B2^m - A2^n|}{2[(1 + \beta(1 + |B|)) (2^m - 2^n) + |B2^m - A2^n| + (A - B)]} a_k \right\}_{k=1}^\infty$$

is a subordinating factor sequence, with $a_1 = 1$. In view of Lemma 1, this is equivalent to the following inequality:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{(1 + \beta(1 + |B|)) (2^m - 2^n) + |B2^m - A2^n|}{(1 + \beta(1 + |B|)) (2^m - 2^n) + |B2^m - A2^n| + (A - B)} a_k z^k \right\} > 0 \quad (z \in U). \tag{2.6}$$

Now, since

$$\Psi(k) = (1 + \beta(1 + |B|)) (k^m - k^n) + |Bk^m - Ak^n|$$

is an increasing function of k ($k \geq 2$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{(1 + \beta(1 + |B|)) (2^m - 2^n) + |B2^m - A2^n|}{(1 + \beta(1 + |B|)) (2^m - 2^n) + |B2^m - A2^n| + (A - B)} a_k z^k \right\} \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{(1 + \beta(1 + |B|)) (2^m - 2^n) + |B2^m - A2^n|}{(1 + \beta(1 + |B|)) (2^m - 2^n) + |B2^m - A2^n| + (A - B)} z + \right. \\ & \quad \left. \frac{1}{(1 + \beta(1 + |B|)) (2^m - 2^n) + |B2^m - A2^n| + (A - B)} \sum_{k=2}^\infty [(1 + \beta(1 + |B|)) (2^m - 2^n) + |B2^m - A2^n|] a_k z^k \right\} \\ & \geq 1 - \frac{(1 + \beta(1 + |B|)) (2^m - 2^n) + |B2^m - A2^n|}{(1 + \beta(1 + |B|)) (2^m - 2^n) + |B2^m - A2^n| + (A - B)} r - \\ & \quad \frac{1}{(1 + \beta(1 + |B|)) (2^m - 2^n) + |B2^m - A2^n| + (A - B)} \sum_{k=2}^\infty [(1 + \beta(1 + |B|)) (k^m - k^n) + |Bk^m - Ak^n|] |a_k| r^k \\ & > 1 - \frac{(1 + \beta(1 + |B|)) (2^m - 2^n) + |B2^m - A2^n|}{(1 + \beta(1 + |B|)) (2^m - 2^n) + |B2^m - A2^n| + (A - B)} r - \frac{A - B}{(1 + \beta(1 + |B|)) (2^m - 2^n) + |B2^m - A2^n| + (A - B)} r \\ & = 1 - r > 0 \quad (|z| = r < 1), \end{aligned}$$

where we have also made use of assertion (2.2) of Lemma 2. Thus (2.6) holds true in U , this proves the inequality (2.3). The inequality (2.4) follows from (2.3) by taking the convex function $h(z) = \frac{z}{1-z} = z + \sum_{k=2}^\infty z^k$. To prove the sharpness of the constant $\frac{(1 + \beta(1 + |B|)) (2^m - 2^n) + |B2^m - A2^n|}{2[(1 + \beta(1 + |B|)) (2^m - 2^n) + |B2^m - A2^n| + (A - B)]}$, we consider the function $f_0(z) \in U_{m,n}^*(\beta, A, B)$ given by

$$f_0(z) = z - \frac{A - B}{(1 + \beta(1 + |B|)) (2^m - 2^n) + |B2^m - A2^n|} z^2. \tag{2.7}$$

Thus from (2.3), we have

$$\frac{(1 + \beta(1 + |B|)) (2^m - 2^n) + |B2^m - A2^n|}{2[(1 + \beta(1 + |B|)) (2^m - 2^n) + |B2^m - A2^n| + (A - B)]} f_0(z) \prec \frac{z}{1 - z} \quad (z \in U). \tag{2.8}$$

Moreover, it can easily be verified for the function $f_0(z)$ given by (2.7) that

$$\min_{|z| \leq r} \left\{ \operatorname{Re} \frac{(1 + \beta(1 + |B|)) (2^m - 2^n) + |B2^m - A2^n|}{2[(1 + \beta(1 + |B|)) (2^m - 2^n) + |B2^m - A2^n| + (A - B)]} f_0(z) \right\} = -\frac{1}{2}. \tag{2.9}$$

This shows that the constant $\frac{(1 + \beta(1 + |B|)) (2^m - 2^n) + |B2^m - A2^n|}{2[(1 + \beta(1 + |B|)) (2^m - 2^n) + |B2^m - A2^n| + (A - B)]}$ is the best possible. This completes the proof of Theorem 1. ■

Remark 2.

- (i) Taking $A = 1 - 2\alpha$ ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$), and $B = -1$ in Theorem 1, we correct the result obtained by Srivastava and Eker [15, Theorem 1];
- (ii) Taking $A = 1 - 2\alpha$ ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$), $B = -1$ and $m = n + 1$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}_0$), in Theorem 1, we obtain the result obtained by Aouf et al. [2, Corollary 4];
- (iii) Taking $A = 1 - 2\alpha$ ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$), $B = -1$, $m = 1$ and $n = 0$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the result obtained by Frasin [5, Corollary 2.2];
- (iv) Taking $A = 1 - 2\alpha$ ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$), $B = -1$, $m = 2$ and $n = 1$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the result obtained by Frasin [5, Corollary 2.5];
- (v) Taking $A = 1 - 2\alpha$ ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$), $B = -1$, $\beta = 0$, $m = 1$ and $n = 0$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the result obtained by Frasin [5, Corollary 2.3];
- (vi) Taking $A = 1 - 2\alpha$ ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$), $B = -1$, $\beta = 0$, $m = 2$ and $n = 1$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the result obtained by Frasin [5, Corollary 2.6];
- (vii) Taking $A = 1$, $B = -1$, $\beta = 0$, $m = 1$ and $n = 0$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the result obtained by Frasin [5, Corollary 2.4];
- (viii) Taking $A = 1$, $B = -1$, $\beta = 0$, $m = 2$ and $n = 1$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the result obtained by Frasin [5, Corollary 2.7];
- (ix) Taking $A = 1 - 2\alpha$ ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$), $B = -1$, $\beta = 1$, $m = 1$ and $n = 0$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the result obtained by Aouf et al. [2, Corollary 1];
- (x) Taking $A = 1 - 2\alpha$ ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$), $B = -1$, $\beta = 1$, $m = 2$ and $n = 1$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the result obtained by Aouf et al. [2, Corollary 2];
- (xi) Taking $A = 1$, $B = -1$, $m = 2$ and $n = 1$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the result obtained by Aouf et al. [2, Corollary 3];

Also, we establish subordination results for the associated subclasses $S^{**}(A, B)$, $K^*(A, B)$ and $U^*(m, n; A, B)$, whose coefficients satisfy the inequality (2.2) in the special cases as mentioned.

Putting $\beta = 0$, $m = 1$ and $n = 0$ in Theorem 1, we have

Corollary 4. *Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.1) be in the class $S^{**}(A, B)$ and suppose that $h(z) \in K$. Then*

$$\frac{1 + |2B - A|}{2[1 + |2B - A| + (A - B)]} (f * h)(z) \prec h(z) \quad (z \in U), \quad (2.10)$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re}\{f(z)\} > -\frac{1 + |2B - A| + (A - B)}{1 + |2B - A|} \quad (z \in U). \quad (2.11)$$

The constant factor $\frac{1 + |2B - A|}{2[1 + |2B - A| + (A - B)]}$ in the subordination result (2.10) cannot be replaced by a larger one.

Putting $\beta = 0$, $m = 2$ and $n = 1$ in Theorem 1, we have

Corollary 5. *Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.1) be in the class $K^*(A, B)$ and suppose that $h(z) \in K$. Then*

$$\frac{1 + |2B - A|}{2 + 2|2B - A| + (A - B)} (f * h)(z) \prec h(z) \quad (z \in U), \quad (2.12)$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re}\{f(z)\} > -\frac{2 + 2|2B - A| + (A - B)}{2 + 2|2B - A|} \quad (z \in U). \quad (2.13)$$

The constant factor $\frac{1 + |2B - A|}{2 + 2|2B - A| + (A - B)}$ in the subordination result (2.12) cannot be replaced by a larger one.

Putting $\beta = 0$ in Theorem 1, we have

Corollary 6. *Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.1) be in the class $U^*(m, n; A, B)$ and suppose that $h(z) \in K$. Then*

$$\frac{(2^m - 2^n) + |B2^m - A2^n|}{2[(2^m - 2^n) + |B2^m - A2^n| + (A - B)]} (f * h)(z) \prec h(z) \quad (z \in U), \quad (2.14)$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re}\{f(z)\} > -\frac{(2^m - 2^n) + |B2^m - A2^n| + (A - B)}{(2^m - 2^n) + |B2^m - A2^n|} \quad (z \in U). \quad (2.15)$$

The constant factor $\frac{(2^m - 2^n) + |B2^m - A2^n|}{2[(2^m - 2^n) + |B2^m - A2^n| + (A - B)]}$ in the subordination result (2.14) cannot be replaced by a larger one.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. K. Aouf, *A subclass of uniformly convex functions with negative coefficients*, Math.(Cluj), **52** 2 (2010) 99-111.
- [2] M. K. Aouf, R. M. El-Ashwah and S. M. El-Deeb, *Subordination results for certain subclasses of uniformly starlike and convex functions defined by convolution*, European J. Pure Appl. Math., **3** 5 (2010) 903-917.
- [3] A. A. Attiya, *On some application of a subordination theorems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **311** (2005) 489-494.
- [4] S. S. Eker and S. Owa, *Certain classes of analytic functions involving Salagean operator*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., **10** 1 (2009) 12-22.
- [5] B. A. Frasin, *Subordination results for a class of analytic functions defined by a linear operator*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., **7** 4 (2006) 1-7.
- [6] W. Janowski, *Some extremal problem for certain families of analytic functions*, Ann. Polon. Math., **28** (1973) 648-658.
- [7] S. H. Li and H. Tang, *Certain new classes of analytic functions defined by using salagean operator*, Bull. Math. Anal. Appl., **2** 4 (2010) 62-75.
- [8] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, *Differential Subordinations: Theory and Applications*, Series on Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Appl. Math. No. 255 Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 2000.
- [9] K. S. Padmanabhan and M. S. Ganesan, *Convolutions of certain classes of univalent functions with negative coefficients*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **19** 9 (1988) 880-889.
- [10] T. Rosy and G. Murugusundaramoorthy, *Fractional calculus and their applications to certain subclass of uniformly convex functions*, Far East J. Math. Sci., **15** 2 (2004) 231-242.
- [11] G. S. Salagean, *Subclasses of univalent functions*, Lecture Notes in Math. (Springer-Verlag), **1013** (1983) 362-372.
- [12] S. Shams and S. R. Kulkarni, *On a class of univalent functions defined by Ruscheweyh derivatives*, Kyungpook Math. J., **43** (2003) 579-585.
- [13] S. Shams, S. R. Kulkarni and J. M. Jahangiri, *Classes of uniformly starlike and convex functions*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., **55** (2004) 2959-2961.
- [14] H. M. Srivastava and A. A. Attiya, *Some subordination results associated with certain subclass of analytic functions*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., **5** 4 (2004) 1-6.
- [15] H. M. Srivastava and S. S. Eker, *Some applications of a subordination theorem for a class of analytic functions*, Applied Math. Letters, **21** (2008) 394-399.
- [16] H. S. Wilf, *Subordinating factor sequence for convex maps of the unit circle*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **12** (1961) 689-693.

M. K. AOUF, ¹DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF MANSOURA, MANSOURA 33516, EGYPT.

E-mail address: mkaouf127@yahoo.com

R. M. EL-ASHWAH, ²DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AT DAMIETTA, UNIVERSITY, OF MANSOURA NEW DAMIETTA 34517, EGYPT.

E-mail address: r_elashwah@yahoo.com

A. A. M. HASSAN³ AND A. H. HASSAN⁴, ^{3,4}DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF ZAGAZIG, ZAGAZIG 44519, EGYPT.

E-mail address: ³E-mail: aam.hassan@yahoo.com, ⁴E-mail: alaahassan1986@yahoo.com