

ON GENERALIZED CONFORMAL MAPS

(COMMUNICATED BY KRISHNAN DUGGAL)

A. M. CHERIF, H. ELHENDI AND M. TERBECHE

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we present some new properties of generalized conformal maps as f-biharmonic between equidimensional Riemannian manifolds.

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider a smooth map $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$ between Riemannian manifolds $M = (M^m, g)$ and $N = (N^n, h)$ and $f : M \times N \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ be a smooth positive function, then the f -energy functional of φ is defined by

$$E_f(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M f(x, \varphi(x)) |d_x \varphi|^2 v_g$$

(or over any compact subset $K \subset M$).

A map is called f -harmonic if it is a critical point of the f -energy functional. By [1] the map φ is f -harmonic if and only if

$$\tau_f(\varphi) = f_\varphi \tau(\varphi) + d\varphi(\text{grad}^M f_\varphi) - e(\varphi)(\text{grad}^N f) \circ \varphi = 0, \quad (1)$$

where $f_\varphi : M^m \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ be a smooth positive function defined by

$$f_\varphi(x) = f(x, \varphi(x)), \quad \forall x \in M,$$

$\tau(\varphi) = \text{trace}_g \nabla d\varphi$ is the tension field of φ , and $e(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} |d\varphi|^2$ is the energy density of φ .

$\tau_f(\varphi)$ is called the f -tension field of φ , and the f -bi-energy functional of φ is defined by

$$E_{2,f}(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M |\tau_f(\varphi)|^2 v_g.$$

⁰2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53A45, 53C20, 58E20.

Keywords and phrases. Conformal maps; f-harmonic maps; f-biharmonic maps.

© 2012 Universiteti i Prishtinës, Prishtinë, Kosovë.

Submitted August 21, 2012. Published November 6, 2012.

A map φ is called f -biharmonic if it is a critical point of the f -energy functional. By [1] the map φ is f -bi-harmonic if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_{2,f}(\varphi) &= -f_\varphi \text{trace}_g R^N(\tau_f(\varphi), d\varphi) d\varphi - \text{trace}_g \nabla^\varphi f_\varphi \nabla^\varphi \tau_f(\varphi) \\ &\quad + e(\varphi)(\nabla_{\tau_f(\varphi)}^N \text{grad}^N f) \circ \varphi - d\varphi(\text{grad}^M \tau_f(\varphi)(f)) \\ &\quad - \tau_f(\varphi)(f)\tau(\varphi) + \langle \nabla^\varphi \tau_f(\varphi), d\varphi \rangle (\text{grad}^N f) \circ \varphi = 0,\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

where \langle , \rangle is the inner product on $T^*M \otimes \varphi^{-1}TN$ and R^N is the curvature tensor of N .

$\tau_{2,f}(\varphi)$ is called the f -bi-tension field of φ .

The f -biharmonic concept is a natural generalization of biharmonic maps ([3][4][7][8]).

2. CONFORMAL f -BI-HARMONIC MAPS.

Theorem A. *Let $\varphi : (M^n, g) \rightarrow (N^n, h)$ be a conformal map with dilation λ . The f -bi-tension field of φ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_{2,f}(\varphi) &= F_1 d\varphi(\text{grad}^M \ln \lambda) + F_2 d\varphi(\text{grad}^M(f_N \circ \varphi)) \\ &\quad + 2(n-2)f_\varphi^2 d\varphi(\text{Ricci}^M(\text{grad}^M \ln \lambda)) - 2f_\varphi d\varphi(\text{Ricci}^M(\text{grad}^M f_\varphi)) \\ &\quad + n f_\varphi d\varphi(\text{Ricci}^M(\text{grad}^M(f_N \circ \varphi))) + 2(n-2)f_\varphi^2 \langle \nabla d\varphi, \nabla \ln \lambda \rangle \\ &\quad - 2f_\varphi \langle \nabla d\varphi, \nabla df_\varphi \rangle + n f_\varphi \langle \nabla d\varphi, \nabla d(f_N \circ \varphi) \rangle \\ &\quad + (n-2)f_\varphi^2 d\varphi(\text{grad}^M \Delta(\ln \lambda)) - f_\varphi d\varphi(\text{grad}^M \Delta(f_\varphi)) \\ &\quad + \frac{n}{2} f_\varphi d\varphi(\text{grad}^M \Delta(f_N \circ \varphi)) + 4(n-2)f_\varphi \nabla_{\text{grad}^M f_\varphi}^\varphi d\varphi(\text{grad}^M \ln \lambda) \\ &\quad - (n-2)^2 f_\varphi^2 \nabla_{\text{grad}^M \ln \lambda}^\varphi d\varphi(\text{grad}^M \ln \lambda) - \nabla_{\text{grad}^M f_\varphi}^\varphi d\varphi(\text{grad}^M f_\varphi) \\ &\quad + n \nabla_{\text{grad}^M f_\varphi}^\varphi d\varphi(\text{grad}^M(f_N \circ \varphi)) - \frac{n(n-2)}{2} f_\varphi \nabla_{\text{grad}^M(f_N \circ \varphi)}^\varphi d\varphi(\text{grad}^M \ln \lambda) \\ &\quad - \frac{n(n-2)}{2} \nabla_{\text{grad}^M \ln \lambda}^\varphi d\varphi(\text{grad}^M(f_N \circ \varphi)) - \frac{n^2}{4} \nabla_{\text{grad}^M(f_N \circ \varphi)}^\varphi d\varphi(\text{grad}^M(f_N \circ \varphi)) \\ &\quad + (n-2)d\varphi(\nabla_{\text{grad}^M \ln \lambda}^M \text{grad}^M(f_N \circ \varphi)) + (n-2)d\varphi(\nabla_{\text{grad}^M(f_N \circ \varphi)}^M \text{grad}^M \ln \lambda) \\ &\quad - d\varphi(\nabla_{\text{grad}^M f_\varphi}^M \text{grad}^M(f_N \circ \varphi)) - d\varphi(\nabla_{\text{grad}^M(f_N \circ \varphi)}^M \text{grad}^M f_\varphi) \\ &\quad + \frac{n}{2} d\varphi(\text{grad}^M(|\text{grad}^M(f_N \circ \varphi)|^2)),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}F_1 &= (n-2)|\text{grad}^M f_\varphi|^2 + (n-2)f_\varphi \Delta(f_\varphi) - (n-2)^2 g(\text{grad}^M \ln \lambda, \text{grad}^M(f_N \circ \varphi)) \\ &\quad + (n-2)g(\text{grad}^M f_\varphi, \text{grad}^M(f_N \circ \varphi)) - \frac{n(n-2)}{2} |\text{grad}^M(f_N \circ \varphi)|^2,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$F_2 = -(n-2)\Delta(\ln \lambda) + \Delta(f_\varphi) - \frac{n}{2} \Delta(f_N \circ \varphi).$$

We need the following lemmas to prove Theorem A.

Lemma A. Let $\varphi : (M^n, g) \rightarrow (N^n, h)$ be a conformal map with dilation λ . The f -tension field of φ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_f(\varphi) &= (2-n)f_\varphi d\varphi(\text{grad}^M \ln \lambda) + d\varphi(\text{grad}^M f_\varphi) \\ &\quad - \frac{n}{2}d\varphi(\text{grad}^M(f_N \circ \varphi)),\end{aligned}$$

where, at $x \in M$, $f_N : N \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ defined by $f_N(y) = f(x, y)$ for all $y \in N$.

Proof. Since $\tau(\varphi) = (2-n)d\varphi(\text{grad}^M \ln \lambda)$, $e(\varphi) = \frac{n}{2}\lambda^2$ and let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be an orthonormal frame in M , then $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^n$, where $f_i \circ \varphi = \frac{1}{\lambda}d\varphi(e_i)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, be an orthonormal frame in N . Then

$$\begin{aligned}(\text{grad}^N f) \circ \varphi(x) &= (\text{grad}^N f_N)_{\varphi(x)} \\ &= f_i|_{\varphi(x)}(f_N) f_i|_{\varphi(x)} \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda^2(x)}d\varphi(e_i)_x(f_N)d\varphi(e_i)_x \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda^2(x)}e_i|_x(f_N \circ \varphi)d\varphi(e_i)_x \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda^2(x)}d\varphi(\text{grad}^M(f_N \circ \varphi))_x,\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in M$. □

Lemma B. Let $\gamma : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function, then

$$\begin{aligned}\text{trace}_g(\nabla^\varphi)^2 d\varphi(\text{grad}^M \gamma) &= (2-n)\nabla_{\text{grad}^M \gamma}^\varphi d\varphi(\text{grad}^M \ln \lambda) + 2d\varphi(\text{Ricci}^M \text{grad}^M \gamma) \\ &\quad - \text{trace}_g R^N(d\varphi(\text{grad}^M \gamma), d\varphi)d\varphi + 2\langle \nabla d\varphi, \nabla d\gamma \rangle \\ &\quad + d\varphi(\text{grad}^M \Delta(\gamma)),\end{aligned}$$

where $\langle \nabla d\varphi, \nabla d\gamma \rangle = \nabla d\varphi(e_i, e_j) \nabla d\gamma(e_i, e_j) = \nabla d\varphi(e_i, e_j) g(\nabla_{e_i}^M \text{grad}^M \gamma, e_j)$.

Proof. Fix a point $x_0 \in M$ and let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be an orthonormal frame, such that $\nabla_{e_i}^M e_j = 0$ at x_0 for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. Then calculating at x_0 , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\text{trace}_g(\nabla^\varphi)^2 d\varphi(\text{grad}^M \gamma) &= \nabla_{e_i}^\varphi \nabla_{e_i}^\varphi d\varphi(\text{grad}^M \gamma) \\ &= \nabla_{e_i}^\varphi \nabla d\varphi(e_i, \text{grad}^M \gamma) + \nabla_{e_i}^\varphi d\varphi(\nabla_{e_i}^M \text{grad}^M \gamma) \quad (3) \\ &= \nabla_{e_i}^\varphi \nabla d\varphi(e_i, \text{grad}^M \gamma) + \nabla d\varphi(e_i, \nabla_{e_i}^M \text{grad}^M \gamma) \\ &\quad + d\varphi(\nabla_{e_i}^M \nabla_{e_i}^M \text{grad}^M \gamma) \\ &= \nabla_{e_i}^\varphi \nabla d\varphi(e_i, \text{grad}^M \gamma) + \langle \nabla d\varphi, \nabla d\gamma \rangle \\ &\quad + d\varphi(\text{trace}_g(\nabla^M)^2 \text{grad}^M \gamma)\end{aligned}$$

Let $\nabla^2 d\varphi$ be the third fundamental form defined by

$$\nabla^2 d\varphi(X, Y, Z) = \nabla_X^\varphi \nabla d\varphi(Y, Z) - \nabla d\varphi(\nabla_X^\varphi Y, Z) - \nabla d\varphi(Y, \nabla_X^\varphi Z), \quad (4)$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM)$. And we have

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^2 d\varphi(X, Y, Z) &= \nabla^2 d\varphi(Z, Y, X) + d\varphi(R^M(Z, X)Y) \\ &\quad - R^N(d\varphi(Z), d\varphi(X))d\varphi(Y).\end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

By (4) and (5), the first term of (3) is

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{e_i}^\varphi \nabla d\varphi(e_i, \text{grad}^M \gamma) &= \nabla^2 d\varphi(e_i, e_i, \text{grad}^M \gamma) + \nabla d\varphi(e_i, \nabla_{e_i}^M \text{grad}^M \gamma) \\
&= \nabla^2 d\varphi(\text{grad}^M \gamma, e_i, e_i) + d\varphi(R^M(\text{grad}^M \gamma, e_i)e_i) \\
&\quad - R^N(d\varphi(\text{grad}^M \gamma), d\varphi(e_i))d\varphi(e_i) + \nabla d\varphi(e_i, \nabla_{e_i}^M \text{grad}^M \gamma) \\
&= \nabla_{\text{grad}^M \gamma}^\varphi \tau(\varphi) + d\varphi(\text{Ricci}^M \text{grad}^M \gamma) \\
&\quad - \text{trace}_g R^N(d\varphi(\text{grad}^M \gamma), d\varphi)d\varphi + \langle \nabla d\varphi, \nabla d\gamma \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

From the equation

$$\text{trace}_g (\nabla^M)^2 \text{grad}^M \gamma = \text{Ricci}^M(\text{grad}^M \gamma) + \text{grad}^M(\Delta \gamma),$$

the Lemma B follows. \square

Lemma C. Let $\gamma : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function, then

$$\langle \nabla d\varphi(\text{grad}^M \gamma), d\varphi \rangle = n\lambda^2 g(\text{grad}^M \gamma, \text{grad}^M \ln \lambda) + \lambda^2 \Delta(\gamma).$$

Proof. Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be an orthonormal frame, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \nabla d\varphi(\text{grad}^M \gamma), d\varphi \rangle &= h(\nabla_{e_i} d\varphi(\text{grad}^M \gamma), d\varphi(e_i)) \\
&= e_i(h(d\varphi(\text{grad}^M \gamma), d\varphi(e_i))) \\
&\quad - h(d\varphi(\text{grad}^M \gamma), \nabla_{e_i} d\varphi(e_i)) \\
&= e_i(\lambda^2 g(\text{grad}^M \gamma, e_i)) \\
&\quad - (2-n)h(d\varphi(\text{grad}^M \gamma), d\varphi(\text{grad}^M \ln \lambda)) \\
&= g(\text{grad}^M \gamma, \text{grad}^M \lambda^2) \\
&\quad + (n-2)\lambda^2 g(\text{grad}^M \gamma, \text{grad}^M \ln \lambda) \\
&\quad + \lambda^2 e_i(g(\text{grad}^M \gamma, e_i)) \\
&= 2\lambda^2 g(\text{grad}^M \gamma, \text{grad}^M \ln \lambda) \\
&\quad + (n-2)\lambda^2 g(\text{grad}^M \gamma, \text{grad}^M \ln \lambda) \\
&\quad + \lambda^2 \Delta(\gamma) \\
&= n\lambda^2 g(\text{grad}^M \gamma, \text{grad}^M \ln \lambda) + \lambda^2 \Delta(\gamma).
\end{aligned}$$

\square

Proof of Theorem A.

Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be an orthonormal frame, such that $\nabla_{e_i}^M e_j = 0$ at $x_0 \in M$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. Then calculating at x_0 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} -(2-n) \text{trace}_g \nabla^\varphi f_\varphi \nabla^\varphi f_\varphi d\varphi (\text{grad}^M \ln \lambda) &= (n-2) \nabla_{e_i}^\varphi f_\varphi \nabla_{e_i}^\varphi f_\varphi d\varphi (\text{grad}^M \ln \lambda) \\ &= (n-2) \nabla_{\text{grad}^M f_\varphi}^\varphi f_\varphi d\varphi (\text{grad}^M \ln \lambda) \\ &\quad + (n-2) f_\varphi \nabla_{e_i}^\varphi \nabla_{e_i}^\varphi f_\varphi d\varphi (\text{grad}^M \ln \lambda) \\ &= (n-2) |\text{grad}^M f_\varphi|^2 d\varphi (\text{grad}^M \ln \lambda) \\ &\quad + (n-2) f_\varphi \nabla_{\text{grad}^M f_\varphi}^\varphi d\varphi (\text{grad}^M \ln \lambda) \\ &\quad + (n-2) f_\varphi \Delta(f_\varphi) d\varphi (\text{grad}^M \ln \lambda) \\ &\quad + 2(n-2) f_\varphi \nabla_{\text{grad}^M f_\varphi}^\varphi d\varphi (\text{grad}^M \ln \lambda) \\ &\quad + (n-2) f_\varphi^2 \text{trace}_g (\nabla^\varphi)^2 d\varphi (\text{grad}^M \ln \lambda), \end{aligned}$$

and by the lemma B, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -(2-n) \text{trace}_g \nabla^\varphi f_\varphi \nabla^\varphi f_\varphi d\varphi (\text{grad}^M \ln \lambda) &= (n-2) |\text{grad}^M f_\varphi|^2 d\varphi (\text{grad}^M \ln \lambda) \\ &\quad + 3(n-2) f_\varphi \nabla_{\text{grad}^M f_\varphi}^\varphi d\varphi (\text{grad}^M \ln \lambda) \\ &\quad + (n-2) f_\varphi \Delta(f_\varphi) d\varphi (\text{grad}^M \ln \lambda) \\ &\quad + (n-2) f_\varphi^2 \left\{ (2-n) \nabla_{\text{grad}^M \ln \lambda}^\varphi d\varphi (\text{grad}^M \ln \lambda) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2d\varphi (\text{Ricci}^M \text{grad}^M \ln \lambda) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \text{trace}_g R^N (d\varphi (\text{grad}^M \ln \lambda), d\varphi) d\varphi \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2 \langle \nabla d\varphi, \nabla d \ln \lambda \rangle + d\varphi (\text{grad}^M \Delta(\ln \lambda)) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Of the same method, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -\text{trace}_g \nabla^\varphi f_\varphi \nabla^\varphi d\varphi (\text{grad}^M f_\varphi) &= -\nabla_{\text{grad}^M f_\varphi}^\varphi d\varphi (\text{grad}^M f_\varphi) \\ &\quad - f_\varphi \left\{ (2-n) \nabla_{\text{grad}^M f_\varphi}^\varphi d\varphi (\text{grad}^M \ln \lambda) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2d\varphi (\text{Ricci}^M \text{grad}^M f_\varphi) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \text{trace}_g R^N (d\varphi (\text{grad}^M f_\varphi), d\varphi) d\varphi \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2 \langle \nabla d\varphi, \nabla df_\varphi \rangle + d\varphi (\text{grad}^M \Delta(f_\varphi)) \right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n}{2} \text{trace}_g \nabla^\varphi f_\varphi \nabla^\varphi d\varphi (\text{grad}^M (f_N \circ \varphi)) &= \frac{n}{2} \nabla_{\text{grad}^M f_\varphi}^\varphi d\varphi (\text{grad}^M (f_N \circ \varphi)) \\ &\quad + \frac{n}{2} f_\varphi \left\{ (2-n) \nabla_{\text{grad}^M (f_N \circ \varphi)}^\varphi d\varphi (\text{grad}^M \ln \lambda) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2d\varphi (\text{Ricci}^M \text{grad}^M (f_N \circ \varphi)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \text{trace}_g R^N (d\varphi (\text{grad}^M (f_N \circ \varphi)), d\varphi) d\varphi \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2 \langle \nabla d\varphi, \nabla d(f_N \circ \varphi) \rangle + d\varphi (\text{grad}^M \Delta((f_N \circ \varphi))) \right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

by Lemma A we have

$$\begin{aligned}
e(\varphi) \nabla_{\tau_f(\varphi)}^N grad^N f &= \frac{n}{2} \lambda^2 \left\{ (2-n) \nabla_{grad^M \ln \lambda}^\varphi \frac{1}{\lambda^2} d\varphi(grad^M(f_N \circ \varphi)) \right. \\
&\quad + \nabla_{grad^M f_\varphi}^\varphi \frac{1}{\lambda^2} d\varphi(grad^M(f_N \circ \varphi)) \\
&\quad - \frac{n}{2} \nabla_{grad^M(f_N \circ \varphi)}^\varphi \frac{1}{\lambda^2} d\varphi(grad^M(f_N \circ \varphi)) \Big\} \\
&= \frac{n}{2} \lambda^2 \left\{ \frac{-2(2-n)}{\lambda^2} |grad^M \ln \lambda|^2 d\varphi(grad^M(f_N \circ \varphi)) \right. \quad (9) \\
&\quad + \frac{2-n}{\lambda^2} \nabla_{grad^M \ln \lambda}^\varphi d\varphi(grad^M(f_N \circ \varphi)) \\
&\quad - \frac{2}{\lambda^2} (grad^M f_\varphi)(\ln \lambda) d\varphi(grad^M(f_N \circ \varphi)) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \nabla_{grad^M f_\varphi}^\varphi d\varphi(grad^M(f_N \circ \varphi)) \\
&\quad + \frac{n}{\lambda^2} (grad^M(f_N \circ \varphi))(\ln \lambda) d\varphi(grad^M(f_N \circ \varphi)) \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{n}{2\lambda^2} \nabla_{grad^M(f_N \circ \varphi)}^\varphi d\varphi(grad^M(f_N \circ \varphi)) \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

The function $\tau_f(\varphi)(f)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_f(\varphi)(f) &= \tau_f(\varphi)(f_N) \\
&= (2-n)(grad^M \ln \lambda)(f_N \circ \varphi) + (grad^M f_\varphi)(f_N \circ \varphi) \\
&\quad - \frac{n}{2} |grad^M(f_N \circ \varphi)|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
-d\varphi(grad^M \tau_f(\varphi)(f)) &= (n-2)d\varphi(grad^M((grad^M \ln \lambda)(f_N \circ \varphi))) \\
&\quad - d\varphi(grad^M((grad^M f_\varphi)(f_N \circ \varphi))) \\
&\quad + \frac{n}{2} d\varphi(grad^M(|grad^M(f_N \circ \varphi)|^2)) \\
&= (n-2)d\varphi(\nabla_{grad^M \ln \lambda}^M grad^M(f_N \circ \varphi)) \quad (10) \\
&\quad + (n-2)d\varphi(\nabla_{grad^M(f_N \circ \varphi)}^M grad^M \ln \lambda) \\
&\quad - d\varphi(\nabla_{grad^M f_\varphi}^M grad^M(f_N \circ \varphi)) \\
&\quad - d\varphi(\nabla_{grad^M(f_N \circ \varphi)}^M grad^M f_\varphi) \\
&\quad + \frac{n}{2} d\varphi(grad^M(|grad^M(f_N \circ \varphi)|^2)),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
-\tau_f(\varphi)(f)\tau(\varphi) &= (n-2) \left\{ (2-n)(grad^M \ln \lambda)(f_N \circ \varphi) + (grad^M f_\varphi)(f_N \circ \varphi) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{n}{2} |grad^M(f_N \circ \varphi)|^2 \right\} d\varphi(grad^M \ln \lambda). \quad (11)
\end{aligned}$$

Then by lemma C, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla \tau_f(\varphi), d\varphi \rangle (grad^N f) \circ \varphi &= \left\{ (2-n)n\lambda^2 |grad^M \ln \lambda|^2 + (2-n)\lambda^2 \Delta(\ln \lambda) \right. \\ &\quad + n\lambda^2 g(grad^M f_\varphi, grad^M \ln \lambda) + \lambda^2 \Delta(f_\varphi) \\ &\quad - \frac{n^2}{2} \lambda^2 g(grad^M(f_N \circ \varphi), grad^M \ln \lambda) \quad (12) \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{n}{2} \lambda^2 \Delta(f_N \circ \varphi) \right\} \frac{1}{\lambda^2} d\varphi(grad^M(f_N \circ \varphi)). \end{aligned}$$

The Theorem A, follows from (2), (6), (7), (8), (9), (10), (11) and (12).

□

Particular Cases

(1) If $f = 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{2,f}(\varphi) &= \tau_2(\varphi) \\ &= 2(n-2)d\varphi(Ricci^M(grad^M \ln \lambda)) + 2(n-2) \langle \nabla d\varphi, \nabla d \ln \lambda \rangle \\ &\quad + (n-2)d\varphi(grad^M \Delta(\ln \lambda)) - (n-2)\nabla_{grad^M \ln \lambda}^\varphi d\varphi(grad^M \ln \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Is the natural bi-tension field of φ .

(2) If $f_1 : M \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, be a smooth positif function. If $f(x, y) = f_1(x)$ for all $(x, y) \in M \times N$, then the f -bi-tension field of φ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{2,f}(\varphi) &= \tau_{2,f_1}(\varphi) \\ &= (n-2)|grad^M f_1|^2 d\varphi(grad^M \ln \lambda) + (n-2)f_1 \Delta(f_1) d\varphi(grad^M \ln \lambda) \\ &\quad + 2(n-2)f_1^2 d\varphi(Ricci^M(grad^M \ln \lambda)) - 2f_1 d\varphi(Ricci^M(grad^M f_1)) \\ &\quad + 2(n-2)f_1^2 \langle \nabla d\varphi, \nabla d \ln \lambda \rangle - 2f_1 \langle \nabla d\varphi, \nabla df_1 \rangle \\ &\quad + (n-2)f_1^2 d\varphi(grad^M \Delta(\ln \lambda)) - f_1 d\varphi(grad^M \Delta(f_1)) \\ &\quad + 4(n-2)f_1 \nabla_{grad^M f_1}^\varphi d\varphi(grad^M \ln \lambda) - (n-2)^2 f_1^2 \nabla_{grad^M \ln \lambda}^\varphi d\varphi(grad^M \ln \lambda) \\ &\quad - \nabla_{grad^M f_1}^\varphi d\varphi(grad^M f_1), \end{aligned}$$

we recover the result obtained in [6].

Theorem B. Let $\varphi : (M^n, g) \rightarrow (N^n, h)$ be a conformal map with dilation λ . Then φ is f -bi-harmonic if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= F_3 \operatorname{grad}^M \ln \lambda + F_4 \operatorname{grad}^M(f_N \circ \varphi) + F_5 \operatorname{grad}^M f_\varphi \\ &\quad + 2(n-2)f_\varphi^2 \operatorname{Ricci}^M(\operatorname{grad}^M \ln \lambda) - 2f_\varphi \operatorname{Ricci}^M(\operatorname{grad}^M f_\varphi) \\ &\quad + nf_\varphi \operatorname{Ricci}^M(\operatorname{grad}^M(f_N \circ \varphi)) - 4f_\varphi \nabla_{\operatorname{grad}^M \ln \lambda}^M \operatorname{grad}^M f_\varphi \\ &\quad + (n-2)f_\varphi^2 \operatorname{grad}^M \Delta(\ln \lambda) - f_\varphi \operatorname{grad}^M \Delta(f_\varphi) + \frac{n}{2} f_\varphi \operatorname{grad}^M \Delta(f_N \circ \varphi) \\ &\quad + 4(n-2)f_\varphi \nabla_{\operatorname{grad}^M f_\varphi}^M \operatorname{grad}^M \ln \lambda - \frac{n(n-2)}{2} f_\varphi \nabla_{\operatorname{grad}^M(f_N \circ \varphi)}^M \operatorname{grad}^M \ln \lambda \\ &\quad + (n-2) \nabla_{\operatorname{grad}^M(f_N \circ \varphi)}^M \operatorname{grad}^M \ln \lambda - \nabla_{\operatorname{grad}^M(f_N \circ \varphi)}^M \operatorname{grad}^M f_\varphi \\ &\quad - \frac{(n-2)(n-6)}{2} f_\varphi^2 \operatorname{grad}^M(|\operatorname{grad}^M \ln \lambda|^2) \\ &\quad + \left(2nf_\varphi - \frac{(n-2)^2}{2}\right) \nabla_{\operatorname{grad}^M \ln \lambda}^M \operatorname{grad}^M(f_N \circ \varphi) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{grad}^M(|\operatorname{grad}^M f_\varphi|^2) + (n-1) \nabla_{\operatorname{grad}^M f_\varphi}^M \operatorname{grad}^M(f_N \circ \varphi) \\ &\quad - \frac{n(n-2)}{4} \operatorname{grad}^M(|\operatorname{grad}^M(f_N \circ \varphi)|^2), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F_3 &= F_1 - 2(n-2)f_\varphi^2 \Delta(\ln \lambda) + 2f_\varphi \Delta(f_\varphi) - nf_\varphi \Delta(f_N \circ \varphi) \\ &\quad - (n-2)^2 f_\varphi^2 |\operatorname{grad}^M \ln \lambda|^2 + |\operatorname{grad}^M f_\varphi|^2 \\ &\quad - ng(\operatorname{grad}^M f_\varphi, \operatorname{grad}^M(f_N \circ \varphi)) + \frac{n^2}{4} |\operatorname{grad}^M(f_N \circ \varphi)|^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_4 &= F_2 + ng(\operatorname{grad}^M f_\varphi, \operatorname{grad}^M \ln \lambda) - \frac{n(n-2)}{2} (f_\varphi + 1) |\operatorname{grad}^M \ln \lambda|^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{n^2}{2} g(\operatorname{grad}^M(f_N \circ \varphi), \operatorname{grad}^M \ln \lambda), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_5 &= 4(n-2)f_\varphi |\operatorname{grad}^M \ln \lambda|^2 - 2g(\operatorname{grad}^M f_\varphi, \operatorname{grad}^M \ln \lambda) \\ &\quad + ng(\operatorname{grad}^M \ln \lambda, \operatorname{grad}^M(f_N \circ \varphi)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The Theorem B follows from Theorem A and the following formulae

$$\begin{aligned} h(<\nabla d\varphi, \nabla d \ln \gamma>, d\varphi(X)) &= g(2\lambda^2 \nabla_{\operatorname{grad}^M \ln \lambda} \operatorname{grad}^M \ln \gamma \\ &\quad - \lambda^2 \Delta(\ln \gamma) \operatorname{grad}^M \ln \lambda, X), \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

$$\begin{aligned} h(\nabla_X^\varphi d\varphi(\operatorname{grad}^M \ln \gamma), d\varphi(Y)) &= g(\lambda^2 X(\ln \lambda) \operatorname{grad}^M \ln \gamma - \lambda^2 X(\ln \gamma) \operatorname{grad}^M \ln \lambda \\ &\quad + \lambda^2 d \ln \lambda (\operatorname{grad}^M \ln \gamma) X + \lambda^2 \nabla_X^M \operatorname{grad}^M \ln \gamma, Y), \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

for all smooth function $\gamma : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$. \square

Corollary A. Let $\varphi : (M^n, g) \rightarrow (N^n, h)$ be a conformal map with constant dilation λ . Then φ is f -bi-harmonic if and only if the function f satisfies the equation

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left(\Delta(f_\varphi) - \frac{n}{2} \Delta(f_N \circ \varphi) \right) \text{grad}^M(f_N \circ \varphi) - 2f_\varphi \text{Ricci}^M(\text{grad}^M f_\varphi) \\ &\quad + n f_\varphi \text{Ricci}^M(\text{grad}^M(f_N \circ \varphi)) - f_\varphi \text{grad}^M \Delta(f_\varphi) + \frac{n}{2} f_\varphi \text{grad}^M \Delta(f_N \circ \varphi) \\ &\quad - \nabla_{\text{grad}^M(f_N \circ \varphi)}^M \text{grad}^M f_\varphi - \frac{1}{2} \text{grad}^M(|\text{grad}^M f_\varphi|^2) \\ &\quad + (n-1) \nabla_{\text{grad}^M f_\varphi}^M \text{grad}^M(f_N \circ \varphi) - \frac{n(n-2)}{4} \text{grad}^M(|\text{grad}^M(f_N \circ \varphi)|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Corollary B. Let $\varphi : (M^n, g) \rightarrow (N^n, h)$ be a conformal map with constant dilation λ . If $f_\varphi = f_N \circ \varphi = \gamma$, then φ is f -bi-harmonic if and only if the function γ satisfies the equation

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{2-n}{2} \Delta(\gamma) \text{grad}^M \gamma - (2-n) \gamma \text{Ricci}^M(\text{grad}^M \gamma) \\ &\quad - \frac{2-n}{2} \gamma \text{grad}^M \Delta(\gamma) - \left(\frac{(2-n)^2}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \text{grad}^M(|\text{grad}^M \gamma|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Example A. Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow (N^2, h)$ be a conformal map with constant dilation λ . If $f_\varphi = f_N \circ \varphi = \gamma$, then φ is f -bi-harmonic if and only if

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^2 \gamma}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial y} \frac{\partial^2 \gamma}{\partial x \partial y} = 0, \\ \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial y} \frac{\partial^2 \gamma}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^2 \gamma}{\partial x \partial y} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Example B. Let $\varphi : (M^2, g) \rightarrow (N^2, h)$ be a conformal map with dilation λ . If $f_\varphi = f_N \circ \varphi = \ln \lambda$, then φ is f -bi-harmonic if and only if the function λ satisfies the equation

$$\text{grad}^M(|\text{grad}^M \ln \lambda|^2) = 0.$$

Moreover, if M is related, then φ is f -bi-harmonic if and only if the function $|\text{grad}^M \ln \lambda|$ is constant in M .

Acknowledgements. The authors are highly thankful to the referee for his valuable suggestions towards the improvement of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Baird, A. Fardoun And S. Ouakkas, conformal and semi-conformal biharmonic maps, annals of global analysis and geometry, 34 (2008),403-414.
- [2] M. Djaa, A. M. Cherif, K. Zegga And S. Ouakkas, on the generalized of harmonic and bi-harmonic maps, international electronic journal of geometry, volume 5 no. 1 pp. 1 - 11 (2012).
- [3] Jiang, G.Y.: Harmonic maps and their first and second variational formulas. Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A. 7, 389-402 (1986).
- [4] Loubeau, E. and Ou, Y.L., The characterization of biharmonic morphisms; Differential geometry and its applications (Opava 2001) Math. Publ. 3(2001), 31-41.
- [5] E. Loubeau and Ye-Lin Ou, Biharmonic maps and morphisms from conformal mappings, Tohoku Math. J. (2) Volume 62, Number 1 (2010), 55-73.
- [6] S. Ouakkas, R. Nasri and M. Djaa, On the f-harmonic and f-biharmonic maps,JP Journal of Geometry and Topology Volume 10, Number 1, 2010, Pages 11-27
- [7] Oniciuc, C., Biharmonic maps between Riemannian manifolds, An.Stinj. Univ Al.I. Cusa Iasi Mat. 48, (2002), 237-248.

- [8] Ouakkas, S., Biharmonic maps, conformal deformations and the Hopf maps, *Differential Geometry and its Applications*, 26 (2008), 495-502.

AHMED CHERIF MOHAMED
LABORATORY OF GEOMETRY, ANALYSIS, CONTRLE AND APPLICATIONS.
SAIDA UNIVERSITY,BP 138, 200000, ALGERIA

E-mail address: Ahmedcherif29@hotmail.fr

ELHENDI HICHEM
LABORATORY OF GEOMETRY, ANALYSIS, CONTROLE AND APPLICATIONS.
SAIDA UNIVERSITY,BP 138, 200000, ALGERIA.
E-mail address: elhendihchem@yahoo.fr

TERBECHE MEKKI
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ORAN ES-SENA, ALGERIA.
E-mail address: Lgaca_Saida2009@hotmail.com