



# Normalized solutions for Kirchhoff-type equations with combined nonlinearities: the $L^2$ -critical case

Changlin Liu, Ying Lv and Zengqi Ou

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Southwest University,  
400715, Chongqing, People's Republic of China

Received 4 June 2024, appeared 23 December 2024

Communicated by Dimitri Mugnai

**Abstract.** In this paper, we consider the existence of normalized solutions for the following Kirchhoff-type problem:

$$-\left(a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx\right) \Delta u = \lambda u + |u|^{p-2}u + \mu |u|^{q-2}u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

with prescribed  $L^2$ -norm:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 dx = c^2,$$

where  $N = 2, 3$ ,  $a \geq 0$ ,  $b > 0$  and  $c > 0$  are constants,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $2 < q < p = 2 + \frac{8}{N}$  and  $\mu > 0$ . The number  $2 + \frac{8}{N}$  behaves as the  $L^2$ -critical exponent for the above problem. We prove the multiplicity of normalized solutions for the above Kirchhoff-type problem with  $L^2$ -critical nonlinearity (that is,  $p = 2 + \frac{8}{N}$ ) in the two cases:  $2 < q < 2 + \frac{4}{N}$  and  $2 + \frac{4}{N} < q < 2 + \frac{8}{N}$ .

**Keywords:** Kirchhoff equation, constrained minimization, variational method, Pohozaev manifold.

**2020 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 35J20, 35J60, 35B33.

## 1 Introduction and main results

In this paper, we investigate the multiplicity of normalized solutions for the following Kirchhoff-type problem

$$-\left(a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx\right) \Delta u = \lambda u + |u|^{p-2}u + \mu |u|^{q-2}u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (1.1)$$

with prescribed mass

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 dx = c^2,$$

---

Corresponding author. Email: ouzengq707@sina.com

where  $N = 2, 3$ ,  $a \geq 0$ ,  $b, c > 0$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  appears as a Lagrange multiplier,  $2 < q < p = 2 + \frac{8}{N}$  and  $\mu > 0$ . Let  $L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$  ( $1 \leq s < +\infty$ ) be the Lebesgue space with norm  $\|u\|_s = (\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^s dx)^{1/s}$ ,  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  be the Hilbert space with the norm  $\|u\| = (\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2) dx)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .

Problem (1.1) is a special form of the following Kirchhoff problem

$$-\left(a + b \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx\right) \Delta u = f(x, u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

which is also a variant of Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\left(a + b \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx\right) \Delta u = f(x, u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is a bounded domain with smooth boundary. It is well-known that problem (1.2) appears naturally in the context of physics. Problem (1.2) is the stationary case of a nonlinear wave equation

$$u_{tt} - \left(a + b \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx\right) \Delta u = f(x, u), \quad (1.3)$$

first proposed by Kirchhoff [9] in 1883. Problem (1.3) is a generalization of the classical D'Alembert's wave equation which describes free vibrations of elastic strings. The parameters in problem (1.3) have specific physical meaning:  $f$  is the external force,  $a$  is related to the intrinsic properties of the string, and  $u$  means the displacement while  $b$  denotes the initial tension. Since then, problem (1.3) has received much attention, see [1, 11, 12, 14, 15] and the references therein. Since Lions in [11] proposed an abstract functional analysis framework, Kirchhoff type problem has been intensively studied during the last decades. From a mathematical perspective, problem (1.2) is not a pointwise identity as the appearance of the nonlocal term  $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx$ . The nonlocal term causes some mathematical difficulties and the investigation of problem (1.2) is more interesting and challenging. Such a nonlocal model also appears in other fields as biological systems describing a process depending on the average of itself, for example one species' population density.

A way to study problem (1.1) is to search for solutions with  $L^2$ -norm constraint, and such solutions are known as normalized solutions and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  appears as a Lagrange multiplier. In addition, the study of  $L^2$ -norm constraint problem can give a better insight of the dynamical properties, like orbital stability or instability, and can describe attractive Bose-Einstein condensate. Normalized solutions of problem (1.1) can be obtained by looking for critical points of the energy functional  $E_{a,\mu}(u)$  constrained on  $S_c$ , where

$$E_{a,\mu}(u) := \frac{a}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{b}{4} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^2 - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^p dx - \frac{\mu}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^q dx,$$

and

$$S_c := \left\{ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 dx = c^2 \right\}.$$

Many interesting results on the normalized solutions of Kirchhoff problem are also obtained not long ago, see [2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 13, 17, 23]. Especially, many experts considered the existence of normalized solutions for problem (1.1) with combined nonlinearities. For the case  $\mu > 0$ ,

under different ranges of  $p$  and  $q$ , Li and Lou in [10] proved a multiplicity result for problem (1.1). In detail, if  $2 < q < \frac{10}{3}$ ,  $\frac{14}{3} < p < 6$  and  $\mu < \min\{\mu', \mu''\}$ , two solutions for problem (1.1) were obtained. If  $\frac{14}{3} < q < p < 6$ , problem (1.1) has a mountain pass type solution. Hu and Mao in [6] considered the following minimization problem

$$m_{a,c} = \inf_{u \in S_c} E_{a,\mu}(u), \quad (1.4)$$

and they proved that if  $2 < q < \frac{10}{3}$  and  $2 < q < p \leq \frac{14}{3}$ , problem (1.4) has a minimizer for every  $c \in (0, c_p^*)$ . At the same time, when  $c$  satisfies the suitable conditions, the nonexistence of minimizers for problem (1.4) was considered in the following four cases: (i)  $q = \frac{10}{3}$  and  $p = \frac{14}{3}$ ; (ii)  $\frac{10}{3} = q < p < \frac{14}{3}$ ; (iii)  $2 < q < p = \frac{14}{3}$ ; (iv)  $2 < q < p, \frac{14}{3} < p < 6$ . Moreover, if  $\frac{14}{3} < q < p < 6$ , they also obtained the existence of normalized solutions for problem (1.1) by using constraint minimization on a suitable submanifold of  $S_c$ . For the Sobolev critical case (that is,  $p = 6$ ), Feng, Liu and Zhang in [3] proved the existence and multiplicity of normalized solutions for problem (1.1) under suitable assumptions on  $\mu$  and  $c$  for the following four cases:  $2 < q < \frac{10}{3}$ ,  $q = \frac{10}{3}$ ,  $\frac{10}{3} < q < \frac{14}{3}$ ,  $\frac{14}{3} \leq q < p = 6$ . Some similar results were also obtained in [10, 23]. For the case  $\mu = 0$ , the existence, multiplicity and uniqueness of normalized solutions for problem (1.1) have been considered in [13, 19–22]. For the case  $\mu < 0$ , we refer to [2, 6], and for the nonlinear Kirchhoff-type equations in high dimensions see [8].

As far as we known, there are few papers to consider the existence and multiplicity of normalized solutions for problem (1.1) with  $L^2$ -critical nonlinearity (that is  $p = 2 + \frac{8}{N}$ ) in the two cases:  $2 < q < 2 + \frac{4}{N}$  and  $2 + \frac{4}{N} < q < 2 + \frac{8}{N}$ . The object of this paper is to prove the existence and multiplicity of normalized solutions for problem (1.1) in those cases under suitable assumptions on  $\mu$  and  $a$ .

Before stating the main results of this paper, let us recall the Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality (see [18]): for any  $s \in [2, \frac{2N}{N-2})$  if  $N \geq 3$  and  $s \geq 2$  if  $N = 1, 2$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{s} |u|_s^s \leq \frac{1}{2|Q_s|_2^{s-2}} |\nabla u|_2^{s\gamma_s} |u|_2^{s-s\gamma_s}, \quad (1.5)$$

where  $\gamma_s := \frac{N(s-2)}{2s}$  and with equality only for  $u = Q_s$ , and up to translations,  $Q_s$  is the unique positive solution of

$$-\frac{N(s-2)}{4} \Delta u + \left(1 + \frac{s-2}{4}(2-N)\right) u = |u|^{s-2} u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

and satisfies

$$|\nabla Q_s|_2^2 = |Q_s|_2^2 = \frac{2}{s} |Q_s|_s^s.$$

Especially, let  $p = 2 + \frac{8}{N}$ , define

$$c^* := \left( \frac{b|Q_p|_2^{\frac{8}{N}}}{2} \right)^{\frac{N}{8-2N}}.$$

For  $s = p = 2 + \frac{8}{N}$  and for any  $u \in S_c$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{p} |u|_p^p \leq \frac{1}{4c^2} \left( \frac{c}{|Q_p|_2} \right)^{\frac{8}{N}} |\nabla u|_2^4 = \frac{b}{4} \left( \frac{c}{c^*} \right)^{\frac{8-2N}{N}} |\nabla u|_2^4. \quad (1.6)$$

Set

$$\mu_* := \frac{2a|Q_q|_2^{q-2}}{(4-q\gamma_q)c^{q-q\gamma_q}} \left( \frac{2a(2-q\gamma_q)}{b(4-q\gamma_q)} \left( \left( \frac{c}{c^*} \right)^{\frac{8-2N}{N}} - 1 \right) \right)^{\frac{2-q\gamma_q}{2}}. \quad (1.7)$$

Now, our main results are following.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $2 < q < 2 + \frac{4}{N}$ ,  $p = 2 + \frac{8}{N}$ ,  $c > c^*$  and  $0 < \mu < \mu_*$ . Then problem (1.1) has two radial solutions, denoted by  $\tilde{u}_{c,\mu}$  and  $\hat{u}_{c,\mu}$ . Moreover,  $\tilde{u}_{c,\mu}$  is a local minimizer of the functional  $E_{a,\mu}$  on the set*

$$\mathcal{A}_{R_0} := \{u \in S_{c,r} : |\nabla u|_2^2 < R_0\}$$

for a suitable  $R_0 = R_0(c, \mu) > 0$  with  $E_{a,\mu}(\tilde{u}_{c,\mu}) < 0$  and  $\tilde{u}_{c,\mu}$  solves problem (1.1) for some  $\tilde{\lambda}_{c,\mu} < 0$ , and  $\hat{u}_{c,\mu}$  is a critical point of mountain pass type for  $E_{a,\mu}$  with  $E_{a,\mu}(\hat{u}_{c,\mu}) > 0$  and  $\hat{u}_{c,\mu}$  solves problem (1.1) for some  $\hat{\lambda}_{c,\mu} < 0$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *If  $2 + \frac{4}{N} < q < p = 2 + \frac{8}{N}$ ,  $\mu > 0$  and  $c < c^*$ , we have the following results:*

- (i) *if  $a = 0$ ,  $m_{0,c} := \inf_{u \in S_c} E_{0,\mu}(u)$  has a radial minimizer  $\tilde{u}$ , and  $\tilde{u}$  solves problem (1.1) for some  $\tilde{\lambda} < 0$ .*
- (ii) *let  $\bar{a} = \frac{b}{2} \left( 1 - \left( \frac{c}{c^*} \right)^{\frac{8-2N}{N}} \right) \left( \frac{2}{N(q-2)} - \frac{1}{4} \right) |\nabla \tilde{u}|_2^2 > 0$ , for any  $a \in (0, \bar{a})$ , problem (1.1) has two radial solutions, the one is a global minimizer  $\tilde{u}_{c,a}$  with  $\tilde{\lambda}_{c,a} < 0$ , and the other is the mountain pass type solution  $u_{c,a}$  with  $\lambda_{c,a} < 0$ .*

**Remark 1.3.** Theorem 1.1 complements [6, Theorem 1.2], where Hu and Mao considered the case  $c \in (0, c^*)$  and obtained a minimizer of the functional  $E_{a,\mu}$  on  $S_c$ . However, we deal with the case  $c > c^*$  and obtain two solutions for problem (1.1) under suitable assumptions on the constant  $\mu > 0$ . In the proof of Theorem 1.1, since the functional  $E_{a,\mu}$  is not bounded from below on  $S_c$  for  $c > c^*$ , we will restrict the functional  $E_{a,\mu}$  on the Pohozaev set  $\mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}$ . We can get a local minimizer for  $E_{a,\mu}|_{\mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}}$  and use mountain pass theorem to get the second critical point. We emphasize that (1.7) has been used to ensure that  $\mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}$  is a smooth manifold and the existence of mountain pass type solution.

To the best of our knowledge, Hu and Mao in [6] proved that if  $N = 3$ ,  $\frac{10}{3} < q < \frac{14}{3}$ ,  $p = \frac{14}{3}$ ,  $c < c^*$  and  $\mu > 0$  satisfy appropriate condition, problem (1.1) with has no minimizer. However, we try to prove the existence of normalized solution for problem (1.1) with  $2 + \frac{4}{N} < q < p = 2 + \frac{8}{N}$  for the suitable constant  $a > 0$ . Furthermore, there are few results about the existence of normalized solutions to degenerate Kirchhoff equations, that is,  $a = 0$ , so we first establish the existence of minimizer  $m_{0,c} = \inf_{u \in S_c} E_{0,\mu}(u) < 0$ , which is a normalized solution of the degenerate Kirchhoff equation. And then, we establish  $m_{a,c} := \inf_{u \in S_{c,r}} E_{a,\mu}(u) < 0$  with the help of the minimizer of  $m_{0,c}$ . At last, we will prove the existence of the second solution with the mountain pass type for problem (1.1).

To overcome the lack of compactness, we work in  $H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Although the energy functional  $E_{a,\mu}$  has a bounded Palais–Smale sequence on the mass constraint set  $S_{c,r}$ , unfortunately, we can not deduce whether  $E_{a,\mu}$  satisfies the Palais–Smale condition. To overcome this difficulty, in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we will constrain the energy functional  $E_{a,\mu}$  on a submanifold of  $S_{c,r}$  corresponding to the Pohozaev identity. In the proof of (2) of Theorem 1.2, we use Jeanjean’s method in [7] and construct an auxiliary map  $I_{a,\mu}(u, \tau) := E_{a,\mu}(\tau * u)$ , which has the same type of geometric structure on  $S_{c,r} \times \mathbb{R}$  as  $E_{a,\mu}$  on  $S_{c,r}$ .

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we will introduce some notations, then we recall a version of linking theorem. Finally, we give the compactness analysis of Palais–Smale sequences for  $E_{a,\mu}|_{S_{c,r}}$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^N) &= \{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) : u(|x|) = u(x)\}, \\ S_{c,r} &:= S_c \cap H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{u \in S_c : u(x) = u(|x|)\}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $u \in S_c$ , and  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ , define the fiber map preserving the  $L^2$ -norm

$$(\tau \star u)(x) := e^{\frac{N}{2}\tau} u(e^\tau x) \quad \text{for any } x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

We introduce the auxiliary functional  $I_{a,\mu} : H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$I_{a,\mu}(u, \tau) := E_{a,\mu}(\tau \star u) = \frac{e^{2\tau} a}{2} |\nabla u|_2^2 + \frac{e^{4\tau} b}{4} |\nabla u|_2^4 - \frac{e^{4\tau}}{p} |u|_p^p - \mu \frac{e^{\gamma q \tau}}{q} |u|_q^q, \quad (2.1)$$

then we easily see that the functional  $I_{a,\mu}$  is of class  $C^1$ . In addition, we define the Pohozaev set by

$$\mathcal{P}_{c,\mu} = \{u \in S_{c,r} : P_\mu(u) = 0\}$$

with

$$P_\mu(u) = a |\nabla u|_2^2 + b |\nabla u|_2^4 - \frac{4}{p} |u|_p^p - \mu \gamma q |u|_q^q.$$

**Lemma 2.1** ([4, Theorem 2.7]). *Let  $\varphi$  be a  $C^1$ -functional on a complete connected  $C^1$ -Finsler manifold  $X$  and consider a homotopy-stable family  $\mathcal{F}$  with extended boundary  $B$ . Set*

$$c = c(\varphi, \mathcal{F}) = \inf_{A \in \mathcal{F}} \max_{x \in A} \varphi(x)$$

and let  $F$  be a closed subset of  $X$  satisfying

$$A \cap F \setminus B \neq \emptyset \quad \text{for every } A \in \mathcal{F} \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$\sup_{x \in B} \varphi(x) \leq c \leq \inf_{x \in F} \varphi(x). \quad (2.3)$$

Then, for any sequence of sets  $(A_n)_n \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{A_n} \varphi = c$ , there exists a sequence  $(x_n)_n$  in  $X \setminus B$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(x_n) = c, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|d\varphi(x_n)\| = 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(x_n, F) = 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(x_n, A_n) = 0.$$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $a > 0$ ,  $b > 0$ ,  $c > 0$ ,  $\mu > 0$ ,  $2 < q < p = 2 + \frac{8}{N}$ . Let  $\{u_n\} \subset S_{c,r}$  be a bounded Palais–Smale sequence for  $E_{a,\mu}|_{S_{c,r}}$  at energy level  $m \neq 0$  with  $P_\mu(u_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then up to a subsequence  $u_n \rightarrow u$  strongly in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Moreover,  $u \in S_{c,r}$  and  $u$  is a radial solution for problem (1.1) for some  $\lambda < 0$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is divided into three steps.

**Step 1:** Lagrange multipliers  $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Since  $H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is compact for  $s \in (2, \frac{2N}{N-2})$ , from the boundedness of Palais–Smale sequence  $\{u_n\}$ , there exists a subsequence of  $\{u_n\}$ , still denoted by  $\{u_n\}$ , and  $u \in H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  such that

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u \quad \text{in } H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad u_n \rightarrow u \quad \text{in } L^s(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad u_n \rightarrow u \quad \text{a.e. on } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Because  $\{u_n\}$  is a Palais–Smale sequence of  $E_{a,\mu}|_{S_{c,r}}$ , by the Lagrange multipliers rule, there exists  $\lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$(a + b|\nabla u_n|_2^2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u_n \nabla \varphi dx - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{q-2} u_n \varphi dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{p-2} u_n \varphi dx - \lambda_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n \varphi dx = o_n(1) \quad (2.4)$$

for every  $\varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , where  $o_n(1) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . In particular, taking  $\varphi = u_n$  in (2.4), we have

$$\lambda_n c^2 = a|\nabla u_n|_2^2 + b|\nabla u_n|_2^4 - \mu|u_n|_q^q - |u_n|_p^p + o_n(1).$$

The boundedness of  $\{u_n\}$  in  $H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^q(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  implies that  $\{\lambda_n\}$  is bounded as well. Hence, up to a subsequence, we have  $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Step 2:**  $\lambda < 0$  and  $u \not\equiv 0$ . Recalling that  $P_\mu(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$\lambda_n c^2 = \mu(\gamma_q - 1)|u_n|_q^q + (\gamma_p - 1)|u_n|_p^p + o_n(1),$$

hence, let  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\lambda c^2 = \mu(\gamma_q - 1)|u|_q^q + (\gamma_p - 1)|u|_p^p.$$

Since  $\mu > 0$  and  $0 < \gamma_q, \gamma_p < 1$ , we deduce that  $\lambda \leq 0$ , with “=” if and only if  $u \equiv 0$ . If  $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |u_n|_p^p = 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |u_n|_q^q$ . Using again  $P_\mu(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ , we have  $E_{a,\mu}(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ , which is a contradiction with  $E_{a,\mu}(u_n) \rightarrow m \neq 0$  and thus  $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda < 0$  and  $u \not\equiv 0$ .

**Step 3:**  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Since  $u_n \rightarrow u \not\equiv 0$  in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , we get  $B := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\nabla u_n|_2^2 \geq |\nabla u|_2^2 > 0$ . Then, (2.4) implies that

$$(a + bB) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u \nabla \varphi dx - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{q-2} u \varphi dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p-2} u \varphi dx - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u \varphi dx = 0 \quad (2.5)$$

for any  $\varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Combining (2.4) with (2.5) and taking  $\varphi = u_n - u$ , we obtain

$$(a + bB)|\nabla(u_n - u)|_2^2 - \lambda|u_n - u|_2^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since  $\lambda < 0$ , we conclude that  $\{u_n\}$  converges strongly in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .  $\square$

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we deal with the case  $2 < q < 2 + \frac{4}{N}$ ,  $p = 2 + \frac{8}{N}$ ,  $c > c^*$ ,  $\mu > 0$  and prove Theorem 1.1. First of all, it is well known that any critical point of the functional  $E_{a,\mu}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}$ . Conversely, if  $u \in \mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}$ , we get  $\partial_\tau I_{a,\mu}(u, 0) = 0$ . Now, we consider the decomposition of  $\mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}$  into the disjoint union  $\mathcal{P}_{c,\mu} = \mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^+ \cup \mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^0 \cup \mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^-$ , where

$$\mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^+ := \{u \in \mathcal{P}_{c,\mu} : 2a|\nabla u|_2^2 + 4b|\nabla u|_2^4 > \mu q \gamma_q^2 |u|_q^q + p \gamma_p^2 |u|_p^p\} = \{u \in \mathcal{P}_{c,\mu} : \partial_{\tau\tau} I_{a,\mu}(u, 0) > 0\},$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^0 := \{u \in \mathcal{P}_{c,\mu} : 2a|\nabla u|_2^2 + 4b|\nabla u|_2^4 = \mu q \gamma_q^2 |u|_q^q + p \gamma_p^2 |u|_p^p\} = \{u \in \mathcal{P}_{c,\mu} : \partial_{\tau\tau} I_{a,\mu}(u, 0) = 0\},$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^- := \{u \in \mathcal{P}_{c,\mu} : 2a|\nabla u|_2^2 + 4b|\nabla u|_2^4 < \mu q \gamma_q^2 |u|_q^q + p \gamma_p^2 |u|_p^p\} = \{u \in \mathcal{P}_{c,\mu} : \partial_{\tau\tau} I_{a,\mu}(u, 0) < 0\}.$$

By (1.5) and (1.6), we have

$$E_{a,\mu}(u) \geq \frac{a}{2} |\nabla u|_2^2 + \frac{b}{4} \left( 1 - \left( \frac{c}{c^*} \right)^{\frac{8-2N}{N}} \right) |\nabla u|_2^4 - \mu \frac{c^{q-\gamma q}}{2|Q_q|_2^{q-2}} |\nabla u|_2^{\gamma q} \quad (3.1)$$

for every  $u \in S_{c,r}$ . Therefore, to understand the geometry of the functional  $E_{a,\mu}|_{S_{c,r}}$ , it is useful to consider the function  $h : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ :

$$h(t) := \frac{a}{2} t + \frac{b}{4} \left( 1 - \left( \frac{c}{c^*} \right)^{\frac{8-2N}{N}} \right) t^2 - \mu \frac{c^{q-\gamma q}}{2|Q_q|_2^{q-2}} t^{\frac{\gamma q}{2}}.$$

Now, we study the properties of  $h(t)$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $c > c^*$ ,  $2 < q < 2 + \frac{4}{N}$ ,  $p = 2 + \frac{8}{N}$ ,  $0 < \mu < \mu_*$ , where  $\mu_*$  is defined in (1.7), the function  $h$  has a local strict minimum at negative level and a global strict maximum at positive level. Moreover, there exist  $0 < R_0 < R_1$ , both depending on  $c$  and  $\mu$ , such that  $h(R_0) = 0 = h(R_1)$  and  $h(t) > 0$  for any  $t \in (R_0, R_1)$ .*

*Proof.* Since

$$h(t) = t^{\frac{\gamma q}{2}} \left( \frac{a}{2} t^{1-\frac{\gamma q}{2}} + \frac{b}{4} \left( 1 - \left( \frac{c}{c^*} \right)^{\frac{8-2N}{N}} \right) t^{2-\frac{\gamma q}{2}} - \mu \frac{c^{q-q\gamma q}}{2|Q_q|_2^{q-2}} \right)$$

for  $t > 0$ , we have  $h(t) > 0$  if and only if

$$\varphi(t) > \mu \frac{c^{q-q\gamma q}}{2|Q_q|_2^{q-2}}, \quad \text{with } \varphi(t) := \frac{a}{2} t^{1-\frac{\gamma q}{2}} + \frac{b}{4} \left( 1 - \left( \frac{c}{c^*} \right)^{\frac{8-2N}{N}} \right) t^{2-\frac{\gamma q}{2}}.$$

It is not difficult to check that  $\varphi$  has a unique critical point  $\bar{t}$  on  $(0, \infty)$ , which is a global maximum point at positive level:

$$\bar{t} := \frac{2a(2 - q\gamma q)}{b(4 - q\gamma q) \left( \left( \frac{c}{c^*} \right)^{\frac{8-2N}{N}} - 1 \right)},$$

and the maximum level is

$$\varphi(\bar{t}) = \frac{a}{(4 - q\gamma q)} \left( \frac{2a(2 - q\gamma q)}{b(4 - q\gamma q) \left( \left( \frac{c}{c^*} \right)^{\frac{8-2N}{N}} - 1 \right)} \right)^{1-\frac{q\gamma q}{2}} > 0.$$

From  $0 < \frac{\gamma q}{2} < 1$ ,  $\mu > 0$  and  $c > c^*$ , it is obvious that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} h(t) = 0^-$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} h(t) = -\infty$ . Therefore,  $h$  is positive on an open interval  $(R_0, R_1)$  if  $\varphi(\bar{t}) > \mu \frac{c^{q-q\gamma q}}{2|Q_q|_2^{q-2}}$ , which is ensured by

$$0 < \mu < \mu_* := \frac{2a|Q_q|_2^{q-2}}{(4 - q\gamma q)c^{q-q\gamma q}} \left( \frac{2a(2 - q\gamma q)}{b(4 - q\gamma q) \left( \left( \frac{c}{c^*} \right)^{\frac{8-2N}{N}} - 1 \right)} \right)^{1-\frac{q\gamma q}{2}}.$$

It follows immediately that  $h$  has a global maximum at positive level in  $(R_0, R_1)$ . Moreover, since  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} h(t) = 0^-$ , there exists a local minimum point at negative level in  $(0, R_0)$ . The fact that  $h$  has no other critical points can be verified observing that  $h'(t) = 0$  if and only if

$$\psi(t) = \mu \frac{\gamma q c^{q-q\gamma q}}{2|Q_q|_2^{q-2}} \quad \text{with } \psi(t) := at^{\frac{2-q\gamma q}{2}} + b \left( 1 - \left( \frac{c}{c^*} \right)^{\frac{8-2N}{N}} \right) t^{\frac{4-q\gamma q}{2}}.$$



Clearly  $\psi$  has only one critical point, which is a strict maximum, and hence the above equation has at most two solutions, which necessarily are the local minimum and the global maximum of  $h$  previously found.  $\square$

We now study the structure of the Pohozaev manifold  $\mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}$ . Recalling the decomposition of  $\mathcal{P}_{c,\mu} = \mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^+ \cup \mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^0 \cup \mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^-$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *If  $2 < q < 2 + \frac{4}{N}$ ,  $p = 2 + \frac{8}{N}$  and  $0 < \mu < \mu_*$ , then  $\mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^0 = \emptyset$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}$  is a smooth manifold of codimension 2 in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .*

*Proof.* Otherwise, let  $u \in \mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^0$ , from  $P_{c,\mu}(u) = 0$  and  $\partial_{\tau\tau} I_{a,\mu}(u, 0) = 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} a|\nabla u|_2^2 + b|\nabla u|_2^4 - \mu\gamma_q|u|_q^q - \frac{4}{p}|u|_p^p &= 0, \\ 2a|\nabla u|_2^2 + 4b|\nabla u|_2^4 - \mu q\gamma_q^2|u|_q^q - p\gamma_p^2|u|_p^p &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

By (1.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (2 - q\gamma_q)a|\nabla u|_2^2 + (4 - q\gamma_q)b|\nabla u|_2^4 &= \gamma_p(p\gamma_p - q\gamma_q)|u|_p^p \leq (4 - q\gamma_q)b\left(\frac{c}{c^*}\right)^{\frac{8-2N}{N}}|\nabla u|_2^4, \\ 2a|\nabla u|_2^2 &= \mu\gamma_q(4 - q\gamma_q)|u|_q^q \leq \mu q\gamma_q(4 - q\gamma_q)\frac{c^{q-q\gamma_q}}{2|Q_q|_2^{q-2}}|\nabla u|_2^{q\gamma_q}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, the lower and upper bounds of  $|\nabla u|_2$  are given by

$$\left(\frac{a(2 - q\gamma_q)}{b(4 - q\gamma_q)\left(\left(\frac{c}{c^*}\right)^{\frac{8-2N}{N}} - 1\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq |\nabla u|_2 \leq \left(\frac{\mu q\gamma_q(4 - q\gamma_q)c^{q-q\gamma_q}}{4a|Q_q|_2^{q-2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2-q\gamma_q}},$$

which leads to

$$\mu > \frac{4a|Q_q|_2^{q-2}}{q\gamma_q(4 - q\gamma_q)c^{q-q\gamma_q}} \left(\frac{a(2 - q\gamma_q)}{b(4 - q\gamma_q)\left(\left(\frac{c}{c^*}\right)^{\frac{8-2N}{N}} - 1\right)}\right)^{\frac{2-q\gamma_q}{2}} > \mu_*,$$

which contradicts to  $0 < \mu < \mu_*$ , hence,  $\mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^0 = \emptyset$ .  $\mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}$  is a smooth manifold of codimension 2 in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , see proof of [16, Lemma 5.2].  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $a > 0, b > 0, 2 < q < 2 + \frac{4}{N}$ ,  $p = 2 + \frac{8}{N}$ ,  $0 < \mu < \mu^*$ , if  $u \in \mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}$  is a critical point for  $E_{a,\mu}|_{\mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}}$ , then  $u$  is a critical point for  $E_{a,\mu}|_{S_{c,r}}$ , where  $\mu^*$  is defined in (1.7).*

*Proof.* From Lemma 3.2, we deduce that  $\mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}$  is a smooth manifold of codimension 2 in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^0 = \emptyset$ . If  $u \in \mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}$  is a critical point for  $E_{a,\mu}|_{\mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}}$ , then by the Lagrange multipliers rule, there exists  $\lambda, \xi \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\left\langle E'_{a,\mu}(u), \varphi \right\rangle - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u\varphi dx - \xi \left\langle P'_\mu(u), \varphi \right\rangle = 0, \quad \forall \varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

So  $u$  solves

$$-((1 - 2\xi)a + (1 - 4\xi)b|\nabla u|_2^2)\Delta u - \lambda u + \mu(\xi q\delta_q - 1)|u|^{q-2}u + (p\xi\gamma_p - 1)|u|^{p-2}u = 0.$$



Combining with the Pohozaev identity, we have

$$(1 - 2\zeta)a|\nabla u|_2^2 + (1 - 4\zeta)b|\nabla u|_2^4 + \mu\gamma_q(\zeta q\gamma_q - 1)|u|_q^q + \gamma_p(p\zeta\gamma_p - 1)|u|_p^p = 0.$$

Since  $u \in \mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}$  and  $u \notin \mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^0$ , we deduce from  $\zeta(2a|\nabla u|_2^2 + 4b|\nabla u|_2^4 - \mu q\gamma_q^2|u|_q^q - \gamma_p^2 p|u|_p^p) = 0$  that  $\zeta = 0$ .  $\square$

The manifold  $\mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}$  is then divided into two components  $\mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^+$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^-$ , having disjoint closure.

**Lemma 3.4.** *For every  $u \in S_{c,r}$ , we have*

- (i) *if  $\frac{b}{4}|\nabla u|_2^4 \geq \frac{1}{p}|u|_p^p$ , the function  $I_{a,\mu}(u, \cdot)$  has a critical point  $s_u \in \mathbb{R}$  and a zero  $c_u \in \mathbb{R}$ , with  $s_u < c_u$ ;*
- (ii) *if  $\frac{b}{4}|\nabla u|_2^4 < \frac{1}{p}|u|_p^p$ , the function  $I_{a,\mu}(u, \cdot)$  has exactly two critical points  $s_u < t_u \in \mathbb{R}$  and two zeros  $c_u < d_u \in \mathbb{R}$ , with  $s_u < c_u < t_u < d_u$ ;*
- (iii)  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla(\tau \star u)|^2 \leq R_0$  for every  $\tau \leq c_u$ , and

$$E_{a,\mu}(s_u \star u) = \min \left\{ E_{a,\mu}(\tau \star u) : \tau \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla(\tau \star u)|^2 dx < R_0 \right\} < 0; \quad (3.2)$$

- (iv) *For any  $u \in S_{c,r}$  with  $\frac{b}{4}|\nabla u|_2^4 < \frac{1}{p}|u|_p^p$ , we have*

$$E_{a,\mu}(t_u \star u) = \max \{ E_{a,\mu}(\tau \star u) : \tau \in \mathbb{R} \} > 0, \quad (3.3)$$

*and  $I_{a,\mu}$  is strictly decreasing and concave on  $\tau \in (t_u, +\infty)$ ;*

- (v) *The maps  $u \in S_{c,r} \mapsto s_u \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $u \in S_{c,r} \mapsto t_u \in \mathbb{R}$  are of class  $C^1$ .*

*Proof.* We recall that by (3.1)

$$I_{a,\mu}(u, \tau) = E_{a,\mu}(\tau \star u) \geq h \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla(\tau \star u)|^2 dx \right) = h \left( e^{2\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right).$$

Thus, the function  $I_{a,\mu}(u, \cdot)$  is positive on  $(C(R_0), C(R_1))$  with

$$(C(R_0), C(R_1)) := \left( \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( R_0 / \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right), \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( R_1 / \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right) \right).$$

If  $\frac{b}{4}|\nabla u|_2^4 \geq \frac{1}{p}|u|_p^p$ , from (2.1),  $I_{a,\mu}(u, \tau) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$ , and  $I_{a,\mu}(u, \tau) \rightarrow 0^-$  as  $\tau \rightarrow -\infty$ . Hence, it follows that  $I_{a,\mu}$  has at least a critical point  $s_u$ , with  $s_u$  local minimum point on  $(-\infty, C(R_0))$  at negative level, and  $I_{a,\mu}$  has at least a zero point  $c_u$  with  $s_u < c_u < C(R_0)$ . Note that  $\partial_\tau I_{a,\mu}(u, \tau) = 0$  reads

$$\phi(\tau) = \mu\gamma_q|u|_q^q \quad \text{with } \phi(\tau) := ae^{\frac{4-N(q-2)}{2}\tau}|\nabla u|_2^2 + be^{\frac{8-N(q-2)}{2}\tau}|\nabla u|_2^4 - \frac{4}{p}e^{\frac{8-N(q-2)}{2}\tau}|u|_p^p. \quad (3.4)$$

But  $\phi(\tau)$  is increasing on  $(-\infty, +\infty)$ , hence,  $I_{a,\mu}$  has exactly a critical point  $s_u$  and a zero point  $c_u$ .

If  $\frac{b}{4}|\nabla u|_2^4 < \frac{1}{p}|u|_p^p$ ,  $I_{a,\mu}(u, \tau) \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $\phi$  has a unique maximum point, and  $I_{a,\mu}(u, \tau) \rightarrow 0^-$  as  $\tau \rightarrow -\infty$ . Therefore, we conclude that  $I_{a,\mu}$  has exactly two critical points:

$s_u$ , local minimum on  $(-\infty, C(R_0))$  at negative level, and  $t_u$ , global maximum at positive level, which also gives (3.3).

From  $s_u < C(R_0)$ , then it holds that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla(s_u \star u)|^2 dx = e^{2s_u} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx < R_0.$$

In addition, we have  $s_u \star u \in \mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}$ ,  $t_u \star u \in \mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}$ , and  $\tau \star u \in \mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}$  implies  $\tau \in \{s_u, t_u\}$ . By minimality and  $\mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^0 = \emptyset$ , we have  $\partial_{\tau\tau} I_{a,\mu}(u, s_u) > 0$ , that is,  $s_u \star u \in \mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^+$ . In the same way,  $t_u \star u \in \mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^-$ . In particular,  $I_{a,\mu}(u, \cdot)$  is concave on  $[t_u, +\infty)$ .

Finally, we show that  $u \mapsto s_u$  and  $u \mapsto t_u$  are of class  $C^1$ . To this end, we apply the implicit function theorem on the  $C^1$  function  $\Phi(u, \tau) := \partial_{\tau} I_{a,\mu}(u, \tau)$ . We see  $\Phi(u, s_u) = 0$  and  $\partial_{\tau} \Phi(u, s_u) = \partial_{\tau\tau} I_{a,\mu}(u, s_u) > 0$ , and the fact that it is not possible to pass with continuity from  $\mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^+$  to  $\mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^-$  (since  $\mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^0 = \emptyset$ ). By the same argument, we have that  $u \mapsto t_u$  is of  $C^1$ .  $\square$

From the proof of Lemma 3.4, we see that  $s_u < C(R_0) < t_u$  and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla(s_u \star u)|^2 dx < R_0 < \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla(t_u \star u)|^2 dx,$$

which implies

$$\mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^+ \subseteq \{u \in S_{c,r} : |\nabla u|_2^2 < R_0\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^- \subseteq \{u \in S_{c,r} : |\nabla u|_2^2 > R_0\}.$$

For  $k > 0$ , let us set

$$\mathcal{A}_k := \{u \in S_{a,r} : |\nabla u|_2^2 < k\},$$

and

$$M_{c,\mu} := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{A}_{R_0}} E_{a,\mu}(u).$$

As an immediate lemma, we have:

**Lemma 3.5.**  $\sup_{\mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^+} E_{a,\mu} \leq 0 \leq \inf_{\mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^-} E_{a,\mu}$ .

**Lemma 3.6.** *It results that  $M_{c,\mu} \in (-\infty, 0)$ , that*

$$M_{c,\mu} = \inf_{\mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^+} E_{a,\mu} = \inf_{\mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^+} E_{a,\mu}, \quad \text{and that} \quad M_{c,\mu} < \inf_{\mathcal{A}_{R_0} \setminus \mathcal{A}_{R_0-\rho}} E_{a,\mu}$$

for  $\rho > 0$  small enough.

*Proof.* For any  $u \in \mathcal{A}_{R_0}$ , we have

$$E_{a,\mu}(u) \geq h(|\nabla u|_2^2) \geq \min_{t \in [0, R_0]} h(t) > -\infty,$$

and hence  $M_{c,\mu} > -\infty$ . Moreover, for any  $u \in S_{c,r}$ , we have  $|\nabla(\tau \star u)|_2^2 < R_0$  and  $E_{a,\mu}(\tau \star u) < 0$  for  $\tau \ll -1$ , and hence  $M_{c,\mu} < 0$ .

Now,  $M_{c,\mu} \leq \inf_{\mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^+} E_{a,\mu}$  from  $\mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^+ \subset \mathcal{A}_{R_0}$ . On the other hand, if  $u \in \mathcal{A}_{R_0}$ , then  $s_u \star u \in \mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^+ \subset \mathcal{A}_{R_0}$ , and

$$E_{a,\mu}(s_u \star u) = \min \left\{ E_{a,\mu}(\tau \star u) : \tau \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla(\tau \star u)|^2 dx < R_0 \right\} \leq E_{a,\mu}(u),$$

which implies that  $\inf_{\mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^+} E_{a,\mu} \leq M_{c,\mu}$ . To prove that  $\inf_{\mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^+} E_{a,\mu} = \inf_{\mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}} E_{a,\mu}$ , it is sufficient to recall that  $E_{a,\mu}(u) > 0$  on  $\mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^-$ .

Finally, by the continuity of  $h$ , there exists  $\rho > 0$  such that  $h(t) \geq \frac{M_{c,\mu}}{2}$  for any  $t \in [R_0 - \rho, R_0]$ . Therefore, we have

$$E_{a,\mu}(u) \geq h(|\nabla u|_2^2) \geq \frac{M_{c,\mu}}{2} > M_{c,\mu}$$

for every  $u \in S_{c,r}$  with  $R_0 - \rho \leq |\nabla u|_2^2 \leq R_0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.7.**  $M_{c,\mu}$  can be achieved by some  $\tilde{u}_{c,\mu} \in S_{c,r}$ . Moreover,  $\tilde{u}_{c,\mu}$  is an interior local minimizer for  $E_{a,\mu}|_{A_{R_0}}$ , and  $\tilde{u}_{c,\mu}$  solves problem (1.1) for some  $\tilde{\lambda}_{c,\mu} < 0$ . Moreover,  $\tilde{u}_{c,\mu}$  is a ground state of  $E_{a,\mu}|_{S_{c,r}}$ , any ground state of  $E_{a,\mu}|_{S_{c,r}}$  is a local minimizer of  $E_{a,\mu}$  on  $A_{R_0}$ .

*Proof.* Let us consider a minimizing sequence  $\{v_n\}$  for  $E_{a,\mu}|_{A_{R_0}}$ . By Lemma 3.4, there exists a sequence  $\{s_{v_n}\}$  such that  $s_{v_n} \star v_n \in \mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^+$  and

$$E_{a,\mu}(s_{v_n} \star v_n) = \min \left\{ E_{a,\mu}(\tau \star s_{v_n}) : \tau \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla(\tau \star s_{v_n})|^2 dx < R_0 \right\} < E_{a,\mu}(v_n),$$

where the last inequality follows from  $v_n \in A_{R_0}$ . Besides, we also see that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla(s_{v_n} \star v_n)|^2 dx < R_0,$$

furthermore, by Lemma 3.6, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla(s_{v_n} \star v_n)|^2 dx < R_0 - \rho.$$

Once again by Lemma 3.6, it holds that

$$M_{c,\mu} = \inf_{\mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}} E_{a,\mu} = \inf_{\mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^+} E_{a,\mu}.$$

Setting  $u_n = s_{v_n} \star v_n$  and using the Ekeland's variational principle, we may assume that  $\{u_n\}$  is a Palais–Smale sequence for  $E_{a,\mu}$  on  $S_{c,r}$  and  $P_\mu(u_n) = 0$ . Hence, we have

$$E_{a,\mu}(u_n) = \frac{a}{4} |\nabla u_n|_2^2 - \frac{\mu}{q} \left( 1 - \frac{N(q-2)}{8} \right) |u_n|_q^q = M_{c,\mu} + o_n(1).$$

It results to

$$\frac{a}{4} |\nabla u_n|_2^2 \leq (M_{c,\mu} + 1) + \frac{\mu}{q} \left( 1 - \frac{N(q-2)}{8} \right) \frac{c^{q - \frac{N(q-2)}{2}}}{2|Q_q|_2^{q-2}} |\nabla u_n|_2^{\frac{N(q-2)}{2}}, \quad (3.5)$$

which gives  $\{|\nabla u_n|_2\}$  is bounded, hence,  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . From Lemma 2.2, up to a subsequence,  $u_n \rightarrow \tilde{u}_{c,\mu}$  strongly in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , and  $\tilde{u}_{c,\mu}$  solves problem (1.1) for some  $\tilde{\lambda}_{c,\mu} < 0$ . Moreover, we have  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \tilde{u}_{c,\mu}|^2 dx < R_0 - \rho$  and  $\tilde{u}_{c,\mu}$  is an interior local minimizer for  $M_{c,\mu}$ .

Since any critical point of  $E_{a,\mu}|_{S_{c,r}}$  lies in  $\mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}$  and  $M_{c,\mu} = \inf_{\mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}} E_{a,\mu}$ , we see that  $\tilde{u}_{c,\mu}$  is a ground state for  $E_{a,\mu}|_{S_{c,r}}$ . It only remains to prove that any ground state of  $E_{a,\mu}|_{S_{c,r}}$  is a local minimizer of  $E_{a,\mu}$  in  $A_{R_0}$ . Let  $u$  be a critical point of  $E_{a,\mu}|_{S_{c,r}}$  with  $E_{a,\mu}(u) = M_{c,\mu} = \inf_{\mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}} E_{a,\mu}$ . Since  $E_{a,\mu}(u) < 0 < \inf_{\mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^-} E_{a,\mu}$ , necessarily  $u \in \mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^+$ . Then Lemma 3.6 implies that  $\mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^+ \subset A_{R_0}$ . This leads to  $|\nabla u|_2 < R_0$ , and as a consequence  $u$  is a local minimizer for  $E_{a,\mu}|_{A_{R_0}}$ . Lemma 3.4 implies that  $E_{a,\mu}(u) \leq 0$  for any  $u \in \mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^+$ , and  $|\nabla u|_2^2 < R_0$ . Hence,  $u$  is a local minimizer for  $E_{a,\mu}|_{A_{R_0}}$ .  $\square$

In the following, we focus on the existence of a second critical point for  $E_{a,\mu}|_{S_{c,r}}$ . Let

$$\tilde{Q}_p(x) := c \frac{Q_p(x)}{|Q_p|_2}, \quad Q_p^\tau(x) := c \frac{e^{\frac{N}{2}\tau} Q_p(e^\tau x)}{|Q_p|_2} \quad \text{for any } \tau > 0,$$

we have  $\tilde{Q}_p(x), Q_p^\tau(x) \in S_{c,r}$ .

**Lemma 3.8.** *If  $2 < q < 2 + \frac{4}{N}$ ,  $p = 2 + \frac{8}{N}$ , and  $c > c^*$ , we have  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla Q_p^\tau|^2 dx \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $I_{a,\mu}(\tilde{Q}_p, \tau) \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$ .*

*Proof.* A straightforward calculation shows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla Q_p^\tau|^2 dx = e^{2\tau} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \tilde{Q}_p|^2 dx.$$

From (1.5) with  $s = p$  and (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{a,\mu}(\tilde{Q}_p, \tau) &= \frac{ae^{2\tau}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \tilde{Q}_p|^2 dx + \frac{be^{4\tau}}{4} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \tilde{Q}_p|^2 dx \right)^2 - \frac{e^{4\tau}}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\tilde{Q}_p|^p dx - \mu \frac{e^{\gamma q \tau}}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\tilde{Q}_p|^q dx \\ &= \frac{ae^{2\tau}}{2} \frac{c^2 |\nabla Q_p|_2^2}{|Q_p|_2^2} - \mu \frac{e^{\gamma q \tau}}{q} \frac{c^q}{|Q_p|_2^q} |Q_p|_q^q + c^4 e^{4\tau} \left( \frac{b}{4} \frac{|\nabla Q_p|_2^4}{|Q_p|_2^4} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{2}{c^2} \left( \frac{c}{|Q_p|_2} \right)^{\frac{8}{N}} \frac{2|Q_p|_p^p}{q|Q_p|_2^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{ac^2 e^{2\tau}}{2} - \mu \frac{e^{\gamma q \tau}}{q} \frac{c^q}{|Q_p|_2^q} |Q_p|_q^q + \frac{bc^4 e^{4\tau}}{4} \left( 1 - \left( \frac{c}{c^*} \right)^{\frac{8-2N}{N}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

from  $c > c^*$ , we have  $I_{a,\mu}(\tilde{Q}_p, \tau) \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$ . □

**Lemma 3.9.** *Suppose that  $E_{a,\mu}(u) < M_{c,\mu}$ . Then the value  $t_u$  defined by Lemma 3.4 is negative.*

**Lemma 3.10.** *It results that*

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{c,\mu} = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^-} E_{a,\mu}(u) > 0.$$

We introduce the minimax class

$$\Gamma := \left\{ \gamma \in C([0, 1], S_{c,r}) : \gamma(0) \in \mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^+ \text{ with } \frac{b}{4} |\nabla \gamma(0)|_2^4 < \frac{1}{p} |\gamma(0)|_p^p, E_{a,\mu}(\gamma(1)) \leq 2M_{c,\mu} \right\},$$

then  $\Gamma \neq \emptyset$ . In fact, we have  $s_{\tilde{Q}_p} \star \tilde{Q}_p \in \mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^+$  by Lemma 3.4 and  $E_{a,\mu}(\tau \star \tilde{Q}_p) \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$  by Lemma 3.8, and  $\tau \mapsto \tau \star \tilde{Q}_p$  is continuous. Thus, we can define the minimax value

$$\sigma_{c,\mu} := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0, 1]} E_{a,\mu}(\gamma(t)).$$

**Lemma 3.11.**  $\sigma_{c,\mu} > 0$  can be achieved by some  $\hat{u}_{c,\mu} \in S_{c,r}$ , and  $\hat{u}_{c,\mu}$  solves problem (1.1) for some  $\hat{\lambda}_{c,\mu} < 0$ .

*Proof.* Since we want to use Lemma 2.1, next we verify the conditions of Lemma 2.1 one by one. Let us set

$$\mathcal{F} := \Gamma, \quad A := \gamma([0, 1]), \quad F := \mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^-, \quad \text{and} \quad B := \mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^+ \cup E_{a,\mu}^{2M_{c,\mu}},$$

where  $E_{a,\mu}^c := \{u \in S_{c,r} : E_{a,\mu}(u) \leq c\}$ .

We first show that  $\mathcal{F}$  is homotopy-stable family with extended boundary  $B$ : for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and any  $\eta \in C([0, 1] \times S_{c,r}; S_{c,r})$  satisfying  $\eta(t, u) = u$ ,  $(t, u) \in (0 \times S_{c,r}) \cup ([0, 1] \times B)$ , we want to get  $\eta(1, \gamma(t)) \in \Gamma$ . In fact, let  $\tilde{\gamma}(t) = \eta(1, \gamma(t))$ , then  $\tilde{\gamma}(0) = \eta(1, \gamma(0)) = \gamma(0) \in \mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^+$ . Besides,  $\tilde{\gamma}(1) = \eta(1, \gamma(1)) = \gamma(1) \in E_{a,\mu}^{2M_{c,\mu}}$ . Therefore, we have  $\eta(1, \gamma(t)) \in \Gamma$ .

Next we verify the condition (2.2): by Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.9, we know  $F \cap B = \emptyset$  and hence  $F \setminus B = F$ . We claim that

$$A \cap (F \setminus B) = A \cap F = \gamma([0, 1]) \cap \mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^- \neq \emptyset, \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma. \quad (3.6)$$

Indeed, since  $\gamma(0) \in \mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^+$  with  $\frac{b}{4} |\nabla \gamma(0)|_2^4 < \frac{1}{p} |\gamma(0)|_p^p$ , we know  $s_{\gamma(0)} = 0$  (see the definition of  $s_u$  in Lemma 3.4) and hence  $t_{\gamma(0)} > s_{\gamma(0)} = 0$ . On the other hand, since  $E_{a,\mu}(\gamma(1)) \leq 2M_{c,\mu} < M_{c,\mu}$  (see Lemma 3.6), we by Lemma 3.8 have  $t_{\gamma(1)} < 0$ . By Lemma 3.4, we know  $t_{\gamma(\tau)}$  is continuous in  $\tau$ . It follows that for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  there exists  $\tau_\gamma \in (0, 1)$  such that  $t_{\gamma(\tau_\gamma)} = 0$ , that is,  $\gamma(\tau_\gamma) \in \mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^-$ , and hence  $A \cap (F \setminus B) \neq \emptyset$ .

Finally, we verify the condition (2.3), that is, we need to show

$$\inf_{\mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^-} E_{a,\mu} \geq \sigma_{c,\mu} \geq \sup_{\mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^+ \cup E_{a,\mu}^{2M_{c,\mu}}} E_{a,\mu}.$$

By (3.6), for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , we have

$$\max_{t \in [0, 1]} E_{a,\mu}(\gamma(t)) \geq \inf_{\mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^-} E_{a,\mu},$$

so that  $\sigma_{c,\mu} \geq \tilde{\sigma}_{c,\mu}$ . On the other hand, if  $u \in \mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^-$  with  $\frac{b}{4} |\nabla u|_2^4 < \frac{1}{p} |u|_p^p$ , then for  $s_1 \gg 1$  large enough

$$\gamma_u : \tau \in [0, 1] \mapsto ((1 - \tau)s_u + \tau s_1) \star u \in S_{c,r}$$

is a path in  $\Gamma$ . Since  $u \in \mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^-$ , we know  $t_u = 0$  is a global maximum point for  $I_{a,\mu}$ , and deduce that

$$E_{a,\mu}(u) \geq \max_{t \in [0, 1]} E_{a,\mu}(\gamma_u(t)) \geq \sigma_{c,\mu},$$

which implies that  $\tilde{\sigma}_{c,\mu} \geq \sigma_{c,\mu}$ . Thus, we get  $\sigma_{c,\mu} = \tilde{\sigma}_{c,\mu} > 0$ . By Lemma 3.5, we know  $E_{a,\mu}(u) \leq 0$  for any  $u \in \mathcal{P}_{c,\mu}^+ \cup E_{a,\mu}^{2M_{c,\mu}}$ , hence we get (2.3). From Lemma 2.1, we obtain a Palais–Smale sequence  $\{u_n\}$  for the functional  $E_{a,\mu}$  on  $S_{c,r}$  and  $P_\mu(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ . Similar to (3.5),  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded. Hence, from Lemma 2.2, up to a subsequence,  $u_n \rightarrow \hat{u}_{c,\mu}$  strongly in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , and  $\hat{u}_{c,\mu}$  solves problem (1.1) for some  $\hat{\lambda}_{c,\mu} < 0$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Theorem 1.1 comes from Lemma 3.7 and Lemma 3.11.  $\square$

## 4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, we deal with the case  $2 + \frac{4}{N} < q < p = 2 + \frac{8}{N}$ ,  $\mu > 0$ ,  $a \geq 0$  and prove Theorem 1.2. We first consider the existence of normalized ground state solution for the degenerate Kirchhoff-type equations, that is,  $a = 0$ , by the following minimization problem:

$$m_{0,c} = \inf_{u \in S_c} E_{a,\mu}(u).$$

And then, we discuss the the existence of normalized solutions for the nondegenerate Kirchhoff-type equations, that is,  $a > 0$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *If  $a \geq 0$ ,  $2 + \frac{4}{N} < q < p = 2 + \frac{8}{N}$  and  $c < c^*$ , the functional  $E_{a,\mu}$  is coercive on  $S_c$ . Moreover,  $m_{0,c} < 0$ .*

*Proof.* Utilizing (1.5) and (1.6), we see that for any  $u \in S_c$ ,

$$E_{a,\mu}(u) \geq \frac{b}{4} \left( 1 - \left( \frac{c}{c^*} \right)^{\frac{8-2N}{N}} \right) |\nabla u|_2^4 - \mu \frac{c^{q-q\gamma q}}{2|Q_q|_2^{q-2}} |\nabla u|_2^{q\gamma q},$$

hence, from  $2 < \gamma q q < 4$  and  $c < c^*$ , we obtain that the functional  $E_{a,\mu}$  is coercive on  $S_c$ .

For any  $u \in S_c$ , set  $u^t(x) = t^{\frac{N}{2}} u(tx)$  for any  $t > 0$ , then  $u^t \in S_c$  and

$$m_{0,c} \leq E_{0,\mu}(u^t) = \frac{b}{4} |\nabla u|_2^4 t^4 - \frac{1}{p} |u|_p^p t^4 - \frac{\mu}{q} |u|_q^q t^{\gamma q q} \rightarrow 0^- \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0^+,$$

hence, from  $\mu > 0$  and  $2 < \gamma q q < 4$ , we obtain  $m_{0,c} < 0$ .  $\square$

In order to prove that the minimizer of  $m_{a,c}$  can be obtained, we now give two lemmas.

**Lemma 4.2.** *If  $m_{a,c} < 0$ , we have  $m_{a,c} < m_{a,\gamma} + m_{a,c-\gamma}$  for any  $0 < \gamma < c$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is similar to [19, Lemma 2.5], so we omit it.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.3.**  *$m_{a,c}$  is strictly decreasing in  $c \in (0, +\infty)$ .*

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $c < c^*$ ,  $m_{0,c} := \inf_{u \in S_c} E_{0,\mu}(u)$  has a radial minimizer  $\tilde{u}$ , and  $\tilde{u}$  solves problem (1.1) for some  $\tilde{\lambda} < 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{u_n\} \subset S_c$  be a minimizing sequence of  $m_{0,c} < 0$ , it can easily see that  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  by Lemma 4.1. Since  $E_{0,\mu}$  is even, we can suppose that  $u_n \geq 0$ . Moreover, let  $u_n^*$  be the symmetric radial decreasing rearrangement of  $u_n$ , up to subsequence, we may assume that there exists  $\tilde{u} \in H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  such that

$$u_n^* \rightharpoonup \tilde{u} \text{ in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad u_n^* \rightarrow \tilde{u} \text{ in } L^s(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad s \in (2, 2^*), \quad u_n^*(x) \rightarrow \tilde{u}(x) \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (4.1)$$

Hence, we have

$$E_{0,\mu}(\tilde{u}) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_{0,\mu}(u_n^*) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_{0,\mu}(u_n) = m_{0,c}, \quad |\tilde{u}|_2^2 \leq c^2.$$

From  $E_{0,\mu}(\tilde{u}) \leq m_{0,c} < 0$ , it follows that  $\tilde{u} \neq 0$ . By Corollary 4.3, it must hold that

$$E_{0,\mu}(\tilde{u}) = m_{0,c}, \quad |\tilde{u}|_2^2 = c^2.$$

By the Lagrange multiplier rule, there is  $\tilde{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$-b|\nabla \tilde{u}|_2^2 \Delta \tilde{u} = \tilde{\lambda} \tilde{u} + |\tilde{u}|^{p-2} \tilde{u} + \mu |\tilde{u}|^{q-2} \tilde{u},$$

and then, combining with the Pohozaev identity, we have

$$\tilde{\lambda} |\tilde{u}|_2^2 = \frac{4-p}{p} |\tilde{u}|_p^p + \frac{\mu}{2q} (N(q-2) - 2q) |\tilde{u}|_q^q,$$

which implies  $\tilde{\lambda} < 0$  from  $2 + \frac{4}{N} < q < p = 2 + \frac{8}{N}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $2 + \frac{4}{N} < q < p = 2 + \frac{8}{N}$ , there is a constant  $\bar{a} = \bar{a}(b, c, q) > 0$  such that for any  $a \in (0, \bar{a})$ , we have*

$$m_{a,c} := \inf_{u \in S_c} E_{a,\mu}(u) < 0.$$

*Proof.* From [21, Lemma 2.1],  $\tilde{u}$  satisfies the following Pohozeav identity:

$$b|\nabla \tilde{u}|_2^4 - \frac{4}{p}|\tilde{u}|_p^p - \mu \frac{N(q-2)}{2q}|\tilde{u}|_q^q = 0,$$

and it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} m_{0,c} &= E_{0,\mu}(\tilde{u}) \\ &= \frac{b}{4}|\nabla \tilde{u}|_2^4 - \frac{1}{p}|\tilde{u}|_p^p - \mu \frac{1}{q}|\tilde{u}|_q^q \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{2}{N(q-2)}\right) b|\nabla \tilde{u}|_2^4 + \left(\frac{8}{N(q-2)} - 1\right) \frac{1}{p}|\tilde{u}|_p^p \\ &< 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E_{a,\mu}(\tilde{u}) &= \frac{a}{2}|\nabla \tilde{u}|_2^2 + E_{0,\mu}(\tilde{u}) \\ &= \frac{a}{2}|\nabla \tilde{u}|_2^2 + \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{2}{N(q-2)}\right) b|\nabla \tilde{u}|_2^4 + \left(\frac{8}{N(q-2)} - 1\right) \frac{1}{p}|\tilde{u}|_p^p \\ &\leq \frac{a}{2}|\nabla \tilde{u}|_2^2 + \frac{b}{4} \left(1 - \left(\frac{c}{c^*}\right)^{\frac{8-2N}{N}}\right) \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{2}{N(q-2)}\right) |\nabla \tilde{u}|_2^4. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\bar{a} = \frac{b}{2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{c}{c^*}\right)^{\frac{8-2N}{N}}\right) \left(\frac{2}{N(q-2)} - \frac{1}{4}\right) |\nabla \tilde{u}|_2^2,$$

for any  $a \in (0, \bar{a})$ , we have  $E_{a,\mu}(\tilde{u}) < 0$ , and hence  $m_{a,c} \leq E_{a,\mu}(\tilde{u}) < 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $0 < a < \bar{a}$  and  $c < c^*$ ,  $m_{a,c} := \inf_{u \in S_c} E_{a,\mu}(u)$  has a radial minimizer  $\tilde{u}_{c,a}$ , and  $\tilde{u}_{c,a}$  solves problem (1.1) for some  $\tilde{\lambda}_{c,a} < 0$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is similar with that of Lemma 4.4, and we omit it.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.7.** *Let  $0 < a < \bar{a}$ ,  $2 + \frac{4}{N} < q < p = 2 + \frac{8}{N}$  and  $c < c^*$ , there exists  $0 < K_{c,a} < \frac{|\nabla \tilde{u}_{c,a}|_2^2}{2}$  small enough such that*

$$0 < \sup_{u \in \mathcal{A}} E_{a,\mu}(u) < \inf_{u \in \mathcal{B}} E_{a,\mu}(u),$$

where  $\mathcal{A} = \{u \in S_{c,r} : |\nabla u|_2^2 < K_{c,a}\}$ ,  $\mathcal{B} = \{u \in S_{c,r} : |\nabla u|_2^2 = 2K_{c,a}\}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $K > 0$  be arbitrary but fixed and suppose that  $u, v \in S_{c,r}$  satisfies

$$|\nabla u|_2^2 < K \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla v|_2^2 = 2K.$$

From (1.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_{a,\mu}(v) - E_{a,\mu}(u) &\geq E_{a,\mu}(v) - \frac{a}{2}|\nabla u|_2^2 - \frac{b}{4}|\nabla u|_2^4 \\ &\geq \frac{aK}{2} + \frac{3bK^2}{4} - b \left(\frac{c}{c^*}\right)^{\frac{8-2N}{N}} K^2 - \mu \frac{c^{q-q\gamma q}}{|Q_q|_2^{q-2}} (2K)^{\frac{N(q-2)-4}{4}} \\ &= K \left( \frac{a}{2} + \left(\frac{3}{4} - \left(\frac{c}{c^*}\right)^{\frac{8-2N}{N}}\right) bK - \mu \frac{c^{q-q\gamma q}}{|Q_q|_2^{q-2}} (2K)^{\frac{N(q-2)-4}{4}} \right), \end{aligned}$$



and

$$E_{a,\mu}(u) \geq \frac{a}{2} |\nabla u|_2^2 + \frac{b}{4} \left( 1 - \left( \frac{c}{c^*} \right)^{\frac{8-2N}{N}} \right) |\nabla u|_2^4 - \mu \frac{c^{q-q\gamma_q}}{2|Q_q|_2^{q-2}} |\nabla u|_2^{q\gamma_q}.$$

In summary, we can choose sufficiently small constant  $0 < K_{c,a} < \frac{|\nabla \hat{u}_{c,a}|_2^2}{2}$  such that

$$0 < \sup_{u \in \mathcal{A}} E_{a,\mu}(u) < \inf_{u \in \mathcal{B}} E_{a,\mu}(u)$$

where  $\mathcal{A} = \{u \in S_{c,r} : |\nabla u|_2^2 < K_{c,a}\}$ ,  $\mathcal{B} = \{u \in S_{c,r} : |\nabla u|_2^2 = 2K_{c,a}\}$ .  $\square$

Let  $u \in S_{c,r}$  be arbitrary and fixed, it is easy to see that  $|\nabla(\tau \star u)|_2^2 \rightarrow 0$  and  $I_{a,\mu}(u, \tau) \rightarrow 0^+$  as  $\tau \rightarrow 0^+$ . Hence, there exists  $\hat{u}_{c,a} \in S_{c,r}$  such that  $|\nabla \hat{u}_{c,a}|_2^2 < K_{c,a}$  and  $E_{a,\mu}(\hat{u}_{c,a}) > 0$ . Combining with Lemma 4.7, we can construct the minimax value for the functionals  $E_{a,\mu}$  and  $I_{a,\mu}$ :

$$\tilde{\gamma}_c = \inf_{\tilde{h} \in \tilde{\Gamma}_c} \max_{t \in [0,1]} I_{a,\mu}(\tilde{h}(t))$$

with  $\tilde{\Gamma}_c = \{\tilde{h} \in C([0,1], S_{c,r} \times \mathbb{R}) : \tilde{h}(0) = (\hat{u}_{c,a}, 0), \tilde{h}(1) = (\tilde{u}_{c,a}, 0)\}$ , and

$$\gamma_c = \inf_{h \in \Gamma_c} \max_{t \in [0,1]} E_{a,\mu}(h(t))$$

with  $\Gamma_c = \{h \in C([0,1], S_{c,r}) : h(0) = \hat{u}_{c,a}, h(1) = \tilde{u}_{c,a}\}$ , where  $\tilde{u}_{c,a}$  is obtained in Lemma 4.6. We have the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.8.** *If  $0 < a < \bar{a}$ ,  $2 + \frac{4}{N} < q < p = 2 + \frac{8}{N}$  and  $c < c^*$ , we have*

$$\tilde{\gamma}_c = \gamma_c \geq \max\{E_{a,\mu}(\hat{u}_{c,a}), E_{a,\mu}(\tilde{u}_{c,a})\} := \delta_c > 0.$$

*Proof.* For any  $\tilde{h} \in \tilde{\Gamma}_c$ , we can write it into

$$\tilde{h}(t) = (\tilde{h}_1(t), \tilde{h}_2(t)) \in S_{c,r} \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Setting  $h(t) = \tilde{h}_2(t) \star \tilde{h}_1(t)$ , we have  $h(t) \in \Gamma_c$  and

$$\max_{t \in [0,1]} I_{a,\mu}(\tilde{h}(t)) = \max_{t \in [0,1]} E_{a,\mu}(\tilde{h}_2(t) \star \tilde{h}_1(t)) = \max_{t \in [0,1]} E_{a,\mu}(h(t)),$$

which implies  $\tilde{\gamma}_c \geq \gamma_c$ . On the other hand, for any  $h \in \Gamma_c$ , set  $\tilde{h}(t) = (h(t), 0)$ , we get  $\tilde{h} \in \tilde{\Gamma}_c$  and

$$\max_{t \in [0,1]} I_{a,\mu}(\tilde{h}(t)) = \max_{t \in [0,1]} E_{a,\mu}(h(t)),$$

which provides that  $\gamma_c \geq \tilde{\gamma}_c$ . Thus, we have  $\tilde{\gamma}_c = \gamma_c$ . Finally,  $\gamma_c \geq \max\{E_{a,\mu}(\hat{u}_{c,a}), E_{a,\mu}(\tilde{u}_{c,a})\} > 0$  follows from the definition of  $\gamma_c$ .  $\square$

In what follows, we give the relationship between the Palais–Smale sequence for the functional  $I_{a,\mu}$  and that of the functional  $E_{a,\mu}$ .

**Lemma 4.9.** *There exists a sequence  $\{(v_n, \tau_n)\} \subset S_{c,r} \times \mathbb{R}^+$  such that for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have*

$$(1) \quad I_{a,\mu}(v_n, \tau_n) \rightarrow \tilde{\gamma}_c,$$

(2)  $I'_{a,\mu}|_{S_{c,r} \times \mathbb{R}}(v_n, \tau_n) \rightarrow 0$ , i.e., it holds that

$$\partial_\tau I_{a,\mu}(v_n, \tau_n) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \partial_u I_{a,\mu}(v_n, \tau_n), \tilde{\varphi} \rangle \rightarrow 0$$

for any

$$\tilde{\varphi} \in T_{v_n} = \left\{ \tilde{\varphi} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_n \tilde{\varphi} dx = 0 \right\}.$$

In addition, setting  $u_n(x) = \tau_n \star v_n(x)$ , then for  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we get

(i)  $E_{a,\mu}(u_n) \rightarrow \gamma_c$ ,

(ii)  $P_\mu(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ ,

(iii)  $E'_{a,\mu}|_{S_{c,r}}(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ , i.e., it holds that  $\langle E'_{a,\mu}(u_n), \varphi \rangle \rightarrow 0$  for any

$$\varphi \in T_{u_n} = \left\{ \varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n \varphi dx = 0 \right\}.$$

*Proof.* According to the construction of  $\tilde{\gamma}_c$ , we know that the conclusions (1) and (2) follow directly from Ekeland's Variational Principle. Next we mainly prove (i)–(iii).

For (i), it is obvious from

$$E_{a,\mu}(u_n) = E_{a,\mu}(\tau_n \star v_n) = I_{a,\mu}(v_n, \tau_n)$$

and  $\tilde{\gamma}_c = \gamma_c$ .

For (ii), we first have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\tau I_{a,\mu}(v_n, \tau_n) &= e^{2\tau_n} a |\nabla v_n|_2^2 + e^{4\tau_n} b |\nabla v_n|_2^4 - \mu e^{\gamma q \tau_n} \gamma_q |v_n|_q^q - e^{4\tau_n} \frac{4}{p} |v_n|_p^p \\ &= a |\nabla(\tau_n \star v_n)|_2^2 + b |\nabla(\tau_n \star v_n)|_2^4 - \mu \gamma_q |\tau_n \star v_n|_q^q - \frac{4}{p} |\tau_n \star v_n|_p^p \\ &= a |\nabla u_n|_2^2 + b |\nabla u_n|_2^4 - \mu \gamma_q |u_n|_q^q - \frac{4}{p} |u_n|_p^p \\ &= P_\mu(u_n). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (ii) is a consequence of  $\partial_\tau I_{a,\mu}(v_n, \tau_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

For (iii), by the definition of the functional  $I_{a,\mu}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \partial_u I_{a,\mu}(v_n, \tau_n), \tilde{\varphi} \rangle &= e^{2\tau_n} a \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla v_n \nabla \tilde{\varphi} dx + e^{4\tau_n} b |\nabla v_n|_2^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla v_n \nabla \tilde{\varphi} dx \\ &\quad - \mu e^{\gamma q \tau_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n|^{q-2} v_n \tilde{\varphi} dx - e^{4\tau_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n|^{p-2} v_n \tilde{\varphi} dx, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{\varphi} \in T_{v_n} = \left\{ \tilde{\varphi} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_n \tilde{\varphi} dx = 0 \right\}.$$

On the other hand, for any

$$\varphi \in T_{u_n} = \left\{ \varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n \varphi dx = 0 \right\},$$

from  $u_n(x) = \tau_n \star v_n(x)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle E'_{a,\mu}(u_n), \varphi \rangle \\ &= a \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u_n \nabla \varphi dx + b |\nabla u_n|_2^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u_n \nabla \tilde{\varphi} dx - \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{q-2} u_n \varphi dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{p-2} u_n \varphi dx \\ &= e^{2\tau_n} a \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla v_n e^{-\frac{N\tau_n}{2}} \nabla \varphi(e^{-\tau_n} x) dx + e^{4\tau_n} b |\nabla v_n|_2^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla v_n e^{-\frac{N\tau_n}{2}} \nabla \varphi(e^{-\tau_n} x) dx \\ &\quad - \mu e^{\gamma q \tau_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n(x)|^{q-2} v_n(x) e^{-\frac{N\tau_n}{2}} \varphi(e^{-\tau_n} x) dx \\ &\quad - e^{4\tau_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n(x)|^{p-2} v_n(x) e^{-\frac{N\tau_n}{2}} \varphi(e^{-\tau_n} x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Setting

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x) = e^{-\frac{N\tau_n}{2}} \varphi(e^{-\tau_n} x),$$

we get (iii) if we could show  $\tilde{\varphi} \in T_{v_n}$ . In fact,  $\tilde{\varphi} \in T_{v_n}$  comes from the following equalities:

$$0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n \varphi dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{\frac{N\tau_n}{2}} v_n(e^{\tau_n} x) \varphi(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_n(x) e^{-\frac{N\tau_n}{2}} \varphi(e^{-\tau_n} x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_n \tilde{\varphi} dx. \quad \square$$

**Lemma 4.10.**  $\gamma_c > 0$  can be achieved by some  $u_{c,a} \in S_{c,r}$ , and  $u_{c,a}$  is a radial solution of problem (1.1) for some  $\lambda_c < 0$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.9, we obtain a bounded Palais–Smale sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset S_{c,r}$  for  $E_{a,\mu}|_{S_{c,r}}$  at level  $\gamma_c > 0$  such that  $P_\mu(u_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . By Lemma 2.2, we have  $u_n \rightarrow u_{c,a}$  in  $H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , and  $u_{c,a} \in S_{c,r}$  is a radial solution of problem (1.1) for some  $\lambda_c < 0$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Theorem 1.2 comes from Lemma 4.4, Lemma 4.6 and Lemma 4.10.  $\square$

## Acknowledgements

This paper was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 12271443 and 12371120), Innovation Research 2035 Pilot Plan of Southwest University (Grant No. SWU-XDPY22015) and Natural Science Foundation of Chongqing, China (CSTB2022NSCQ-MSX0472).

## References

- [1] C. O. ALVES, F. J. S. A. CORRÊA, T. F. MA, Positive solutions for a quasilinear elliptic equation of Kirchhoff type, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **49**(2005), No. 1, 85–93. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2005.01.008>; MR2123187; Zbl 1130.35045
- [2] P. C. CARRIÃO, O. H. MIYAGAKI, A. VICENTE, Normalized solutions of Kirchhoff equations with critical and subcritical nonlinearities: the defocusing case, *Partial Differ. Equ. Appl.* **3**(2022), No. 5, Paper No. 64, 16. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42985-022-00201-3>; MR4487863; Zbl 1500.35161
- [3] X. J. FENG, H. D. LIU, Z. T. ZHANG, Normalized solutions for Kirchhoff type equations with combined nonlinearities: the Sobolev critical case, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **43**(2023), No. 8, 2935–2972. <https://doi.org/10.3934/dcds.2023035>; MR4599357; Zbl 1519.35148

- [4] N. GHOUSOUB, *Duality and perturbation methods in critical point theory*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, Vol. 107, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09780511551703>; MR1251958; Zbl 0790.58002
- [5] Q. H. HE, Z. Y. LV, Y. M. ZHANG, X. X. ZHONG, Existence and blow up behavior of positive normalized solution to the Kirchhoff equation with general nonlinearities: mass super-critical case, *J. Differential Equations* **356**(2023): 375–406. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2023.01.039>; MR4546673; Zbl 1512.35295
- [6] J. Q. HU, A. M. MAO, Normalized solutions to the Kirchhoff equation with a perturbation term, *Differential Integral Equations* **36**(2023), No. 3–4, 289–312. <https://doi.org/10.57262/die036-0304-289>; MR4503218; Zbl 1513.35236
- [7] L. JEANJEAN, Existence of solutions with prescribed norm for semilinear elliptic equations, *Nonlinear Anal.* **28**(1997), No. 10, 1633–1659. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0362-546X\(96\)00021-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0362-546X(96)00021-1); MR1430506; Zbl 0877.35091
- [8] L. Z. KONG, H. B. CHEN, Normalized solutions for nonlinear Kirchhoff type equations in high dimensions, *Electron. Res. Arch.* **30**(2022), No. 4, 1282–1295. <https://doi.org/10.3934/era.2022067>; MR4401198; Zbl 1489.35111
- [9] G. KIRCHHOFF, *Mechanik*, Teubner, Leipzig, 1883.
- [10] G. B. LI, X. LUO, T. YANG, Normalized solutions to a class of Kirchhoff equations with Sobolev critical exponent, *Ann. Fenn. Math.* **47**(2022), No. 2, 895–925. MR4443235; Zbl 1497.35232
- [11] J.-L. LIONS, On some questions in boundary value problems of mathematical physics, in: *Contemporary developments in continuum mechanics and partial differential equations (Proc. Internat. Sympos., Inst. Mat., Univ. Fed. Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, 1977)*, North-Holland Math. Stud., Vol. 30, 1978, pp. 284–346. MR0519648; Zbl 0404.35002
- [12] P.-L. LIONS, Solutions of Hartree–Fock equations for Coulomb systems, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **109**(1987), No. 1, 33–97. MR879032; Zbl 0618.35111
- [13] X. LUO, Q. F. WANG, Existence and asymptotic behavior of high energy normalized solutions for the Kirchhoff type equations in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.* **33**(2017), 19–32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nonrwa.2016.06.001>; MR3543109; Zbl 1355.35076
- [14] P. A. MARKOWICH, C. A. RINGHOFER, C. SCHMEISER, *Semiconductor equations*, Springer-Verlag, Vienna, 1990. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-7091-6961-2>; MR1063852; Zbl 0765.35001
- [15] S. I. POHOŽAEV, A certain class of quasilinear hyperbolic equations (in Russian), *Mat. Sb.* **96**(138)(1975): 152–166. MR369938
- [16] N. SOAVE, Normalized ground states for the NLS equation with combined nonlinearities, *J. Differential Equations* **269**(2020), 6941–6987. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2020.05.016>; MR4107073; Zbl 1440.35312
- [17] Q. WANG, A. X. QIAN, Normalized solutions to the Kirchhoff equation with potential term: mass super-critical case, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* **46**(2023), No. 2, Paper No. 77, 25. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40840-022-01444-4>; MR4547979; Zbl 1512.35310

- [18] M. I. WEINSTEIN, Nonlinear Schrödinger equations and sharp interpolation estimates, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **87**(1982/83), No. 4, 567–576. [MR691044](#); [Zbl 0527.35023](#)
- [19] H. Y. YE, The sharp existence of constrained minimizers for a class of nonlinear Kirchhoff equations, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **38**(2015), No. 13, 2663–2679. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.3247>; [MR3382697](#); [Zbl 1331.35134](#)
- [20] H. Y. YE, The existence of normalized solutions for  $L^2$ -critical constrained problems related to Kirchhoff equations, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **66**(2015), No. 4, 1483–1497. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00033-014-0474-x>; [MR3377698](#); [Zbl 1322.35032](#)
- [21] H. Y. YE, The mass concentration phenomenon for  $L^2$ -critical constrained problems related to Kirchhoff equations, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **67**(2016), No. 2, Article No. 29, 16 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00033-016-0624-4>; [MR3483878](#); [Zbl 1341.35059](#)
- [22] X. Y. ZENG, Y. M. ZHANG, Existence and uniqueness of normalized solutions for the Kirchhoff equation, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **74**(2017), 52–59. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2017.05.012>; [MR3677841](#); [Zbl 1379.35092](#)
- [23] P. H. ZHANG, Z. Q. HAN, Normalized ground states for Kirchhoff equations in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with a critical nonlinearity, *J. Math. Phys.* **63**(2022), No. 2, Paper No. 021505, 15 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0067520>; [MR4373844](#); [Zbl 1507.35086](#)