

Simultaneous Generation of Koecher and Almkvist-Granville's Apéry-Like Formulae

T. Rivoal

CONTENTS

- 1. Introduction
- 2. First Step
- 3. Second Step
- 4. Third Step
- 5. The Final Step
- Acknowledgments
- References

We prove a very general identity, conjectured by Henri Cohen, involving the generating function of the family $(\zeta(2r+4s+3))_{r,s \geq 0}$: it unifies two identities, proved by Koecher in 1980 and Almkvist and Granville in 1999, for the generating functions of $(\zeta(2r+3))_{r \geq 0}$ and $(\zeta(4s+3))_{s \geq 0}$, respectively. As a consequence, we obtain that, for any integer $j \geq 0$, there exists at least $[j/2] + 1$ Apéry-like formulae for $\zeta(2j+3)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

In proving that $\zeta(3) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 1/k^3$ is irrational, Apéry [Apéry 79] noted that

$$\zeta(3) = \frac{5}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{\binom{2k}{k} k^3}. \quad (1-1)$$

Although the series on the right-hand side converges much faster than the defining series for $\zeta(3)$, Formula (1-1) is not essential in Apéry's proof since truncations of this series are not diophantine approximations to $\zeta(3)$. On the other hand, it is very likely that (1-1) was a source of inspiration for Apéry¹ and many authors have looked for similar identities, in the hope that they might give some idea of how to prove the irrationality of $\zeta(2s+1) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 1/k^{2s+1}$ for any integer $s \geq 2$; see for example [Borwein and Bradley 97, Cohen 81, Koecher 80, Leshchiner 81, van der Poorten 80]. This problem is far from being solved,² but many beautiful Apéry-like formulae have been proved. In fact, two apparently unrelated families of such formulae for $\zeta(2s+3)$ and $\zeta(4s+3)$ have emerged, both of which are more easily explained

¹See [Cohen 78, van der Poorten 79] for a detailed explanation of Apéry's original method.

²We now know that infinitely many of the values $\zeta(2s+1)$ ($s \geq 1$) are \mathbb{Q} -linearly independent [Ball and Rivoal 01, Rivoal 00] and that at least one amongst $\zeta(5), \zeta(7), \zeta(9), \zeta(11)$ is irrational [Zudilin 04].

2000 AMS Subject Classification: Primary 11M06;
Secondary 05A15, 11J72

Keywords: Riemann zeta function, Apéry-like series, generating functions

with the help of the generating functions

$$\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \zeta(2s+3) a^{2s} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(n^2 - a^2)}$$

and

$$\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \zeta(4s+3) b^{4s} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{n^4 - b^4}.$$

(The series on the left-hand sides of the equal signs converge only for $|a| < 1$ and $|b| < 1$, whereas the right-hand sides converge on much larger domains.) Koecher [Koecher 80] (and independently Leshchiner [Leshchiner 81] in an expanded form) proved that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(n^2 - a^2)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{\binom{2k}{k} k^3} \frac{5k^2 - a^2}{k^2 - a^2} \prod_{n=1}^{k-1} \left(1 - \frac{a^2}{n^2}\right), \quad (1-2)$$

for any complex number a such that $|a| < 1$, and, more recently, Almkvist and Granville [Almkvist and Granville 99] proved another identity, first conjectured by Borwein and Bradley [Borwein and Bradley 97]:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{n^4 - b^4} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{\binom{2k}{k}} \frac{5k}{k^4 - b^4} \prod_{n=1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{n^4 + 4b^4}{n^4 - b^4}\right), \quad (1-3)$$

for any complex number b such that $|b| < 1$. For $a = b = 0$, these identities reduce to (1-1), but otherwise produce different identities for the values of the zeta function at odd integers. For example, Borwein and Bradley note that (1-2) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(7) = & 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{\binom{2k}{k} k^7} - 2 \sum_{k>j \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{\binom{2k}{k} k^5 j^2} \\ & + \frac{5}{2} \sum_{k>j>i \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{\binom{2k}{k} k^3 j^2 i^2} \end{aligned}$$

while (1-3) implies

$$\zeta(7) = \frac{5}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{\binom{2k}{k} k^7} + \frac{25}{2} \sum_{k>j \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{\binom{2k}{k} k^3 j^4}.$$

The purpose of this article is to prove the following very general generating function identity, which was conjectured by H. Cohen on the basis of computations in Pari.

Theorem 1.1. *Let a and b be complex numbers such that $|a|^2 + |b|^4 < 1$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{n^4 - a^2 n^2 - b^4} = \\ & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{\binom{2k}{k} k} \frac{5k^2 - a^2}{k^4 - a^2 k^2 - b^4} \prod_{n=1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{(n^2 - a^2)^2 + 4b^4}{n^4 - a^2 n^2 - b^4} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (1-4)$$

We remark that Identity (1-4) unifies (1-2) (case $b = 0$) and (1-3) (case $a = 0$); consequently, it should yield new Apéry-like formulae. This is indeed true since

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{n^4 - a^2 n^2 - b^4} = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \binom{r+s}{r} \zeta(2r+4s+3) a^{2r} b^{4s},$$

and since the number of representations of an integer $j \geq 0$ as $j = r + 2s$ with integers $r, s \geq 0$ is $[j/2] + 1$. Hence, (1-4) produces $[j/2] + 1$ different identities for $\zeta(2j+3)$ for any integer $j \geq 0$, obtained by differentiating the right-hand side of (1-4) r , respectively s , times with respect to a^2 , respectively b^4 , with $j = r + 2s$, and then by letting $a = b = 0$.

For $0 \leq j \leq 2$, one of r and s is 0 and we only obtain identities resulting from (1-2) or (1-3). This is also the case for $j = 3$, $(r, s) = (3, 0)$. The first apparently new identity is for $j = 3$, $(r, s) = (1, 1)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(9) = & \frac{9}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{\binom{2k}{k} k^9} + 5 \sum_{k>j \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{\binom{2k}{k} k^5 j^4} \\ & + 5 \sum_{k>j \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{\binom{2k}{k} k^3 j^6} - \frac{5}{4} \sum_{k>j \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{\binom{2k}{k} k^7 j^2} \\ & - \frac{25}{4} \sum_{k>j>i \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{\binom{2k}{k} k^3 j^4 i^2} - \frac{25}{4} \sum_{k>j>i \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{\binom{2k}{k} k^3 j^2 i^4}. \end{aligned}$$

To prove Theorem 1.1, we will use Borwein and Bradley's method in which the proof of (1-4) was reduced in several steps to the proof of a finite combinatorial identity (the last step in [Borwein and Bradley 97] is due to Wenchang Chu), which was finally proved by Almkvist and Granville. In our case, we will show that Theorem 1.1 follows from the identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{2}{k^2 - a^2} \\ & \cdot \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (k^2 + (j-k)^2 - a^2)(k^2 + (j+k)^2 - a^2)}{\prod_{j=1, j \neq k}^n (k^2 - j^2)(k^2 + j^2 - a^2)} \\ & = \frac{1}{n^2 - a^2} \binom{2n}{n} \end{aligned}$$

for any integer $n \geq 1$, which we will then prove as corollary of the following result.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $g(X) \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ be of degree at most 2. For any integer $n \geq 1$ and any complex numbers a and t , with $a \notin \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \pm n\}$, we have that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{2n}{n-k} \frac{4k^2}{k^2 - a^2} \\ & \cdot \left(\prod_{\substack{0 \leq j < n-k \\ \text{or } n < j < n+k}} (t(k^2 - a^2) + g(j)) - \prod_{\substack{0 \leq j < n-k \\ \text{or } n < j < n+k}} g(j) \right) \\ & = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1-5)$$

For the special case $a = 0$, we obtain the key identity proved in [Almkvist and Granville 99].

2. FIRST STEP

We transform the right-hand side of (1-4) by a partial fraction decomposition, with respect to b^4 :

$$\frac{1}{k^4 - a^2 k^2 - b^4} \prod_{n=1}^{k-1} \frac{(n^2 - a^2)^2 + 4b^4}{n^4 - a^2 n^2 - b^4} = \sum_{n=1}^k \frac{C_{n,k}(a)}{n^4 - a^2 n^2 - b^4}, \quad (2-1)$$

where

$$C_{n,k}(a) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} (n^2 + (j-n)^2 - a^2)(n^2 + (j+n)^2 - a^2)}{\prod_{j=1, j \neq n}^k (j^2 - n^2)(j^2 + n^2 - a^2)}. \quad (2-2)$$

Inserting (2-1) in the right-hand side of (1-4) and inverting the summations, we see that it will be enough to show that (and in fact, this is equivalent)

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{n^4 - a^2 n^2 - b^4} = \\ & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^4 - a^2 n^2 - b^4} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{\binom{2k}{k}} \frac{5k^2 - a^2}{2k} C_{n,k}(a). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, it is enough to show that, for any integer $n \geq 1$ and any complex a with $|a| < 1$,

$$\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{\binom{2k}{k}} \frac{5k^2 - a^2}{2k} C_{n,k}(a) = n. \quad (2-3)$$

From now on, and unless otherwise specified, we assume that $|a| < 1$.

3. SECOND STEP

We define $t_n(k)$ to be the summand of the series in (2-3) and δ to be $\sqrt{n^2 - a^2}$ (for any fixed branch of the logarithm). We observe that $t_n(k)$ can be extended to a meromorphic function of the complex variable k :

$$\begin{aligned} t_n(k) &= \frac{(-1)^n e^{i\pi k} n^2 \Gamma(1 \pm i\delta) (5k^2 - a^2)}{\Gamma(1 - n \pm i\delta) \Gamma(n \pm i\delta) k \Gamma(2k + 1)} \\ &\cdot \frac{\Gamma(k+1)^2 \Gamma(k \pm n \pm i\delta)}{\Gamma(k+1 \pm n) \Gamma(k+1 \pm i\delta)}, \end{aligned} \quad (3-1)$$

where $\Gamma(x \pm y \pm z)$ is defined to be $\Gamma(x+y+z)$ $\Gamma(x+y-z) \Gamma(x-y+z) \Gamma(x-y-z)$, etc.

We note that, as a result of the factor $\Gamma(k+1-n)$ in the denominator of (3-1), we have $t_n(k) = 0$ for $k = 1, \dots, n-1$. Furthermore, simple computations give that $t_n(0) = a^2 n / (2n^2 - a^2)$ and, for $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} t_n(-k) &= -\frac{n^3(n^2 - a^2)}{2n^2 - a^2} \binom{2k}{k} \\ &\cdot \frac{5k^2 - a^2}{(n^2 + (k-n)^2 - a^2)(n^2 + (k+n)^2 - a^2)} \\ &\cdot \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{(n^2 - j^2)(j^2 + n^2 - a^2)}{(n^2 + (j-n)^2 - a^2)(n^2 + (j+n)^2 - a^2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3-2)$$

We are now ready to prove our second step.

Proposition 3.1. *For any given $n \geq 1$, Equation (2-3) is equivalent to the following finite combinatorial identity:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{2k}{k} \frac{5k^2 - a^2}{(n^2 + (k-n)^2 - a^2)(n^2 + (k+n)^2 - a^2)} \\ & \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{(n^2 - j^2)(j^2 + n^2 - a^2)}{(n^2 + (j-n)^2 - a^2)(n^2 + (j+n)^2 - a^2)} \\ & = \frac{2}{n^2 - a^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3-3)$$

Remark 3.2. Given any integer $n \geq 1$, if (3-3) is true for $|a| < 1$, it is true for any complex number a such that a^2 can not be written $a^2 = n^2 + m^2$ with an integer $m \in \{0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm n\}$.

Proof of Proposition 3.1: We will prove below that

$$\sum_{k=-n}^{+\infty} t_n(k) = 0. \quad (3-4)$$

Equation (3–4) can be written

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n t_n(-k) &= -t_n(0) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} t_n(k) - \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} t_n(k) \\ &= -\frac{a^2 n}{2n^2 - a^2} - \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} t_n(k) \end{aligned}$$

and $\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} t_n(k) = n$ is clearly equivalent to

$$\sum_{k=1}^n t_n(-k) = -\frac{2n^3}{2n^2 - a^2},$$

which, given (3–2), is exactly (3–3).

We now prove (3–4), and for that we closely follow Borwein and Bradley, whose method is based on Gosper's hypergeometric summation algorithm (see [Graham et al. 94, pages 225–227] for details). We note that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{t_n(k+1)}{t_n(k)} &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{5(k+1)^2 - a^2}{5k^2 - a^2} \frac{k}{2k+1} \\ &\quad \cdot \frac{(k \pm n \pm i\delta)}{(k+1 \pm n)(k+1 \pm i\delta)} \\ &= \frac{p_n(k+1)q_n(k)}{p_n(k)r_n(k+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

is a rational function of k , with $q_n(k) = (k - n \pm i\delta)$, $r_n(k) = -2(2k-1)(k+n)$ and

$$p_n(k) = (5k^2 - a^2) \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (k-j)(k+j \pm i\delta).$$

Since q_n and r_n do not have roots differing by integers,³ Gosper's algorithm ensures that there exists a polynomial s_n of degree at most $\deg(p_n) - \deg(q_n - r_n) = 3n - 3$ such that $p_n(k) = s_n(k+1)q_n(k) - r_n(k)s_n(k)$. We now define

$$T_n(k) = \frac{r_n(k)s_n(k)t_n(k)}{p_n(k)},$$

which satisfies $T_n(k+1) - T_n(k) = t_n(k)$. Since $t_n(-n)$ is finite and $p_n(-n) \neq 0 = r_n(-n)$, we have $T_n(-n) = 0$. Hence, for any $k \geq 1 - n$, $T_n(k) = \sum_{j=-n}^{k-1} t_n(k)$. Since $\deg(r_n s_n) = \deg(p_n)$, we have $T_n(k) = O(t_n(k))$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$, which implies that $T_n(k)$ tends to 0 as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. It follows that (3–4) holds. \square

4. THIRD STEP

Here, we generalise the last reduction step of [Borwein and Bradley 97] (due to Wenchang Chu).

³Since $|a| < 1$ and $n \geq 1$, $i\delta$ can't be an integer.

Proposition 4.1. *Equation (3–3) for every integer $n \geq 1$ is equivalent to the following identity for every integer $n \geq 1$:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{2}{k^2 - a^2} \\ &\cdot \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (k^2 + (j-k)^2 - a^2)(k^2 + (j+k)^2 - a^2)}{\prod_{j=1, j \neq k}^n (k^2 - j^2)(k^2 + j^2 - a^2)} \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2 - a^2} \binom{2n}{n}. \end{aligned} \tag{4–1}$$

Remark 4.2. The simplification (4–2) below shows that, given any integer $n \geq 1$, if (4–1) is true for $|a| < 1$, it is true for any complex number a such that $a \notin \{\pm 1, \dots, \pm n\}$. Furthermore, it can also be written as

$$2 \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{C_{k,n}(a)}{k^2 - a^2} = \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n^2 - a^2} \binom{2n}{n},$$

where $C_{k,n}(a)$ is defined in (2–2).

Proof of Proposition 4.1: We use Krattenthaler's inversion formula [Krattenhaler 96]:

$$\begin{aligned} f(n) &= \sum_{k=r}^n \frac{a_n d_n + b_n c_n}{d_k} \frac{\varphi(c_k/d_k; n)}{\psi_k(-c_k/d_k; n+1)} g(k) \\ \text{iff } g(n) &= \sum_{k=r}^n \frac{\psi(-c_n/d_n; k)}{\varphi(c_n/d_n; k+1)} f(k), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x; k) &= \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (a_j + x b_j), \\ \psi(x; k) &= \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (c_j + x d_j), \quad \text{and} \\ \psi_m(x; k) &= \prod_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq m}}^{k-1} (c_j + x d_j). \end{aligned}$$

Applied to (3–3), it yields the result with the choices $r = 1$, $a_j = (j^2 - a^2)^2$, $b_j = 4$, $c_j = j^4 - a^2 j^2$, $d_j = 1$,

$$f(k) = (-1)^k (5k^2 - a^2) \binom{2k}{k},$$

and

$$g(k) = \frac{2}{k^2 - a^2} \frac{4k^4 - 4a^2 k^2 + (a^2 - 1)^2}{k^4 - a^2 k^2}.$$

\square

Using the same trick as Almkvist and Granville, it is easy to write (4–1) in a more convenient form, that we will prove below: for any $n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{2n}{n-k} \frac{4k^2}{k^2 - a^2} \prod_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq n-k \\ \text{or } n < j \leq n+k}} (k^2 + j^2 - a^2) \\ &= \frac{(2n)!}{n^2 - a^2} \binom{2n}{n}. \quad (4-2) \end{aligned}$$

5. THE FINAL STEP

Note that (4–2) is simply Theorem 1.2 with $g(X) = X^2$ and $t = 1$: indeed, the first product in the left-hand side of (1–5) corresponds exactly to the left-hand side of (4–2) and (since only the n th summand is nonzero)

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{2n}{n-k} \frac{4k^2}{k^2 - a^2} \prod_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq n-k \\ \text{or } n < j \leq n+k}} g(j) \\ &= \frac{n^2}{n^2 - a^2} \prod_{n < j < 2n} j^2 = \frac{4n^2}{n^2 - a^2} \frac{(2n-1)!^2}{n!^2} \\ &= \frac{(2n)!}{n^2 - a^2} \binom{2n}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence Theorem 1.1 follows from Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2: So far, we have been very lucky in that every step of [Borwein and Bradley 97] generalises without problems to this more general setting. But here, the general Theorem 1' in [Almkvist and Granville 99] is apparently not strong enough to prove (4–2). Fortunately, we can adapt the method used there for our purpose. For any $k \geq 1$, we define the polynomial of degree $n-1$

$$F_k(X) = \prod_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq n-k \\ \text{or } n < j \leq n+k}} (X - g(j)).$$

Proposition 1 in [Almkvist and Granville 99] establishes the existence of polynomials $Q_r(X)$ of degree $d_r \leq r$ such that

$$F_k(X) - F_k(0) = \sum_{r=0}^{n-2} Q_r(k^2 - a^2) X^{n-1-r}. \quad (5-1)$$

The important point for us is the fact that since $F_k(X) - F_k(0)$ vanishes at $X = 0$, then the sum in (5–1) terminates at $n-2$. (In fact, $Q_r(X) = c_r(X + a^2)$ with the polynomials c_r given in [Almkvist and Granville 99].) We

write $Q_r(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{d_r} q_{r,i} X^i$. Equation (1–5) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{2n}{n-k} \frac{4k^2}{k^2 - a^2} \\ & \quad \cdot (F_k(-t(k^2 - a^2)) - F_k(0)) \\ &= (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{r=0}^{n-2} \sum_{i=0}^{d_r} (-t)^{n-1-r} q_{r,i} \\ & \quad \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{2n}{n-k} \frac{4k^2}{k^2 - a^2} (k^2 - a^2)^{i+n-1-r}. \quad (5-2) \end{aligned}$$

Since $i \geq 0$ and $r \leq n-2$, we have

$$\frac{4k^2}{k^2 - a^2} (k^2 - a^2)^{i+n-1-r} = P(k^2),$$

where $P(X) = 4X(X - a^2)^{n+i-r-2}$ is a polynomial of degree $i + n - r - 1 \leq d_r + n - r - 1 \leq n - 1$ such that $P(0) = 0$. Lemma 1 in [Almkvist and Granville 99], which reads

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{2n}{n-k} k^{2\ell} = 0 \quad (5-3)$$

for any $1 \leq \ell \leq n-1$, then gives that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{2n}{n-k} \frac{4k^2}{k^2 - a^2} (k^2 - a^2)^{i+n-1-r} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{2n}{n-k} P(k^2) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that the left-hand side of (5–2) is 0 for all t and the proof of Theorem 1.2 is complete. \square

We conclude this section with the following remark. Almkvist and Granville proved (5–3) by expressing its left-hand side as the 2ℓ th Taylor coefficient of the function $e^{-nz}(e^z - 1)^{2n}$. Another proof is as follows: define $S(z) = z^\ell / z(z - 1^2) \cdots (z - n^2)$ for any integers $\ell \geq 0$ and $n \geq 0$. Then, by the residue theorem, for any closed direct contour Γ enclosing the poles of S , we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\text{Res}_\infty(S) &= \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_\Gamma S(z) dz = \sum_{k=0}^n \text{Res}_{k^2}(S) \\ &= 2 \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} \frac{k^{2\ell}}{(n-k)!(n+k)!}. \end{aligned}$$

If we assume that $\ell \leq n-1$, then $\text{Res}_\infty(S) = 0$ and if $\ell \geq 1$, then (5–3) follows after multiplication by $(2n)!/2$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The main result (Theorem 1.1) of this paper was conjectured by Henri Cohen, and it was his encouragement that prompted me to work on this problem. I warmly thank him for this.

REFERENCES

- [Almkvist and Granville 99] G. Almkvist and A. Granville. “Borwein and Bradley’s Apéry-Like Formulae for $\zeta(4n+3)$.” *Exp. Math.* 8:2 (1999), 197–203.
- [Apéry 79] R. Apéry. “Irrationalité de $\zeta(2)$ et $\zeta(3)$.” *Astérisque* 61 (1979), 11–13.
- [Ball and Rivoal 01] K. Ball and T. Rivoal. “Irrationalité d’une infinité de valeurs de la fonction zêta aux entiers impairs.” *Invent. Math.* 146:1 (2001), 193–207.
- [Borwein and Bradley 97] J. Borwein and D. Bradley. “Empirically Determined Apéry-Like Formulae.” *Exp. Math.* 6:3 (1997) 181–194.
- [Cohen 78] H. Cohen. “Démonstration de l’irrationalité de $\zeta(3)$ (d’après Apéry).” In *Sém. de Théorie des Nombres de Grenoble*. Université Joseph Fourier, Grenoble, 1978.
- [Cohen 81] H. Cohen. “Généralisation d’une construction de R. Apéry.” *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 109 (1981), 269–281.
- [Graham et al. 94] R. L. Graham, D. E. Knuth, and O. Patashnik. *Concrete Mathematics: A Foundation for Computer Science*, 2nd edition. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley, 1994.
- [Koecher 80] M. Koecher. Letter to the Editor. *Math. Intelligencer* 2 (1980), 62–64.
- [Krattenthaler 96] C. Krattenthaler. “A New Matrix Inverse.” *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 124:1 (1996), 47–59.
- [Leshchiner 81] D. H. Leshchiner. “Some New Identities for $\zeta(k)$.” *J. Number Theory* 13:3 (1981), 355–362.
- [Rivoal 00] T. Rivoal. “La fonction Zêta de Riemann prend une infinité de valeurs irrationnelles aux entiers impairs.” *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Série I Math.* 331:4 (2000), 267–270.
- [van der Poorten 79] A. van der Poorten. “A Proof that Euler Missed... Apéry’s Proof of the Irrationality of $\zeta(3)$. An Informal Report.” *Math. Intelligencer* 1:4 (1978/79), 195–203.
- [van der Poorten 80] A. van der Poorten. “Some Wonderful Formulas... An Introduction to Polylogarithms.” *Queen’s Papers in Pure and Applied Mathematics, (Proc. 1979 Queen’s Number Theory Conference, 1980)* 54 (1980), 269–286.
- [Zudilin 04] W. Zudilin. “Arithmetic of Linear Forms Involving Odd Zeta Values.” To appear in *J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux* (2004). Available at <http://arXiv.org/abs/math.NT/0206176>.

T. Rivoal, Laboratoire de Mathématiques Nicolas Oresme, CNRS UMR 6139, Université de Caen, BP 5186, 14032 Caen cedex, France (rivoal@math.unicaen.fr)

Received June 15, 2004; accepted August 10, 2004.