

# Hankel determinant for $p$ -valently starlike and convex functions of order $\alpha$

Toshio Hayami, Shigeyoshi Owa

## Abstract

For  $p$ -valently starlike and convex functions  $f(z)$  in the open unit disk  $\mathbb{U}$ , the upper bounds of the functional  $|a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2|$ , defined by using the second Hankel determinant  $H_2(n)$  due to J. W. Noonan and D. K. Thomas (Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **223**(2) (1976), 337-346), are discussed.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** Primary 30C45

**Key words and phrases:** Hankel determinant,  $p$ -valently starlike function,  $p$ -valently convex function.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{A}_p$  denote the class of functions  $f(z)$  of the form

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\})$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk  $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ .

Furthermore, let  $\mathcal{P}$  denote the class of functions  $p(z)$  of the form

$$p(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k z^k$$

which are analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$  and satisfy

$$\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Then we say that  $p(z) \in \mathcal{P}$  is the Carathéodory function (cf. [1]).

If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$  satisfies the following condition

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

for some  $\alpha$  ( $0 \leq \alpha < p$ ), then  $f(z)$  is said to be  $p$ -valently starlike of order  $\alpha$  in  $\mathbb{U}$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{S}_p^*(\alpha)$  the subclass of  $\mathcal{A}_p$  consisting of functions  $f(z)$  which are  $p$ -valently starlike of order  $\alpha$  in  $\mathbb{U}$ . Similarly, we say that  $f(z)$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{K}_p(\alpha)$  of  $p$ -valently convex functions of order  $\alpha$  in  $\mathbb{U}$  if  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$  satisfies the following inequality

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( 1 + \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) > \alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

for some  $\alpha$  ( $0 \leq \alpha < p$ ).

As usual, in the present investigation, we write

$$\mathcal{S}_p^* = \mathcal{S}_p^*(0), \quad \mathcal{K}_p = \mathcal{K}_p(0), \quad \mathcal{S}^*(\alpha) = \mathcal{S}_1^*(\alpha) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{K}(\alpha) = \mathcal{K}_1(\alpha).$$

**Remark 1.** For a function  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$ , it follows that

$$f(z) \in \mathcal{K}_p(\alpha) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \frac{zf'(z)}{p} \in \mathcal{S}_p^*(\alpha)$$

and

$$f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_p^*(\alpha) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \int_0^z \frac{pf(\zeta)}{\zeta} d\zeta \in \mathcal{K}_p(\alpha).$$

**Example 1.**

$$f(z) = \frac{z^p}{(1-z)^{2(p-\alpha)}} \in \mathcal{S}_p^*(\alpha)$$

and

$$f(z) = z^p {}_2F_1(2(p-\alpha), p; p+1; z) \in \mathcal{K}_p(\alpha)$$

where  ${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z)$  represents the hypergeometric function.

In [7], Noonan and Thomas stated the  $q$ -th Hankel determinant as

$$H_q(n) = \det \begin{pmatrix} a_n & a_{n+1} & \cdots & a_{n+q-1} \\ a_{n+1} & a_{n+2} & \cdots & a_{n+q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n+q-1} & a_{n+q} & \cdots & a_{n+2q-2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (n, q \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}).$$

This determinant is discussed by several authors. For example, we can know that the Fekete and Szegő functional  $|a_3 - a_2^2| = |H_2(1)|$  and they consider the further generalized functional  $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$ , where  $\mu$  is some real number (see, [2]). Moreover, we also know that the functional  $|a_2 a_4 - a_3^2|$  is equivalent to  $|H_2(2)|$ .

Janteng, Halim and Darus [4] have shown the following theorems.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*$ . Then*

$$|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \leq 1.$$

*Equality is attained for functions*

$$f(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2} = z + 2z^2 + 3z^3 + 4z^4 + \cdots$$

*and*

$$f(z) = \frac{z}{1-z^2} = z + z^3 + z^5 + z^7 + \cdots.$$

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $f(z) \in \mathcal{K}$ . Then*

$$|a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \frac{1}{8}.$$

The present paper is motivated by these results and the purpose of this investigation is to find the upper bounds of the generalized functional  $|a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2|$ , defined by the second Hankel determinant, for functions  $f(z)$  in the class  $\mathcal{S}_p^*(\alpha)$  and  $\mathcal{K}_p(\alpha)$ , respectively.

## 2 Preliminary results

In order to discuss our problems, we need some lemmas. The following lemma can be found in [1] or [8].

**Lemma 1.** *If a function  $p(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k z^k \in \mathcal{P}$ , then*

$$|c_k| \leq 2 \quad (k = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

The result is sharp for

$$p(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z} = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2z^k.$$

Using the above, we derive

**Lemma 2.** If a function  $p(z) = p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k z^k$  satisfies the following inequality

$$\operatorname{Re} p(z) > \alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

for some  $\alpha$  ( $0 \leq \alpha < p$ ), then

$$(1) \quad |c_k| \leq 2(p - \alpha) \quad (k = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

The result is sharp for

$$p(z) = \frac{p + (p - 2\alpha)z}{1 - z} = p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2(p - \alpha)z^k.$$

**Proof.** Let  $q(z) = \frac{p(z) - \alpha}{p - \alpha} = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_k}{p - \alpha} z^k$ . Noting that  $q(z) \in \mathcal{P}$  and using Lemma 1, we see that

$$\left| \frac{c_k}{p - \alpha} \right| \leq 2 \quad (k = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

which implies

$$|c_k| \leq 2(p - \alpha) \quad (k = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

**Lemma 3.** *The power series for  $p(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k z^k$  converges in  $\mathbb{U}$  to a function in  $\mathcal{P}$  if and only if the Toeplitz determinants*

$$D_n = \begin{vmatrix} 2 & c_1 & c_2 & \cdots & c_n \\ c_{-1} & 2 & c_1 & \cdots & c_{n-1} \\ c_{-2} & c_{-1} & 2 & \cdots & c_{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{-n} & c_{-n+1} & c_{-n+2} & \cdots & 2 \end{vmatrix} \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots),$$

where  $c_{-k} = \overline{c_k}$ , are all non-negative. They are strictly positive except for  $p(z) = \sum_{k=1}^m \rho_k p_0(e^{it_k} z)$ ,  $\rho_k > 0$ ,  $t_k$  real and  $t_k \neq t_j$  for  $k \neq j$ , where  $p_0(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$ ; in this case  $D_n > 0$  for  $n < m - 1$  and  $D_n = 0$  for  $n \geq m$ .

This necessary and sufficient condition is due to Carathéodory and Toeplitz, and it can be found in [3]. And then, Libera and Zlotkiewicz [5] (see, also [6]) have given the following result by using this lemma with  $n = 2, 3$ .

**Lemma 4.** *If a function  $p(z) \in \mathcal{P}$ , then the representations*

$$\begin{cases} 2c_2 = c_1^2 + (4 - c_1^2)\zeta \\ 4c_3 = c_1^3 + 2(4 - c_1^2)c_1\zeta - (4 - c_1^2)c_1\zeta^2 + 2(4 - c_1^2)(1 - |\zeta|^2)\eta \end{cases}$$

for some complex numbers  $\zeta$  and  $\eta$  ( $|\zeta| \leq 1, |\eta| \leq 1$ ), are obtained.

By virtue of Lemma 4, we have

**Lemma 5.** If a function  $p(z) = p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k z^k$  satisfies  $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > \alpha$  ( $z \in \mathbb{U}$ ) for some  $\alpha$  ( $0 \leq \alpha < p$ ), then

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} 2(p - \alpha)c_2 &= c_1^2 + \{4(p - \alpha)^2 - c_1^2\}\zeta \\ 4(p - \alpha)^2c_3 &= c_1^3 + 2\{4(p - \alpha)^2 - c_1^2\}c_1\zeta - \{4(p - \alpha)^2 - c_1^2\}c_1\zeta^2 \\ &\quad + 2(p - \alpha)\{4(p - \alpha)^2 - c_1^2\}(1 - |\zeta|^2)\eta \end{aligned}$$

for some complex numbers  $\zeta$  and  $\eta$  ( $|\zeta| \leq 1, |\eta| \leq 1$ ).

**Proof.** Since  $q(z) = \frac{p(z) - \alpha}{p - \alpha} = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_k}{p - \alpha} z^k \in \mathcal{P}$ , replacing  $c_2$  and  $c_3$  by  $\frac{c_2}{p - \alpha}$  and  $\frac{c_3}{p - \alpha}$  in Lemma 4, respectively, we immediately have the relations of the lemma.

We also need the next remark.

**Remark 2.** If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_p^*(\alpha)$ , then there exists a function  $p(z) = p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k z^k$  such that  $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > \alpha$  ( $z \in \mathbb{U}$ ) and

$$zf'(z) = f(z)p(z)$$

which implies that

$$p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} na_n z^{n-p} = p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{l=p}^n a_l c_{n-l} \right) z^{n-p}$$

where  $a_p = 1$  and  $c_0 = p$ . Therefore, we have the following relation

$$(3) \quad (n - p)a_n = \sum_{l=p}^{n-1} a_l c_{n-l} \quad (n \geq p + 1).$$

### 3 Main results

In this section, we begin with the upper bound of  $|a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2|$  for  $p$ -valently starlike functions of order  $\alpha$  below.

**Theorem 3.** *If a function  $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_p^*(\alpha)$  ( $0 \leq \alpha < p$ ), then*

$$|a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2| \leq \begin{cases} (p - \alpha) \{(2(p - \alpha) + 1) - 4(p - \alpha)\mu\} & \left(\mu \leq \frac{1}{2}\right) \\ p - \alpha & \left(\frac{1}{2} \leq \mu \leq \frac{p + 1 - \alpha}{2(p - \alpha)}\right) \\ (p - \alpha) \{4(p - \alpha)\mu - (2(p - \alpha) + 1)\} & \left(\mu \geq \frac{p + 1 - \alpha}{2(p - \alpha)}\right) \end{cases}$$

with equality for

$$f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{z^p}{(1 - z)^{2(p - \alpha)}} & \left(\mu \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{ or } \mu \geq \frac{p + 1 - \alpha}{2(p - \alpha)}\right) \\ \frac{z^p}{(1 - z^2)^{p - \alpha}} & \left(\frac{1}{2} \leq \mu \leq \frac{p + 1 - \alpha}{2(p - \alpha)}\right). \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_p^*(\alpha)$ , then we have the equation (3) which means that  $a_{p+1} = c_1$  and  $a_{p+2} = \frac{c_2 + c_1^2}{2}$ . Thus, by the inequality (1) and the representation (2), we can suppose that  $c_1 = c$  ( $0 \leq c \leq 2(p - \alpha)$ ) without



loss of generality and we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
 |a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2| &= \left| \frac{c_2 + c^2}{2} - \mu c^2 \right| \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \left| (1 - 2\mu)c^2 + \frac{c^2 + \{4(p - \alpha)^2 - c^2\}\zeta}{2(p - \alpha)} \right| \\
 &= \frac{1}{4(p - \alpha)} |\{2(p - \alpha) - 4(p - \alpha)\mu + 1\}c^2 + \{4(p - \alpha)^2 - c^2\}\zeta| \\
 &\equiv A(\zeta).
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying the triangle inequality, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
 A(\zeta) &\leq \frac{1}{4(p - \alpha)} [|(2(p - \alpha) + 1) - 4(p - \alpha)\mu| c^2 + \{4(p - \alpha)^2 - c^2\}] \\
 &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4(p - \alpha)} [2(p - \alpha)(1 - 2\mu)c^2 + 4(p - \alpha)^2] & \left( \mu \leq \frac{2(p - \alpha) + 1}{4(p - \alpha)} \right) \\ \frac{1}{4(p - \alpha)} [2\{2(p - \alpha)\mu - (p + 1 - \alpha)\}c^2 + 4(p - \alpha)^2] & \left( \mu \geq \frac{2(p - \alpha) + 1}{4(p - \alpha)} \right) \end{cases} \\
 &\leq \begin{cases} (p - \alpha) \{(2(p - \alpha) + 1) - 4(p - \alpha)\mu\} & \left( \mu \leq \frac{1}{2}, c = 2(p - \alpha) \right) \\ p - \alpha & \left( \frac{1}{2} \leq \mu \leq \frac{2(p - \alpha) + 1}{4(p - \alpha)}, c = 0 \right) \\ p - \alpha & \left( \frac{2(p - \alpha) + 1}{4(p - \alpha)} \leq \mu \leq \frac{p + 1 - \alpha}{2(p - \alpha)}, c = 0 \right) \\ (p - \alpha) \{4(p - \alpha)\mu - (2(p - \alpha) + 1)\} & \left( \mu \geq \frac{p + 1 - \alpha}{2(p - \alpha)}, c = 2(p - \alpha) \right). \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

Equality is attained for functions  $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_p^*(\alpha)$  defined by

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = p(z) = \frac{p + (p - 2\alpha)z}{1 - z}$$

for the case  $c_1 = c = 2(p - \alpha)$ ,  $\zeta = 1$  and  $c_2 = 2(p - \alpha)$ , or

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = p(z) = \frac{p + (p - 2\alpha)z^2}{1 - z^2}$$

for the case  $c_1 = c = 0$ ,  $\zeta = 1$  and  $c_2 = 2(p - \alpha)$ .

Taking  $\alpha = 0$  or  $p = 1$  in Theorem 3, we obtain the following corollaries, respectively.

**Corollary 1.** *If a function  $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_p^*$ , then*

$$|a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2| \leq \begin{cases} p \{(2p + 1) - 4p\mu\} & \left(\mu \leq \frac{1}{2}\right) \\ p & \left(\frac{1}{2} \leq \mu \leq \frac{p+1}{2p}\right) \\ p \{4p\mu - (2p + 1)\} & \left(\mu \geq \frac{p+1}{2p}\right) \end{cases}$$

with equality for

$$f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{z^p}{(1-z)^{2p}} & \left(\mu \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{ or } \mu \geq \frac{p+1}{2p}\right) \\ \frac{z^p}{(1-z^2)^p} & \left(\frac{1}{2} \leq \mu \leq \frac{p+1}{2p}\right). \end{cases}$$

**Corollary 2.** *If a function  $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$ , then*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} (1 - \alpha) \{(3 - 2\alpha) - 4(1 - \alpha)\mu\} & \left(\mu \leq \frac{1}{2}\right) \\ 1 - \alpha & \left(\frac{1}{2} \leq \mu \leq \frac{2 - \alpha}{2(1 - \alpha)}\right) \\ (1 - \alpha) \{4(1 - \alpha)\mu - (3 - 2\alpha)\} & \left(\mu \geq \frac{2 - \alpha}{2(1 - \alpha)}\right) \end{cases}$$

*with equality for*

$$f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{z}{(1 - z)^{2(1 - \alpha)}} & \left(\mu \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{ or } \mu \geq \frac{2 - \alpha}{2(1 - \alpha)}\right) \\ \frac{z}{(1 - z^2)^{1 - \alpha}} & \left(\frac{1}{2} \leq \mu \leq \frac{2 - \alpha}{2(1 - \alpha)}\right). \end{cases}$$

Also, by Corollary 1 and Corollary 2, we readily know

**Corollary 3.** *If a function  $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*$ , then*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} 3 - 4\mu & \left(\mu \leq \frac{1}{2}\right) \\ 1 & \left(\frac{1}{2} \leq \mu \leq 1\right) \\ 4\mu - 3 & (\mu \geq 1) \end{cases}$$

*with equality for*

$$f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{z}{(1 - z)^2} & \left(\mu \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{ or } \mu \geq 1\right) \\ \frac{z}{1 - z^2} & \left(\frac{1}{2} \leq \mu \leq 1\right). \end{cases}$$

Next, in consideration of Remark 1, we derive the upper bounds of  $|a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2|$  for  $p$ -valently convex functions.

**Theorem 4.** *If a function  $f(z) \in \mathcal{K}_p(\alpha)$  ( $0 \leq \alpha < p$ ), then*

$$|a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2| \leq$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{p(p-\alpha) \{(2(p-\alpha)+1)(p+1)^2 - 4(p-\alpha)p(p+2)\mu\}}{(p+1)^2(p+2)} \quad \left( \mu \leq \frac{(p+1)^2}{2p(p+2)} \right) \\ \\ \frac{p(p-\alpha)}{p+2} \quad \left( \frac{(p+1)^2}{2p(p+2)} \leq \mu \leq \frac{(p+1)^2(p+1-\alpha)}{2p(p+2)(p-\alpha)} \right) \\ \\ \frac{p(p-\alpha) \{4(p-\alpha)p(p+2)\mu - (2(p-\alpha)+1)(p+1)^2\}}{(p+1)^2(p+2)} \quad \left( \mu \geq \frac{(p+1)^2(p+1-\alpha)}{2p(p+2)(p-\alpha)} \right) \end{array} \right.$$

with equality for

$$f(z) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} z^p {}_2F_1(2(p-\alpha), p; p+1; z) \quad \left( \mu \leq \frac{(p+1)^2}{2p(p+2)} \text{ or } \mu \geq \frac{(p+1)^2(p+1-\alpha)}{2p(p+2)(p-\alpha)} \right) \\ \\ z^p {}_2F_1\left(\frac{p}{2}, p-\alpha; 1+\frac{p}{2}; z^2\right) \quad \left( \frac{(p+1)^2}{2p(p+2)} \leq \mu \leq \frac{(p+1)^2(p+1-\alpha)}{2p(p+2)(p-\alpha)} \right). \end{array} \right.$$

**Proof.** Noting that  $f(z) \in \mathcal{K}_p(\alpha)$  if and only if

$\frac{zf'(z)}{p} = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{p} a_n z^n \in \mathcal{S}_p^*(\alpha)$  and using Theorem 3, we see that

$$\left| \frac{p+2}{p} a_{p+2} - \nu \frac{(p+1)^2}{p^2} a_{p+1}^2 \right| \leq \begin{cases} (p-\alpha) \{ (2(p-\alpha)+1) - 4(p-\alpha)\nu \} \\ p-\alpha \\ (p-\alpha) \{ 4(p-\alpha)\nu - (2(p-\alpha)+1) \}, \end{cases}$$

that is, that  $\left| a_{p+2} - \frac{(p+1)^2}{p(p+2)} \nu a_{p+1}^2 \right| \leq$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{p(p-\alpha) \{ (2(p-\alpha)+1) - 4(p-\alpha)\nu \}}{p+2} & \left( \nu \leq \frac{1}{2} \right) \\ \frac{p(p-\alpha)}{p+2} & \left( \frac{1}{2} \leq \nu \leq \frac{p+1-\alpha}{2(p-\alpha)} \right) \\ \frac{p(p-\alpha) \{ 4(p-\alpha)\nu - (2(p-\alpha)+1) \}}{p+2} & \left( \nu \geq \frac{p+1-\alpha}{2(p-\alpha)} \right). \end{cases}$$

Now, putting  $\frac{(p+1)^2}{p(p+2)} \nu = \mu$ , the proof of the theorem is completed.

When  $\alpha = 0$  or  $p = 1$  in Theorem 4, the following three corollaries are obtained.

**Corollary 4.** *If a function  $f(z) \in \mathcal{K}_p$ , then*

$$|a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{p^2 \{(2p+1)(p+1)^2 - 4p^2(p+2)\mu\}}{(p+1)^2(p+2)} & \left( \mu \leq \frac{(p+1)^2}{2p(p+2)} \right) \\ \frac{p^2}{p+2} & \left( \frac{(p+1)^2}{2p(p+2)} \leq \mu \leq \frac{(p+1)^3}{2p^2(p+2)} \right) \\ \frac{p^2 \{4p^2(p+2)\mu - (2p+1)(p+1)^2\}}{(p+1)^2(p+2)} & \left( \mu \geq \frac{(p+1)^3}{2p^2(p+2)} \right) \end{cases}$$

*with equality for*

$$f(z) = \begin{cases} z^p {}_2F_1(2p, p; p+1; z) & \left( \mu \leq \frac{(p+1)^2}{2p(p+2)} \text{ or } \mu \geq \frac{(p+1)^3}{2p^2(p+2)} \right) \\ z^p {}_2F_1\left(\frac{p}{2}, p; 1 + \frac{p}{2}; z^2\right) & \left( \frac{(p+1)^2}{2p(p+2)} \leq \mu \leq \frac{(p+1)^3}{2p^2(p+2)} \right). \end{cases}$$

**Corollary 5.** *If a function  $f(z) \in \mathcal{K}(\alpha)$ , then*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{(1-\alpha)}{3} \{(3-2\alpha) - 3(1-\alpha)\mu\} & \left( \mu \leq \frac{2}{3} \right) \\ \frac{1-\alpha}{3} & \left( \frac{2}{3} \leq \mu \leq \frac{2(2-\alpha)}{3(1-\alpha)} \right) \\ \frac{(1-\alpha)}{3} \{3(1-\alpha)\mu - (3-2\alpha)\} & \left( \mu \geq \frac{2(2-\alpha)}{3(1-\alpha)} \right) \end{cases}$$

*with equality for*

$$f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1 - (1-z)^{2\alpha-1}}{2\alpha-1} \text{ and } \log\left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right) & \left( \mu \leq \frac{2}{3} \text{ or } \mu \geq \frac{2(2-\alpha)}{3(1-\alpha)} \right) \\ z {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1-\alpha; \frac{3}{2}; z^2\right) & \left( \frac{2}{3} \leq \mu \leq \frac{2(2-\alpha)}{3(1-\alpha)} \right). \end{cases}$$

**Corollary 6.** *If a function  $f(z) \in \mathcal{K}$ , then*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} 1 - \mu & \left(\mu \leq \frac{2}{3}\right) \\ \frac{1}{3} & \left(\frac{2}{3} \leq \mu \leq \frac{4}{3}\right) \\ \mu - 1 & \left(\mu \geq \frac{4}{3}\right) \end{cases}$$

*with equality for*

$$f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{z}{1-z} & \left(\mu \leq \frac{2}{3} \text{ or } \mu \geq \frac{4}{3}\right) \\ \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) & \left(\frac{2}{3} \leq \mu \leq \frac{4}{3}\right). \end{cases}$$

## References

- [1] P. L. Duren, *Univalent Functions*, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, Tokyo, 1983.
- [2] M. Fekete and G. Szegö, *Eine Bemerkung über ungerade schlichte Funktionen*, J. London Math. Soc. 8(1933), 85-89.
- [3] U. Grenander and G. Szegö, *Toeplitz Forms and their Applications*, Univ. of California Press, Berkeley and Los Angeles, (1958).
- [4] A. Janteng, S. A. Halim and M. Darus, *Hankel determinant for starlike and convex functions*, Int. Journal of Math. Anal. 1(2007), 619-625.

- [5] R. J. Libera and E. J. Zlotkiewicz, *Early coefficients of the inverse of a regular convex function*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 85(1982), 225-230.
- [6] R. J. Libera and E. J. Zlotkiewicz, *Coefficient bounds for the inverse of a function with derivative in  $\mathcal{P}$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 87(1983), 251-257.
- [7] J. W. Noonan and D. K. Thomas, *On the second Hankel determinant of areally mean  $p$ -valent functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 223(2) (1976), 337-346.
- [8] Ch. Pommerenke, *Univalent Functions*, Vandenhoeck and Ruprecht, Göttingen, (1975).

Toshio Hayami

Kinki University

Department of Mathematics

Higashi-Osaka, Osaka 577-8502, Japan

e-mail: ha\_ya\_to112@hotmail.com

Shigeyoshi Owa

Kinki University

Department of Mathematics

Higashi-Osaka, Osaka 577-8502, Japan

e-mail: owa@math.kindai.ac.jp