

**GENERALIZATIONS OF NON-COMMUTATIVE NEUTRIX
CONVOLUTION PRODUCTS OF FUNCTIONS**

BRIAN FISHER AND YONGPING CHEN

ABSTRACT. The non-commutative neutrix convolution product of the functions $x^r \cos_-(\lambda x)$ and $x^s \cos_+(\mu x)$ is evaluated. Further similar non-commutative neutrix convolution products are evaluated and deduced.

In the following we let \mathcal{D} be the space of infinitely differentiable functions with compact support and let \mathcal{D}' be the space of distributions defined on \mathcal{D} . The convolution product $f * g$ of two distributions f and g in \mathcal{D}' is then usually defined by the equation

$$\langle (f * g)(x), \phi \rangle = \langle f(y), \langle g(x), \phi(x + y) \rangle \rangle$$

for arbitrary ϕ in \mathcal{D} , provided f and g satisfy either of the conditions

- (a) either f or g has bounded support,
- (b) the supports of f and g are bounded on the same side;

see Gel'fand and Shilov [1].

Note that if f and g are locally summable functions satisfying either of the above conditions then

$$(f * g)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t)g(x - t) dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x - t)g(t) dt. \quad (1)$$

It follows that if the convolution product $f * g$ exists by this definition then

$$f * g = g * f, \quad (2)$$

$$(f * g)' = f * g' = f' * g. \quad (3)$$

This definition of the convolution product is rather restrictive and so a neutrix convolution product was introduced in [2]. In order to define the

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46F10.

Key words and phrases. Neutrix, neutrix limit, neutrix convolution product.

neutrix convolution product we first of all let τ be a function in \mathcal{D} satisfying the following properties:

- (i) $\tau(x) = \tau(-x)$,
- (ii) $0 \leq \tau(x) \leq 1$,
- (iii) $\tau(x) = 1$ for $|x| \leq \frac{1}{2}$,
- (iv) $\tau(x) = 0$ for $|x| \geq 1$.

The function τ_ν is now defined by

$$\tau_\nu(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & |x| \leq \nu, \\ \tau(\nu^\nu x - \nu^{\nu+1}), & x > \nu, \\ \tau(\nu^\nu x + \nu^{\nu+1}), & x < -\nu, \end{cases}$$

for $\nu > 0$.

We now give a new neutrix convolution product which generalizes the one given in [2].

Definition 1. Let f and g be distributions in \mathcal{D}' and let $f_\nu = f\tau_\nu$ for $\nu > 0$. Then the neutrix convolution product $f \circledast g$ is defined as the neutrix limit of the sequence $\{f_\nu * g\}$, provided that the limit h exists in the sense that

$$N\text{-}\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \langle f_\nu * g, \phi \rangle = \langle h, \phi \rangle,$$

for all ϕ in \mathcal{D} , where N is the neutrix (see van der Corput [3]), having domain N' the positive reals and range N'' the complex numbers, with negligible functions finite linear sums of the functions

$$\nu^\lambda \ln^{r-1} \nu, \ln^r \nu, \nu^{r-1} e^{\mu\nu} \quad (\text{real } \lambda > 0, \text{ complex } \mu \neq 0, r = 1, 2, \dots)$$

and all functions which converge to zero in the usual sense as ν tends to infinity.

Note that in this definition the convolution product $f_\nu * g$ is defined in Gel'fand and Shilov's sense, the distribution f_ν having bounded support.

In the original definition of the neutrix convolution product, the domain of the neutrix N was the set of positive integers $N' = \{1, 2, \dots, n, \dots\}$, the range was the set of real numbers, and the negligible functions were finite linear sums of the functions

$$n^\lambda \ln^{r-1} n, \ln^r n \quad (\lambda > 0, r = 1, 2, \dots)$$

and all functions which converge to zero in the usual sense as n tends to infinity. In [4], the set of negligible functions was extended to include finite linear sums of the functions $n^\lambda e^{\mu n}$ ($\mu > 0$). In [5], the domain of the neutrix N was replaced by the set of real numbers, and the set of negligible functions was extended to include finite linear sums of the functions

$$\nu^\mu \cos \lambda\nu, \nu^\mu \sin \lambda\nu \quad (\lambda \neq 0).$$

It is easily seen that any results proved with the earlier definitions hold with this latest definition. The following theorems, proved in [2], therefore hold, the first showing that the neutrix convolution product is a generalization of the convolution product.

Theorem 1. *Let f and g be distributions in \mathcal{D}' satisfying either condition (a) or condition (b) of Gel'fand and Shilov's definition. Then the neutrix convolution product $f \circledast g$ exists and*

$$f \circledast g = f * g.$$

Theorem 2. *Let f and g be distributions in \mathcal{D}' and suppose that the neutrix convolution product $f \circledast g$ exists. Then the neutrix convolution product $f \circledast g'$ exists and*

$$(f \circledast g)' = f \circledast g'.$$

Note, however, that equation (1) does not necessarily hold for the neutrix convolution product and that $(f \circledast g)'$ is not necessarily equal to $f' \circledast g$.

We now define the locally summable functions $e_+^{\lambda x}$, $e_-^{\lambda x}$, $\cos_+(\lambda x)$, $\cos_-(\lambda x)$, $\sin_+(\lambda x)$ and $\sin_-(\lambda x)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} e_+^{\lambda x} &= \begin{cases} e^{\lambda x}, & x > 0, \\ 0, & x < 0, \end{cases} & e_-^{\lambda x} &= \begin{cases} 0, & x > 0, \\ e^{\lambda x}, & x < 0, \end{cases} \\ \cos_+(\lambda x) &= \begin{cases} \cos(\lambda x), & x > 0, \\ 0, & x < 0, \end{cases} & \cos_-(\lambda x) &= \begin{cases} 0, & x > 0, \\ \cos(\lambda x), & x < 0, \end{cases} \\ \sin_+(\lambda x) &= \begin{cases} \sin(\lambda x), & x > 0, \\ 0, & x < 0, \end{cases} & \sin_-(\lambda x) &= \begin{cases} 0, & x > 0, \\ \sin(\lambda x), & x < 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\cos_-(\lambda x) + \cos_+(\lambda x) = \cos(\lambda x), \quad \sin_-(\lambda x) + \sin_+(\lambda x) = \sin(\lambda x).$$

The following two theorems were proved in [4] and [5], respectively.

Theorem 3. *The neutrix convolution product $(x^r e_-^{\lambda x}) \circledast (x^s e_+^{\mu x})$ exists and*

$$(x^r e_-^{\lambda x}) \circledast (x^s e_+^{\mu x}) = D_\lambda^r D_\mu^s \frac{e_+^{\mu x} + e_-^{\lambda x}}{\lambda - \mu}, \quad (4)$$

where $D_\lambda = \partial/\partial\lambda$ and $D_\mu = \partial/\partial\mu$, for $\lambda \neq \mu$ and $r, s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, these neutrix convolution products existing as convolution products if $\lambda > \mu$ (or $\Re\lambda > \Re\mu$ for complex λ, μ) and

$$(x^r e_-^{\lambda x}) \circledast (x^s e_+^{\lambda x}) = B(r+1, s+1) x^{r+s+1} e_-^{\lambda x}, \quad (5)$$

where B denotes the Beta function, for all λ and $r, s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

Note that for complex $\lambda = \lambda_1 + i\lambda_2$ and $\mu = \mu_1 + i\mu_2$, equation (4) can be replaced by the equation

$$(x^r e_-^{\lambda x}) \circledast (x^s e_+^{\mu x}) = D_{\lambda_1}^r D_{\mu_1}^s \frac{e_+^{\mu x} + e_-^{\lambda x}}{\lambda - \mu} \quad (6)$$

or by the equation

$$(x^r e_-^{\lambda x}) \circledast (x^s e_+^{\mu x}) = D_{\lambda_2}^r D_{\mu_2}^s \frac{e_+^{\mu x} + e_-^{\lambda x}}{\lambda - \mu}. \quad (7)$$

Theorem 4. *The neutrix convolution products $\cos_-(\lambda x) \circledast \cos_+(\mu x)$, $\cos_-(\lambda x) \circledast \sin_+(\mu x)$, $\sin_-(\lambda x) \circledast \cos_+(\mu x)$, and $\sin_-(\lambda x) \circledast \sin_+(\mu x)$ exist and*

$$\cos_-(\lambda x) \circledast \cos_+(\mu x) = \frac{\lambda \sin_-(\lambda x) + \mu \sin_+(\mu x)}{\lambda^2 - \mu^2}, \quad (8)$$

$$\cos_-(\lambda x) \circledast \sin_+(\mu x) = -\frac{\mu \cos_-(\lambda x) + \mu \cos_+(\mu x)}{\lambda^2 - \mu^2}, \quad (9)$$

$$\sin_-(\lambda x) \circledast \cos_+(\mu x) = -\frac{\lambda \cos_-(\lambda x) + \lambda \cos_+(\mu x)}{\lambda^2 - \mu^2}, \quad (10)$$

$$\sin_-(\lambda x) \circledast \sin_+(\mu x) = -\frac{\mu \sin_-(\lambda x) + \lambda \sin_+(\mu x)}{\lambda^2 - \mu^2}, \quad (11)$$

for $\lambda \neq \pm\mu$.

We now give some generalizations of Theorems 3 and 4.

Theorem 5. *The neutrix convolution product $[x^r e^{\lambda_1 x} \cos_-(\lambda_2 x)] \circledast [x^s e^{\mu_1 x} \cos_+(\mu_2 x)]$ exists and*

$$\begin{aligned} & [x^r e^{\lambda_1 x} \cos_-(\lambda_2 x)] \circledast [x^s e^{\mu_1 x} \cos_+(\mu_2 x)] = \\ & = D_{\lambda_1}^r D_{\mu_1}^s \left\{ \frac{(\lambda_1 - \mu_1)[e^{\mu_1 x} \cos_+(\mu_2 x) + e^{\lambda_1 x} \cos_-(\lambda_2 x)]}{2|\lambda - \mu|^2} + \right. \\ & \quad + \frac{(\lambda_2 - \mu_2)[e^{\mu_1 x} \sin_+(\mu_2 x) + e^{\lambda_1 x} \sin_-(\lambda_2 x)]}{2|\lambda - \mu|^2} + \\ & \quad + \frac{(\lambda_1 - \mu_1)[e^{\mu_1 x} \cos_+(\mu_2 x) + e^{\lambda_1 x} \cos_-(\lambda_2 x)]}{2|\lambda - \bar{\mu}|^2} - \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{(\lambda_2 + \mu_2)[e^{\mu_1 x} \sin_+(\mu_2 x) - e^{\lambda_1 x} \sin_-(\lambda_2 x)]}{2|\lambda - \bar{\mu}|^2} \right\} \quad (12) \end{aligned}$$

for $\lambda = \lambda_1 + i\lambda_2 \neq \mu = \mu_1 + i\mu_2$, $\lambda \neq \bar{\mu}$ and $r, s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & [x^r e^{\lambda_1 x} \cos_-(\lambda_2 x)] \circledast [x^s e^{\lambda_1 x} \cos_+(\lambda_2 x)] = \\ & = \frac{1}{2} B(r+1, s+1) x^{r+s+1} e^{\lambda_1 x} \cos_-(\lambda_2 x) + \end{aligned}$$

$$+ D_{\lambda_1}^r D_{\mu_1}^s \left\{ \frac{2(\lambda_1 - \mu_1)[e^{\mu_1 x} \cos_+(\lambda_2 x) + e^{\lambda_1 x} \cos_-(\lambda_2 x)]}{(\lambda_1 - \mu_1)^2 + 4\lambda_2^2} - \frac{2\lambda_2[e^{\mu_1 x} \sin_+(\lambda_2 x) - e^{\lambda_1 x} \sin_-(\lambda_2 x)]}{(\lambda_1 - \mu_1)^2 + 4\lambda_2^2} \right\}_{\mu=\lambda_1-i\lambda_2}, \quad (13)$$

for all $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \neq 0$ and $r, s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

In particular

$$\begin{aligned} [e^{\lambda_1 x} \cos_-(\lambda_2 x)] \circledast [e^{\lambda_1 x} \cos_+(\lambda_2 x)] &= \\ &= \frac{\lambda_2 x e^{\lambda_1 x} \cos_-(\lambda_2 x) - e^{\lambda_1 x} \sin_+(\lambda_2 x) + e^{\lambda_1 x} \sin_-(\lambda_2 x)}{2\lambda_2}, \end{aligned}$$

for $\lambda_2 \neq 0$.

Proof. Using equation (4) with $\lambda \neq \mu, \bar{\mu}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 4[e^{\lambda_1 x} \cos_-(\lambda_2 x)] \circledast [e^{\mu_1 x} \cos_+(\mu_2 x)] &= \\ &= [e^{\lambda_1 x} (e^{-i\lambda_2 x} + e^{-i\lambda_2 x})] \circledast [e^{\mu_1 x} (e_+^{i\mu_2 x} + e_+^{-i\mu_2 x})] = \\ &= e_-^{\lambda x} \circledast e_+^{\mu x} + e_-^{\bar{\lambda} x} \circledast e_+^{\mu x} + e_-^{\lambda x} \circledast e_+^{\bar{\mu} x} + e_-^{\bar{\lambda} x} \circledast e_+^{\bar{\mu} x} = \\ &= \frac{e_+^{\mu x} + e_-^{\lambda x}}{\lambda - \mu} + \frac{e_+^{\mu x} + e_-^{\bar{\lambda} x}}{\bar{\lambda} - \mu} + \frac{e_+^{\bar{\mu} x} + e_-^{\lambda x}}{\lambda - \bar{\mu}} + \frac{e_+^{\bar{\mu} x} + e_-^{\bar{\lambda} x}}{\bar{\lambda} - \bar{\mu}} = \\ &= \frac{(\lambda_1 - \mu_1)(e_+^{\mu x} + e_+^{\bar{\mu} x} + e_-^{\lambda x} + e_-^{\bar{\lambda} x}) + i(\lambda_2 - \mu_2)(e_+^{\bar{\mu} x} - e_+^{\mu x} + e_-^{\bar{\lambda} x} - e_-^{\lambda x})}{|\lambda - \mu|^2} + \\ &+ \frac{(\lambda_1 - \mu_1)(e_+^{\mu x} + e_+^{\bar{\mu} x} + e_-^{\lambda x} + e_-^{\bar{\lambda} x}) + i(\lambda_2 + \mu_2)(e_+^{\mu x} - e_+^{\bar{\mu} x} + e_-^{\bar{\lambda} x} - e_-^{\lambda x})}{|\lambda - \bar{\mu}|^2} \\ &= \frac{2(\lambda_1 - \mu_1)[e^{\mu_1 x} \cos_+(\mu_2 x) + e^{\lambda_1 x} \cos_-(\lambda_2 x)]}{|\lambda - \mu|^2} + \\ &\quad + \frac{2(\lambda_2 - \mu_2)[e^{\mu_1 x} \sin_+(\mu_2 x) + e^{\lambda_1 x} \sin_-(\lambda_2 x)]}{|\lambda - \mu|^2} + \\ &\quad + \frac{2(\lambda_1 - \mu_1)[e^{\mu_1 x} \cos_+(\mu_2 x) + e^{\lambda_1 x} \cos_-(\lambda_2 x)]}{|\lambda - \bar{\mu}|^2} - \\ &\quad - \frac{2(\lambda_2 + \mu_2)[e^{\mu_1 x} \sin_+(\mu_2 x) - e^{\lambda_1 x} \sin_-(\lambda_2 x)]}{|\lambda - \bar{\mu}|^2} \end{aligned}$$

and equation (12) follows.

Similarly, using equations (4) and (5) with $\lambda \neq \bar{\lambda}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 4[x^r e^{\lambda_1 x} \cos_-(\lambda_2 x)] \circledast [x^s e^{\lambda_1 x} \cos_+(\lambda_2 x)] &= \\ &= [x^r e^{\lambda_1 x} (e_-^{i\lambda_2 x} + e_-^{-i\lambda_2 x})] \circledast [x^s e^{\lambda_1 x} (e_+^{i\lambda_2 x} + e_+^{-i\lambda_2 x})] = \\ &= (x^r e_-^{\lambda x}) \circledast (x^s e_+^{\lambda x}) + (x^r e_-^{\lambda x}) \circledast (x^s e_+^{\bar{\lambda} x}) + (x^r e_-^{\bar{\lambda} x}) \circledast (x^s e_+^{\lambda x}) + \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + (x^r e_-^{\bar{\lambda}x}) \circledast (x^s e_+^{\bar{\lambda}x}) = \\
= & B(r+1, +1)x^{r+s+1}(e_-^{\lambda x} + e_-^{\bar{\lambda}x}) + (x^r e_-^{\lambda x}) \circledast (x^s e_+^{\bar{\lambda}x}) + \\
& + (x^r e_-^{\bar{\lambda}x}) \circledast (x^s e_+^{\lambda x}) = \\
= & 2B(r+1, s+1)x^{r+s+1}e^{\lambda_1 x} \cos_-(\lambda_2 x) + (x^r e_-^{\lambda x}) \circledast (x^s e_+^{\bar{\lambda}x}) + \\
& + (x^r e_-^{\bar{\lambda}x}) \circledast (x^s e_+^{\lambda x}).
\end{aligned}$$

In order to evaluate $(x^r e_-^{\lambda x}) \circledast (x^s e_+^{\bar{\lambda}x}) + (x^r e_-^{\bar{\lambda}x}) \circledast (x^s e_+^{\lambda x})$ we put $\mu = \mu_1 - i\lambda_2$ and consider

$$\begin{aligned}
e_-^{\lambda x} \circledast e_+^{\mu x} + e_-^{\bar{\lambda}x} \circledast e_+^{\bar{\mu}x} &= \frac{e_+^{\mu x} + e_-^{\lambda x}}{\lambda - \mu} + \frac{e_+^{\bar{\mu}x} + e_-^{\bar{\lambda}x}}{\bar{\lambda} - \bar{\mu}} = \\
&= \frac{(\lambda_1 - \mu_1)(e_+^{\mu x} + e_+^{\bar{\mu}x} + e_-^{\lambda x} + e_-^{\bar{\lambda}x}) + 2i\lambda_2(e_+^{\bar{\mu}x} - e_+^{\mu x} + e_-^{\bar{\lambda}x} - e_-^{\lambda x})}{|\lambda - \mu|^2} = \\
&= \frac{2(\lambda_1 - \mu_1)[e^{\mu_1 x} \cos_+(\lambda_2 x) + e^{\lambda_1 x} \cos_-(\lambda_2 x)]}{(\lambda_1 - \mu_1)^2 + 4\lambda_2^2} - \\
&\quad - \frac{2\lambda_2[e^{\mu_1 x} \sin_+(\lambda_2 x) - e^{\lambda_1 x} \sin_-(\lambda_2 x)]}{(\lambda_1 - \mu_1)^2 + 4\lambda_2^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(x^r e_-^{\lambda x}) \circledast (x^s e_+^{\bar{\lambda}x}) + (x^r e_-^{\bar{\lambda}x}) \circledast (x^s e_+^{\lambda x}) &= \\
&= D_{\lambda_1}^r D_{\mu_1}^s [e_-^{\lambda x} \circledast e_+^{\mu x} + e_-^{\bar{\lambda}x} \circledast e_+^{\bar{\mu}x}]_{\mu=\lambda_1-i\lambda_2}
\end{aligned}$$

and equation (13) follows.

Note that by replacing x by $-x$ in equation (12) gives an expression for

$$[x^r e^{\lambda_1 x} \cos_+(\lambda_2 x)] \circledast [x^s e^{\mu_2 x} \cos_-(\mu_2 x)].$$

Expressions for

$$\begin{aligned}
& [x^r e^{\lambda_1 x} \cos_{\pm}(\lambda_2 x)] \circledast [x^s e^{\mu_2 x} \sin_{\mp}(\mu_2 x)], \\
& [x^r e^{\lambda_1 x} \sin_{\pm}(\lambda_2 x)] \circledast [x^s e^{\mu_2 x} \cos_{\mp}(\mu_2 x)], \\
& [x^r e^{\lambda_1 x} \sin_{\pm}(\lambda_2 x)] \circledast [x^s e^{\mu_2 x} \sin_{\mp}(\mu_2 x)]
\end{aligned}$$

can be obtained similarly. \square

REFERENCES

1. I. M. Gel'fand and G. E. Shilov, Generalized functions, vol. I. *Academic Press*, 1964.

2. B. Fisher, Neutrices and the convolution of distributions. *Univ. Novom Sadu Zb. Rad. Prirod.-Mat. Fak. Ser. Mat.* **17**(1987), 119–135.
3. J. G. van der Corput, Introduction to the neutrix calculus. *J. Analyse Math.* **7**(1959–60), 291–398.
4. B. Fisher and Y. Chen, Non-commutative neutrix convolution products of functions. *Math. Balkanica (to appear)*.
5. B. Fisher, S. Yakubovich, and Y. Chen, Some non-commutative neutrix convolution products of functions. *Math. Balkanica (to appear)*.

(Received 16.08.1995)

Authors' addresses:

B. Fisher
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
University of Leicester
Leicester, LE1 7RH,
England

Y. Chen
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
University of Brunel
Uxbridge, UB8 3PH
England