



Gen. Math. Notes, Vol. 18, No. 1, September, 2013, pp.13-23
ISSN 2219-7184; Copyright ©ICSRs Publication, 2013
www.i-csrs.org
Available free online at <http://www.geman.in>

Unique Common Fixed Point Theorems For Compatible Mappings In Complete Metric Space

D.P. Shukla¹, Shiv Kant Tiwari² and Shri Kant Shukla³

¹ Department of Mathematics & Computer Science
Govt. Model Science College, Rewa, (M.P.), India, 486001
E-mail: shukladpmp@gmail.com

² Department of Mathematics & Computer Science
Govt. Model Science College, Rewa, (M.P.), India, 486001
E-mail: shivkant.math@gmail.com

³ Jai Jyoti School, J.P. Vihar Baghwar
Distt. Sidhi, (M.P.), India, 486776
E-mail: shrikant.jjs@gmail.com

(Received: 25-6-13 / Accepted: 30-7-13)

Abstract

In this paper, we have studied unique common fixed point theorems for two pairs of compatible mappings and compatible of type (A) in complete metric space.

Keywords: *Complete metric space, Continuous map, Compatible mapping, Compatible of type(A)*

1 Introduction

The concept of common fixed point theorem for commuting mappings have been investigated by Jungck[3, 4, 5], who generalized the Banach's fixed point theorem [9]. The generalization of commutativity, given by Jungck[3], is called compatible mapping. Sharma and Patidar [8], also generalized the notion of commutativity and resulting mappings were called as compatible of type(A). The object of this paper is to generalize some unique common fixed point theorems given by Fisher[1], Pant[7], Cho & Murthy[11], Shukla & Tiwari[2], Singh & Singh[10] and Lohani & Badshah[6] using compatible mapping and

compatible of type (A) in complete metric space.

Definition 1.1. Two mappings A and B from a metric space (X, d) into itself are called commuting on X if

$$d(ABx, BAx) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

Definition 1.2. Two mappings A and B from a metric space (X, d) into itself are called weakly commuting on X if

$$d(ABx, BAx) \leq d(Ax, Bx) \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

Commuting mappings are weakly commuting but the converse is not necessarily true. The following example illustrate this fact.

Example 1.1. Consider two mappings A and $B : X \rightarrow X$, where $X = [0, 1]$ with Euclidean metric d , such that

$$Ax = \frac{2x}{5-3x}, \quad Bx = \frac{5x}{4}$$

for all $x \in X$. Then, for any $x \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(ABx, BAx) &= \left| \frac{10x}{20-15x} - \frac{40x}{5-3x} \right| = \left| \frac{50-770x+600x^2}{5(4-3x)(5-3x)} \right| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{2x}{5-3x} - \frac{5x}{4} \right| = \left| \frac{-25+23x}{4(5-3x)} \right| = d(Ax, Bx). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, A and B are weakly commuting mappings on x whereas they are not commuting mappings on X . Since, we have

$$ABx = \frac{2x}{4-3x} < \frac{40x}{5-3x} = BAx$$

for any non-zero $x \in X$.

This shows that $d(ABx, BAx) \neq 0$ i.e., A and B are not commuting.

Definition 1.3. Two mappings A and B from a metric space (X, d) into itself are called compatible on X if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(ABx_n, BAx_n) = 0,$$

whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bx_n = x \text{ for some points } x \in X.$$

Clearly, if A and B are compatible mappings on X with $d(Ax, Bx) = 0$ for some $x \in X$, then we have

$$d(ABx, BAx) = 0.$$

Note that weakly commuting mappings are compatible but the converse is not necessarily true.

Definition 1.4. *Two mappings A and B from a metric space (X, d) into itself are called compatible of type (A) if*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(BAx_n, AAx_n) = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(ABx_n, BBx_n) = 0,$$

whenever $\{x_n\}$ is sequence in X such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bx_n = z \text{ for some } z \in X.$$

Lemma 1.1. [4] *Let A and B be compatible mappings from a metric space (X, d) into itself. Suppose that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bx_n = x \text{ for some } x \in X.$$

If A continuous, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} BAx_n = Ax.$$

Now, Let A, B, C and D be mappings from a complete metric space (X, d) into itself satisfying the following conditions

$$A(X) \subset D(X), \quad B(X) \subset C(X) \quad (1)$$

and

$$d(Ax, By) \leq \alpha \left[\frac{\{d(Cx, Ay)\}^{m+1} + \{d(Dy, By)\}^{m+1}}{\{d(Cx, Ax)\}^m + \{d(Dy, By)\}^m} \right] + \beta d(Cx, Dy) \quad (2)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$, $\alpha + \beta < 1$ and $m \geq 1$.

Then, for an arbitrary point $x_0 \in X$ by equation (1), we choose a point $x_1 \in X$ such that $Dx_1 = Ax_0$ and for this point x_1 , there exist a point $x_2 \in X$ such that $Cx_2 = Bx_1$ and so on. Proceeding in the similar fashion, we can define a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X , such that

$$y_{2n+1} = Dx_{2n+1} = Ax_{2n} \text{ and } y_{2n} = Cx_{2n} = Bx_{2n-1}. \quad (3)$$

Lemma 1.2. [5] *Let A, B, C and D be mappings from a complete metric space (X, d) into itself satisfying the equations (1) and (2). Then the sequence $\{y_n\}$ defined by equation (3) is a cauchy sequence in X .*

2 Main Results

Theorem 2.1. Let A, B, C and D be mappings from a complete metric space (X, d) into itself satisfying the equations (1) and (2). If any one of the A, B, C and D is continuous and pairs A, C and B, D are compatible on X . Then A, B, C and D have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof: Let $\{y_n\}$ be a sequence in X defined by the equation (3), then by Lemma(1.2), sequence $\{y_n\}$ is cauchy sequence. Since (X, d) is complete metric space so sequence $\{y_n\}$ is converges to some point $u \in X$. Consequently, the subsequence $\{Ax_{2n}\}, \{Cx_{2n}\}, \{Bx_{2n-1}\}$ and $\{Dx_{2n+1}\}$ of the sequence $\{y_n\}$ also converges to u .

We assume that C is continuous. Since A and C are compatible mappings on X , then Lemma(1.1) gives that

$$C^2x_{2n} \text{ and } ACx_{2n} \rightarrow Cu \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4)$$

Consider,

$$\begin{aligned} & d(ACx_{2n}, Bx_{2n-1}) \\ \leq & \alpha \left[\frac{\{d(C^2x_{2n}, ACx_{2n})\}^{m+1} + \{d(Dx_{2n-1}, Bx_{2n-1})\}^{m+1}}{\{d(C^2x_{2n}, ACx_{2n})\}^m + \{d(Dx_{2n-1}, Bx_{2n-1})\}^m} \right] + \beta d(C^2x_{2n}, Dx_{2n-1}) \\ & \leq \alpha [d(C^2x_{2n}, ACx_{2n}) + d(Dx_{2n-1}, Bx_{2n-1})] + \beta d(C^2x_{2n}, Dx_{2n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Using equation (4) and subsequences of sequence $\{y_n\}$ converging to u , in above equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(Cu, u) & \leq \alpha [d(Cu, Cu) + d(u, u)] + \beta d(Cu, u) \\ & \Rightarrow (1 - \beta)d(Cu, u) \leq 0 \\ & \Rightarrow d(Cu, u) = 0 \text{ as } \beta \neq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$Cu = u. \quad (5)$$

Again, consider

$$\begin{aligned} & d(Au, Bx_{2n-1}) \\ \leq & \alpha \left[\frac{\{d(Cu, Au)\}^{m+1} + \{d(Dx_{2n-1}, Bx_{2n-1})\}^{m+1}}{\{d(Cu, Au)\}^m + \{d(Dx_{2n-1}, Bx_{2n-1})\}^m} \right] + \beta d(Cu, Dx_{2n-1}) \\ & \leq \alpha [d(Cu, Au) + d(Dx_{2n-1}, Bx_{2n-1})] + \beta d(Cu, Dx_{2n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Using equations (4) & (5) and subsequences of sequence $\{y_n\}$ converging to u , in above equation, we have

$$d(Au, u) \leq \alpha [d(u, Au) + d(u, u)] + \beta d(u, u)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\Rightarrow (1 - \alpha)d(Au, u) \leq 0 \\ &\Rightarrow d(Au, u) = 0 \text{ as } \alpha \neq 1. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$Au = u. \quad (6)$$

Since $A(X) \subset D(X)$, therefore there exist a point v in X , such that

$$u = Au = Dv. \quad (7)$$

Now, consider

$$\begin{aligned} &d(u, Bv) = d(Au, Bv) \\ &\leq \alpha \left[\frac{\{d(Cu, Au)\}^{m+1} + \{d(Dv, Bv)\}^{m+1}}{\{d(Cu, Av)\}^m + \{d(Dv, Bv)\}^m} \right] + \beta d(Cu, Dv) \\ &\leq \alpha \left[d(Cu, Au) + d(Dv, Bv) \right] + \beta d(Cu, Dv), \end{aligned}$$

using equations (5), (6), & (7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &d(u, Bv) \leq \alpha \left[d(u, u) + d(u, Bv) \right] + \beta d(u, u) \\ &\Rightarrow (1 - \alpha)d(u, Bv) \leq 0 \\ &\Rightarrow d(u, Bv) = 0 \text{ as } \alpha \neq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$Bv = u. \quad (8)$$

From equations (5), (6), (7) & (8), we have

$$Dv = Bv = u = Au = Cu. \quad (9)$$

Since B and D are compatible on X , then

$$\begin{aligned} &d(BDv, DBv) = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow DBv = BDv. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

From equations (9) & (10), we get

$$Du = DBv = BDv = Bu. \quad (11)$$

Moreover, by the equation (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &d(u, Du) = d(Au, Bu) \\ &\leq \alpha \left[\frac{\{d(Cu, Au)\}^{m+1} + \{d(Du, Bu)\}^{m+1}}{\{d(Cu, Au)\}^m + \{d(Du, Bu)\}^m} \right] + \beta d(Cu, Du) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \alpha [d(Cu, Au) + d(Du, Bu)] + \beta d(Cu, Du) \\
&= \beta d(Cu, Du) \\
&= \beta d(u, Du) \\
&\Rightarrow (1 - \beta)d(u, Du) \leq 0 \\
&\Rightarrow d(u, Du) = 0 \text{ as } \beta \neq 1.
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$Du = u. \quad (12)$$

Since $Bu = Du$, so $Bu = u$. Thus u is a common fixed point of A , B , C and D .

Similarly, we can prove the result, when any one of the A , B and D is continuous. This prove the result.

We shall prove the uniqueness of the common fixed point for this.

Suppose u and z be two common fixed points of A , B , C and D . Then from equation (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&d(u, z) = d(Au, Bz) \\
&\leq \alpha \left[\frac{\{d(Cu, Au)\}^{m+1} + \{d(Dz, Bz)\}^{m+1}}{\{d(Cu, Au)\}^m + \{d(Dz, Bz)\}^m} \right] + \beta d(Cu, Dz) \\
&\leq \alpha [d(Cu, Au) + d(Dz, Bz)] + \beta d(Cu, Dz) \\
&= \alpha [d(u, u) + d(z, z)] + \beta d(u, z) \\
&\Rightarrow (1 - \beta)d(u, z) \leq 0 \\
&\Rightarrow d(u, z) = 0 \text{ as } \beta \neq 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we get

$$u = z.$$

Thus, u is unique common fixed point of A , B , C and D .

Theorem 2.2. Let A , B , C and D be mappings from a complete metric space (X, d) into itself. Suppose that any one of A , B , C and D is continuous and for some positive integer p , q , r and t , which satisfy the following conditions

$$A^p(X) \subset D^t(X) \text{ and } B^q(X) \subset C^r(X) \quad (13)$$

and

$$d(A^p x, B^q y) \leq \alpha \left[\frac{\{d(C^r x, A^p x)\}^{m+1} + \{d(D^t y, B^q y)\}^{m+1}}{\{d(C^r x, A^p x)\}^m + \{d(D^t y, B^q y)\}^m} \right] + \beta d(C^r x, D^t y) \quad (14)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$, $\alpha + \beta < 1$ and $m \geq 1$.

Suppose that A & C and B & D are compatible on X . Then A , B , C and D have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof: Proof of this theorem is similar to the proof of theorem (2.1).

Theorem 2.3. Let A, B, C and D be four mappings of a complete metric space X into itself satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(Ax, By) \leq & \alpha \left[\frac{d(Dy, By)d(Cx, Dy)}{d(Dx, Ax) + d(By, Dx)} \right] \\
 & + \beta \left[\frac{d(Ax, Dx)d(Ay, Cy)}{d(Dx, Ax) + d(By, Dx)} \right] \\
 & + \gamma \left[\frac{d(Dx, Bx)d(By, Dy)}{d(Dx, Ax) + d(By, Dx)} \right] \\
 & + \delta \left[\frac{d(Cx, Dy)d(Ax, By)}{d(Dx, Ax) + d(By, Dx)} \right]
 \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

and

$$A(X) \subset D(X) \text{ and } B(X) \subset C(X) \tag{16}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \geq 0$ such that $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta < 1$. Suppose that the pairs A, C and B, D are compatible of type (A) and any one of the A, B, C and D is continuous. Then A, B, C and D have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof: We are given that (X, d) is a complete metric space, so every cauchy sequence in X is converges in X . We define a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X , such that

$$Ax_{2n+1} = y_{2n+2}, Dx_{2n} = y_{2n} \text{ and } Bx_{2n+1} = y_{2n+2}, Cx_{2n} = y_{2n} \tag{17}$$

for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$.

By putting $x = x_{2n}$ and $y = x_{2n+1}$ in (15), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}) \leq & \alpha \left[\frac{d(Dx_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+1})d(Cx_{2n}, Dx_{2n+1})}{d(Dx_{2n}, Ax_{2n}) + d(Bx_{2n+1}, Dx_{2n})} \right] \\
 & + \beta \left[\frac{d(Ax_{2n}, Dx_{2n})d(Ax_{2n+1}, Cx_{2n+1})}{d(Dx_{2n}, Ax_{2n}) + d(Bx_{2n+1}, Dx_{2n})} \right] \\
 & + \gamma \left[\frac{d(Dx_{2n}, Bx_{2n})d(Bx_{2n+1}, Dx_{2n+1})}{d(Dx_{2n}, Ax_{2n}) + d(Bx_{2n+1}, Dx_{2n})} \right] \\
 & + \delta \left[\frac{d(Cx_{2n}, Dx_{2n+1})d(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1})}{d(Dx_{2n}, Ax_{2n}) + d(Bx_{2n+1}, Dx_{2n})} \right].
 \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Using equation (17) in equation (18), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) \leq & \alpha \left[\frac{d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2})d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})}{d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) + d(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n})} \right] \\
& + \beta \left[\frac{d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})d(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1})}{d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) + d(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n})} \right] \\
& + \gamma \left[\frac{d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})d(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n+1})}{d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) + d(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n})} \right] \\
& + \delta \left[\frac{d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2})}{d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) + d(y_{2n+2}, y_{2n})} \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Using triangle inequality in (19), we have

$$d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) \leq (\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta)d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}). \tag{20}$$

Taking $h = \alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta$. Then we have

$$d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) \leq h d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}). \tag{21}$$

Similarly, by putting $x = x_{2n-1}$ and $y = x_{2n}$ in (15), we have

$$d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \leq h d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}). \tag{22}$$

Similarly, continue this process, we have

$$d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \leq h^{2n} d(y_0, y_1). \tag{23}$$

For $k > n$ and using triangle inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d(y_n, y_{n+k}) & \leq \sum_{i=1}^k d(y_{n+i-1}, y_{n+i}) \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^k h^{n+i-1} d(y_{n+i-1}, y_{n+i}) \\
& = \frac{h^n(1 - h^k)}{1 - h} d(y_0, y_1) \\
& \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\{y_n\}$ is a cauchy sequence in X , so by completeness of X , sequence $\{y_n\}$ is converges to a point z in X . Also, every subsequences of sequence $\{y_n\}$ are also converges to z in X . Then we have

$$Ax_{2n} = Dx_{2n+1} \rightarrow z \text{ and } Bx_{2n} = Cx_{2n+1} \rightarrow z \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{24}$$

Since A and C are compatible of type (A) and suppose A is continuous map on X . Then, we have

$$AAx_{2n} \rightarrow Az \text{ and } CAx_{2n} \rightarrow Az \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (25)$$

Now, putting $x = Ax_{2n}$ and $y = x_{2n+1}$ in (15). We have

$$\begin{aligned} d(AAx_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}) \leq & \alpha \left[\frac{d(Dx_{2n+1}, Bx_{2n+1})d(CAx_{2n}, Dx_{2n+1})}{d(DAx_{2n}, AAx_{2n}) + d(Bx_{2n+1}, DAx_{2n})} \right] \\ & + \beta \left[\frac{d(AAx_{2n}, DAx_{2n})d(Ax_{2n+1}, Cx_{2n+1})}{d(DAx_{2n}, AAx_{2n}) + d(Bx_{2n+1}, DAx_{2n})} \right] \\ & + \gamma \left[\frac{d(DAx_{2n}, BAx_{2n})d(Bx_{2n+1}, Dx_{2n+1})}{d(DAx_{2n}, AAx_{2n}) + d(Bx_{2n+1}, DAx_{2n})} \right] \\ & + \delta \left[\frac{d(CAx_{2n}, Dx_{2n+1})d(AAx_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1})}{d(DAx_{2n}, AAx_{2n}) + d(Bx_{2n+1}, DAx_{2n})} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Using equation (24) and (25) in equation (26), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(Az, z) & \leq \delta d(Az, z) \\ \Rightarrow (1 - \delta)d(Az, z) & \leq 0 \\ \Rightarrow d(Az, z) & = 0 \text{ as } \delta \neq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Which gives

$$Az = z. \quad (27)$$

Similarly, by putting $x = Cx_{2n}$ and $y = x_{2n+1}$ in (15). Suppose A and C are compatible of type (A) and C is continuous on X . Then, we have

$$Cz = z. \quad (28)$$

Similarly, we can show that, if B, D are compatible of type (A) and either B or D are continuous. Then

$$Bz = Dz = z. \quad (29)$$

Therefore, from equation (27), (28) & (29), we have

$$Az = Bz = Cz = Dz = z. \quad (30)$$

Thus z is a common fixed point of A, B, C and D .

We shall prove the uniqueness of the common fixed point for this. Suppose z and w be two common fixed points of A, B, C and D .

$$\text{i.e. } Az = Bz = Cz = Dz = z \text{ and } Aw = Bw = Cw = Dw = w. \quad (31)$$

Then from (15), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(z, w) = d(Az, Bw) &\leq \alpha \left[\frac{d(Dw, Bw)d(Cz, Dw)}{d(Dz, Az) + d(Bw, Dz)} \right] \\
 &+ \beta \left[\frac{d(Az, Dz)d(Aw, Cw)}{d(Dz, Az) + d(Bw, Dz)} \right] \\
 &+ \gamma \left[\frac{d(Dz, Bz)d(Dw, Bw)}{d(Dz, Az) + d(Bw, Dz)} \right] \\
 &+ \delta \left[\frac{d(Cz, Dw)d(Az, Bw)}{d(Dz, Az) + d(Bw, Dz)} \right].
 \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Using equation (30), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(z, w) &\leq \delta d(z, w) \\
 \Rightarrow (1 - \delta)d(z, w) &\leq 0 \\
 \Rightarrow d(z, w) &= 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$z = w. \tag{33}$$

Thus A , B , C and D have the unique common fixed point in X .

References

- [1] B. Fisher, Some results on fixed points, *Publ. Inst. Math.*, 25(1976), 193-198.
- [2] D.P. Shukla and S.K. Tiwari, Unique common fixed point theorems in complete metric space, *Int. J. of Math. Sci. & Engg. Appls*, 5(2011), 219-225.
- [3] G. Jungck, Commuting maps and fixed points, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 83(1976), 261.
- [4] G. Jungck, Compatible mappings and common fixed points, *Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci.*, 9(1986), 771.
- [5] G. Jungck, Compatible mappings and common fixed points (2), *Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci.*, 11(1988), 285.
- [6] P.C. Lohani and V.H. Badshah, Compatible mappings and common fixed point for four mappings, *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.*, 90(1998), 301-308.

- [7] R.P. Pant, Common fixed points of weakly commuting mappings, *Math. Student*, 62(1993), 97-102.
- [8] S. Sharma and P.C. Patidar, On common fixed point theorem of four mappings, *Bull. Malaysian Math. Sc. Soc.(Second Series)*, 25(2002), 17-22.
- [9] S. Banach, Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leurs applications, *Fund. Math.*, 3(1922), 133-181.
- [10] S.L. Singh and S.P. Singh, A fixed point theorem, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 11(1980), 1584.
- [11] Y.J. Cho, P.P. Murthy and M. Stojakovic, Compatible mappings of type (A) and common fixed points in Menger spaces, *Comm. Korean Math. J.*, 7(1992), 324-339.