



Gen. Math. Notes, Vol. 21, No. 2, April 2014, pp.14-36
ISSN 2219-7184; Copyright ©ICSRS Publication, 2014
www.i-csrs.org
Available free online at <http://www.geman.in>

A Geometric Model for Differential K-Homology

Adnane Elmrbaty¹ and Mohamed Maghfoul²

^{1,2}Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences
Ibn Tofail University, Kenitra, Morocco

¹Email: adnane_elmrbaty@yahoo.com

²Email: mmaghfoul@lycos.com

(Received: 17-12-13 / Accepted: 29-1-14)

Abstract

In this paper, we construct a differential refinement of K-homology, using the (M, E^{∇^E}, f) -picture of Baum-Douglas for K-homology and continuous currents. This leads to a geometric realization of K-homology with coefficients in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} and a description of Freed-Lott differential K-theory through the relative eta invariant.

Keywords: *Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index theorem, differential K-characters, differential K-theory, geometric K-homology.*

1 Introduction

A classical theorem in algebraic topology asserts that for every generalized cohomology theory there exists a dual homology theory, which correspond to each other by a spectrum. An important example of such a duality is K-theory and K-homology. K-theory was introduced by Grothendieck in the sixties and it is a generalized cohomology theory defined in terms of vector bundles. For the dual homology theory, K-homology, there are two different popular models. The so-called analytic K-homology was proposed by Atiyah [2] in the framework of index theory and worked out by Kasparov [14] in the seventies. An alternative model, geometric K-homology, was introduced by Baum and Douglas [7] in 1982. One of the main advantages of this geometric formulation is that K-homology cycles encode the most primitive requisite objects that must

be carried by any D-brane, such as a $Spin^c$ -structure and a Hermitian vector bundle. In 2007, Baum, Higson and Schick [8] proved that this geometric picture is indeed equivalent to the other definitions.

Besides the classical cohomology theories, there are also so-called differential cohomology theories, which combine cohomological information with differential form information. Motivated from physics, in the last decade, such differential extensions of K-theory have been studied extensively (see Bunke-Schick [9]). Consequently, as Bunke and Schick write in Section 4.10 of their survey [9], "it is very desirable to have differentiable extensions also of K-homology".

The present paper proposes a definition of differential K-homology by combining the geometric picture of Baum and Douglas for K-homology with continuous currents. More precisely, let X be a smooth compact manifold, and $K^{geo}(X)$ its geometric K-homology. If $Ch_* : K^{geo}(X) \rightarrow H_*^{dR}(X)$ denotes the homological Chern character, we define the differential refinement \check{K} of K^{geo} as a *homotopy pullback*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \check{K}(X) & \xrightarrow{i} & K^{geo}(X) \\ \mathcal{R} \downarrow & \circlearrowleft & \downarrow Ch_* \\ \Omega_*^{cl}(X) & \xrightarrow{Rham} & H_*^{dR}(X) \end{array}$$

with a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega_{*+1}(X) & \xrightarrow{a} & \check{K}(X) \\ \partial \downarrow & \swarrow \mathcal{R} & \\ \Omega_*(X) & & \end{array}$$

The natural transformations i (the underlying homology class), a (the action of continuous currents) and \mathcal{R} (the characteristic closed continuous current) are essential parts of the picture. We define the flat K-homology $\check{K}^f(X)$ as the kernel of the curvature $\mathcal{R} : \check{K}(X) \rightarrow \Omega_*(X)$ and a group $\check{K}^0(X)$ out of \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} -valued homomorphisms on the odd part of $\check{K}(X)$ (see Subsection 4.2). We obtain two short exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow \check{K}^f(X) \hookrightarrow \check{K}(X) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}} \Omega_*^0(X) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{and}$$

$$0 \longrightarrow Hom(K_{odd}^{geo}(X), \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{i^*} \check{K}^0(X) \xrightarrow{a^*} \Omega_0^{even}(X) \longrightarrow 0 ,$$

where $\Omega_*^0(X)$ denotes the group of closed continuous currents on X whose de Rham homology class lies in the image of Ch_* , and $\Omega_0^*(X)$ denotes the group of closed real-valued differential forms on X with integer K-periods (Definition 4.1).

The main result of this paper (Subsection 4.2) is the construction of an explicit isomorphism between $\check{K}^0(X)$ and the Freed-Lott differential K-group $\hat{K}_{FL}(X)$.

The format of this paper will be as follows: In Section 2, we define the differential K-homology group $\check{K}(X)$ and its flat part, and we point out some of their properties. Section 3 is concerned with the given of a pairing between differential K-homology and the Freed-Lott differential K-theory, which agrees with the K-theoretical and the K-homological curvatures. Finally, in Section 4, we explicit the construction of an isomorphism between $\check{K}^0(X)$ and $\hat{K}_{FL}(X)$.

2 Differential K-Homology Groups

In this section, we define differential K-homology groups, taking inspiration from the (M, E^{∇^E}, f) -picture of Baum-Douglas for K-homology [7] and the work of Freed-Lott [12].

Definition 2.1. *Let X be a smooth compact manifold. A K-chain over X is a triple, $(W, \varepsilon^{\nabla^\varepsilon}, g)$, where*

- W is a smooth compact $Spin^c$ -manifold;
- ε is a Hermitian vector bundle over M carrying with a Hermitian connection ∇^ε ; and
- $g : W \rightarrow X$ is a smooth map.

Here, the $Spin^c$ -condition on W means that the orthonormal frame bundle of W has a topological reduction to a principal $Spin^c$ -bundle.

There are no connectedness requirements made upon W , and hence the bundle ε can have different fibre dimensions on the different connected components of W . It follows that the disjoint union,

$$(W, \varepsilon^{\nabla^\varepsilon}, g) \sqcup (W', \varepsilon'^{\nabla^{\varepsilon'}}, g') := (W \sqcup W', \varepsilon \sqcup \varepsilon'^{\nabla^\varepsilon \sqcup \nabla^{\varepsilon'}}, g \sqcup g'),$$

is a well-defined operation on the set of K-chains over X .

The boundary $\partial(W, \varepsilon^{\nabla^\varepsilon}, g)$ of a K-chain $(W, \varepsilon^{\nabla^\varepsilon}, g)$ is the K-cycle $(\partial W, \varepsilon|_{\partial W}^{\nabla^\varepsilon|_{\partial W}}, g|_{\partial W})$. A K-cycle is a K-chain with empty boundary.

A K-cycle (M, E^{∇^E}, f) is called even (resp. odd), if all connected components of M are of even (resp. odd) dimension.

Two K-cycles (M, E^{∇^E}, f) and $(M', E'^{\nabla^{E'}}, f')$ over X are isomorphic, if there exists a diffeomorphism $h : M \rightarrow M'$ such that

- h preserves the $Spin^c$ -structures;

- $h^*E' \cong E$; and
- the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{h} & M' \\ f \downarrow & \swarrow f' & \\ X & & \end{array}$$

commutes.

Recall that on any smooth n -manifold X one has the de Rham chain complex

$$\Omega_n(X) \xrightarrow{\partial} \Omega_{n-1}(X) \xrightarrow{\partial} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial} \Omega_0(X)$$

where $\Omega_p(X)$ is the space of continuous real-valued p -currents on X and the current $\partial\phi$ is given on differential forms by $\partial\phi(w) = \phi(dw)$ where d is the exterior derivative. Let $\Omega_*(X)$ denote $\Omega_*(X) := \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} \Omega_p(X)$. If $\Omega_{\text{even}}(X)$ and $\Omega_{\text{odd}}(X)$ denote, respectively, $\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \Omega_{2k}(X)$ and $\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \Omega_{2k+1}(X)$, then the group $\Omega_*(X) = \Omega_{\text{even}}(X) \oplus \Omega_{\text{odd}}(X)$ has a natural \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading.

Definition 2.2. Let X be a smooth compact manifold. A differential K-cycle over X is a pair, (ϑ, ϕ) , where

- ϑ is a K-cycle over X ; and
- $\phi \in \frac{\Omega_*(X)}{\text{img}(\partial)}$.

A differential K-cycle (ϑ, ϕ) is called even (resp. odd), if ϑ is even (resp. odd) and $\phi \in \frac{\Omega_{\text{odd}}(X)}{\text{img}(\partial)}$ (resp. $\phi \in \frac{\Omega_{\text{even}}(X)}{\text{img}(\partial)}$).

Let E be a smooth Hermitian vector bundle over a smooth compact manifold M . The geometric Chern form of a Hermitian connection ∇ on E is the closed real-valued even-degree differential form on M

$$ch(\nabla) := \text{tr}\left(e^{\frac{-\nabla^2}{2i\pi}}\right) = \sum_{j=1} ch_j(\nabla),$$

where $ch_j(\nabla) = \frac{1}{j!} \text{tr}\left(\frac{-\nabla^2}{2i\pi}\right)^j$.

If ∇_1 and ∇_2 are two Hermitian connections on E , there is a canonically defined Chern-Simons class $CS(\nabla_1, \nabla_2) \in \frac{\Omega^{\text{odd}}(M)}{\text{img}(d)}$ [15] such that

$$dCS(\nabla_1, \nabla_2) = ch(\nabla_1) - ch(\nabla_2).$$

This implies that the de Rham cohomology class of $ch(\nabla)$ does not depend on the choice of ∇ . This class will be denoted by $Ch(E)$ and called the Chern

character of E .

Let M be a smooth $Spin^c$ -manifold. Let S be the spinor bundle associated with the $Spin^c$ -structure of M . We denote by $L := S \times_\gamma \mathbb{C}$ the Hermitian line bundle over M associated with S by the homomorphism $\gamma : Spin^c(n) = Spin(n) \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} U(1) \mapsto U(1)$ which is trivial on the $Spin(n)$ -factor and is the square on the $U(1)$ -factor. If ∇^L is a Hermitian connection on L , then the Todd form of the Levi-Civita connection ∇^M on M is defined by

$$Td(\nabla^M) := e^{\frac{ch_1(\nabla^L)}{2}} \wedge \hat{A}(\nabla^M),$$

where $\hat{A}(\nabla^M)$ is the \hat{A} -polynomial in the Pontryagin forms of ∇^M , defined by using the multiplicative sequence associated with the series [16]

$$\frac{x/2}{\sinh(x/2)}.$$

Definition 2.3. (*Isomorphism*). Let X be a smooth compact manifold. Two differential K -cycles $(M, E^{\nabla^E}, f, \phi)$ and $(M', E'^{\nabla^{E'}}, f', \phi')$ over X are isomorphic, if there exists an isomorphism $h : M \rightarrow M'$ between the two K -cycles (M, E^{∇^E}, f) and $(M', E'^{\nabla^{E'}}, f')$ such that

$$\phi - \phi' = \int_{M \times [0,1]} Td(\nabla^{M \times [0,1]}) ch(B)(f \circ p)^*,$$

where B is the connection on the pullback of E by the projection $p : M \times [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ given by $B = (1 - t)\nabla^E + th^*\nabla^{E'} + dt \frac{d}{dt}$.

The set of isomorphism classes of differential K -cycles over X is denoted $\check{C}(X)$. It is an abelian semigroup under the operation of addition,

$$(\vartheta, \phi) + (\vartheta', \phi') := (\vartheta \sqcup \vartheta', \phi + \phi').$$

Definition 2.4. (*Bordism*). Two differential K -cycles $(M, E^{\nabla^E}, f, \phi)$ and $(M', E'^{\nabla^{E'}}, f', \phi')$ over X are bordant, if there exists a K -chain $(W, \varepsilon^{\nabla^\varepsilon}, g)$ over X such that the two K -cycles $(M \sqcup M'^-, E \sqcup E'^{\nabla^E \sqcup \nabla^{E'}}, f \sqcup f')$ and $\partial(W, \varepsilon^{\nabla^\varepsilon}, g)$ are isomorphic and $\phi - \phi' = [\int_W Td(\nabla^W) ch(\nabla^\varepsilon) g^*]$, where M'^- denotes M' with its $Spin^c$ -structure reversed [7]. A differential K -cycle z over X is called a boundary in X if there exists a K -chain $(W, \varepsilon^{\nabla^\varepsilon}, g)$ over X such that $z = (\partial W, \varepsilon|_{\partial W}^{\varepsilon|_{\partial W}}, g|_{\partial W}, [\int_W Td(\nabla^W) ch(\nabla^\varepsilon) g^*])$.

We have one more operation on differential K -cycles to introduce. Let $(M, E^{\nabla^E}, f, \phi)$ be a differential K -cycle over X , and let H be a $Spin^c$ -Euclidean vector bundle over M with even-dimensional fibers and ∇^H an Euclidean connection on H . Let 1 denote the trivial rank-one real vector bundle.

The direct sum $H \oplus 1$ is a $Spin^c$ -vector bundle, and moreover the total space of this bundle may be equipped with a $Spin^c$ -structure in a canonical way. This is because its tangent bundle fits into an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \pi^*[H \oplus 1] \rightarrow T(H \oplus 1) \rightarrow \pi^*[TM] \rightarrow 0$$

where π is the projection from $H \oplus 1$ onto M .

Let us now denote by \hat{M} the unit sphere bundle of the bundle $H \oplus 1$. Since \hat{M} is the boundary of the disk bundle, we may equip it with a natural $Spin^c$ -structure by first restricting the given $Spin^c$ -structure on the total space of $H \oplus 1$ to the disk bundle, and then taking the boundary of this $Spin^c$ -structure to obtain a $Spin^c$ -structure on the sphere bundle.

Let $S = S_- \oplus S_+$ be the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded spinor bundle associated with the $Spin^c$ -structure of H with a fixed Hermitian connection $\nabla^S = \nabla^{S_-} \oplus \nabla^{S_+}$. Let \mathcal{S}_- and \mathcal{S}_+ denote, respectively, the pullbacks of S_- and S_+ to the total space of H . Since \hat{M} consists of two copies of the ball bundle of H glued together by the identity map of the sphere bundle $\mathbb{S}(H)$ of H , the clutching of \mathcal{S}_+ and \mathcal{S}_- using Clifford multiplication over $\mathbb{S}(H)$ yields a new vector bundle \hat{H} over \hat{M} . Let $\nabla^{\hat{H}}$ be the Hermitian connection on \hat{H} induced by ∇^H and ∇^S .

Definition 2.5. (*Vector bundle modification*). *The process of obtaining the differential K-cycle $(\hat{M}, \hat{H} \otimes \pi^* E^{\nabla^{\hat{H}} \otimes \pi^* \nabla^E}, f \circ \pi, \phi)$ from $(M, E^{\nabla^E}, f, \phi)$ is called vector bundle modification.*

We are now ready to define the differential K-homology $\check{K}(X)$ of X .

Definition 2.6. *The differential K-homology $\check{K}(X)$ of X is the group obtained from quotienting $\check{C}(X)$ by the equivalence relation \sim generated by the relations of*

(i) direct sum:

$$(M, E^{\nabla^E}, f, \phi) + (M, E'^{\nabla^{E'}}, f, \phi') \sim (M, E \oplus E'^{\nabla^{E \oplus E'}}, f, \phi + \phi');$$

(ii) bordism; and

(iii) vector bundle modification.

The group operation is induced by addition of differential K-cycles. We denote the differential homology class of a differential K-cycle $(M, E^{\nabla^E}, f, \phi)$ by $[M, E^{\nabla^E}, f, \phi]$. The inverse of a class $[M, E^{\nabla^E}, f, \phi] \in \check{K}(X)$ is equal to $[M^-, E^{\nabla^E}, f, -\phi]$, and the neutral element of $\check{K}(X)$ is represented by any boundary in X .

Since the equivalence relation \sim preserves the parity of the dimension of M in differential K-cycles $(M, E^{\nabla^E}, f, \phi)$, one can define the subgroup $\check{K}_{even}(X)$ (resp. $\check{K}_{odd}(X)$) consisting of classes of even (resp. odd) differential K-cycles. Then $\check{K}(X) = \check{K}_{even}(X) \oplus \check{K}_{odd}(X)$ has a natural \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading.

The construction of differential K-homology is functorial. If $\rho : X \rightarrow Y$ is a smooth map between two smooth compact manifolds, then the induced homomorphism

$$\check{\rho} : \check{K}(X) \rightarrow \check{K}(Y)$$

of \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded abelian groups is given on classes of differential K-cycles $[M, E^{\nabla^E}, f, \phi] \in \check{K}(X)$ by

$$\check{\rho}[M, E^{\nabla^E}, f, \phi] := [M, E^{\nabla^E}, \rho \circ f, \phi \circ \rho^*],$$

where $\rho^* : \Omega^*(Y) \rightarrow \Omega^*(X)$ is the pullback map.

We can measure the size of \check{K} by inserting it in a certain exact sequence.

Let $\Omega_*^0(X)$ denote the group of closed real-valued continuous currents on X whose de Rham homology class lies in the image of $Ch_* : K_*^{geo}(X) \rightarrow \frac{\Omega_*^{cl}(X)}{img(\partial)}$ with $Ch_*[M, E^{\nabla^E}, f] = [\int_M Td(\nabla^M)ch(\nabla^E)f^*]$.

Let $a : \Omega_*(X) \rightarrow \check{K}_{*+1}(X)$ be the additive map that associates with each $\phi \in \Omega_*(X)$ the class $[\emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset, -[\phi]] \in \check{K}_{*+1}(X)$. If $\phi \in \Omega_*^0(X)$, then there exists a K-cycle (M, E^{∇^E}, f) over X such that $[\phi] = [\int_M Td(\nabla^M)ch(\nabla^E)f^*]$. It follows that $(\emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset, -[\phi]) = (\partial M^-, E|_{\partial M^-}^{\nabla^E}, f|_{\partial M^-}, [\int_{M^-} Td(\nabla^M)ch(\nabla^E)f^*])$, and then ϕ represents the zero element of $\check{K}_{*+1}(X)$. Hence, a induces a well-defined homomorphism from $\frac{\Omega_*(X)}{\Omega_*^0(X)}$ into $\check{K}_{*+1}(X)$, still denoted by a . Moreover, we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{\Omega_{*-1}(X)}{\Omega_{*-1}^0(X)} \xrightarrow{a} \check{K}_*(X) \xrightarrow{i} K_*^{geo}(X) \rightarrow 0,$$

where i is the forgetful homomorphism.

This, together with the fact that the only K-cycles on pt are $(pt, \mathbb{C}^k, id_{pt})$, implies that

$$\check{K}_{even}(pt) = K_{even}^{geo}(pt) \cong \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad \check{K}_{odd}(pt) \cong \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

We have two short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \check{K}_{even}(S^1) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and}$$

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{Hom(C^\infty(S^1), \mathbb{R})}{Hom_0(C^\infty(S^1), \mathbb{R})} \rightarrow \check{K}_{odd}(S^1) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0,$$

where $Hom_0(C^\infty(S^1), \mathbb{R})$ denotes the group of homomorphisms $\phi : C^\infty(S^1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where $\phi(1) \in \mathbb{Z}$. The second exact sequence above implies that a lift to \mathbb{R} of the homomorphism that associates with each closed curve $\gamma \in C^\infty(S^1)$ the holonomy around γ , $H(\gamma) \in SO(2) \cong \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, induces a class in $\check{K}_{odd}(S^1)$ which depend only on H .

Let us now construct an index map $\tilde{\eta} : \check{K}_{odd}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. We first recall the construction of the eta invariant.

Let M be an $2p - 1$ -dimensional smooth closed $Spin^c$ -manifold. Let E be a Hermitian vector bundle over M with a fixed Hermitian connection. Denote by D_E the closure of the Dirac operator acting on the spinor bundle S on M with coefficients in E . The operator D_E is odd for the \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading

$$S \otimes E = (S^+ \otimes E) \oplus (S^- \otimes E),$$

and we shall denote by D_E^+ the operator D_E acting from $S^+ \otimes E$ to $S^- \otimes E$. The spectrum $(\lambda_i)_{i \in I}$ of D_E is a discrete subset of \mathbb{R} . The eta function of D_E is then defined by

$$\eta(s, D_E) := \sum_{\substack{\lambda_i \neq 0 \\ i \in I}} \lambda_i |\lambda_i|^{-(s+1)}, \quad Re(s) \gg 0.$$

From the classical spectral estimates, the above series is known to be absolutely convergent in the half-plane $Re(s) > 2p - 1$. Furthermore, it can be extended to a meromorphic function on the complex plane with simple poles [3, 4, 5]. We denote also by $s \mapsto \eta(s, D_E)$ this extension. An important result due to Atiyah, Patodi and Singer [3] states that the residue of the function $s \mapsto \eta(s, D_E)$ at zero is trivial. The number $\eta(0, D_E)$ is thus well defined. The eta (spectral) invariant of the operator D_E is then by definition

$$\eta_E := \eta(0, D_E).$$

The eta invariant is a measure of the spectral asymmetry of D_E .

Now let W be an even-dimensional smooth compact $Spin^c$ -manifold with boundary. Let ε be a Hermitian vector bundle over W with a Hermitian connection ∇^ε . Suppose that the metric and connection are constant in the normal direction near the boundary and denote by D_ε the closure of the Dirac operator acting on the spinor bundle on W with coefficients in ε with respect to the global Szegő boundary condition considered in [3]. Near the boundary, we have

$$D_\varepsilon \simeq \sigma\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + D_{\varepsilon|_{\partial W}}\right),$$

where σ is a bundle isomorphism (Clifford multiplication by the inward unit vector).

The Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index theorem relates the Fredholm index of D_ε^+ with topological and spectral invariants. More precisely, we have

$$\text{Ind}(D_\varepsilon^+) = \int_W Td(\nabla^W) \text{ch}(\nabla^\varepsilon) - \bar{\eta}_{\varepsilon|_{\partial W}},$$

where $\bar{\eta}_{\varepsilon|_{\partial W}} := \frac{\eta_{\varepsilon|_{\partial W}} + \dim \text{Ker}(D_{\varepsilon|_{\partial W}})}{2}$.

Proposition 2.7. *There is an index map*

$$\tilde{\eta} : \check{K}_{\text{odd}}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$$

given through the eta invariant.

Proof. Let $(M, E^{\nabla^E}, f, \phi)$ be an odd differential K-cycle over X . Set

$$\tilde{\eta}(M, E^{\nabla^E}, f, \phi) := \bar{\eta}_E - \phi(1) \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

The map $\tilde{\eta}$ is obviously additive. We show that $\tilde{\eta}$ is compatible with the equivalence relation on differential K-cycles. Compatibility with relation (i) from Definition 2.6 is straightforward.

Let $(W, \varepsilon^{\nabla^\varepsilon}, g)$ be an even K-chain over X . The Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index theorem [3, 4, 5] implies that

$$\bar{\eta}_{\varepsilon|_{\partial W}} - \int_W Td(\nabla^W) \text{ch}(\nabla^\varepsilon) = -\text{Ind}(D_\varepsilon^+) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then $\tilde{\eta}$ is compatible with the relation (ii) of bordism. So the proof reduces to showing that $\tilde{\eta}$ is compatible with the relation (iii) of vector bundle modification.

Let (M, E^{∇^E}, f) be an odd K-cycle over X , and let $H \rightarrow M$ be an even Spin^c -vector bundle of dimension $2p$. We consider the smooth closed manifold \hat{M} which has been defined above (Definition 2.5) and which is an \mathbb{S}^{2p} -fibration over M ,

$$\pi : \hat{M} \rightarrow M.$$

If $S_{\mathbb{S}^{2p}} = S_{\mathbb{S}^{2p}}^+ \oplus S_{\mathbb{S}^{2p}}^-$ and $S_M = S_M^+ \oplus S_M^-$ are the spinor bundles associated with the Spin^c -structures on the tangent vector bundles $T\mathbb{S}^{2p}$ and TM respectively, then the spinor bundle $S_{\hat{M}}$ associated with the tangent vector bundle $T\hat{M}$ is isomorphic to the graded tensor product vector bundle $\tilde{S}_{\mathbb{S}^{2p}} \hat{\otimes} \tilde{S}_M$, where $\tilde{S}_{\mathbb{S}^{2p}}$ and \tilde{S}_M are corresponding lifts to \hat{M} . Let B be the Bott bundle over \mathbb{S}^{2p} (see [1] for the construction of this element). We denote by D_B the self-adjoint Dirac operator on \mathbb{S}^{2p} with coefficients in B . The index of D_B^+ is equal to 1.

According to [6], we get out of D_B a differential operator \tilde{D}_B on \hat{M} acting on smooth sections of the vector bundle $S_{\hat{M}} \otimes \hat{H} \otimes \pi^*E$. In the same way and following the same reference [6], we get out of the Dirac operator on M twisted by E , D_E , a differential operator \tilde{D}_E over \hat{M} acting on smooth sections of $S_{\hat{M}} \otimes \hat{H} \otimes \pi^*E$.

The sharp product of \tilde{D}_B and \tilde{D}_E yields an elliptic differential operator $\tilde{D}_B \sharp \tilde{D}_E$ acting on sections of $S_{\hat{M}} \otimes \hat{H} \otimes \pi^*E$. This operator can be identified with the Dirac operator on \hat{M} twisted by the vector bundle $\hat{H} \otimes \pi^*E$:

$$D_{\hat{H} \otimes \pi^*E} = \tilde{D}_B \sharp \tilde{D}_E.$$

We can work locally and assume that the fibration $\pi : \hat{M} \rightarrow M$ is trivial: π is the projection $\mathbb{S}^{2p} \times M \rightarrow M$. The Hilbert space on which $D_{\hat{H} \otimes \pi^*E}$ acts is the graded tensor product

$$L^2(\mathbb{S}^{2p} \times M, S_{\hat{M}} \otimes \hat{H} \otimes \pi^*E) = L^2(\mathbb{S}^{2p}, S_{\mathbb{S}^{2p}} \otimes B) \hat{\otimes} L^2(M, S_M \otimes E).$$

If we split the first factor, $L^2(\mathbb{S}^{2p}, S_{\mathbb{S}^{2p}} \otimes B)$, as $\ker(D_B^+)$ plus its orthogonal complement, then we obtain a corresponding direct sum decomposition of $L^2(\mathbb{S}^{2p} \times M, S_{\hat{M}} \otimes \hat{H} \otimes \pi^*E)$. We therefore obtain a decomposition of $D_{\hat{H} \otimes \pi^*E}$ as a direct sum of two operators. Since the kernel of D_B^+ is one-dimensional, the first operator acts on $\ker(D_B^+) \hat{\otimes} L^2(M, S_M \otimes E) \cong L^2(M, S_M \otimes E)$ and is equal to D_E . The second operator has a antisymmetric spectrum. To see this, if T is the partial isometry part of D_B^+ in the polar decomposition, and if γ is the grading operator on $L^2(M, S_M \otimes E)$, then the odd-graded involution $iT \hat{\otimes} \gamma$ on the Hilbert space $\ker(D_B^+) \hat{\otimes} L^2(M, S_M \otimes E)$ anticommutes with the restriction of $D_{\hat{H} \otimes \pi^*E}$ to $\ker(D_B^+) \hat{\otimes} L^2(M, S_M \otimes E)$. Furthermore, the kernel of $D_{\hat{H} \otimes \pi^*E}^+$ coincides with the kernel of D_E^+ . Since the same relation holds for the adjoint, we deduce that

$$\tilde{\eta}(M, E^{\nabla^E}, f, \phi) = \tilde{\eta}(\hat{M}, \hat{H} \otimes \pi^*E^{\nabla^{\hat{H} \otimes \pi^* \nabla^E}}, f \circ \pi, \phi).$$

□

Remark 2.8. *Let us consider the collapse map $\epsilon : X \rightarrow pt$. We show that the index map $\epsilon_* : \check{K}_{\text{odd}}(X) \rightarrow \check{K}_{\text{odd}}(pt) \cong \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ is realized analytically by $\tilde{\eta}$. Let $(M, E^{\nabla^E}, f, \phi)$ be an odd differential K -cycle over X . Let $H \cong \mathbb{S}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1 \cong \mathbb{S}^2$ be the Hopf hyperplane bundle with the natural connection form $\omega = \bar{z}_1 dz_1 + \bar{z}_2 dz_2$ where z_1, z_2 are standard complex coordinates on \mathbb{C}^2 . Following a theorem due to Michael Hopkins, there is a positive integer k such that the K -cycle $(M \times S^{2k}, E \otimes H^{k \nabla^E \otimes \omega^k}, M \times S^{2k} \rightarrow pt)$ is the boundary of a*

K-chain $(W, \varepsilon^{\nabla^\varepsilon}, W \rightarrow pt)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon_*[M, E^{\nabla^E}, f, \phi] &= [M, E^{\nabla^E}, M \rightarrow pt, \phi(1)] \\
&= [M \times S^{2k}, E \otimes H^{k\nabla^E \otimes \omega^k}, M \times S^{2k} \rightarrow pt, \phi(1)] \\
&= [\partial W, \varepsilon|_{\partial W}^{\nabla^\varepsilon}, \partial W \rightarrow pt, \phi(1)] \\
&= [\emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset, -\int_W Td(\nabla^W)ch(\nabla^\varepsilon) + \phi(1)] \\
&= [\emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset, -\bar{\eta}_{E \otimes H^k} + \phi(1)] \\
&= [\emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset, -Ind(D_H^+)^k \times \bar{\eta}_E + \phi(1)] \\
&= [\emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset, -\bar{\eta}_E + \phi(1)] \\
&= a(\tilde{\eta}[M, E^{\nabla^E}, f, \phi]).
\end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.9. Let $(M, E^{\nabla^E}, f, \phi)$ be a differential *K-cycle* over X . The curvature $\mathcal{R}(M, E^{\nabla^E}, f, \phi)$ of $(M, E^{\nabla^E}, f, \phi)$ is the real-valued current on X given by

$$\mathcal{R}(M, E^{\nabla^E}, f, \phi) := \int_M Td(\nabla^M)ch(\nabla^E)f^* - \partial\phi.$$

Proposition 2.10. The curvature defined above induces a group homomorphism

$$\mathcal{R} : \check{K}(X) \rightarrow \Omega_*(X).$$

Proof. It is obvious that \mathcal{R} is compatible with the relation (i) from Definition 2.6. Let $(W, \varepsilon^{\nabla^\varepsilon}, g)$ be a *K-chain* over X . Stokes' theorem implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}(\partial W, \varepsilon|_{\partial W}^{\nabla^\varepsilon}, g|_{\partial W}, \int_W Td(\nabla^W)ch(\nabla^\varepsilon)g^*) &= \int_{\partial W} (Td(\nabla^W)ch(\nabla^\varepsilon)g^*(\cdot))|_{\partial W} \\
&\quad - \int_W d(Td(\nabla^W)ch(\nabla^\varepsilon)g^*(\cdot)) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, let $\pi : \hat{M} \rightarrow M$ be the even unit sphere bundle constructed out of an even-dimensional *Spin*^c-vector bundle H over M . Let us compute the curvature $\mathcal{R}(\hat{M}, \hat{H} \otimes \pi^*E^{\nabla^{\hat{H} \otimes \pi^* \nabla^E}}, f \circ \pi, \phi)$ of the modification $(\hat{M}, \hat{H} \otimes \pi^*E^{\nabla^{\hat{H} \otimes \pi^* \nabla^E}}, f \circ \pi, \phi)$ of $(M, E^{\nabla^E}, f, \phi)$. Denote by $\pi_!$ integration of differential forms along the fibers of π

$$\pi_! : \Omega^*(\hat{M}) \rightarrow \Omega^{*-2p}(M),$$

where $2p = \dim(\hat{M}) - \dim(M)$ is the dimension of the fibers of π . We first observe that

$$\int_{\hat{M}} Td(\nabla^{\hat{M}})ch(\nabla^{\hat{H}} \otimes \pi^* \nabla^E)(f \circ \pi)^* = \int_M \left(\pi_!(Td(\nabla^{\hat{M}})ch(\nabla^{\hat{H}})) \right) ch(\nabla^E)f^*.$$

But $\pi_!(Td(\nabla^{\hat{M}})ch(\nabla^{\hat{H}}))$ coincides with the Todd form of the Levi-Civita connection on M . More precisely, we can work locally and assume that the fibration $\pi : \hat{M} \rightarrow M$ is trivial. So $Td(\nabla^{\hat{M}}) = \pi^*Td(\nabla^M) \wedge p^*Td(\nabla^{\mathbb{S}^{2p}})$. Here, p is the projection $\mathbb{S}^{2p} \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2p}$. Thus

$$\mathcal{R}(\hat{M}, \hat{H} \otimes \pi^*E^{\nabla^{\hat{H}} \otimes \pi^* \nabla^E}, f \circ \pi, \phi) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2p}} Td(\nabla^{\mathbb{S}^{2p}})ch(\nabla^{\hat{H}}|_{\mathbb{S}^{2p}}) \times \int_M Td(\nabla^M) \wedge ch(\nabla^E)f^* - \partial\phi.$$

However, the Atiyah-Singer index theorem in \mathbb{S}^{2p} shows that $\int_{\mathbb{S}^{2p}} Td(\nabla^{\mathbb{S}^{2p}}) \wedge ch(\nabla^{\hat{H}}|_{\mathbb{S}^{2p}})$ is equal to 1. \square

Note that $\mathcal{R} \circ a = \partial$. Moreover, we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \frac{\Omega_{*-1}^{cl}(X)}{\Omega_{*-1}^0(X)} \xrightarrow{a} \check{K}_*(X) \xrightarrow{(\mathcal{R}, i)} R_*(X) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $R_*(X) = \{(\phi, \vartheta) \in \Omega_*^0(X) \times K_*^{geo}(X) \mid [\phi] = Ch_*(\vartheta)\}$.

In particular, if X is a smooth compact oriented manifold which has trivial de Rham cohomology, then $x \in \check{K}_*(X)$ is determined uniquely by $(\mathcal{R}(x), i(x))$.

Definition 2.11. Denote by $\check{K}^f(X)$ the kernel of \mathcal{R} .

The group $\check{K}^f(X)$ has a natural \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading, and we have

$$\check{K}_{even}^f(pt) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \check{K}_{odd}^f(pt) = \check{K}_{odd}(pt) \cong \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

Let $\rho : X \rightarrow Y$ be a smooth map between two smooth compact manifolds. Since $\check{\rho} : \check{K}(X) \rightarrow \check{K}(Y)$ satisfies $\mathcal{R} \circ \check{\rho} = \rho_* \circ \mathcal{R}$, it induces a well-defined homomorphism $\check{K}^f(X) \rightarrow \check{K}^f(Y)$ of \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded abelian groups, also denoted by $\check{\rho}$. It follows that the groups $\check{K}_{even}^f(X)$ and $\check{K}_{odd}^f(X)$ are functorial in X .

Proposition 2.12. The functor \check{K}^f is homotopy invariant.

Proof. Let $\rho_k : X \rightarrow Y$, $k = 0, 1$, be two smooth homotopic maps. Let $(M, E^{\nabla^E}, f, [\phi])$ be a differential K-cycle over X with zero curvature. We check that $\check{\rho}_0[M, E^{\nabla^E}, f, [\phi]] = \check{\rho}_1[M, E^{\nabla^E}, f, [\phi]]$ in $\check{K}^f(Y)$. Let $\rho : [0, 1] \times X \rightarrow Y$ be a smooth homotopy between ρ_0 and ρ_1 . Let $i_k : X \rightarrow \{k\} \times X \subset [0, 1] \times X$,

$k = 0, 1$, be the inclusions. For every $w \in \Omega^*(Y)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi \circ \rho_1^*(w) - \phi \circ \rho_0^*(w) &= \phi(i_1^*(\rho^*w) - i_0^*(\rho^*w)) \\
&= \phi\left(d \int_{[0,1]} \rho^*w + \int_{[0,1]} d\rho^*w\right) \\
&= (\partial\phi)\left(\int_{[0,1]} \rho^*w\right) + \partial(\phi \circ (p_X : [0, 1] \times X \rightarrow X)_! \circ \rho^*)(w) \\
&= \int_M Td(\nabla^M) ch(\nabla^E) f^* \left(\int_{[0,1]} \rho^*w\right) \\
&\quad + \partial(\phi \circ (p_X : [0, 1] \times X \rightarrow X)_! \circ \rho^*)(w) \\
&= \int_{[0,1] \times M} Td(\nabla^{[0,1] \times M}) ch(p_M^* \nabla^E) (\rho \circ (id_{[0,1]} \times f))^*(w) \\
&\quad + \partial(\phi \circ (p_X : [0, 1] \times X \rightarrow X)_! \circ \rho^*)(w).
\end{aligned}$$

Here, $p_M : [0, 1] \times M \rightarrow M$ and $p_X : [0, 1] \times X \rightarrow X$ are projections. Then $([0, 1] \times M, p_M^* E^{p_M^* \nabla^E}, \rho \circ (id_{[0,1]} \times f))$ is a bordism between $(M, E^{\nabla^E}, \rho_0 \circ f, [\phi] \circ \rho_0^*)$ and $(M, E^{\nabla^E}, \rho_1 \circ f, [\phi] \circ \rho_1^*)$. \square

Remark 2.13. Note that we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \check{K}_*^f(X) \hookrightarrow \check{K}_*(X) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}} \Omega_*^0(X) \longrightarrow 0.$$

So, when X is contractible, the surjective homomorphism $\mathcal{R} : \check{K}_{even}(X) \rightarrow \Omega_{even}^0(X)$ turn out to be an isomorphism.

Now, we will define a homomorphism $Ch_*^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q}} : \check{K}_*^f(X) \rightarrow \frac{\Omega_{*+1}^{cl}(X, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q})}{img(\partial)}$ which fits into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\cdots & \longrightarrow & \frac{\Omega_{*+1}^{cl}(X, \mathbb{R})}{img(\partial)} & \xrightarrow{a} & \check{K}_*^f(X) & \xrightarrow{i} & K_*^{geo}(X) \longrightarrow \cdots \\
& & \downarrow -Id & \circ & \downarrow Ch_*^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q}} & \circ & \downarrow Ch_* \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & \frac{\Omega_{*+1}^{cl}(X, \mathbb{R})}{img(\partial)} & \longrightarrow & \frac{\Omega_{*+1}^{cl}(X, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q})}{img(\partial)} & \longrightarrow & \frac{\Omega_*^{cl}(X, \mathbb{Q})}{img(\partial)} \longrightarrow \cdots
\end{array}$$

where the bottom row is a Bockstein sequence. Upon tensoring everything with \mathbb{Q} , it follows from the five-lemma that $Ch_*^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q}}$ is a rational isomorphism.

We define $Ch_*^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q}}$ on $\check{K}_*^f(X)$. Let $(M, E^{\nabla^E}, f, \phi)$ be a differential K-cycle over X with zero curvature. Then the class of (M, E^{∇^E}, f) in $K_*^{geo}(X)$ has vanishing Chern character. Thus there is a positive integer k such that $k(M, E^{\nabla^E}, f)$ is the boundary of a K-chain $(W, \varepsilon^{\nabla^E}, g)$. It follows from the definitions that $[\frac{1}{k} \int_W Td(\nabla^W) ch(\nabla^E) g^*] - \phi$ is an element of $\frac{\Omega_{*+1}^{cl}(X, \mathbb{R})}{img(\partial)}$. Let $Ch_*^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q}}(M, E^{\nabla^E}, f, \phi)$

be the image of $[\frac{1}{k} \int_W Td(\nabla^W)ch(\nabla^\varepsilon)g^*] - \phi$ under the natural homomorphism $\frac{\Omega_{*+1}^{cl}(X, \mathbb{R})}{img(\partial)} \rightarrow \frac{\Omega_{*+1}^{cl}(X, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q})}{img(\partial)}$. We show that $Ch_*^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q}}(M, E^{\nabla^E}, f, \phi)$ is independent of the choices of k and $(W, \varepsilon^{\nabla^\varepsilon}, g)$. Suppose that k' is another positive integer such that $k'(M, E^{\nabla^E}, f)$ is the boundary of a K-chain $(W', \varepsilon'^{\nabla^{\varepsilon'}}, g')$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (kk') \left(\left[\frac{1}{k} \int_W Td(\nabla^W)ch(\nabla^\varepsilon)g^* \right] - \left[\frac{1}{k'} \int_{W'} Td(\nabla^{W'})ch(\nabla^{\varepsilon'})g'^* \right] \right) &= \left[\int_{k'W} Td(\nabla^{k'W}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \wedge ch(\nabla^{k'\varepsilon})(k'g)^* \right] \\ &\quad - \left[\int_{kW'} Td(\nabla^{kW'}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \wedge ch(\nabla^{k\varepsilon'})(kg')^* \right] \\ &= Ch_*[P, V^{\nabla^V}, j] \end{aligned}$$

where (P, V^{∇^V}, j) is the K-cycle obtained by gluing the two K-chains $k'(W, \varepsilon^{\nabla^\varepsilon}, g)$ and $k(W', \varepsilon'^{\nabla^{\varepsilon'}}, g')$ along their boundary via the isomorphism $k'\partial(W, \varepsilon^{\nabla^\varepsilon}, g) \xrightarrow{\cong} kk'(M, E^{\nabla^E}, f) \xrightarrow{\cong} k\partial(W', \varepsilon'^{\nabla^{\varepsilon'}}, g')$. Then $[\frac{1}{k} \int_W Td(\nabla^W)ch(\nabla^\varepsilon)g^*] - [\frac{1}{k'} \int_{W'} Td(\nabla^{W'})ch(\nabla^{\varepsilon'})g'^*]$ is the same, up to multiplication by rational numbers, as the image of $Ch_*[P, V^{\nabla^V}, j] \in \frac{\Omega_{*+1}^{cl}(X, \mathbb{Q})}{img(\partial)}$, and so vanishes when mapped into $\frac{\Omega_{*+1}^{cl}(X, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q})}{img(\partial)}$. Thus $Ch_*^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q}}(M, E^{\nabla^E}, f, \phi)$ does not depend on choices of k and $(W, \varepsilon^{\nabla^\varepsilon}, g)$. It is obvious that $Ch_*^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q}}$ extends to a linear map from $\check{K}_*^f(X)$ to $\frac{\Omega_{*+1}^{cl}(X, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q})}{img(\partial)}$.

3 \hat{K}_{FL} -Module Structure

The purpose of this section is to construct an explicit pairing between the differential K-homology and the Freed-Lott differential K-theory \hat{K}_{FL} . We first recall briefly the definition of \hat{K}_{FL} . For more details, see Freed-Lott [12].

Let X be a smooth compact manifold. Let

$$0 \rightarrow F_1 \xrightarrow{i} F_2 \rightarrow F_3 \rightarrow 0$$

be a short exact sequence of Hermitian vector bundles over X , and let $s : F_3 \rightarrow F_2$ be a splitting map. Then $i \oplus s : F_1 \oplus F_3 \rightarrow F_2$ is an isomorphism. For all Hermitian connections $\nabla^{F_1}, \nabla^{F_2}, \nabla^{F_3}$ on F_1, F_2, F_3 , respectively, we set

$$CS(\nabla^{F_1}, \nabla^{F_2}, \nabla^{F_3}) := CS((i \oplus s)^*\nabla^{F_2}, \nabla^{F_1} \oplus \nabla^{F_3}).$$

The class $CS(\nabla^{F_1}, \nabla^{F_2}, \nabla^{F_3})$ does not depend on the choice of s , and

$$dCS(\nabla^{F_1}, \nabla^{F_2}, \nabla^{F_3}) = ch(\nabla^{F_2}) - ch(\nabla^{F_1}) - ch(\nabla^{F_3}).$$

A K-cocycle of Freed and Lott over X is a triple, (F, ∇^F, w) , where F is a Hermitian vector bundle over X , ∇^F is a Hermitian connection on F , and $w \in \frac{\Omega^{odd}(X)}{img(d)}$ is a class of differential forms. The Freed-Lott differential K-theory group of X , $\hat{K}_{FL}(X)$, is the abelian group coming from the following generators and relations. The generators are K-cocycles of Freed-Lott over X , and the relations are $(F_2, \nabla^{F_2}, w_2) = (F_1 \oplus F_3, \nabla^{F_1} \oplus \nabla^{F_3}, w_1 + w_3)$ whenever there is a short exact sequence of Hermitian vector bundles over X ,

$$0 \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_2 \rightarrow F_3 \rightarrow 0,$$

and $w_2 = w_1 + w_3 - CS(\nabla^{F_1}, \nabla^{F_2}, \nabla^{F_3})$.

The group $\hat{K}_{FL}(X)$ carries a ring structure given by

$$m([F_1, \nabla^{F_1}, w_1], [F_2, \nabla^{F_2}, w_2]) := [F_1 \otimes F_2, \nabla^{F_1} \otimes \nabla^{F_2}, \\ ch(\nabla^{F_1}) \wedge w_2 + ch(\nabla^{F_2}) \wedge w_1 - w_1 \wedge dw_2].$$

We have a well-defined group homomorphism

$$R : \hat{K}_{FL}(X) \rightarrow \Omega^{even}(X)$$

with $R[F, \nabla^F, w] = ch(\nabla^F) - dw$. Let $\hat{K}_{FL}^f(X)$ denote the kernel of R . Note that we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \hat{K}_{FL}^f(X) \hookrightarrow \hat{K}_{FL}(X) \xrightarrow{R} \Omega_K^{even}(X) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\Omega_K^*(X)$ denotes the group of closed differential forms whose de Rham cohomology class lies in the image of the Chern character.

Proposition 3.1. *There is a natural pairing*

$$\mu : \hat{K}_{FL}(X) \otimes \check{K}_*(X) \rightarrow \check{K}_*(X).$$

Proof. Let (F, ∇^F, w) be a K-cocycle of Freed-Lott over X , and let $(M, E^{\nabla^E}, f, \phi)$ be a differential K-cycle over X . Set

$$\mu((F, \nabla^F, w), (M, E^{\nabla^E}, f, \phi)) := [M, E \otimes f^* F^{\nabla^E \otimes f^* \nabla^F}, f, [\int_M Td(\nabla^M) ch(\nabla^E) \\ \wedge f^*(w \wedge \cdot)] + \phi(R[F, \nabla^F, w] \wedge \cdot)].$$

It is apparent that the map μ is biadditive. We show that μ is compatible with the equivalence relation \sim from Definition 2.6 and the equivalence relation used to define the Freed-Lott differential K-theory. We check that μ is compatible with \sim . Compatibility with relations (i) and (iii) from Definition 2.6 is straightforward. Let (F, ∇^F, w) be a differential K-cocycle over X , and let $(W, \varepsilon^{\nabla^e}, g)$ be a K-chain over X . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu((F, \nabla^F, w), (\partial W, \varepsilon|_{\partial W}^{\nabla^e|_{\partial W}}, g|_{\partial W}, [\int_W Td(\nabla^W)ch(\nabla^e)g^*])) &= [\partial W, \varepsilon|_{\partial W} \otimes g|_{\partial W}^* \\ &\quad F^{\nabla^e|_{\partial W}} \otimes g|_{\partial W}^{\nabla^F}, \\ &\quad g|_{\partial W}, \phi], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\phi = [\int_{\partial W} Td(\nabla^{\partial W})ch(\nabla^e|_{\partial W})g|_{\partial W}^*(w \wedge \cdot)] + [\int_W Td(\nabla^W)ch(\nabla^e)g^*(R[F, \nabla^F, w] \wedge \cdot)].$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &= [\int_W (Td(\nabla^W)ch(\nabla^e)g^*(dw \wedge \cdot))] + [\int_W Td(\nabla^W)ch(\nabla^e)g^*(R[F, \nabla^F, w] \wedge \cdot)] \\ &= [\int_W Td(\nabla^W)ch(\nabla^e \otimes g^*\nabla^F)g^*(\cdot)]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, μ is compatible with the relation (ii) of bordism. So the proof reduces to showing that μ is compatible with the equivalence relation on K-cocycles of Freed-Lott. Let $(M, E^{\nabla^E}, f, \phi)$ be a differential K-cycle over X , and let (F, ∇^F, w) and $(F', \nabla^{F'}, w')$ be two K-cocycles of Freed-Lott over X , which define the same class in $\hat{K}_{FL}(X)$. Since the map $\mu(\cdot)(M, E^{\nabla^E}, f, \phi)$ is additive, we can assume that there exists an isomorphism of Hermitian vector bundles $h : F \rightarrow F'$ such that $CS(\nabla^F, h^*\nabla^{F'}) = w - w'$. We set

$$\Phi = [\int_M Td(\nabla^M)ch(\nabla^E)f^*(w \wedge \cdot)] + \phi(R[F, \nabla^F, w] \wedge \cdot),$$

and

$$\Psi = [\int_M Td(\nabla^M)ch(\nabla^E)f^*(w' \wedge \cdot)] + \phi(R[F', \nabla^{F'}, w'] \wedge \cdot).$$

The two K-cycles $(M, E \otimes f^*F^{\nabla^E} \otimes f^*\nabla^F, f)$ and $(M, E \otimes f^*F'^{\nabla^E} \otimes f^*\nabla^{F'}, f)$ are isomorphic and

$$\Phi - \Psi = [\int_M Td(\nabla^M)ch(\nabla^E)f^*((w - w') \wedge \cdot)].$$

Since $CS(\nabla^E \otimes f^*\nabla^F, \nabla^E \otimes f^*(h^*\nabla^{F'})) = ch(\nabla^E) \wedge f^*CS(\nabla^F, h^*\nabla^{F'})$ (see [16]), we have

$$\Phi - \Psi = \left[\int_M Td(\nabla^M) \left(\int_{[0,1] \times M/M} ch(B) \right) f^* \right],$$

where B is the connection as in Definition 2.3. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi - \Psi &= \left[\int_M \int_{[0,1] \times M/M} Td(\nabla^{[0,1] \times M}) ch(B)(f \circ p)^* \right] \\ &= \left[\int_{[0,1] \times M} Td(\nabla^{[0,1] \times M}) ch(B)(f \circ p)^* \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\mu((F, \nabla^F, w), (M, E^{\nabla^E}, f, \phi)) = \mu((F', \nabla^{F'}, w'), (M, E^{\nabla^E}, f, \phi))$. \square

Let us consider the collapse map $\epsilon : X \rightarrow pt$. Note that we can define an index pairing

$$\begin{cases} \hat{K}_{FL}(X) \otimes \check{K}_{even}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \\ \hat{K}_{FL}(X) \otimes \check{K}_{odd}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \end{cases}$$

as $\epsilon_* : \check{K}_*(X) \rightarrow \check{K}_*(pt)$ composed with $\mu : \hat{K}_{FL}(X) \otimes \check{K}_*(X) \rightarrow \check{K}_*(X)$.

If X is a smooth closed $Spin^c$ -manifold, then we can define a homomorphism $j : \hat{K}_{FL}(X) \rightarrow \hat{K}(X)$ by setting

$$j([F, \nabla^F, w]) := [X, F^{\nabla^F}, id_X, \left[\int_X Td(\nabla^X) w \wedge \cdot \right]].$$

Remark 3.2. Let $(F, \nabla^F, 0)$ be a K -cocycle of Freed-Lott over S^1 . Since $\partial D^2 = S^1$, the underlying $Spin^c$ -structure of S^1 is given by the boundary $Spin^c$ -structure and the vector bundle F is topologically trivial. Therefore we can find a Hermitian vector bundle on D^2 carrying with a Hermitian connection $(F', \nabla^{F'})$ which restricts to (F, ∇^F) on the boundary. Since S^1 has the bounding $Spin^c$ -structure, the Dirac operator is invertible and has a symmetric spectrum. Then $\bar{\eta}_F = 0$, and we get

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_* \circ j([F, \nabla^F, 0]) &= [\partial D^2, F'|_{\partial D^2}^{\nabla^{F'}}, \partial D^2 \rightarrow pt, 0] \\ &= [\emptyset, \emptyset, \emptyset, - \int_{D^2} ch(\nabla^{F'})] = a(\bar{\eta}_F) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The triviality of $[S^1, F^{\nabla^F}, S^1 \rightarrow pt, 0]$ is analog to the relation in [10, Corollary 4.6, p. 51] involving the suspension functor.

The pairing μ and the homomorphism j are related by the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{K}_{FL}(X) \otimes \hat{K}_{FL}(X) & \xrightarrow{m} & \hat{K}_{FL}(X) \\ \text{id} \otimes j \downarrow & \circlearrowleft & \downarrow j \\ \hat{K}_{FL}(X) \otimes \check{K}(X) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \check{K}(X). \end{array}$$

A relation between the K-theoretical curvature R and the K-homological curvature \mathcal{R} is illustrated by the following commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{K}_{FL}(X) & \xrightarrow{j} & \check{K}(X) \\ \uparrow j & \circlearrowleft & \uparrow j \\ \hat{K}_{FL}^f(X) & \xrightarrow{j} & \check{K}^f(X) \end{array}$$

Let us now define a relation between $\mu : \hat{K}_{FL}(X) \otimes \check{K}_*(X) \rightarrow \check{K}_*(X)$ and the cap product in de Rham (co)homology, in commutative diagram terms. If $\Omega^p(X) \otimes \Omega_q(X) \rightarrow \Omega_{q-p}(X)$ denotes the pairing $(w, \phi) \mapsto \phi(w \wedge \cdot)$, then the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{K}_{FL}(X) \otimes \check{K}_*(X) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \check{K}_*(X) \\ R \otimes \mathcal{R} \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{R} \\ \Omega^{even}(X) \otimes \Omega_*(X) & \longrightarrow & \Omega_*(X) \end{array}$$

commutes. To see this, let $x := [F, \nabla^F, w] \in \hat{K}_{FL}(X)$ and $\xi := [M, E^{\nabla^E}, f, \phi] \in \check{K}_*(X)$. For every $v \in \Omega^*(X)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(\mu(x, \xi))(v) &= \int_M Td(\nabla^M) ch(\nabla^E) ch(f^* \nabla^F) \wedge f^*(v) \\ &\quad - \int_M Td(\nabla^M) ch(\nabla^E) f^*(w \wedge dv) - \phi(R(x) \wedge dv) \\ &= \int_M Td(\nabla^M) ch(\nabla^E) (f^*(ch(\nabla^F)) - f^*(dw)) \wedge f^*(v) \\ &\quad - \phi(R(x) \wedge dv) \\ &= \mathcal{R}(\xi)(R(x) \wedge v). \end{aligned}$$

We can define an index pairing $\tilde{\alpha} : \hat{K}_{FL}(X) \rightarrow Hom(\check{K}_{odd}(X), \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ as $\tilde{\eta}$ composed with $\mu : \hat{K}_{FL}(X) \otimes \check{K}_{odd}(X) \rightarrow \check{K}_{odd}(X)$: for every $[F, \nabla^F, w] \in \hat{K}_{FL}(X)$ and $[M, E^{\nabla^E}, f, \phi] \in \check{K}_{odd}(X)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\alpha}([F, \nabla^F, w])([M, E^{\nabla^E}, f, \phi]) &= \bar{\eta}_{E \otimes f^* F} - \int_M Td(\nabla^M) ch(\nabla^E) f^*(w) \\ &\quad - \phi(R[F, \nabla^F, w]) \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}. \end{aligned}$$

4 The Isomorphism $\hat{K}_{FL}(X) \cong \check{K}^0(X)$

This section is concerned with the construction of a model of differential K-theory through differential K-homology.

4.1 The Index Pairing $\beta : \check{K}^*(X) \rightarrow Hom(\check{K}_*(X), \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$

In this subsection, we construct an index pairing between the differential K-homology \check{K} and the differential K-characters \check{K} .

We first recall the definition of \check{K} (see [11]).

Let X be a smooth compact manifold. Denote by $C_*(X)$ the set of equivalence classes of K-cycles over X , for the equivalence relation generated by direct sum and vector bundle modification. It is obvious that $C_*(X)$ is a semigroup under the addition operation given by disjoint union,

$$(M, E^{\nabla^E}, f) + (M', E'^{\nabla^{E'}}, f') := (M \sqcup M', E \sqcup E'^{\nabla^E \sqcup \nabla^{E'}}, f \sqcup f').$$

We define a homomorphism $j : \Omega^*(X) \rightarrow Hom(C_*(X), \mathbb{R})$ by setting

$$j(w)(M, E^{\nabla^E}, f) := \int_M Td(\nabla^M) ch(\nabla^E) f^*(w).$$

Definition 4.1. Let $w \in \Omega^*(X)$ be a real differential form.

- The set of K-periods of w is the subset $j(w)(C_*(X))$ of \mathbb{R} .
- The set of closed differential forms on X with integer K-periods will be denoted by $\Omega_0^*(X)$.

The set $\Omega_0^*(X)$ is an abelian group for the sum of differential forms. Stokes' theorem assures that $img[d : \Omega^{*-1}(X) \rightarrow \Omega^*(X)] \subset \Omega_0^*(X)$. Moreover, the Atiyah-Singer index theorem and the surjectivity of the usual Atiyah-Singer homomorphism $K(X) \rightarrow Hom(K_{even}^{geo}(X), \mathbb{Z})$ implice that $\Omega_0^{even}(X) = \Omega_K^{even}(X)$.

Definition 4.2. A differential K-character on X is a semigroup homomorphism $h : C_*(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$h(\partial(W, \varepsilon^{\nabla^\varepsilon}, g)) = \overline{\int_W Td(\nabla^W) ch(\nabla^\varepsilon) g^*(\delta(h))} \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$$

for some $\delta(h) \in \Omega_0^*(X)$ and for all K-chain $(W, \varepsilon^{\nabla^\varepsilon}, g)$ over X , where $\bar{\lambda} := \lambda \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$.

The set of differential K-characters on X is denoted by $\tilde{K}(X)$. It is an abelian group, which has a natural \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading:

$$\tilde{K}(X) = \tilde{K}^{even}(X) \oplus \tilde{K}^{odd}(X).$$

Let h be a differential K-character on X . The differential form $\delta(h)$ in the above definition does only depend on h . Thus we have a group homomorphism of degree 1

$$\delta : \tilde{K}(X) \rightarrow \Omega_0^*(X).$$

Note that a differential form $w \in \Omega^*(X)$ determines a differential K-character on X by setting

$$h_w(M, E^{\nabla^E}, f) := \overline{j(w)(M, E^{\nabla^E}, f)}.$$

It is easy to check that $\delta(h_w) = dw$.

Now we construct an index pairing

$$\tilde{K}^*(X) \rightarrow Hom(\check{K}_*(X), \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}).$$

Proposition 4.3. *There is an index pairing*

$$\beta : \tilde{K}^*(X) \rightarrow Hom(\check{K}_*(X), \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}).$$

Proof. Let β be the map that associates with each differential K-character h on X and differential K-cycle $(M, E^{\nabla^E}, f, \phi)$ over X of the same parity the class $h(M, E^{\nabla^E}, f) - \overline{\phi(\delta(h))} \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$.

The map β is obviously biadditive. The only thing to check is that β is compatible with the relations from Definition 2.6. Compatibility with relations (i) and (iii) from Definition 2.6 is straightforward. So the proof reduces to showing that β is compatible with the relation (ii) of bordism. Let h be a differential K-character on X and $(W, \varepsilon^{\nabla^\varepsilon}, g)$ a K-chain over X of opposite parity. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(h)(\partial W, \varepsilon|_{\partial W}^{\nabla^\varepsilon|_{\partial W}}, g|_{\partial W}, [\int_W Td(\nabla^W)ch(\nabla^\varepsilon)g^*]) &= h(\partial W, \varepsilon|_{\partial W}^{\nabla^\varepsilon|_{\partial W}}, g|_{\partial W}) \\ &\quad - \overline{\int_W Td(\nabla^W)ch(\nabla^\varepsilon)g^*(\delta(h))} \\ &= \overline{\int_W Td(\nabla^W)ch(\nabla^\varepsilon)g^*(\delta(h))} \\ &\quad - \int_W Td(\nabla^W)ch(\nabla^\varepsilon)g^*(\delta(h)) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

4.2 The Main Result

Let X be a smooth compact manifold. We construct a subgroup of $Hom(\check{K}_{odd}(X), \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ and an isomorphism between it and $\hat{K}_{FL}(X)$.

Let

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{\Omega_{*-1}(X)}{\Omega_{*-1}^0(X)} \xrightarrow{a} \check{K}_*(X) \xrightarrow{i} K_*^{geo}(X) \rightarrow 0$$

be the short exact sequence relating differential K-homology and geometric K-homology. Since the contravariant functor $Hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(\cdot, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ is left-exact, we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Hom(K_{odd}^{geo}(X), \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{i^*} Hom(\check{K}_{odd}(X), \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{a^*} Hom\left(\frac{\Omega_{even}(X)}{\Omega_{even}^0(X)}, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}\right).$$

We may regard $\Omega_0^{even}(X) \subseteq Hom\left(\frac{\Omega_{even}(X)}{\Omega_{even}^0(X)}, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}\right)$ by evaluation homomorphism. Set

$$\check{K}^0(X) := \{\chi \in Hom(\check{K}_{odd}(X), \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \mid a^*(\chi) \in \Omega_0^{even}(X)\}.$$

Theorem. *The group $\check{K}^0(X)$ is isomorphic to $\hat{K}_{FL}(X)$.*

Proof. Note that the group $\check{K}^0(X)$ fits into an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Hom(K_{odd}^{geo}(X), \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{i^*} \check{K}^0(X) \xrightarrow{a^*} \Omega_0^{even}(X)$$

and the index pairings $\tilde{\alpha} : \hat{K}_{FL}(X) \rightarrow Hom(\check{K}_{odd}(X), \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ and $\beta : \check{K}^{odd}(X) \rightarrow Hom(\check{K}_{odd}(X), \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ from section 3 and subsection 4.1 take values in $\check{K}^0(X)$. Let us check that a^* is surjective. Let $v \in \Omega_0^{even}(X)$. We define a homomorphism from the semigroup of the K-boundaries over X to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} by setting

$$h(\partial(W, \varepsilon^{\nabla^\varepsilon}, g)) := \int_W Td(\nabla^W) ch(\nabla^\varepsilon) g^*(v) \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Since \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} is divisible, h can be extended to a differential K-character $\tilde{h} \in \check{K}^{odd}(X)$ with $\delta(\tilde{h}) = v$. Then $\beta(\tilde{h}) \in \check{K}^0(X)$ with $a^*(\beta(\tilde{h})) = v$.

It follows that we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Hom(K_{odd}^{geo}(X), \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{i^*} \check{K}^0(X) \xrightarrow{a^*} \Omega_0^{even}(X) \rightarrow 0.$$

Following the universal coefficient theorem [17]

$$0 \rightarrow Ext(K_{even}^{geo}(X), \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \hat{K}_{FL}^f(X) \xrightarrow{\alpha} Hom(K_{odd}^{geo}(X), \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0,$$

together with the fact that \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} is divisible, the groups $\hat{K}_{FL}^f(X)$ and $Hom(K_{odd}^{geo}(X), \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ are isomorphic via α . The isomorphism α is given by

$$\alpha([F, \nabla^F, w])([M, E^{\nabla^E}, f]) = \bar{\eta}_{E \otimes f^* F} - \int_M Td(\nabla^M) ch(\nabla^E) f^*(w) \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$$

(see [15]). Thus, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \hat{K}_{FL}^f(X) & \hookrightarrow & \hat{K}_{FL}(X) & \xrightarrow{R} & \Omega_K^{even}(X) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \alpha \downarrow \cong & & \circ & & \circ \\
 & & & & \tilde{\alpha} \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & Hom(K_{odd}^{geo}(X), \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & \check{K}^0(X) & \xrightarrow{a^*} & \Omega_0^{even}(X) \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

in which the rows are exact sequences. The five-lemma argument shows that $\tilde{\alpha}$ is an isomorphism. \square

The following example illustrates how differential K-theory classes arise in geometry.

Example. Let $SO(2) \rightarrow E \rightarrow M$ be a circle bundle over M with connection ∇ . Let $\omega \in \Omega^2(M)$ denote its curvature form. Since $\frac{1}{2\pi}\omega$ represents the real Euler class, $\frac{1}{2\pi}\omega \in \Omega_0^2(M)$.

Now we define an \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} -valued homomorphism \tilde{H} on the semigroup of 1-differential K-cycles over a smooth compact manifold X . Let x be a 1-differential K-cycle over X and choose a closed curve γ , a 2-K-chain ζ over X and $\phi \in \frac{\Omega_{even}(X)}{img(\partial)}$ so that $x = (\gamma + \partial\zeta, \phi)$. We set

$$\tilde{H}(x) := e^{-2\pi i} H(\gamma) + \overline{\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\zeta} \omega(\zeta) - \phi(1)},$$

where $H(\gamma)$ is the holonomy around γ and $\bar{\lambda} := \lambda \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$. It is clear that \tilde{H} is a linear map which is trivial on the boundaries $(\partial\zeta, \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\zeta} \omega(\zeta))$ with $a^*(\tilde{H}) = 1$. So \tilde{H} determines an element in $\check{K}^0(X)$, and then gives rise to a class in the Freed-Lott differential K-group $\hat{K}_{FL}(X)$.

References

- [1] M.F. Atiyah, Bott periodicity and the index of elliptic operators, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford*, 19(1968), 113-140.
- [2] M.F. Atiyah, Global theory of elliptic operators, *In Proc. of the International Symposium on Functional Analysis*, Tokyo, University of Tokyo Press, (1970).
- [3] M.F. Atiyah, V.K. Patodi and I.M. Singer, Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian geometry-I, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 77(1975), 43-69.

- [4] M.F. Atiyah, V.K. Patodi and I.M. Singer, Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian geometry-II, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 78(1975), 405-432.
- [5] M.F. Atiyah, V.K. Patodi and I.M. Singer, Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian geometry-III, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 79(1976), 71-99.
- [6] M.F. Atiyah and I.M. Singer, The index of elliptic operators-I, *Ann. of Math.*, 87(1968), 484-530.
- [7] P. Baum and R. Douglas, K-homology and index theory, In R. Kadison (editor), Operator algebras and applications, *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics*, Providence, RI, *American Mathematical Society*, 38(1982), 117-173.
- [8] P. Baum, N. Higson and T. Schick, On the equivalence of geometric and analytic K-homology, *Pure Appl. Math. Q.*, 3(1) (2007), 1-24.
- [9] U. Bunke and T. Schick, *Differential K-Theory: A Survey*, *Global Differential Geometry*, Springer, Heidelberg, (2012), 303-358.
- [10] U. Bunke and T. Schick, Smooth K-theory, *Astrisque*, 328(2009), 45-135.
- [11] J. Cheeger and J. Simons, Differential characters and geometric invariants, in *Geometry and Topology (1983/84, College Park, Md.)*, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Springer, Berlin, 1167(1985), 50-80.
- [12] D.S. Freed and J. Lott, An index theorem in differential K-theory, *Geometry and Topology*, 14(2010), 903-966.
- [13] F. Hirzebruch, Topological methods in algebraic geometry (new ed.), *Classics in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1995).
- [14] G.G. Kasparov, Topological invariants of elliptic operators I: K-homology, *Math. USSR Izvestija*, 9(1975), 751-792.
- [15] J. Lott, R/Z index theory, *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 2(2) (1994), 279-311.
- [16] J. Simons and D. Sullivan, Structured vector bundles define differential K-theory, *Quanta of Maths*, *Clay Math. Proc.*, Providence, RI, *Amer. Math. Soc.*, 11(2010), 579-599.
- [17] Z. Yosimura, Universal coefficient sequences for cohomology theories of CW-spectra-II, *Osaka J. Math.*, 16(1979), 201-217.