



General Mathematics Notes, Vol. 1, No. 2, December 2010, pp. 61-73

Copyright ©ICSRS Publication, 2010

www.i-csrs.org

Available free online at <http://www.geman.in>

On n -Normal Operators

S. A. Alzuraiqi, A.B. Patel

Department of Mathematics, Sardar Patel University,
Vallabh Vidyanagar 388120, Gujarat, India.

E-mail: alzoriki44@gmail.com

E-mail: abp1908@yahoo.com

(Received 25.10.2010, Accepted 03.11.2010)

Abstract

In this paper we introduce n -normal operators on a Hilbert space H . We give some basic properties of these operators. In general an n -normal operators need not be a normal operator, a hyponormal operator.

Keywords: n -normal operator, projection, idempotent operator.

2000 MSC No: Primary 47B15; Secondary 47A15.

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, $B(H)$ denotes to the algebra of all bounded linear operators acting on a complex Hilbert space H . An operator T is said to be normal if $T^*T = TT^*$, (it is well known that normal operators have translation-invariant property, i.e., if T is a normal operator, then $(T - \lambda)$ is a normal operator for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$); self adjoint if $T^* = T$; positive if $T^* = T$ and $\langle Tx, x \rangle \geq 0$ for all $x \in H$; and projection if $T^2 = T = T^*$. For an operator $T \in B(H)$, if $\|Tx\| = \|x\|$ for all $x \in H$ (or equivalently $T^*T = I$), then T is called an isometry. An onto isometry is called unitary. An operator $T \in B(H)$ is called partial isometry if T^*T is projection. An operator T on H is called subnormal if there exists a Hilbert space K with H is a subspace of K and a normal operator N on K such that $NH \subseteq H$ and $N|_H = T$; T is hyponormal if $T^*T \geq TT^*$. Let $T \in B(H)$ and $x \in H$. The sequence $\{T^n x\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is called orbit of x under T , and is denoted by $orb(T, x)$. If $orb(T, x)$ is dense in H , then x is called a hypercyclic vector for T . An operator $T \in B(H)$ is called scalar

of order m if it possesses a spectral distribution of order m , i.e., if there is a continuous unital morphism $\phi : C_0^m(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow B(H)$ such that $\phi(z) = T$ where z stands for the identity function on \mathbb{C} and $C_0^m(\mathbb{C})$ for the space of compactly supported functions on \mathbb{C} , continuously differentiable of order m , $0 \leq m \leq \infty$. An operator $T \in B(H)$ is called subscalar if it is similar to the restriction of a scalar operator to an invariant subspace.

2 n-normal operators

Definition 2.1. $T \in B(H)$ is called an n -normal operator if $T^n T^* = T^* T^n$.

Proposition 2.2. Let $T \in B(H)$. Then T is n -normal if and only if T^n is normal where $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let T is n -normal, $T^n T^* = T^* T^n$. Therefore

$$T^n (T^*)^n = T^* T^n (T^*)^{n-1} = T^* (T^n T^*) (T^*)^{n-2} = (T^*)^2 T^n (T^*)^{n-2} = (T^*)^n T^n.$$

Then T^n is normal. Now, let T^n is normal. Since $T^n T = T T^n$, by Fuglede theorem [8], $T^* T^n = T^n T^*$. Therefore T is n -normal. \square

It is clear that a bounded normal operator is n -normal for any n . The converse is not true. Indeed if $T = \begin{pmatrix} i & 2 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}$, then T is 2-normal which is not normal. And all nonzero nilpotent operators are n -normal operators, for $n \geq k$ where k the index of nilpotance, but they are not normal. It is well known that if T is normal, then it is hyponormal. And if T is normal and T^k is compact for some k , then T is compact by [8]. The following example shows that these need not be true in case of n -normal operator.

Example 2.3. Let $H = \ell^2$ and e_1, e_2, \dots be standard orthogonal basis for ℓ^2 .

Define T on H by $T e_i = \begin{cases} e_1, & i=1 \\ e_{i+1}, & i=2j \\ 0, & i=2j+1 \end{cases}, j = 1, 2, \dots$. Then $T^2 = P$, where

P is the orthogonal projection on the space span by e_1 . So T is 2-normal but neither T nor T^* is hyponormal.

Now, since T^2 is a projection on one-dimensional space, it is compact. However, since range of T contains an infinite orthonormal set $\{e_i, i = 1, 3, 5, \dots\}$, T is not compact.

The following example shows that there exists an operator which is subnormal but not n -normal for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Example 2.4. Let U be unilateral shift on ℓ^2 (i.e., $U(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots) = (0, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots)$). Then U is subnormal but for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, U^n is not normal.

It is well known that if T is hyponormal and compact, then T is normal. But we note that the nilpotent operator $T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ an n -normal operator, which is compact but not normal. Thus T is not hyponormal.

Theorem 2.5. *The set of all n -normal operators on H is closed subset of $B(H)$ which is closed under scalar multiplication.*

Proof. First if T is n -normal, and α is scalar, then $(\alpha T)^n(\alpha T)^* = \alpha^n \bar{\alpha} (T^n T^*) = \bar{\alpha} \alpha^n (T^* T^n)$ and $(\bar{\alpha} T^*)(\alpha^n T^n) = (\alpha T)^*(\alpha T)^n$. Hence αT is n -normal. Now, suppose that (T_k) is sequence of n -normal operators converging to T in $B(H)$. Now, $\|T^n T^* - T^* T^n\| \leq \|T^n T^* - T_k^n T_k^*\| + \|T_k^n T_k^* - T^* T^n\| \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $T^* T^n = T^n T^*$. Thus T is n -normal. \square

Proposition 2.6. *Let $T \in B(H)$ be n -normal. Then*

1. T^* is n -normal.
2. If T^{-1} exists, then (T^{-1}) is n -normal.
3. If $S \in B(H)$ is unitary equivalent to T , then S is n -normal.
4. If M is a closed subspace of H such that M reduces T , then $S = T/M$ is an n -normal operator.

Proof. (1) Since T is n -normal, T^n is normal. So $(T^n)^* = (T^*)^n$ is normal, T^* is an n -normal operator.

(2) Since T is n -normal, T^n is normal. Since $(T^n)^{-1} = (T^{-1})^n$ is normal, T^{-1} is an n -normal operator.

(3) Let T be an n -normal operator and S be unitary equivalent of T . Then there exists unitary operator U such that $S = UTU^*$ so $S^n = UT^nU^*$. Since T^n is normal, S^n is normal. Therefore S is n -normal.

(4) Since T is n -normal, T^n is normal. So T^n/M is normal. And since M is invariant under T , $T^n/M = (T/M)^n$. Thus $(T/M)^n$ is normal. So T/M is n -normal.

Now, the following example shows that the class of 2-normal operators may not have the translation-invariant property. \square

Example 2.7. *Let $T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T_1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, where $T_1 : H_1 \rightarrow H$. Then T is 2-normal operator. But $[(T - \lambda)^*]^2, (T - \lambda)^2 = \begin{pmatrix} -4|\lambda|^2 T_1 T_1^* & 0 \\ 0 & 4|\lambda|^2 T_1^* T_1 \end{pmatrix}$ not necessarily equal to zero unless $\lambda = 0$. Hence $(T - \lambda)^2$ is not normal. So $(T - \lambda)$ is not necessarily 2-normal operator.*

Theorem 2.8. *If S, T are commuting n -normal operators, then ST is an n -normal operator.*

Proof. Since S, T are commuting n -normal operators, S^n, T^n are commuting normal operator. So $S^n T^n$ is a normal operator. Since $S^n T^n = (ST)^n$, $(ST)^n$ is normal. Hence ST is n -normal. \square

The following example shows that Theorem 2.8 is not necessarily true if S, T are not commuting.

Example 2.9. Let $S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $T = \begin{pmatrix} i & 2 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}$ be operators on the Hilbert space \mathbb{C}^2 . Then S and T are 2-normal. We note that $ST = \begin{pmatrix} i & 2 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix} \neq \begin{pmatrix} i & -2 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix} = TS$. But as $(ST)^2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 4i \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ is not normal, ST is not 2-normal.

Corollary 2.10. If T is n -normal, Then T^m is n -normal for any positive integer m .

The following example shows that sum of two commuting n -normal operators need not be n -normal.

Example 2.11. Let $S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then S and T are commuting 2-normal. But $S + T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $(S + T)^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is not normal. Thus $S + T$ is not 2-normal. We note here S is a selfadjoint operator.

Proposition 2.12. Let T, S be commuting n -normal operator, such that $(S + T)^*$ commutes with $\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} S^{n-k} T^k$. Then $(S + T)$ is an n -normal operator.

Proof. Since $(S + T)^n (S + T)^* = \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} S^{n-k} T^k \right) (S^* + T^*)$, $(S + T)^n (S + T)^* = S^n S^* + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} S^{n-k} T^k (S + T)^* + T^n S^* + S^n T^* + T^n T^*$. And since $(S + T)^*$ is commuting with $\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} S^{n-k} T^k$, $(S + T)^n (S + T)^* = S^* S^n + (S + T)^* \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} S^{n-k} T^k + S^* T^n + T^* S^n + T^* T^n$. So $(S + T)^n (S + T)^* = (S + T)^* (S^n + T^n) + (S + T)^* \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} S^{n-k} T^k \right)$. Hence $(S + T)^n (S + T)^* = (S + T)^* \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} S^{n-k} T^k \right) = (S + T)^* (S + T)^n$. \square

Lemma 2.13. *If $S, T \in \mathbb{B}(H)$ are 2-normal operators and $ST + TS = 0$, then $T + S$ and ST are 2-normal.*

Proof. Since $ST + TS = 0$, $S^2T^2 = T^2S^2$. So $(S + T)^2 = S^2 + T^2$ is normal. Thus $(S + T)$ is an 2-normal operator.

Now since $ST + TS = 0$, $(ST)^2 = -S^2T^2 = -T^2S^2$. Hence by Theorem 2.8, ST is a 2-normal operator. \square

Now we state some well known lemmas which we shall need.

Lemma 2.14. *Let P, Q be the projections on closed subspaces M, N respectively. Then $M \perp N$ if and only if $PQ = 0$.*

Lemma 2.15. *If T is normal, then $Tx = \lambda x$ if and only if $T^*x = \bar{\lambda}x$.*

Lemma 2.16. *If P is the projection on a closed subspace M of H , then M reduces of T if and only if $TP = PT$.*

Theorem 2.17. *Let T be an operator on finite dimensional Hilbert space H , $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ be eigenvalues of T such that $\lambda_i^n \neq \lambda_j^n, i \neq j$, M_1, \dots, M_m the corresponding eigenspaces, and P_1, \dots, P_m the projections on M_1, \dots, M_m respectively. Then M_i 's are pairwise orthogonal and they span H if and only if T is n -normal operator.*

Proof. Assume M_i 's are pairwise orthogonal and they span H . Then for $x \in H$, $x = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_m$, $x_i \in M_i$, $T^n x = T^n x_1 + \dots + T^n x_m = \lambda_1^n x_1 + \dots + \lambda_m^n x_m$.

Since P_i 's are projection on eigenspace M_i 's which are pairwise orthogonal, by lemma 2.14 $P_i x = x_i$. Hence $Ix = x_1 + \dots + x_m = P_1 x + \dots + P_m x = (P_1 + \dots + P_m)x$ for every $x \in H$. Thus $I = \sum_{i=1}^m P_i$. Since $T^n x = \lambda_1^n x_1 + \dots + \lambda_m^n x_m = \lambda_1^n P_1 x + \dots + \lambda_m^n P_m x = (\lambda_1^n P_1 + \dots + \lambda_m^n P_m)x$ for all $x \in H$. So $T^n = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^n P_i$. Hence $T^{*n} = \bar{\lambda}_1^n P_1 + \dots + \bar{\lambda}_m^n P_m$. Since M_i 's are pairwise orthogonal, $P_i P_j = \begin{cases} P_i, & \text{if } i = j; \\ 0, & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$ So $T^n T^{*n} = |\lambda_1|^{2n} P_1 + \dots + |\lambda_m|^{2n} P_m$ and $T^{*n} T^n = |\lambda_1|^{2n} P_1 + \dots + |\lambda_m|^{2n} P_m$. Thus T^n is normal, i.e., T is an n -normal operator.

Suppose T is an n -normal operator. Then T^n is a normal operator. We claim that M_i 's are pairwise orthogonal. Let x_i, x_j be vectors in M_i, M_j , ($i \neq j$) such that $T^n x_i = \lambda_i^n x_i$ and $T^n x_j = \lambda_j^n x_j$. Then $\lambda_i^n \langle x_i, x_j \rangle = \langle \lambda_i^n x_i, x_j \rangle = \langle T^n x_i, x_j \rangle = \langle x_i, T^{*n} x_j \rangle = \langle x_i, \bar{\lambda}_j^n x_j \rangle = \lambda_j^n \langle x_i, x_j \rangle$. So $(\lambda_i^n - \lambda_j^n) \langle x_i, x_j \rangle = 0$. Since $\lambda_i^n \neq \lambda_j^n$, $\langle x_i, x_j \rangle = 0$. This shows that M_i 's are pairwise orthogonal.

Let $M = M_1 + \dots + M_m$. Then M is a closed subspace of H . Let P be associated projection onto M . Then $P = P_1 + \dots + P_m$. Since T^n is normal, each M_i reduces T^n . It follows that $T^n P = P T^n$. Consequently M^\perp is invariant under T^n . Suppose that $M^\perp \neq \{0\}$. Let $T_1 = T^n / M^\perp$. Then T_1 is an operator on non-trivial finite dimensional complex Hilbert space M^\perp with empty point spectrum which is impossible. Therefore $M^\perp = \{0\}$. i.e., $M = H$. \square

Theorem 2.18. *Let T_1, \dots, T_m be n -normal operators in $B(H)$. Then $(T_1 \oplus \dots \oplus T_m)$ and $(T_1 \otimes \dots \otimes T_m)$ are n -normal operators.*

Proof. Since $(T_1 \oplus \dots \oplus T_m)^n (T_1 \oplus \dots \oplus T_m)^* = (T_1^n \oplus \dots \oplus T_m^n) (T_1^* \oplus \dots \oplus T_m^*) = T_1^n T_1^* \oplus \dots \oplus T_m^n T_m^* = T_1^* T_1^n \oplus \dots \oplus T_m^* T_m^n = (T_1^* \oplus \dots \oplus T_m^*) (T_1^n \oplus \dots \oplus T_m^n) = (T_1 \oplus \dots \oplus T_m)^* (T_1 \oplus \dots \oplus T_m)^n$. Then $(T_1 \oplus \dots \oplus T_m)$ is an n -normal operator. Now, for $x_1, \dots, x_m \in H$ $(T_1 \otimes \dots \otimes T_m)^n (T_1 \otimes \dots \otimes T_m)^* (x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_m) = (T_1^n \otimes \dots \otimes T_m^n) (T_1^* \otimes \dots \otimes T_m^*) (x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_m) = T_1^n T_1^* x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes T_m^n T_m^* x_m = T_1^* T_1^n x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes T_m^* T_m^n x_m = (T_1^* \otimes \dots \otimes T_m^*) (T_1^n \otimes \dots \otimes T_m^n) (x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_m) = (T_1 \otimes \dots \otimes T_m)^* (T_1 \otimes \dots \otimes T_m)^n (x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_m)$. So $(T_1 \otimes \dots \otimes T_m)^n (T_1 \otimes \dots \otimes T_m)^* = (T_1 \otimes \dots \otimes T_m)^* (T_1 \otimes \dots \otimes T_m)^n$. Thus $(T_1 \otimes \dots \otimes T_m)$ is n -normal. \square

Proposition 2.19. *$(T - \lambda)$ is an n -normal operator for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ if and only if T is a normal operator.*

Proof. Since $(T - \lambda)$ is n -normal for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $(T - \lambda)^* (T - \lambda)^n =$

$$(T - \lambda)^n (T - \lambda)^*. \text{ Hence } (T^* - \bar{\lambda}) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} T^{n-k} \lambda^k \right) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} T^{n-k} \lambda^k \right) (T^* - \bar{\lambda}).$$

$$\text{So } \left(\sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} T^* T^{n-k} \lambda^k \right) - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} T^{n-k} \lambda^k \right) \bar{\lambda} = \left(\sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} T^{n-k} T^* \lambda^k \right) -$$

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} T^{n-k} \lambda^k \right) \bar{\lambda}. \text{ Therefore}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} (\lambda)^k (T^* T^{n-k} - T^{n-k} T^*) = 0. \text{ From the left side of the last equa-}$$

$$\text{tion we get the term which } k = n \text{ is zero. Hence } \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} (\lambda)^k (T^* T^{n-k} -$$

$$T^{n-k} T^*) = 0. \text{ Thus } (-1)^{n-1} n (\lambda)^{n-1} (T^* T - T T^*) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} (\lambda)^k (T^* T^{n-k} -$$

$$T^{n-k} T^*) = 0. \text{ Put } \lambda = r e^{i\theta}, 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi, r > 0, \text{ we get}$$

$$(-1)^{n-1} n (r e^{i\theta})^{n-1} (T^* T - T T^*) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} (r e^{i\theta})^k (T^* T^{n-k} - T^{n-k} T^*) = 0.$$

$$\text{So } (-1)^{n-1} (T^* T - T T^*) + \frac{1}{n (r e^{i\theta})^{n-1}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-2} (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} (r e^{i\theta})^k (T^* T^{n-k} - T^{n-k} T^*) \right) = 0.$$

Let $r \rightarrow \infty$. Then $T^* T - T T^* = 0$. Hence T is normal. The converse is trivial. \square

Proposition 2.20. *Let $T \in B(H)$ with the Cartesian decomposition $T = A + iB$ where A and B are selfadjoint operators. Then T is 2-normal operator if and only if B^2 commutes with A , and A^2 commutes with B .*

Proof. Suppose $B^2 A = A B^2$ and $A^2 B = B A^2$. Then $T^2 T^* = (A + iB)^2 (A - iB) = (A^2 + iAB + iBA - B^2) (A - iB) = A^3 - iA^2 B - B^2 A + iB^3 + iABA + AB^2 +$

$iBA^2 + BAB$ and $T^*T^2 = A^3 - AB^2 + iA^2B + iABA - iBA^2 + iB^3 + BAB + B^2A$. Since $B^2A = AB^2$ and $A^2B = BA^2$, $T^2T^* = T^*T^2$. Hence T is 2-normal.

Now let T be 2-normal. So $T^2T^* = T^*T^2$. Hence $-B^2A + iBA^2 - iA^2B + AB^2 = -AB^2 + iA^2B - iBA^2 + B^2A$, $(AB^2 - B^2A) + i(BA^2 - A^2B) = 0$. Let $T_1 = AB^2 - B^2A$, $T_2 = BA^2 - A^2B$. Then $T_1^* = -T_1$, $T_2^* = -T_2$ (i.e., T_1, T_2 are skew hermitian) and $T_1 + iT_2 = 0$. So $-T_1 + iT_2 = 0$. This gives $T_1 = AB^2 - B^2A = 0$. Similarly, $B^2A = AB^2$. \square

It is clear that a 2-normal operator is a $2k$ -normal operator and a 3-normal operator is a $3k$ -normal operator. The following examples show that a 2-normal operator need not be 3-normal operator and vice versa.

Example 2.21. Let $T = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $T^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$ is a normal operator. But $T^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 8 & 4 \\ 0 & -8 \end{pmatrix}$ is not normal. So T is 2-normal but it is not 3-normal.

Example 2.22. Let $T = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ -2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $T^3 = \begin{pmatrix} -8 & 0 \\ 0 & -8 \end{pmatrix}$ is a normal operator. But $T^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 4 \\ -4 & -4 \end{pmatrix}$ is not normal. So T is 3-normal but it is not 2-normal.

Proposition 2.23. Suppose T is both k -normal and $(k+1)$ -normal for some positive integer k . Then T is $(k+2)$ -normal. And hence T is n -normal for all $n \geq k$.

Proof. Since T is k -normal, $T^kT^* = T^*T^k$. Hence $TT^kT^*T = TT^*T^kT$. So $T^{k+1}T^*T = TT^*T^{k+1}$. Since T is $(k+1)$ -normal, $T^*T^{k+2} = T^{k+2}T^*$. Thus T is $(k+2)$ -normal. \square

Corollary 2.24. If T is 2-normal and 3-normal, then T is an n -normal for all $n \geq 2$.

The following example shows a 2-normal and 3-normal operator may not be normal.

Example 2.25. Let $T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ a & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ be an operator acting in two-dimensional complex Hilbert space. Then T is 2-normal, 3-normal, and hence it is n -normal for all $n \geq 2$ but it is not normal.

Proposition 2.26. Suppose T is a k -normal operator for a positive integer k and it is a partial isometry. Then T is a $(k+1)$ -normal operator. And hence T is n -normal for all $n \geq k$.

Proof. Since T is partial isometry, $TT^*T = T$ by [5, p.250]. Hence $TT^*T^k = T^k$ and $T^kT^*T = T^k$. Since T is k -normal, $T^{k+1}T^* = T^k$ and $T^*T^{k+1} = T^k$. Thus $T^{k+1}T^* = T^*T^{k+1}$. Therefore T is $(k + 1)$ -normal. And hence by Proposition 2.23 T is n -normal for all $n \geq k$. \square

Corollary 2.27. *If T is 2-normal and partial isometry, then T is n -normal for all integer $n \geq 2$.*

We note that, in Example 2.25 if a equal to 1, then T is a 2-normal operator and a partial isometry but not normal.

Lemma 2.28. *Let T be k -normal and $(k + 1)$ -normal. If either T or T^* is injective, then T is normal.*

Proof. Since T is $(k + 1)$ -normal, $T^{k+1}T^* = T^*T^{k+1}$. And since T is k -normal, $T^{k+1}T^* = T^kT^*T$. Hence $T^k(TT^* - T^*T) = 0$. Since T is injective, $TT^* - T^*T = 0$. Thus T is normal. In case T^* is injective, since T^* is k -normal and $(k + 1)$ -normal, T^* is normal. Hence T is normal. \square

Proposition 2.29. *Let $T = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}$. Then T is 2-normal if and only if $(a + d) = 0$ and $(|b| = |c|$ or $b(\bar{d} - \bar{a}) = \bar{c}(d - a)$).*

Proof. Suppose $T = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ is 2-normal. Then $T^2 = \begin{pmatrix} a^2 + bc & ab + bd \\ ac + dc & cb + d^2 \end{pmatrix}$ is normal. Hence $|ab + bc| = |ac + dc|$ and $(ab + bd)(\overline{cd + d^2} - \overline{a^2 + bc}) = \overline{(ac + dc)}((cb + d^2) - (a^2 + bc))$. Since $|b(a + d)| = |c(a + d)|$ and $b(a + d)(\overline{cb + d^2} - \overline{a^2 + bc}) = \bar{c}(a + d)(cb + d^2 - a^2 - bc)$, $|b||a + d| = |c||a + d|$ and $b(a + d)(\bar{d}^2 - \bar{a}^2) = \bar{c}(\bar{a} + \bar{d})(d^2 - a^2)$. Hence $|b||a + d| = |c||a + d|$ and $b(a + d)(\bar{d} - \bar{a})(\bar{d} + \bar{a}) = \bar{c}(\bar{a} - \bar{d})(d - a)(d + a)$. So $|b||a + d| = |c||a + d|$ and $b(\bar{d} - \bar{a})|a + d|^2 = \bar{c}(d - a)|a + d|^2$. Thus $|b| = |c|$ or $|a + d| = 0$ and $b(\bar{d} - \bar{a}) = \bar{c}(d - a)$ or $|a + d|^2 = 0$. \square

By giving similar arguments that in the last Proposition one can prove the following.

Proposition 2.30. *Let $T = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}$. Then T is 3-normal if and only if $(a^2 + bc + ad + d^2) = 0$ and $(|b| = |c|$ or $\bar{c}(d - a) = b(\bar{d} - \bar{a})$).*

Next, we characterize when a two-dimensional upper triangular complex matrix is n -normal.

Proposition 2.31. *For $n \geq 2$ we have $T = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}$ is n -normal if and only if $b(a^{n-1} + a^{n-2}c + \dots + c^{n-1}) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $T = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}$. Then T is n -normal if and only if

$$T^n = \begin{pmatrix} a^n & b(a^{n-1} + a^{n-2}c + \dots + c^{n-1}) \\ 0 & c^n \end{pmatrix},$$

is normal if and only if $|b(a^{n-1} + a^{n-2}c + \dots + c^{n-1})| = 0$ if and only if $b(a^{n-1} + a^{n-2}c + \dots + c^{n-1}) = 0$. \square

Example 2.32. Consider $n = 3$ in the last Proposition. Then T is a 3-normal operator if and only if $b(a^2 + ac + c^2) = 0$. Take $a = 2$, $b = 1$, and $c = -1 + \sqrt{3}i$. Then $T = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 + \sqrt{3}i \end{pmatrix}$ is 3-normal. Note that $T^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 8 & 0 \\ 0 & 8 \end{pmatrix}$ is normal. Thus T is 3-normal.

We note that by use the last Proposition we may get an n -normal operator but not normal.

Proposition 2.33. Let $T \in B(H)$, $F = T^n + T^*$, and $G = T^n - T^*$. Then T is an n -normal operator if and only if G commutes with F .

Proof. $FG = GF$ if and only if $(T^n + T^*)(T^n - T^*) = (T^n - T^*)(T^n + T^*)$ if and only if $T^{2n} - T^n T^* + T^* T^n - T^{*2} = T^{2n} + T^n T^* - T^* T^n - T^{*2}$ if and only if $T^n T^* - T^* T^n = 0$ if and only if T is an n -normal. \square

Proposition 2.34. Let $T \in B(H)$, $B = T^n T^*$, $F = T^n + T^*$, and $G = T^n - T^*$. If T is an n -normal, then B commutes with F and G .

Proof. Since T is an n -normal, $BF = T^n T^*(T^n + T^*) = T^n T^* T^n + T^n T^* T^* = T^n T^n T^* + T^* T^n T^* = (T^n + T^*) T^n T^* = FB$. By similar way we can prove that $BG = GB$. \square

Proposition 2.35. Let T be a weighted shift with nonzero weights $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$. Then T is n -normal if and only if $|\alpha_{k-n}| \dots |\alpha_{k-1}| = |\alpha_k| \dots |\alpha_{k+n-1}|$ for $k = n, n+1, \dots$

Proof. Let $\{e_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ be an orthogonal basis of Hilbert space H . Since $T^n e_k = \alpha_k \dots \alpha_{k+n-1} e_{k+n}$ and $T^{*n} e_k = \overline{\alpha_{k-1}} \dots \overline{\alpha_{k-n}} e_{k-n}$, $T^n T^{*n} e_k = |\alpha_{k-1}|^2 \dots |\alpha_{k-n}|^2 e_k$ and $T^{*n} T^n e_k = |\alpha_k|^2 \dots |\alpha_{k+n-1}|^2 e_k$. Thus T^n is normal if and only if $|\alpha_k|^2 \dots |\alpha_{k+n-1}|^2 = |\alpha_{k-1}|^2 \dots |\alpha_{k-n}|^2$ for $k = n, n+1, \dots$ \square

Proposition 2.36. Let $T \in B(H)$ be an n -normal operator and invertible. Then T and T^{-1} have a common nontrivial closed invariant subspace.

Proof. . Since T is n -normal and invertible, T^n and $(T^{-1})^n$ are normal. Hence by [1, Corollary 4.5] T^n and $(T^{-1})^n$ both have no hypercyclic vector. Thus by [7], T and T^{-1} both have no hypercyclic vector. Therefore by [2], T and T^{-1} have a common nontrivial closed invariant subspace. \square

Let λ be the coordinate in \mathbb{C} and $d_\mu(\lambda)$, denotes planar Lebesgue measure. Let D be a bounded open subset of \mathbb{C} . We shall denote by $L^2(D, H)$ the Hilbert space of measurable function $f : D \rightarrow H$ such that

$$\|f\|_{2,D} = \left\{ \int_D \|f(\lambda)\|^2 d_\mu(\lambda) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty.$$

The space of functions $f \in L^2(D, H)$ that are analytic in D (i.e., $\bar{\partial}f = 0$) is denoted by

$$A^2(D, H) = L^2(D, H) \cap \hat{O}(U, H).$$

$A^2(D, H)$ is called the Bergman space for D .

Let D be a bounded open subset of D and m a fixed non-negative integer. The vector valued Sobolev space $W^m(D, H)$ with respect to $\bar{\partial}$ and of order m will be the space of those functions $f \in L^2(D, H)$ whose derivatives $\bar{\partial}f, \dots, \bar{\partial}^m f$ in the sense of distributions also belong to $L^2(D, H)$. Endowed with the norm $\|f\|_{W^m}^2 = \sum_{i=0}^m \|\bar{\partial}^i f\|_{2,D}^2$. $W^m(D, H)$ becomes a Hilbert space contained continuously in $L^2(D, H)$.

Theorem 2.37. *Let D be an arbitrary bounded disk in \mathbb{C} . If $T \in B(H)$ is 2-normal with the property that $\sigma(T) \cap (-\sigma(T)) = \emptyset$, then the operator*

$$\lambda - T : W^2(D, H) \rightarrow L^2(D, H)$$

is one to one.

Proof. Let $f \in W^2(D, H)$ such that $(\lambda - T)f = 0$ i.e.,

$$\|(\lambda - T)f\|_{W^2} = 0. \quad (1)$$

Then, for $i = 1, 2$, we have

$$\|(\lambda - T)\bar{\partial}^i f\|_{2,D} = 0. \quad (2)$$

Hence for $i = 1, 2$, we get $\|(\lambda^2 - T^2)\bar{\partial}^i f\|_{2,D} = 0$. For $i = 1, 2$. Since T^2 is normal,

$$\|(\bar{\lambda}^2 - T^{*2})\bar{\partial}^i f\|_{2,D} = 0. \quad (3)$$

Since $\lambda - T$ is invertible for $\lambda \in D \setminus \sigma(T)$, the equation 2 implies that $\|\bar{\partial}^i f\|_{2,D \setminus \sigma(T)} = 0$. Therefore

$$\|(\bar{\lambda} - T^*)\bar{\partial}^i f\|_{2,D \setminus \sigma(T)} = 0. \quad (4)$$

Since $\sigma(T) \cap (-\sigma(T)) = \emptyset$ and $\sigma(T^*) = \sigma(T)^*$, $\bar{\lambda} + T^*$ is invertible for $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$. therefore, from equation 3, we have

$$\|(\bar{\lambda} - T^*)\bar{\partial}^i f\|_{2,\sigma(T)} = 0. \quad (5)$$

Hence from 4 and 5, we get

$$\|(\bar{\lambda} - T^*)\bar{\partial}^i f\|_{2,D} = 0. \quad (6)$$

By [6, Proposition 2.1], we obtain

$$\|(I - P)f\|_{2,D} = 0, \quad (7)$$

where P denotes the orthogonal projection of $L^2(D, H)$ onto the Bergman space $A^2(D, H)$. Hence $(\lambda - T)Pf = (\lambda - T)f = 0$. Since T has SVEP, $f = Pf = 0$. Hence $\lambda - T$ is one to one. \square

Lemma 2.38. *Let $T \in B(H)$ be an 2-normal operator with property for $\sigma(T) \cap (-\sigma(T)) = \emptyset$. If V is an isometry, then the operator $\lambda - VTV^* : W^2(D, H) \longrightarrow L^2(D, H)$ is one to one. \blacksquare*

Proof. Let $f \in W^2(D, H)$ such that $(\lambda - VTV^*)f = 0$. Then $(\lambda - T)V^*f = 0$. Hence for $i = 0, 1, 2$ $(\lambda - T)V^*\bar{\partial}^i f = 0$. By Theorem 2.37, for $i = 0, 1, 2$, $V^*\bar{\partial}^i f = 0$. Hence for $i = 0, 1, 2$, $VTV^*\bar{\partial}^i f = 0$. Thus $\lambda\bar{\partial}^i f = 0$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$. By [6, Proposition 2.1] with $T = (0)$, we get $\|(I - P)f\|_{2,D} = 0$, where P denotes the orthogonal projection of $L^2(D, H)$ onto the Bergman space $A^2(D, H)$. Hence $\lambda f = \lambda Pf = 0$. By [4, Corollary 10.7], there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$c\|Pf\|_{2,D} \leq \|\lambda Pf\|_{2,D} = 0. \text{ So } f = Pf = 0. \text{ Thus } \lambda - VTV^* \text{ is one to one.}$$

\square

Proposition 2.39. *Let $T \in B(H)$ be an n -normal operator. If T is quasinilpotent, then T is nilpotent, and hence T is subscalar.*

Proof. Since T is quasinilpotent, $\sigma(T) = \{0\}$. Hence by the spectral mapping theorem we get $\sigma(T^n) = \sigma(T)^n = \{0\}$. Thus T^n is quasinilpotent and normal. So $T^n = 0$ i.e., T is nilpotent and T is algebraic operator and hence by [3], T is subscalar. \square

Proposition 2.40. *Let $T \in B(H)$ be a 2-normal Operator with the property that $\sigma(T) \cap (-\sigma(T)) = \emptyset$. Then T is subscalar of order 2.*

Proof. Consider an arbitrary bounded disk $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ which contains $\sigma(T)$ and the quotient space $H(D) = W^2(D, H)/(\lambda - T)W^2(D, H)$ endowed with the Hilbert space norm. The class of a vector or an operator A on $H(D)$ will be denoted respectively by \tilde{f} , \tilde{A} . Let M be the operator of multiplication by λ on $W^2(D, H)$. Then M is a scalar operator of order 2 and has a spectral distribution ϕ . Let $S = \tilde{M}$. Since $(\lambda - T)W^2(D, H)$ is invariant under every operator M_f , $f \in C_0^2(C)$, we infer that S is a scalar operator of order 2 with spectral distribution ϕ .

Consider the natural map $V : H \longrightarrow H(D)$ denoted by $Vh = 1 \otimes h$, for $h \in H$, where $1 \otimes h$ denotes the constant function sending $\lambda \in D$ to h . Then $VT = SV$. In particular $R(V)$ is an invariant subspace for S . Now we shall prove that V is one to one and has closed range.

Let $\{h_n\}$, $\{f_n\}$ be sequences respectively in H , $W^2(D, H)$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(\lambda - T)f_n + 1 \otimes h\|_{W^2} = 0. \quad (8)$$

It suffices to show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n = 0$.

By the definition of the norm of Sobolev space 8 implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(\lambda - T)\bar{\partial}^i f_n\|_{2,D} = 0. \quad (9)$$

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(\lambda - T)\bar{\partial}^i f_n\|_{2,D} = 0$ Since T^2 is normal, for $i = 1, 2$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(\bar{\lambda}^2 - T^{*2})\bar{\partial}^i f_n\|_{2,D} \|\bar{\partial}^i f_n\|_{2,D} = 0. \quad (10)$$

Since $\lambda - T$ invertible for $\lambda \in D \setminus \sigma(T)$, 9 implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\bar{\partial}^i f_n\|_{2,D \setminus \sigma(T)} = 0$. Therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(\bar{\lambda} - T^*)\bar{\partial}^i f_n\|_{2,D \setminus \sigma(T)} = 0. \quad (11)$$

Since for $\sigma(T) \cap (-\sigma(T)) = \emptyset$ and $\sigma(T^*) = \sigma(T)^*$, $\lambda + T^*$ is invertible for $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$. Therefore from 10 we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(\bar{\lambda} - T^*)\bar{\partial}^i f_n\|_{2,\sigma(T)} = 0. \quad (12)$$

Hence by 11 and 12 we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(\bar{\lambda} - T^*)\bar{\partial}^i f_n\|_{2,D} = 0. \quad (13)$$

By [6, Proposition 2.1], we obtain

$$\lim \| (I - P)f_i \|_{2,D} = 0, \quad (14)$$

where P denotes the orthogonal projection of $L^2(D, H)$ onto the Bergman space

$A^2(D, H)$. Substituting 14 into 8, we get $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(\lambda - T)Pf_n + 1 \otimes h_n\|_{2,D} = 0$. Let Γ be a curve in D Surrounding $\sigma(T)$. Then for $\lambda \in \Gamma$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Pf_n(\lambda) + (\lambda - T)^{-1}(1 \otimes h)\| = 0$$

uniformly. Hence by Riesz-Dunford functional

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} Pf_n(\lambda) d\lambda + h_n \right\| = 0.$$

But since $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} Pf_n(\lambda) d\lambda = 0$, by Cauchy's theorem calculus, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n = 0$. Thus V is one to one and has closed range. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. S.A. Alzuraiqi is thankful to the Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research, Republic of Yemen for scholarship to peruse his Ph.D. studies, and to Albaida University, Republic of Yemen for granting study leave. The authors would like to thank Professor S.J. Bhatt for helpful discussions. The UGC-SAP-DRS support to the Department of Mathematics is gratefully acknowledged by both the authors..

References

- [1] C.Kitai, *Invariant Closed Sets for Linear Operators*,(1982) *Ph.D. Thesis, University of Toronto*.
- [2] D.A. Herrero, C. Kitai, On invertible hypercyclic operators, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, (116)(1995), 873–875.
- [3] E. Ko, Algebraic and triangular n -hyponormal operator, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, (123)(1995),873–875.
- [4] J.B. Conway, *Supnormal Operators*,(1981) *Pitman,landon*.
- [5] J.B. Conway, *A course in functional analysis*,(1985) *New York: Springer-Verlag*.
- [6] M. Putinar, Hyponormal operators are subscalar, *J. Operator Theory*, (12)(1984), 385-395.
- [7] S. I. Ansari, Hypercyclic and cyclic vector, *J. Funct. Anal.*, (128)(1995), 374-383.
- [8] T. Furuta, A remark on a class of operators , *Proc. Japon. Acad.*, (43)(1967), 607-609.
- [9] W.Rudin, *Functional Analysis*,(1973) *McGraw-Hill*.