

## Research Article

# Unbounded Solutions of the Difference Equation $x_n = x_{n-l}x_{n-k} - 1$

Stevo Stević<sup>1</sup> and Bratislav Iričanin<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Mathematical Institute of the Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts, Knez Mihailova 36/III, 11000 Beograd, Serbia*

<sup>2</sup> *Faculty of Electrical Engineering, University of Belgrade, Bulevar Kralja Aleksandra 73, Belgrade 11120, Serbia*

Correspondence should be addressed to Stevo Stević, sstevic@ptt.rs

Received 14 April 2011; Revised 22 June 2011; Accepted 22 June 2011

Academic Editor: Josef Diblík

Copyright © 2011 S. Stević and B. Iričanin. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The following difference equation  $x_n = x_{n-l}x_{n-k} - 1$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , where  $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k < l$ ,  $\gcd(k, l) = 1$ , and the initial values  $x_{-l}, \dots, x_{-2}, x_{-1}$  are real numbers, has been investigated so far only for some particular values of  $k$  and  $l$ . To get any general result on the equation is turned out as a not so easy problem. In this paper, we give the first result on the behaviour of solutions of the difference equation of general character, by describing the long-term behavior of the solutions of the equation for all values of parameters  $k$  and  $l$ , where the initial values satisfy the following condition  $\min\{x_{-l}, \dots, x_{-2}, x_{-1}\}$ .

## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Studying nonlinear difference equations which do not stem from differential equations attracted considerable attention recently (see, e.g., [1–23] and the references therein).

Some particular cases of the following simple-look polynomial-type difference equation

$$x_n = x_{n-l}x_{n-k} - 1, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k < l$ ,  $\gcd(k, l) = 1$ , and the initial values  $x_{-l}, \dots, x_{-2}, x_{-1}$  are real numbers, have been investigated recently in papers [9–11]. More precisely, in [9] case  $k = 1$ ,  $l = 2$ , was investigated, in [10] case  $k = 2$ ,  $l = 3$  was investigated, while in [11] case  $k = 1$ ,  $l = 3$  was investigated. Studying (1.1) turned out to be much more interesting than we had expected. Beside this, the behaviour of solutions of the equation is quite different for different values of  $k$  and  $l$ , and the methods we have used so far have been very different. Hence, it is of some

interest to obtain some results which hold for all values of  $k$  and  $l$ . The problem turned out to be a tough task, however, we managed to obtain a result of general character.

In this paper, we completely describe the long-term behavior of solutions of difference equation (1.1) where  $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k < l$ ,  $\gcd(k, l) = 1$ , and the initial values  $x_{-l}, \dots, x_{-2}, x_{-1}$  satisfy the condition

$$\min\{x_{-l}, \dots, x_{-2}, x_{-1}\} > \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}. \quad (1.2)$$

Note that if  $\bar{x}$  is an equilibrium of (1.1), then it satisfies the following equation:

$$\bar{x}^2 - \bar{x} - 1 = 0. \quad (1.3)$$

Hence (1.1) has exactly two equilibria, one positive and one negative, which we denote by  $\bar{x}_1$  and  $\bar{x}_2$ , respectively:

$$\bar{x}_1 =: \frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2}, \quad \bar{x}_2 =: \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}. \quad (1.4)$$

## 2. An Auxiliary Result

In this section, we prove an auxiliary result on the periodicity which will be used in the proof of the main result in this paper. The result is incorporated in the following lemma. Before we formulate and prove the lemma recall that a solution of difference equation (1.1) is called trivial if it is eventually equal to one of the equilibria in (1.4).

**Lemma 2.1.** *Assume that  $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k < l$ , and  $\gcd(k, l) = 1$ , then difference equation (1.1) does not have any nontrivial periodic solution of period  $l$ .*

*Proof.* First, note that any solution of (1.1) with nonzero terms can be prolonged for all negative indices by the equation

$$x_{n-l} = \frac{x_n + 1}{x_{n-k}}. \quad (2.1)$$

Assume that  $p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{l-1}$  is a nontrivial solution of difference equation (1.1) with period  $l$ .

The solution obviously satisfies the following nonlinear system of  $l$  (algebraic) equations:

$$p_{i+k} = p_{i+k} p_i - 1, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, l-1, \quad (2.2)$$

where if an index  $i + k$  is outside the set  $\{0, 1, \dots, l-1\}$ , we regard that

$$p_{i+k} = p_{i+k \pmod{l}}. \quad (2.3)$$

If some of  $p_i$ s were equal to zero, we would get  $0 = -1$ , which would be a contradiction. Hence, we have that

$$p_i \neq 0, \quad \text{for each } i \in \{0, 1, \dots, l-1\}. \quad (2.4)$$

Moreover, according to the above-mentioned comment related to negative indices the solution can be regarded as a two-sided periodic solution, that is, the solution is of the form  $(x_n)_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ .

System (2.2) is equivalent to

$$p_i = \frac{p_{i+k} + 1}{p_{i+k}} = f(p_{i+k}), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, l-1. \quad (2.5)$$

From this and  $l$  periodicity of the sequence  $(p_i)$ , it follows that

$$p_i = f^{[l]}(p_{i+k}) = f^{[l]}(p_i), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, l-1, \quad (2.6)$$

that is,  $p_i, i = 0, 1, \dots, l-1$ , are solutions of the equation

$$x = f^{[l]}(x). \quad (2.7)$$

It is clear that the equation can be written in the form

$$x = \frac{a_l x + b_l}{c_l x + d_l}, \quad (2.8)$$

for some real numbers  $a_l, b_l, c_l$ , and  $d_l$  and that they are obtained in the following way:

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_l & b_l \\ c_l & d_l \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{l-1} & b_{l-1} \\ c_{l-1} & d_{l-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^l. \quad (2.9)$$

Hence,

$$a_l = a_{l-1} + b_{l-1}, \quad b_l = a_{l-1}, \quad l \geq 2, \quad (2.10)$$

$$c_l = c_{l-1} + d_{l-1}, \quad d_l = c_{l-1}, \quad l \geq 2. \quad (2.11)$$

We now prove that

$$c_l = a_{l-1}, \quad d_l = b_{l-1}, \quad l \geq 2. \quad (2.12)$$

For  $l = 2$ , the equality in (2.12) is obvious. If (2.12) is true for  $l - 1$ , then from the inductive hypothesis and the equalities in (2.10) and (2.11), we obtain the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} c_l &= c_{l-1} + d_{l-1} = a_{l-2} + b_{l-2} = a_{l-1}, \\ d_l &= c_{l-1} = a_{l-2} = b_{l-1}, \quad l \geq 3, \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

finishing the inductive proof of the claim.

If  $x$  is a solution to (2.8), then a simple calculation shows that the following equality holds:

$$c_l x^2 + (d_l - a_l)x - b_l = 0, \quad (2.14)$$

which is equivalent to

$$a_{l-1}(x^2 - x - 1) = 0. \quad (2.15)$$

From this, and since from (2.10) we have  $a_l \geq a_{l-1} \geq \dots \geq a_1 = 1$ , for  $l \geq 2$ , it follows that  $x = \bar{x}_1$  or  $x = \bar{x}_2$ . Hence, each  $p_i$  is equal to one of these two numbers.

Assume that  $p_i = \bar{x}_1$  for some  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, l-1\}$ , then

$$p_i = f^{[l]}(p_i) = p_{i-jk}, \quad (2.16)$$

for each  $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, l-1\}$ . If it were  $i - j_1k = i - j_2k \pmod{l}$  for some  $j_1, j_2 \in \{0, 1, \dots, l-1\}$ , such that  $j_1 \neq j_2$ , we would have  $(j_1 - j_2)k = 0 \pmod{l}$ , which is impossible due to the assumption  $\gcd(k, l) = 1$ . Hence,  $p_i = \bar{x}_1$ , for each  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, l-1\}$ .

Similarly, it is proved that if  $p_i = \bar{x}_2$  for some  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, l-1\}$ , then  $p_i = \bar{x}_2$ , for each  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, l-1\}$ . From all, the above mentioned, the lemma follows.  $\square$

### 3. Unbounded Solutions of (1.1)

The following general theorem shows the existence of unbounded solutions of (1.1) relative to the set of initial conditions of the equation. The existence of various type of solutions of difference equations, such as monotonous, nontrivial, or periodic, has attracted also some attention recently (see, for example, [2–6, 8, 12–17, 24, 25] and the related references therein).

**Theorem 3.1.** *Assume that  $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k < l$ , and  $(x_n)_{n \geq -l}$  is a solution of (1.1), then the following statements hold true:*

(a) if

$$\min\{|x_{-l}|, \dots, |x_{-2}|, |x_{-1}|\} > \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} = \bar{x}_2, \quad (3.1)$$

then the subsequences

$$\left(|x_{(m-1)l-i}|\right)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, l \quad (3.2)$$

are strictly increasing,

(b) if condition (3.1) holds and there is an  $i_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$  such that the subsequence  $\left(|x_{(m-1)l-i_0}|\right)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  is bounded, then the sequence  $(|x_n|)_{n \geq -l}$  is bounded too,

(c) if  $\gcd(k, l) = 1$  and

$$\min\{x_{-l}, \dots, x_{-2}, x_{-1}\} > \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} = \bar{x}_2, \quad (3.3)$$

then the sequence  $(x_n)_{n \geq -l}$  tends to  $+\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ .

*Proof.* (a) From the hypothesis, we have that

$$|x_{j-k}| - 1 > \bar{x}_2 - 1, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1, \quad (3.4)$$

and so

$$|x_{j-l}|(|x_{j-k}| - 1) > \bar{x}_2(\bar{x}_2 - 1) = 1, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1. \quad (3.5)$$

Hence,

$$|x_{j-l}||x_{j-k}| - |x_{j-l}| > 1, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1, \quad (3.6)$$

or equivalently

$$|x_{j-l}||x_{j-k}| - 1 > |x_{j-l}|, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1. \quad (3.7)$$

On the other hand, we have

$$|x_j| = |x_{j-l}x_{j-k} - 1| > |x_{j-l}||x_{j-k}| - 1, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1. \quad (3.8)$$

Combining (3.7) and (3.8), we have that

$$|x_j| > |x_{j-l}| > \bar{x}_2, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1. \quad (3.9)$$

Assume that we have proved

$$\bar{x}_2 < |x_{j-l}| < |x_j|, \quad (3.10)$$

for  $0 \leq j \leq j_0 < l-1$ .

Since  $j_0 + 1 - k \leq j_0$ , we can apply (3.10) and get

$$|x_{j_0+1-l}|(|x_{j_0+1-k}| - 1) > \bar{x}_2(\bar{x}_2 - 1) = 1. \quad (3.11)$$

Hence, from (1.1), the triangle inequality, (3.11), and hypothesis (3.10), we obtain

$$|x_{j_0+1}| = |x_{j_0+1-l}x_{j_0+1-k} - 1| > |x_{j_0+1-l}||x_{j_0+1-k}| - 1 > |x_{j_0+1-l}| > \bar{x}_2. \quad (3.12)$$

Hence, by the induction, we get

$$\bar{x}_2 < |x_{j-l}| < |x_j|, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, l-1. \quad (3.13)$$

Now assume that we have proved

$$\bar{x}_2 < |x_{j-l}| < |x_j| < |x_{l+j}| < \cdots < |x_{ml+j}|, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, l-1, \quad (3.14)$$

for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

Since (1.1) is autonomous, the sequence  $y_n = x_{n+(m+1)l}$ ,  $n \geq -l$  is the solution of the equation with initial conditions

$$y_{-l} = x_{ml}, \dots, y_{-1} = x_{ml+l-1}. \quad (3.15)$$

By what we have proved, it follows that

$$\bar{x}_2 < |y_{j-l}| < |y_j|, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, l-1, \quad (3.16)$$

or equivalently

$$\bar{x}_2 < |x_{ml+j}| < |x_{(m+1)l+j}|, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, l-1, \quad (3.17)$$

from which the inductive proof of the statement follows.

(b) Without loss of generality, we may assume that the subsequence  $(|x_{(m-1)l}|)_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  is bounded, since the other cases are obtained by shifting indices. Since by (a) the subsequence is increasing, then it increasingly converges, say to  $a > \bar{x}_2$ .

From this, and since

$$x_{ml-k} = \frac{1 + x_{ml}}{x_{(m-1)l}}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad (3.18)$$

we get

$$|x_{ml-k}| = \frac{|1 + x_{ml}|}{|x_{(m-1)l}|} < \frac{1 + a}{\bar{x}_2}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (3.19)$$

Hence, the subsequence  $(|x_{ml-k}|)_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  is bounded too, which along with the statement in (a) implies that it is convergent. Inductively, we get that the subsequences  $(|x_{ml-sk}|)$  are bounded and consequently convergent for each  $s \in \{0, 1, \dots, l-1\}$ . Now, note that these  $l$  subsequences have disjoint sets of indices. Indeed, if we had

$$m_1 l - s_1 k = m_2 l - s_2 k, \quad (3.20)$$

for some  $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $s_1, s_2 \in \{0, 1, \dots, l-1\}$ , then we would have  $(s_1 - s_2)k \equiv 0 \pmod{l}$ . Since  $|s_1 - s_2| < l$ , it would mean that  $\gcd(k, l) > 1$ , which would be a contradiction.

This implies that all the subsequences  $(|x_{(m-1)l-i}|)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$  are convergent, from which the boundedness of  $(|x_n|)_{n \geq -l}$  follows.

(c) Since in this case solution  $(x_n)_{n \geq -l}$  of (1.1) is positive, by the proof of (b), we have that the subsequences  $(x_{(m-1)l-i})_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$  are convergent. Hence, the solution

either converges to a period  $l$  solution or to an equilibrium of (1.1). However, according to Lemma 2.1, (1.1) does not have any nontrivial solution of period  $l$ . Therefore, it must converge to an equilibrium, but, this is not possible because the largest equilibrium point is smaller than  $\min\{x_{-l}, \dots, x_{-2}, x_{-1}\}$ , which is a contradiction, finishing the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

*Question.* An interesting problem is to investigate whether condition (3.1) guarantees that  $|x_n| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

## Acknowledgments

The first author of this paper would like to thank Professors C. Kent and W. Kosmala for some suggestions given during the preparation of the paper. This paper is partially supported by the Serbian Ministry of Science projects III 41025, III 44006 and OI 171007.

## References

- [1] L. Berezansky and E. Braverman, "On impulsive Beverton-Holt difference equations and their applications," *Journal of Difference Equations and Applications*, vol. 10, no. 9, pp. 851–868, 2004.
- [2] L. Berg, "On the asymptotics of nonlinear difference equations," *Zeitschrift für Analysis und ihre Anwendungen*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 1061–1074, 2002.
- [3] L. Berg, "Inclusion theorems for non-linear difference equations with applications," *Journal of Difference Equations and Applications*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 399–408, 2004.
- [4] L. Berg, "On the asymptotics of the difference equation  $x_{n-3} = x_n(1 + x_{n-1}x_{n-2})$ ," *Journal of Difference Equations and Applications*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 105–108, 2008.
- [5] L. Berg and S. Stević, "On the asymptotics of the difference equation  $y_n(1 + y_{n-1} \cdots y_{n-k} + 1) = y_{n-k}$ ," *Journal of Difference Equations and Applications*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 577–586, 2011.
- [6] B. Iričanin and S. Stević, "Eventually constant solutions of a rational difference equation," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 215, no. 2, pp. 854–856, 2009.
- [7] G. Karakostas, "Asymptotic 2-periodic difference equations with diagonally self-invertible responses," *Journal of Difference Equations and Applications*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 329–335, 2000.
- [8] C. M. Kent, "Convergence of solutions in a nonhyperbolic case," *Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods and Applications*, vol. 47, no. 7, pp. 4651–4665, 2001.
- [9] C. M. Kent, W. Kosmala, M. A. Radin, and S. Stević, "Solutions of the difference equation  $x_{n+1} = x_n x_{n-1} - 1$ ," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, Article ID 469683, 13 pages, 2010.
- [10] C. M. Kent, W. Kosmala, and S. Stević, "Long-term behavior of solutions of the difference equation  $x_{n+1} = x_{n-1} x_{n-2} - 1$ ," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, Article ID 152378, 17 pages, 2010.
- [11] C. M. Kent, W. Kosmala, and S. Stević, "On the difference equation  $x_{n+1} = x_n x_{n-2} - 1$ ," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2011, Article ID 815285, 15 pages, 2011.
- [12] S. Stević, "On the recursive sequence  $x_{n+1} = x_{n-1}/g(x_n)$ ," *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 405–414, 2002.
- [13] S. Stević, "Global stability and asymptotics of some classes of rational difference equations," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 316, no. 1, pp. 60–68, 2006.
- [14] S. Stević, "On positive solutions of a  $(k+1)$ -th order difference equation," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 19, no. 5, pp. 427–431, 2006.
- [15] S. Stević, "Asymptotics of some classes of higher-order difference equations," *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, Article ID 56813, 20 pages, 2007.
- [16] S. Stević, "Existence of nontrivial solutions of a rational difference equation," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 28–31, 2007.
- [17] S. Stević, "Nontrivial solutions of a higher-order rational difference equation," *Mathematical Notes*, vol. 84, no. 5-6, pp. 718–724, 2008.
- [18] S. Stević, "On the recursive sequence  $x_{n+1} = \max\{c, x_n^p/x_{n-1}^p\}$ ," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 21, no. 8, pp. 791–796, 2008.

- [19] S. Stević, "Boundedness character of a class of difference equations," *Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods and Applications*, vol. 70, no. 2, pp. 839–848, 2009.
- [20] S. Stević, "Global stability of a max-type difference equation," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 216, no. 1, pp. 354–356, 2010.
- [21] S. Stević, "Global stability of some symmetric difference equations," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 216, no. 1, pp. 179–186, 2010.
- [22] S. Stević, "Periodicity of a class of nonautonomous max-type difference equations," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 217, no. 23, pp. 9562–9566, 2011.
- [23] S. Stević, "On a nonlinear generalized max-type difference equation," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 376, no. 1, pp. 317–328, 2011.
- [24] J. Baštinec, J. Diblík, and Z. Šmarda, "Existence of positive solutions of discrete linear equations with a single delay," *Journal of Difference Equations and Applications*, vol. 16, no. 9, pp. 1047–1056, 2010.
- [25] J. Diblík, E. Schmeidel, and M. Ružičková, "Existence of asymptotically periodic solutions of system of Volterra difference equations," *Journal of Difference Equations and Applications*, vol. 15, no. 11-12, pp. 1165–1177, 2009.





# Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at  
<http://www.hindawi.com>

