

## Research Article

# Argument Property for Certain Analytic Functions

**Qing Yang and Jin-Lin Liu**

*Department of Mathematics, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou 225002, China*

Correspondence should be addressed to Jin-Lin Liu, [jlliu@yzu.edu.cn](mailto:jlliu@yzu.edu.cn)

Received 18 September 2011; Accepted 1 November 2011

Academic Editor: Khalida Inayat Noor

Copyright © 2012 Q. Yang and J.-L. Liu. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Let  $P$  be the class of functions  $p(z)$  of the form  $p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n$  which are analytic in the open unit disk  $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ . The object of the present paper is to derive certain argument inequalities of analytic functions  $p(z)$  in  $P$ .

## 1. Introduction

Let  $P$  be the class of functions  $p(z)$  of the form

$$p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n, \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk  $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ . For functions  $p$  and  $g$  in the class  $P$ , we say that  $p$  is subordinate to  $g$  if there exists an analytic function  $w$  in  $U$  with  $w(0) = 0$ ,  $|w(z)| < 1$  ( $z \in U$ ), and such that  $p(z) = g(w(z))$  ( $z \in U$ ). We denote this subordination by

$$p < g \quad (z \in U). \quad (1.2)$$

If  $g$  is univalent in  $U$ , then this subordination  $p < g$  is equivalent to  $p(0) = g(0)$  and  $p(U) \subset g(U)$ .

Recently, several authors investigated various argument properties of analytic functions (see, e.g., [1–6]). The object of the present paper is to discuss some argument inequalities for  $p$  in the class  $P$ .

Throughout this paper, we let

$$0 < \alpha_1 \leq 1, \quad 0 < \alpha_2 \leq 1, \quad \beta = \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}, \quad c = e^{\beta\pi i}. \quad (1.3)$$

In order to prove our main result, we will need the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.1** (see [6]). *Let  $\lambda_0, \lambda, a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ . Also let*

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_0 a \geq 0, \quad \lambda(b+2) \geq 0, \quad (b+1) \operatorname{Re}(\mu) \geq 0, \\ |b+1| \leq \frac{2}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}, \quad |a-b-1| \leq \frac{1}{\max\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

If  $q \in \mathcal{P}$  satisfies

$$\lambda_0 (q(z))^a + \lambda (q(z))^{b+2} + \mu (q(z))^{b+1} + zq'(z)(q(z))^b < h(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}), \quad (1.5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} h(z) = \lambda_0 \left( \frac{1+cZ}{1-z} \right)^{a(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)/2} + \left( \frac{1+cZ}{1-z} \right)^{(1/2)(b+1)(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)} \\ \times \left( \mu + \lambda \left( \frac{1+cZ}{1-z} \right)^{(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)/2} + \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2} \left( \frac{z}{1-z} + \frac{cZ}{1+cZ} \right) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

is (close to convex) univalent, then

$$-\frac{\alpha_2\pi}{2} < \arg(q(z)) < \frac{\alpha_1\pi}{2} \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}). \quad (1.7)$$

The bounds  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  in (1.7) are sharp for the function  $q$  defined by

$$q(z) = \left( \frac{1+cZ}{1-z} \right)^{(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)/2}. \quad (1.8)$$

*Remark 1.2* (see [6]). The function  $q$  defined by (1.8) is analytic and univalently convex in  $\mathcal{U}$  and

$$q(\mathcal{U}) = \left\{ w : w \in \mathbb{C}, -\frac{\alpha_2\pi}{2} < \arg w < \frac{\alpha_1\pi}{2} \right\}. \quad (1.9)$$

## 2. Main Result

Our main theorem is given by the following.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let*

$$\lambda_0 > 0, \quad 0 < a \leq \frac{1}{\max\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}}, \quad |b + 1| \leq \frac{2}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}, \quad 0 \leq a - b - 1 \leq \frac{1}{\max\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}}. \quad (2.1)$$

If  $p \in P$  satisfies

$$-\frac{\gamma_2\pi}{2} < \arg\left(\lambda_0(p(z))^a + zp'(z)(p(z))^b\right) < \frac{\gamma_1\pi}{2} \quad (z \in U), \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_j &= \gamma_j(a, b, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) \\ &= a\alpha_j + \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{((\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)/2) \cos(\beta\pi/2) \cos((a - b - 1)\alpha_j\pi/2)}{2\lambda_0\delta_j(a, b, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) + ((\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)/2) \cos(\beta\pi/2) \sin((a - b - 1)\alpha_j\pi/2)} \right) \\ &\quad (j = 1, 2), \\ \delta_j(a, b, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) &= \frac{\left[ \left(1 - ((\mathcal{A}) \cos(\beta\pi/2))^2\right)^{1/2} + (-1)^j \sin(\beta\pi/2) \right]^{1+\mathcal{A}}}{2 \left[ 1 - ((\mathcal{A}) \cos(\beta\pi/2))^2 + (-1)^j \left(1 - ((\mathcal{A}) \cos(\beta\pi/2))^2\right)^{1/2} \sin(\beta\pi/2) \right]^{\mathcal{A}}} \quad (j = 1, 2), \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where  $\mathcal{A}$  denotes  $(a - b - 1)(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)/2$ , then

$$-\frac{\alpha_2\pi}{2} < \arg(p(z)) < \frac{\alpha_1\pi}{2} \quad (z \in U). \quad (2.4)$$

The bounds  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  in (2.2) are the largest numbers such that (2.4) holds true.

*Proof.* By taking  $\lambda = \mu = 0$  in Lemma 1.1, we find that if  $p \in P$  satisfies

$$\lambda_0(p(z))^a + zp'(z)(p(z))^b < h(z) \quad (z \in U), \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$h(z) = \left( \frac{1 + cz}{1 - z} \right)^{(b+1)(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)/2} \left( \lambda_0 \left( \frac{1 + cz}{1 - z} \right)^{(a-b-1)(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)/2} + \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{2} \left( \frac{z}{1 - z} + \frac{cz}{1 + cz} \right) \right), \quad (2.6)$$

then (2.4) holds true.

For  $z = e^{i\theta}$ ,  $z \neq 1$  and  $z \neq -1/c$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{z}{1-z} &= -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{i}{2} \cot \frac{\theta}{2}, & \frac{cz}{1+cz} &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{i}{2} \tan \frac{\theta + \beta\pi}{2}, \\ \frac{1+cz}{1-z} &= \frac{1+e^{i(\theta+\beta\pi)}}{1-e^{i\theta}} = \frac{\cos((\theta+\beta\pi)/2)}{\sin(\theta/2)} e^{\alpha_1\pi i/(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)} \neq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

We consider the following two cases.

(i) If

$$k(\theta) = \cos \frac{\theta + \beta\pi}{2} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} > 0, \quad (2.8)$$

then from (2.7), and (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(e^{i\theta}) &= \left( \frac{\cos((\theta + \beta\pi)/2)}{\sin(\theta/2)} \right)^{(b+1)(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)/2} \cdot e^{(b+1)\alpha_1\pi i/2} \\ &\quad \times \left( \lambda_0 \left( \frac{\cos((\theta + \beta\pi)/2)}{\sin(\theta/2)} \right)^{(a-b-1)(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)/2} \cdot e^{(a-b-1)\alpha_1\pi i/2} + i \frac{(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \cos(\beta\pi/2)}{4k(\theta)} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

and so

$$\arg(h(e^{i\theta})) = \frac{1}{2} a\alpha_1\pi + \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{((\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)/2) \cos(\beta\pi/2) \cos((a-b-1)\alpha_1\pi/2)}{2\lambda_0 k_1(\theta) + ((\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)/2) \cos(\beta\pi/2) \sin((a-b-1)\alpha_1\pi/2)} \right), \quad (2.10)$$

where  $\lambda_0 > 0$ ,  $0 \leq (a-b-1)(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \leq 2$ ,  $e^{i\theta} \neq 1$ ,  $e^{i\theta} \neq -1/c$ ,

$$k_1(\theta) = k(\theta) \left( \frac{\cos((\theta + \beta\pi)/2)}{\sin(\theta/2)} \right)^{(a-b-1)(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)/2} > 0. \quad (2.11)$$

We now calculate the maximum value of  $k_1(\theta)$ . It is easy to verify that

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} k_1(\theta) = \lim_{e^{i\theta} \rightarrow -1/c} k_1(\theta) = 0 \quad (2.12)$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned}
 k_1'(\theta) &= -\frac{(a-b-1)(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}{4} \left( \frac{\cos((\theta+\beta\pi)/2)}{\sin(\theta/2)} \right)^{(a-b-1)(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)/2-1} \cdot \frac{\cos(\beta\pi/2)}{(\sin(\theta/2))^2} k(\theta) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\cos((\theta+\beta\pi)/2)}{\sin(\theta/2)} \right)^{(a-b-1)(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)/2} \cdot \cos\left(\theta + \frac{\beta\pi}{2}\right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\cos((\theta+\beta\pi)/2)}{\sin(\theta/2)} \right)^{(a-b-1)(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)/2} \cdot \\
 &\quad \times \left( \cos\left(\theta + \frac{\beta\pi}{2}\right) - \frac{(a-b-1)(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}{2} \cos\frac{\beta\pi}{2} \right).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

Set

$$\theta_1 = \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{(a-b-1)(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}{2} \cos\frac{\beta\pi}{2} \right) - \frac{\beta\pi}{2}, \tag{2.14}$$

then  $k_1'(\theta_1) = 0$ . Noting that

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\leq (a-b-1)(\alpha_1+\alpha_2) \leq 2, \quad -1 < \beta < 1, \\
 \frac{|\beta|\pi}{2} &< \cos^{-1} \left( \frac{(a-b-1)(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}{2} \cos\frac{\beta\pi}{2} \right) < \frac{\pi}{2},
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

we easily have

$$0 < \theta_1 < \pi, \quad 0 < \theta_1 + \frac{\beta\pi}{2} < \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad 0 < \frac{\theta_1 + \beta\pi}{2} < \frac{\pi}{2}. \tag{2.16}$$

Hence,  $k(\theta_1) > 0$ , and it follows from (2.11) to (2.16) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &< k_1(\theta) \leq k_1(\theta_1) \\
 &= \left( \sin\frac{\theta_1}{2} \right)^{-(a-b-1)(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)} \cdot \left( \cos\frac{\theta_1+\beta\pi}{2} \sin\frac{\theta_1}{2} \right)^{1+\mathcal{A}} \\
 &= \left( \frac{1-\cos\theta_1}{2} \right)^{-\mathcal{A}} \cdot \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( \sin\left(\theta_1 + \frac{\beta\pi}{2}\right) - \sin\frac{\beta\pi}{2} \right) \right)^{1+\mathcal{A}} \\
 &= \frac{\left[ \left( 1 - ((\mathcal{A}) \cos(\beta\pi/2))^2 \right)^{1/2} - \sin(\beta\pi/2) \right]^{1+\mathcal{A}}}{2 \left[ 1 - ((\mathcal{A}) \cos(\beta\pi/2))^2 - \left( 1 - ((\mathcal{A}) \cos(\beta\pi/2))^2 \right)^{1/2} \sin(\beta\pi/2) \right]^\mathcal{A}} \\
 &= \delta_1(a, b, \alpha_1, \alpha_2),
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

where  $\mathcal{A}$  denotes  $(a - b - 1)(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)/2$ . Thus, by using (2.1), (2.10), and (2.17), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \pi &> \arg\left(h\left(e^{i\theta}\right)\right) \geq \arg\left(h\left(e^{i\theta_1}\right)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}a\alpha_1\pi + \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{((\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)/2) \cos(\beta\pi/2) \cos((a - b - 1)\alpha_1\pi/2)}{2\lambda_0\delta_1(a, b, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) + ((\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)/2) \cos(\beta\pi/2) \sin((a - b - 1)\alpha_1\pi/2)}\right) \\ &= \frac{\gamma_1\pi}{2} > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

(ii) If  $k(\theta) < 0$ , then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h\left(e^{i\theta}\right) &= \left(-\frac{\cos((\theta + \beta\pi)/2)}{\sin(\theta/2)}\right)^{(b+1)(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)/2} \cdot e^{-(b+1)\alpha_2\pi i/2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\lambda_0\left(-\frac{\cos((\theta + \beta\pi)/2)}{\sin(\theta/2)}\right)^{(a-b-1)(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)/2} \cdot e^{-(a-b-1)\alpha_2\pi i/2} + i\frac{(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \cos(\beta\pi/2)}{4k(\theta)}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

which leads to

$$\arg\left(h\left(e^{i\theta}\right)\right) = -\frac{1}{2}a\alpha_2\pi - \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{((\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)/2) \cos(\beta\pi/2) \cos((a - b - 1)\alpha_2\pi/2)}{2\lambda_0k_2(\theta) + ((\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)/2) \cos(\beta\pi/2) \cos((a - b - 1)\alpha_2\pi/2)}\right), \tag{2.20}$$

where  $\lambda_0 > 0$ ,  $0 \leq (a - b - 1)(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \leq 2$ ,  $e^{i\theta} \neq 1$ ,  $e^{i\theta} \neq -1/c$ ,

$$k_2(\theta) = -k(\theta) \left(-\frac{\cos((\theta + \beta\pi)/2)}{\sin(\theta/2)}\right)^{(a-b-1)(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)/2} > 0. \tag{2.21}$$

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} k_2(\theta) &= \lim_{e^{i\theta} \rightarrow -1/c} k_2(\theta) = 0, \\ k_2'(\theta) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{\cos((\theta + \beta\pi)/2)}{\sin(\theta/2)}\right)^{(a-b-1)(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)/2} \left(\frac{(a - b - 1)(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)}{2} \cos \frac{\beta\pi}{2} - \cos\left(-\theta - \frac{\beta\pi}{2}\right)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

Let

$$\theta_2 = -\cos^{-1}\left(\frac{(a - b - 1)(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)}{2} \cos \frac{\beta\pi}{2}\right) - \frac{\beta\pi}{2}, \tag{2.23}$$

then  $k'_2(\theta_2) = 0, \theta_1 + \theta_2 = -\beta\pi,$

$$-\pi < \theta_2 < 0, \quad -\frac{\pi}{2} < \theta_2 + \frac{\beta\pi}{2} < 0, \quad -\frac{\pi}{2} < \frac{\theta_2 + \beta\pi}{2} < 0. \tag{2.24}$$

Hence, we deduce that  $k(\theta_2) < 0$  and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < k_2(\theta) &\leq k_2(\theta_2) \\ &= \left(-\sin \frac{\theta_2}{2}\right)^{-(a-b-1)(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)} \cdot \left(-\cos \frac{\theta_2 + \beta\pi}{2} \sin \frac{\theta_2}{2}\right)^{1+\mathcal{A}} \\ &= \left(\frac{1 - \cos \theta_2}{2}\right)^{-\mathcal{A}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\sin \frac{\beta\pi}{2} - \sin\left(\theta_2 + \frac{\beta\pi}{2}\right)\right)\right)^{1+\mathcal{A}} \\ &= \frac{\left[\left(1 - ((\mathcal{A}) \cos(\beta\pi/2))^2\right)^{1/2} + \sin(\beta\pi/2)\right]^{1+\mathcal{A}}}{2\left[1 - (\mathcal{A})(\cos(\beta\pi/2))^2 + \left(1 - ((\mathcal{A}) \cos(\beta\pi/2))^2\right)^{1/2} \sin(\beta\pi/2)\right]^{\mathcal{A}}} \\ &= \delta_2(a, b, \alpha_1, \alpha_2), \end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

where  $\mathcal{A} = (a - b - 1)(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)/2$ . Further, by using (2.1), (2.20), and (2.25), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} -\pi < \arg\left(h\left(e^{i\theta}\right)\right) &\leq \arg\left(h\left(e^{i\theta_2}\right)\right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}a\alpha_2\pi - \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{((\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)/2) \cos(\beta\pi/2) \cos((a - b - 1)\alpha_2\pi/2)}{2\lambda_0\delta_2(a, b, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) + ((\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)/2) \cos(\beta\pi/2) \cos((a - b - 1)\alpha_2\pi/2)}\right) \\ &= -\frac{\gamma_2\pi}{2} < 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

In view of  $h(0) = 1 > 0$ , we conclude from (2.18) and (2.26) that  $h(U)$  properly contains the angular region  $-\gamma_2\pi/2 < \arg w < \gamma_1\pi/2$  in the complex  $w$ -plane. Therefore, if  $p \in P$  satisfies (2.2), then the subordination relation (2.5) holds true, and thus we arrive at (2.4).

Furthermore, for the function  $q$  defined by (1.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\alpha_2\pi}{2} < \arg(q(z)) &< \frac{\alpha_1\pi}{2} \quad (z \in U), \\ \lambda_0(q(z))^a + zq'(z)(q(z))^b &= h(z). \end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

Hence, by using (2.18) and (2.25), we see that the bounds  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  in (2.2) are best possible.  $\square$

## Acknowledgment

The authors would like to express sincere thanks to the referees for careful reading and suggestions which helped them to improve the paper.

## References

- [1] J.-L. Liu, "The Noor integral and strongly starlike functions," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 261, no. 2, pp. 441–447, 2001.
- [2] M. Nunokawa, "On the order of strongly starlikeness of strongly convex functions," *Proceedings of Japan Academy. Series A*, vol. 69, no. 7, pp. 234–237, 1993.
- [3] M. Nunokawa, S. Owa, E. Y. Duman, and M. Aydoğan, "Some properties of analytic functions relating to the Miller and Mocanu result," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 61, no. 5, pp. 1291–1295, 2011.
- [4] N. Takahashi and M. Nunokawa, "A certain connection between starlike and convex functions," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 16, no. 5, pp. 653–655, 2003.
- [5] N. Xu, D.-G. Yang, and S. Owa, "On strongly starlike multivalent functions of order  $\beta$  and type  $\alpha$ ," *Mathematische Nachrichten*, vol. 283, no. 8, pp. 1207–1218, 2010.
- [6] D.-G. Yang and J.-L. Liu, "Argument inequalities for certain analytic functions," *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 52, no. 9-10, pp. 1812–1821, 2010.





# Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at  
<http://www.hindawi.com>

