

Research Article

Strong Convergence Theorems for Maximal Monotone Operators with Nonspreading Mappings in a Hilbert Space

Hongjie Liu, Junqing Wang, and Qiansheng Feng

School of Science, Tianjin Polytechnic University, Tianjin 300387, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Hongjie Liu, liuhongjie198891@126.com

Received 25 October 2012; Accepted 8 November 2012

Academic Editor: Yongfu Su

Copyright © 2012 Hongjie Liu et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We prove the strong convergence theorems for finding a common element of the set of fixed points of a nonspreading mapping T and the solution sets of zero of a maximal monotone mapping and an α -inverse strongly monotone mapping in a Hilbert space. Manaka and Takahashi (2011) proved weak convergence theorems for maximal monotone operators with nonspreading mappings in a Hilbert space; there we introduced new iterative algorithms and got some strong convergence theorems for maximal monotone operators with nonspreading mappings in a Hilbert space.

1. Introduction

Let H be a real Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, and let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of H . We denote by $F(T)$ the set of fixed point of T . Then, a mapping $T : C \rightarrow C$ is said to be nonexpansive if $\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|$ for all $x, y \in C$. The mapping $T : C \rightarrow C$ is said to be firmly nonexpansive if $\|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \langle x - y, Tx - Ty \rangle$ for all $x, y \in C$; see, for instance, Browder [1] and Goebel and Kirk [2]. The mapping $T : C \rightarrow C$ is said to be firmly nonspreading [3] if

$$2\|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \|Tx - y\|^2 + \|x - Ty\|^2, \quad (1.1)$$

for all $x, y \in C$. Iemoto and Takahashi [4] proved that $T : C \rightarrow C$ is nonspreading if and only if

$$\|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 + 2\langle x - Tx, y - Ty \rangle, \quad (1.2)$$

for all $x, y \in C$. It is not hard to know that a nonspreading mapping is deduced from a firmly nonexpansive mapping; see [5, 6], and a firmly nonexpansive mapping is a nonexpansive mapping.

Many studies have been done for structuring the fixed point of nonexpansive mapping T . In 1953, Mann [7] introduced the iteration as follows: a sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) T x_n, \quad (1.3)$$

where the initial guess $x_1 \in C$ is arbitrary and $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a real sequence in $[0, 1]$. It is known that under appropriate settings, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges weakly to a fixed point of T . However, even in a Hilbert space, Mann iteration may fail to converge strongly, for example see [8].

Some attempts to construct iteration method guaranteeing the strong convergence have been made. For example, Halpern [9] proposed the following so-called Halpern iteration:

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n u + (1 - \alpha_n) T x_n, \quad (1.4)$$

where $u, x_1 \in C$ are arbitrary and $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a real sequence in $[0, 1]$ which satisfies $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_n - \alpha_{n+1}| < \infty$. Then, $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a fixed point of T ; see [9, 10].

In 1975, Baillon [11] first introduced the nonlinear ergodic theorem in Hilbert space as follows:

$$S_n x = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T^k x \quad (1.5)$$

converges weakly to a fixed point of T for some $x \in C$.

Recently, in the case when $T : C \rightarrow C$ is a nonexpansive mapping, $A : C \rightarrow H$ is an α -inverse strongly monotone mapping, and $B \in H \times H$ is a maximal monotone operator, Takahashi et al. [12] proved a strong convergence theorem for finding a point of $F(T) \cap (A + B)^{-1}(0)$, where $F(T)$ is the set of fixed points of T and $(A + B)^{-1}(0)$ is the set of zero points of $A + B$.

In 2011, Manaka and Takahashi [13] for finding a point of the set of fixed points of T and the set of zero points of $A + B$ in a Hilbert space, they introduced an iterative scheme as follows:

$$x_{n+1} = \beta_n x_n + (1 - \beta_n) T(J_{\lambda_n}(I - \lambda_n A)x_n), \quad (1.6)$$

where T is a nonspreading mapping, A is an α -inverse strongly monotone mapping, and B is a maximal monotone operator such that $J_{\lambda} = (I - \lambda B)^{-1}$; $\{\beta_n\}$ and $\{\lambda_n\}$ are sequences which satisfy $0 < c \leq \beta_n \leq d < 1$ and $0 < a \leq \lambda_n \leq b < 2\alpha$. Then they proved that $\{x_n\}$ converges weakly to a point $p = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{F(T) \cap (A+B)^{-1}(0)} x_n$.

Motivated by above authors, we generalize and modify the iterative algorithms (1.5) and (1.6) for finding a common element of the set of fixed points of a nonspreading mapping T and the set of zero points of monotone operator $A + B$ (A is an α -inverse strongly monotone

mapping, and B is a maximal monotone operator). First, we prove that the sequence generated by our iterative method is weak convergence under the property conditions. Then, we prove that the strong convergence in a Hilbert space. As expected, we get some weak and strong convergence theorems about the common element of the set of fixed points of a nonspreading mapping and the set of zero points of an α -inverse strongly monotone mapping and a maximal monotone operator in a Hilbert space.

2. Preliminaries

Let H be a real Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, and let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of H . A set-valued mapping $B : D(B) \subseteq H \rightarrow H$ is said to be monotone if for any $x, y \in D(B)$ and $x^* \in Bx$ and $y^* \in By$, it holds that

$$\langle x - y, x^* - y^* \rangle \geq 0. \tag{2.1}$$

A monotone operator B on H is said to be maximal if B has no monotone extension, that is, its graph is not properly contained in the graph of any other monotone operator on H . For a maximal monotone operator B on H and $r > 0$, we may define a single-valued operator $J_r = (I + rB)^{-1} : 2^H \rightarrow D(B)$, which is called the resolvent of B for $r > 0$. Let B be a maximal monotone operator on H , and let $B^{-1}(0) = \{x \in H : 0 \in Bx\}$. For a constant $\alpha > 0$, the mapping $A : C \rightarrow H$ is said to be an α -inverse strongly monotone if for any for all $x, y \in C$,

$$\langle x - y, Ax - Ay \rangle \geq \alpha \|Ax - Ay\|^2. \tag{2.2}$$

Remark 2.1. It is not hard to know that if A is an α -inverse strongly monotone mapping, then it is $1/\alpha$ -Lipschitzian and hence uniformly continuous. Clearly, the class of monotone mappings include the class of an α -inverse strongly monotone mappings.

Remark 2.2. It is well known that if $T : C \rightarrow C$ is a nonexpansive mapping, then $I - T$ is $1/2$ -inverse strongly monotone, where I is the identity mapping on H ; see, for instance, [14]. It is known that the resolvent J_r is firmly nonexpansive and $B^{-1}(0) = F(J_r)$ for all $r > 0$.

For a single-valued mapping T , a point p is called a fixed point of T if $p = Tp$. For a multivalued mapping T , a point p is called a fixed point of T if $p \in Tp$. The set of fixed points of T is denoted by $F(T)$.

Let E be a uniformly convex real Banach space, K be a nonempty closed convex subset of E . A multivalued mapping $T : K \rightarrow CB(K)$ is said to be as follows.

- (i) Contraction if there exists a constant $k \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq k \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in K. \tag{2.3}$$

- (ii) Nonexpansive if

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in K. \tag{2.4}$$

(iii) Quasinonexpansive if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and

$$H(Tx, Tp) \leq \|x - p\|, \quad \forall x \in K, \forall p \in F(T). \quad (2.5)$$

It is well known that every nonexpansive multivalued mapping T with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ is multivalued quasinonexpansive. But there exist multivalued quasi-nonexpansive mappings that are not multivalued nonexpansive. It is clear that if T is a quasi-nonexpansive multivalued mapping, then $F(T)$ is closed.

A Banach space E is said to satisfy Opial's condition if whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in E which converges weakly to x , then

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\| < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y\|, \quad \forall y \in E, x \neq y. \quad (2.6)$$

Lemma 2.3 (Manaka and Takahashi [13]). *Let H be a real Hilbert space, and let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of H . Let $\alpha > 0$. Let A be an α -inverse strongly monotone mapping of C into H , and let B be a maximal monotone operator on H such that the domain of B is included in C . Let $J_\lambda = (I + \lambda B)^{-1}$ be the resolvent of B for any $\lambda > 0$. Then, the following hold*

- (i) if $u, v \in (A + B)^{-1}(0)$, then $Au = Av$;
- (ii) for any $\lambda > 0$, $u \in (A + B)^{-1}(0)$ if and only if $u = J_\lambda(I - \lambda A)u$.

Lemma 2.4 (Schu [15]). *Suppose that E is a uniformly convex Banach space and $0 < p \leq t_n \leq q < 1$ for all positive integers n . Also suppose that $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are two sequences of E such that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\| \leq r$, $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n\| \leq r$, and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|t_n x_n + (1 - t_n) y_n\| = r$ hold for some $r \geq 0$. Then, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y_n\| = 0$.*

Lemma 2.5 (Liu [16] and Xu [17]). *Let $\{a_n\}$ be a sequence of nonnegative real numbers satisfying the property as follows*

$$a_{n+1} \leq (1 - t_n)a_n + b_n + t_n c_n, \quad (2.7)$$

where $\{t_n\}$, $\{b_n\}$, and $\{c_n\}$ satisfy the restrictions as follows

- (i) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_n = \infty$,
- (ii) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n < \infty$,
- (iii) $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n \leq 0$.

Then, $\{a_n\}$ converges to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

3. Strong Convergence Theorem

In this section, we prove the strong convergence theorems for finding a common element in common set of the fixed sets of a nonspreading mapping and the solution sets of zero of a maximal monotone operator and an α -inverse strongly monotone operator and in a Hilbert space.

Theorem 3.1. Let C be a nonempty convex closed subset of a real Hilbert space H , let $A : C \rightarrow H$ be an α -inverse strongly monotone, let $B : D(B) \subseteq C \rightarrow 2^H$ be maximal monotone, let $J_\lambda = (I + \lambda B)^{-1}$ be the resolvent of B for any $\lambda > 0$, and let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be a nonspreading mapping. Assume that $F := F(T) \cap (A + B)^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$. We define

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= x \in C, \text{ arbitrarily,} \\ z_n &= J_{\lambda_n}(I - \lambda_n A)x_n, \\ y_n &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n T^k z_n, \\ x_{n+1} &= \alpha_n u + (1 - \alpha_n)y_n, \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where $\{\alpha_n\}$ is sequences in $[0, 1]$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$. There exists a, b such that $0 < a \leq \lambda_n \leq b < 2\alpha$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to Pu , and P is the metric projection of H onto F .

Proof. First, we prove that $\{x_n\}$ is bounded and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - p\|$ exists for each $p \in F(T)$. In fact, from Lemma 2.3, we have $p = J_{\lambda_n}(I - \lambda_n A)p$, together with (3.1) and A is an α -inverse strongly monotone, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_n - p\|^2 &= \|J_{\lambda_n}(I - \lambda_n A)x_n - J_{\lambda_n}(I - \lambda_n A)p\|^2 \\ &\leq \|(I - \lambda_n A)x_n - (I - \lambda_n A)p\|^2 \\ &= \|x_n - p\|^2 - 2\lambda_n \langle x_n - p, Ax_n - Ap \rangle + \lambda_n^2 \|Ax_n - Ap\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - 2\lambda_n \alpha \|Ax_n - Ap\|^2 + \lambda_n^2 \|Ax_n - Ap\|^2 \\ &= \|x_n - p\|^2 - \lambda_n(2\alpha - \lambda_n) \|Ax_n - Ap\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x_n - p\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

From the definition of y_n and T is nonspreading mapping, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_n - p\| &= \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T^k z_n - p \right\| \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \|T^k z_n - p\| \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \|z_n - p\| \\ &= \|z_n - p\| \leq \|x_n - p\|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Together with (3.1), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\| &= \|\alpha_n u + (1 - \alpha_n)y_n - p\| \\ &\leq \alpha_n \|u - p\| + (1 - \alpha_n) \|y_n - p\| \\ &\leq \alpha_n \|u - p\| + (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - p\|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Hence, we get that

$$\|x_{n+1} - p\| \leq \max\{\|u - p\|, \|x_n - p\|\}, \quad (3.5)$$

for all $n \in N$. This means that $\{x_n - p\}$ is bounded, so $\{x_n\}$ is bounded. From T is nonspreading, (3.3), and (3.2), we get that $\{y_n\}$, $\{z_n\}$, and $\{T^n z_n\}$ are all bounded.

Since $\{x_n\}$ is bounded, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_k} - p\|$ exists. Since $\{x_{n_k}\}$ is bounded, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_{k_i}}\}$ of $\{x_{n_k}\}$ such that $x_{n_{k_i}} \rightarrow w \in C$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Now, we prove that $w \in F$. First, we prove that $w \in F(T)$. Since $\|x_{n+1} - y_n\| = \alpha_n \|u - y_n\|$, replacing n by n_{k_i} , we have $\|x_{n_{k_i}+1} - y_{n_{k_i}}\| = \alpha_{n_{k_i}} \|u - y_{n_{k_i}}\|$. Together with $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$ and $\{y_n\}$ is bounded, we obtain that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_{k_i}+1} - y_{n_{k_i}}\| = 0$, so we have $y_{n_{k_i}} \rightarrow w$.

Let $n \in N$. Since T is nonspreading, we have that for all $y \in C$ and $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^{k+1} z_n - Ty\|^2 &\leq \|T^k z_n - y\|^2 + 2\langle T^k z_n - T^{k+1} z_n, y - Ty \rangle \\ &= \|T^k z_n - Ty\|^2 + \|Ty - y\|^2 + 2\langle T^k z_n - Ty, Ty - y \rangle \\ &\quad + 2\langle T^k z_n - T^{k+1} z_n, y - Ty \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Summing these inequalities from $k = 0$ to $n-1$ and dividing by n , we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \left(\|T^n z_n - Ty\|^2 - \|z_n - Ty\|^2 \right) \leq \|Ty - y\|^2 + 2\langle y_n - Ty, Ty - y \rangle + \frac{2}{n} \langle z_n - T^n z_n, y - Ty \rangle. \quad (3.7)$$

Replacing n by n_{k_i} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n_{k_i}} \left(\|T^{n_{k_i}} z_{n_{k_i}} - Ty\|^2 - \|z_{n_{k_i}} - Ty\|^2 \right) \\ \leq \|Ty - y\|^2 + 2\langle y_{n_{k_i}} - Ty, Ty - y \rangle \\ + \frac{2}{n_{k_i}} \langle z_{n_{k_i}} - T^{n_{k_i}} z_{n_{k_i}}, y - Ty \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Since $\{z_n\}$ and $\{T^n z_n\}$ are bounded, we have that

$$0 \leq \|Ty - y\|^2 + 2\langle w - Ty, Ty - y \rangle \quad (3.9)$$

as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Putting $y = w$, we have

$$0 \leq \|Tw - w\|^2 + 2\langle w - Tw, Tw - w \rangle = -\|Tw - w\|^2. \quad (3.10)$$

Hence, $w \in F(T)$.

Next, we prove that $w \in (A + B)^{-1}(0)$. From (3.2) and (3.3) we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &\leq \alpha_n \|u - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \|y_n - p\|^2 \\
 &\leq \alpha_n \|u - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \|z_n - p\|^2 \\
 &\leq \alpha_n \|u - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \left(\|x_n - p\|^2 - \lambda_n(2\alpha - \lambda_n) \|Ax_n - Ap\|^2 \right) \\
 &= \alpha_n \left(\|u - p\|^2 - \|x_n - p\|^2 \right) + \|x_n - p\|^2 - (1 - \alpha_n) \lambda_n(2\alpha - \lambda_n) \|Ax_n - Ap\|^2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

We rewrite above inequality as follows:

$$(1 - \alpha_n) \lambda_n(2\alpha - \lambda_n) \|Ax_n - Ap\|^2 \leq \alpha_n \left(\|u - p\|^2 - \|x_n - p\|^2 \right) + \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2. \tag{3.12}$$

Replacing n by n_k , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1 - \alpha_{n_k}) \lambda_{n_k}(2\alpha - \lambda_{n_k}) \|Ax_{n_k} - Ap\|^2 \\
 \leq \alpha_{n_k} \left(\|u - p\|^2 - \|x_{n_k} - p\|^2 \right) \\
 + \|x_{n_k} - p\|^2 - \|x_{n_k+1} - p\|^2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Together with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$, $0 < a \leq \lambda_n \leq b < 2\alpha$ and since $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_k} - p\|$ exists, we obtain that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|Ax_{n_k} - Ap\| = 0. \tag{3.14}$$

Since J_{λ_n} is firmly nonexpansive, and from (3.2), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|z_n - p\|^2 &= \|J_{\lambda_n}(I - \lambda_n A)x_n - J_{\lambda_n}(I - \lambda_n A)p\|^2 \\
 &\leq \langle z_n - p, (I - \lambda_n A)x_n - (I - \lambda_n A)p \rangle \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \|z_n - p\|^2 + \|(I - \lambda_n A)x_n - (I - \lambda_n A)p\|^2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \|z_n - p - (I - \lambda_n A)x_n + (I - \lambda_n A)p\|^2 \right\} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \|z_n - p\|^2 + \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|z_n - p - (I - \lambda_n A)x_n + (I - \lambda_n A)p\|^2 \right\} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \|z_n - p\|^2 + \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|z_n - x_n\|^2 - 2\lambda_n \langle z_n - x_n, Ax_n - Ap \rangle - \lambda_n^2 \|Ax_n - Ap\|^2 \right\}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

This means that

$$\|z_n - p\|^2 \leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|z_n - x_n\|^2 - 2\lambda_n \langle z_n - x_n, Ax_n - Ap \rangle - \lambda_n^2 \|Ax_n - Ap\|^2. \quad (3.16)$$

Together with (3.1) and (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &\leq \alpha_n \|u - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \|y_n - p\|^2 \\ &\leq \alpha_n \|u - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \|z_n - p\|^2 \\ &\leq \alpha_n \|u - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|z_n - x_n\|^2 - 2\lambda_n \langle z_n - x_n, Ax_n - Ap \rangle - \lambda_n^2 \|Ax_n - Ap\|^2 \right\} \\ &\leq \alpha_n \|u - p\|^2 + \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|z_n - x_n\|^2 \\ &\quad - 2\lambda_n \langle z_n - x_n, Ax_n - Ap \rangle - \lambda_n^2 \|Ax_n - Ap\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_n - x_n\|^2 &\leq \alpha_n \|u - p\|^2 + \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 \\ &\quad - 2\lambda_n \langle z_n - x_n, Ax_n - Ap \rangle - \lambda_n^2 \|Ax_n - Ap\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

Replacing n by n_k , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_{n_k} - x_{n_k}\|^2 &\leq \alpha_{n_k} \|u - p\|^2 + \|x_{n_k} - p\|^2 - \|x_{n_k+1} - p\|^2 \\ &\quad - 2\lambda_{n_k} \langle z_{n_k} - x_{n_k}, Ax_{n_k} - Ap \rangle - \lambda_{n_k}^2 \|Ax_{n_k} - Ap\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

Since $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_k} - p\|$ exists, from (3.14) and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|z_{n_k} - x_{n_k}\| = 0. \quad (3.20)$$

Since A is Lipschitz continuous, we also obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Az_{n_k} - Ax_{n_k}\| = 0. \quad (3.21)$$

By the definition of J_{λ_n} and (3.1), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
z_n &= (I - \lambda_n B)^{-1} (I - \lambda_n A) x_n \\
&\iff (I - \lambda_n A) x_n \in (I - \lambda_n B) z_n = z_n + \lambda_n B z_n \\
&\iff x_n - z_n - \lambda_n A x_n \in \lambda_n B z_n \\
&\iff \frac{1}{\lambda_n} (x_n - z_n - \lambda_n A x_n) \in B z_n.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

Since B is monotone, so for $(e, f) \in B$, we have that

$$\left\langle z_n - e, \frac{1}{\lambda_n} (x_n - z_n - \lambda_n A x_n) - f \right\rangle \geq 0, \tag{3.23}$$

and hence

$$\langle z_n - e, x_n - z_n - \lambda_n (A x_n + f) \rangle \geq 0. \tag{3.24}$$

Replacing n by n_{k_i} , we have that

$$\left\langle z_{n_{k_i}} - e, x_{n_{k_i}} - z_{n_{k_i}} - \lambda_{n_{k_i}} (A x_{n_{k_i}} + f) \right\rangle \geq 0. \tag{3.25}$$

Since A is an α -inverse strongly monotone, we have

$$\langle x_{n_{k_i}} - w, A x_{n_{k_i}} - A w \rangle \geq \alpha \|A x_{n_{k_i}} - A w\|^2. \tag{3.26}$$

This means that $A x_{n_{k_i}} \rightarrow A w$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. From (3.20) and $x_{n_{k_i}} \rightarrow w$, we get that $z_{n_{k_i}} \rightarrow w$, together with (3.25), we have that

$$\langle w - e, -A w - f \rangle \geq 0. \tag{3.27}$$

Since B is maximal monotone, so $(-A w) \in B w$. That is, $w \in (A + B)^{-1}(0)$.

Now, we prove that $x_n \rightarrow Pu$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_{k_i}+1}\}$ of $\{x_{n+1}\}$ such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle u - Pu, x_{n+1} - Pu \rangle = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \langle u - Pu, x_{n_{k_i}+1} - Pu \rangle. \tag{3.28}$$

Since P is the metric projection of H onto F and $x_{n_{k_i}+1} \rightarrow w \in F$, we have

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \langle u - Pu, x_{n_{k_i}+1} - Pu \rangle = \langle u - Pu, w - Pu \rangle \leq 0. \tag{3.29}$$

This implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle u - Pu, x_{n+1} - Pu \rangle \leq 0. \quad (3.30)$$

From (2.1), (3.1), and (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - Pu\|^2 &= \|(1 - \alpha_n)(y_n - Pu) + \alpha_n(u - Pu)\|^2 \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)^2 \|y_n - Pu\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \langle u - Pu, x_{n+1} - Pu \rangle \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - Pu\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \langle u - Pu, x_{n+1} - Pu \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

From Lemma 2.5 and (3.30), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - Pu\| = 0. \quad (3.32)$$

This means that $x_n \rightarrow Pu$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. □

References

- [1] F. E. Browder, "Convergence theorems for sequences of nonlinear operators in Banach spaces," *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, vol. 100, pp. 201–225, 1967.
- [2] K. Goebel and W. A. Kirk, *Topics in Metric Fixed Point Theory*, vol. 28 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1990.
- [3] F. Kohsaka and W. Takahashi, "Fixed point theorems for a class of nonlinear mappings related to maximal monotone operators in Banach spaces," *Archiv der Mathematik*, vol. 91, no. 2, pp. 166–177, 2008.
- [4] S. Iemoto and W. Takahashi, "Approximating common fixed points of nonexpansive mappings and nonspreading mappings in a Hilbert space," *Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods & Applications A*, vol. 71, no. 12, pp. e2082–e2089, 2009.
- [5] T. Igarashi, W. Takahashi, and K. Tanaka, "Weak convergence theorems for nonspreading mappings and equilibrium problems," in *Nonlinear Analysis and Optimization*, S. Akashi, W. Takahashi, and T. Tanaka, Eds., pp. 75–85, Yokohama Publishers, Yokohama, Japan, 2009.
- [6] W. Takahashi, "Fixed point theorems for new nonlinear mappings in a Hilbert space," *Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 79–88, 2010.
- [7] W. R. Mann, "Mean value methods in iteration," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 4, pp. 506–510, 1953.
- [8] A. Genel and J. Lindenstrauss, "An example concerning fixed points," *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 81–86, 1975.
- [9] B. Halpren, "Fixed points of nonexpansive maps," *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 73, pp. 957–961, 1967.
- [10] R. Wittmann, "Approximation of fixed points of nonexpansive mappings," *Archiv der Mathematik*, vol. 58, no. 5, pp. 486–491, 1992.
- [11] J. B. Baillon, "Un theoreme de type ergodique pour les contractions non lineaires dans un espace de Hilbert," *Comptes Rendus De L'Académie Des Sciences A-B*, vol. 280, pp. A1511–A1514, 1975.
- [12] S. Takahashi, W. Takahashi, and M. Toyoda, "Strong convergence theorems for maximal monotone operators with nonlinear mappings in Hilbert spaces," *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, vol. 147, no. 1, pp. 27–41, 2010.
- [13] H. Manaka and W. Takahashi, "Weak convergence theorems for maximal monotone operators with nonspreading mappings in a Hilbert space," *Cubo*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 11–24, 2011.

- [14] W. Takahashi, *Introduction to Nonlinear and Convex Analysis*, Yokohama Publishers, Yokohama, 2009.
- [15] J. Schu, "Weak and strong convergence to fixed points of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings," *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 153–159, 1991.
- [16] L. S. Liu, "Ishikawa and Mann iterative process with errors for nonlinear strongly accretive mappings in Banach spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 194, no. 1, pp. 114–125, 1995.
- [17] H.-K. Xu, "Iterative algorithms for nonlinear operators," *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 66, no. 1, pp. 240–256, 2002.



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

