

Research Article

Unified Treatment of the Krätzel Transformation for Generalized Functions

S. K. Q. Al-Omari¹ and A. Kılıçman²

¹ Department of Applied Sciences, Faculty of Engineering Technology, Al-Balqa Applied University, Amman 11134, Jordan

² Department of Mathematics and Institute of Mathematical Research, Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM), 43400 Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia

Correspondence should be addressed to A. Kılıçman; akilicman@putra.upm.edu.my

Received 26 March 2013; Accepted 18 April 2013

Academic Editor: Mustafa Bayram

Copyright © 2013 S. K. Q. Al-Omari and A. Kılıçman. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We discuss a generalization of the Krätzel transforms on certain spaces of ultradistributions. We have proved that the Krätzel transform of an ultradifferentiable function is an ultradifferentiable function and satisfies its Parseval's inequality. We also provide a complete reading of the transform constructing two desired spaces of Boehmians. Some other properties of convergence and continuity conditions and its inverse are also discussed in some detail.

1. Introduction

Krätzel, in [1, 2], introduced a generalization of the Meijer transform by the integral:

$$(K_v^p f)(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}_+} Z_p^\nu(xy) f(y) dy, \quad x > 0, \quad (1)$$

where

$$Z_p^\nu(xy) = \int_{\mathbf{R}_+} t^{\nu-1} e^{-t^p - xy/t} dt, \quad (2)$$

$p > 0 (\in \mathbf{N})$, $\nu \in \mathbf{C}$. Then this generalization is known as Krätzel transform.

Let x be in \mathbf{R}_+ . Denote by \mathcal{S}_+ , or $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}_+)$, the space of all complex-valued smooth functions $\phi(t)$ on \mathbf{R}_+ such that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbf{K}} |\mathcal{D}^k \phi(x)| < \infty, \quad (3)$$

$x \in \mathbf{R}_+$, where \mathbf{K} runs through compact subsets of \mathbf{R}_+ ; see [3]. The strong dual \mathcal{S}'_+ of \mathcal{S}_+ consists of distributions of compact supports.

Later, the authors in [4] have studied the K_v^p transformation in a space of distributions of compact support inspired by known kernel method. They, also, have obtained its properties

of analyticity and boundedness and have established its inversion theorem. In the sense of classical theory, the Meijer transformation and the Laplace transformation in [5] are presented as special forms of the cited transform for $p = 1$ and $p = 1$, $\nu = \pm 1/2$, respectively.

It is worth mentioning in this note that a suitable motivation of the cited transform has thoroughly been discussed in [6] by the aid of a Fréchet space of constituted functions of infinitely differentiable functions over $(0, \infty)$.

This paper is a continuation of the work obtained in [4]. We are concerned with a general study of the transform in the space of ultradistributions and further discuss its extension to Boehmian spaces in some detail. We are employing the adjoint method and method of kernels for our purpose to extend the classical integral transform to generalized functions and hence ultradistributions.

2. Ultradistributions

The theory of ultradistributions is one of generalizations of the theory of Schwartz distributions; see [3, 7]. Since then, in the recent past and even earlier, it was extensively studied by many authors such as Roumieu [8, 9], Komatsu [10], Beurling [11], Carmichael et al. [12], Pathak [13, 14], and Al-Omari [15, 16].

By an ultradifferentiable function we mean an infinitely smooth function whose derivatives satisfy certain growth conditions as the order of the derivatives increases. Unlike sequences presented in [15, 16], $a_i, i = 0, 1, \dots$, wherever it appears, denotes a sequence of positive real numbers. Such omission of constraints may ease the analysis.

Let α be a real number but fixed and \mathcal{L}^r be the space of Lebesgue integrable functions on \mathbf{R}_+ . Denote by $\mathcal{S}_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, (a_i), a)$ (resp., $\mathcal{S}_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, \{a_i\}, a)$), $1 \leq r \leq \infty$, the subsets of \mathcal{S}_+ of all complex valued infinitely smooth functions on \mathbf{R}_+ such that, for some constant $m_1 (> 0)$,

$$\sup_{\alpha, x \in \mathbf{K}} \|\mathcal{D}^k \varphi(x)\|_{\mathcal{L}^r} \leq m_1 a^\alpha a_\alpha \tag{4}$$

for all $a > 0$ (for some $a > 0$), where \mathbf{K} is a compact set traverses \mathbf{R}_+ .

The elements of the dual spaces, $\mathcal{S}'_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, (a_i), a)$ ($\mathcal{S}'_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, \{a_i\}, a)$), are the Beurling-type (Roumieu-type) ultradistributions. It may be noted that $\mathcal{S}'_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, (a_i), a) \subset \mathcal{S}'_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, \{a_i\}, a) \subset \mathcal{S}'_+$. Thus, every distribution of compact support is an ultradistribution of Roumieu type and further, and an ultradistribution of Roumieu type is of Beurling-type. Natural topologies on $\mathcal{S}'_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, (a_i), a)$ (resp., $\mathcal{S}'_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, \{a_i\}, a)$) can be generated by the collection of seminorms:

$$\|\varphi\|_{r,a} = \sup_{\alpha, x \in \mathbf{K}} \frac{\|\mathcal{D}^k \varphi(x)\|_{\mathcal{L}^r}}{a^\alpha a_\alpha}, \quad a > 0. \tag{5}$$

A sequence $(\varphi_n) \rightarrow \varphi \in \mathcal{S}'_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, (a_i), a)$ (resp., $\mathcal{S}'_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, \{a_i\}, a)$) if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\alpha, x \in \mathbf{K}} \|\mathcal{D}^k (\varphi_n(x) - \varphi(x))\|_{\mathcal{L}^r} = 0, \tag{6}$$

and there is a constant $m_1 > 0$ independent of n such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\alpha, x \in \mathbf{K}} \|\mathcal{D}^k (\varphi_n(x) - \varphi(x))\|_{\mathcal{L}^r} \leq m_1 a^\alpha a_\alpha \tag{7}$$

for all $a > 0$. $\mathcal{S}'_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, (a_i), a)$ ($\mathcal{S}'_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, \{a_i\}, a)$) is dense in \mathcal{S}'_+ , convergence in $\mathcal{S}'_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, (a_i), a)$ ($\mathcal{S}'_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, \{a_i\}, a)$) implies convergence in \mathcal{S}'_+ , and consequently a restriction of any $f \in \mathcal{S}'_+$ to $\mathcal{S}'_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, (a_i), a)$ ($\mathcal{S}'_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, \{a_i\}, a)$) is in $\mathcal{S}'_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, (a_i), a)$ ($\mathcal{S}'_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, \{a_i\}, a)$).

3. The Krätzel Transform of Tempered Ultradistributions

In this section of this paper we define the Krätzel transform of tempered ultradistributions by using both of kernel and adjoint methods. We restrict our investigation to the case of Beurling type since the other investigation for the Roumieu-type tempered ultradistributions is almost similar.

Lemma 1. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{S}'_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, (a_i), a)$ and then $K_v^p \phi \in \mathcal{S}'_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, (a_i), a)$.

Proof. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{S}'_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, (a_i), a)$ and x be fixed, and then $K_v^p \phi$ certainly exists. By differentiation with respect to x we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_x (K_v^p \phi)(x) &= \iint_{\mathbf{R}_+} \mathcal{D}_x t^{v-1} e^{-t^p - xy/t} dt \phi(y) dy \\ &= \iint_{\mathbf{R}_+} \frac{-y}{t} t^{v-1} e^{-t^p - xy/t} dt \phi(y) dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}_+} -y \int_{\mathbf{R}_+} t^{(v-1)-1} e^{-t^p - xy/t} dt \phi(y) dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}_+} -y Z_p^{v-1}(xy) \phi(y) dy. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Hence the principle of mathematical induction on the k th derivative gives

$$\mathcal{D}_x^k (K_v^p \phi)(x) = (-1)^k \int_{\mathbf{R}_+} Z_p^{v-k}(xy) y^k \phi(y) dy. \tag{9}$$

From [4], we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} &|\mathcal{D}_x^k (K_v^p \phi)(x)| \\ &\leq \alpha_1 \int_{\mathbf{R}_+} |(y)^{(2v-p)/(2p+2)} e^{-(xy)^p/(p+1)}| y^k \phi(y) dy \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

for some constant α_1 . The assumption that $\phi \in \mathcal{S}'_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, (a_i), a)$ implies that the products under the integral sign, $\Psi = y^k \phi(y)$ and $(xy)^{(2v-p)/(2p+2)} e^{-(xy)^p/(p+1)} \Psi$, are also in $\mathcal{S}'_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, (a_i), a)$. Moreover, $\phi \in \mathcal{S}'_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, (a_i), a)$ ensures that the integral:

$$F(x) = \alpha_1 \int_{\mathbf{R}_+} |(xy)^{(2v-p)/(2p+2)} e^{-(xy)^p/(p+1)}| y^k \phi(y) dy \tag{11}$$

belongs to $\mathcal{S}'_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, (a_i), a)$. Hence

$$\|\mathcal{D}_x^k F(x)\|_{\mathcal{L}^r} \leq m_1 a^\alpha a_\alpha \tag{12}$$

for some constant m_1 . Therefore, from the above inequality we get

$$\|\mathcal{D}_x^k (K_v^p \phi)(x)\|_{\mathcal{L}^r} \leq \|\mathcal{D}_x^k F(x)\|_{\mathcal{L}^r} \leq m_1 a^\alpha a_\alpha, \tag{13}$$

for certain positive constant m_1 . This proves the lemma. \square

From Lemma 1 we deduce that the Krätzel transform is bounded and closed from $\mathcal{S}'_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, (a_i), a)$ into itself. Next, we establish the Parseval's relation for the Krätzel transform.

Theorem 2. Let f and g be absolutely integrable functions over \mathbf{R}_+ and then

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}_+} f(x) (K_v^p g)(x) dx = \int_{\mathbf{R}_+} (K_v^p f)(x) g(x) dx, \tag{14}$$

where $K_v^p f$ and $K_v^p g$ are the Krätzel transforms of f and g , respectively.

Proof. It is clear that $K_v^p f$ and $K_v^p g$ are continuous and bounded on \mathbf{R}_+ . Moreover, the Fubini's theorem allows us to interchange the order of integration:

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}_+} f(x) (K_v^p g)(x) dx = \int_{\mathbf{R}_+} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}_+} f(x) Z_p^v(xy) dx \right) g(y) dy. \tag{15}$$

Equation (15) follows since the Krätzel kernel $Z_p^v(xy)$ applies for the functions f and g , when the order of integration is interchanged. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Now, in consideration of Theorem 2, the adjoint method of extending the Krätzel transform can be read as

$$\langle K_v^p f, \phi \rangle = \langle f, K_v^p \phi \rangle, \tag{16}$$

where $f \in \mathcal{S}'_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, (a_i), a)$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, (a_i), a)$.

Theorem 3. *Given that $f \in \mathcal{S}'_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, (a_i), a)$ then $K_v^p f \in \mathcal{S}'_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, (a_i), a)$.*

Proof. Consider a zero convergent sequence (ϕ_n) in $\mathcal{S}_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, (a_i), a)$ then certainly $(K_v^p \phi_n)$ is a zero-convergent sequence in the same space. It follows from (16) that

$$\langle K_v^p f, \phi_n \rangle = \langle f, K_v^p \phi_n \rangle \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{17}$$

Linearity is obvious. This completes the proof. \square

From the above theorem we deduce that the Krätzel transform of a tempered ultradistribution is a tempered ultradistribution. Moreover, the boundedness property of $K_v^p f$, $f \in \mathcal{S}'_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, (a_i), a)$ follows from the following theorem.

Theorem 4. *Let $f \in \mathcal{S}'_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, (a_i), a)$ and then $K_v^p f$ is bounded.*

Proof. See [4, Proposition 2.3]. \square

It is interesting to know that the Krätzel transform can be defined in an alternative way, namely, by the kernel method. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}'_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, (a_i), a)$, and then

$$(K_v^p f)(x) = \langle f(y), Z_p^v(xy) \rangle. \tag{18}$$

In fact, (18) is a straightforward consequence of Lemma 1.

Theorem 5. *Let $f \in \mathcal{S}'_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, (a_i), a)$ then $K_v^p f$ is infinitely differentiable and*

$$\mathcal{D}_x^k (K_v^p f)(x) = \langle f(t), \mathcal{D}_x^k Z_p^v(xt) \rangle \tag{19}$$

for every $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and $x \in \mathbf{R}_+$.

Proof. See [4, Proposition 2.2]. \square

4. Boehmian Spaces

Boehmians were first constructed as a generalization of regular Mikusinski operators [17]. The minimal structure necessary for the construction of Boehmians consists of the following elements:

- (i) a nonempty set A ,
- (ii) a commutative semigroup $(B, *)$,
- (iii) an operation $\odot : A \times B \rightarrow A$ such that for each $x \in A$ and $s_1, s_2 \in B$, $x \odot (s_1 * s_2) = (x \odot s_1) \odot s_2$,
- (iv) a collection $\Delta \subset B^{\mathbf{N}}$ such that
 - (a) if $x, y \in A, (s_n) \in \Delta, x \odot s_n = y \odot s_n$ for all n , then $x = y$,
 - (b) if $(s_n), (t_n) \in \Delta$, then $(s_n * t_n) \in \Delta$.

Elements of Δ are called delta sequences. Consider

$$\mathbf{g} = \{ (x_n, s_n) : x_n \in A, (s_n) \in \Delta, x_n \odot s_m = x_m \odot s_n, \forall m, n \in \mathbf{N} \}. \tag{20}$$

If $(x_n, s_n), (y_n, t_n) \in \mathbf{g}, x_n \odot t_m = y_m \odot s_n$, for all $m, n \in \mathbf{N}$, then we say $(x_n, s_n) \sim (y_n, t_n)$. The relation \sim is an equivalence relation in \mathbf{g} . The space of equivalence classes in \mathbf{g} is denoted by β . Elements of β are called Boehmians. Between A and β there is a canonical embedding expressed as

$$x \longrightarrow \frac{x \odot s_n}{s_n}. \tag{21}$$

The operation \odot can be extended to $\beta \times A$ by

$$\frac{x_n}{s_n} \odot t = \frac{x_n \odot t}{s_n}. \tag{22}$$

In β , there are two types of convergence:

(δ convergence) a sequence (h_n) in β is said to be δ convergent to h in β , denoted by $h_n \xrightarrow{\delta} h$, if there exists a delta sequence (s_n) such that $(h_n \odot s_n), (h \odot s_n) \in A$, for all $k, n \in \mathbf{N}$, and $(h_n \odot s_k) \rightarrow (h \odot s_k)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, in A , for every $k \in \mathbf{N}$,

(Δ convergence) a sequence (h_n) in β is said to be Δ convergent to h in β , denoted by $h_n \xrightarrow{\Delta} h$, if there exists a $(s_n) \in \Delta$ such that $(h_n - h) \odot s_n \in A$, for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$, and $(h_n - h) \odot s_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in A . For further discussion see [17–21].

5. The Ultra-Boehmian Space β_{s_+}

Denote by D_+ , or $D(\mathbf{R}_+)$, the Schwartz space of C^∞ functions of bounded support. Let Δ_+ be the family of sequences $(s_n) \in D(\mathbf{R}_+)$ such that the following holds:

- (Δ_1) $\int_{\mathbf{R}_+} s_n(x) dx = 1$, for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$,
- (Δ_2) $s_n(x) \geq 0$, for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$,
- (Δ_3) $\text{supp } s_n \subset (0, \varepsilon_n), \varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

It is easy to see that each (s_n) in Δ_+ forms a delta sequence.

Let $f \in \mathcal{S}'_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, (a_i), a)$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}_+)$ be related by the expression:

$$(f \cdot \sigma)v = f(\sigma \otimes v), \quad (23)$$

where

$$(\sigma \otimes v)(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}_+} \sigma(t)v(xt) dt \quad (24)$$

for every $v \in \mathcal{S}_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, (a_i), a)$.

Lemma 6. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}'_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, (a_i), a)$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_+$ and then $f \cdot \sigma \in \mathcal{S}'_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, (a_i), a)$.

Proof. Using the weak topology of $\mathcal{S}'_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, (a_i), a)$, we write

$$|(f \cdot \sigma)v| = |f(\sigma \otimes v)| \leq C\|\sigma \otimes v\|_{r,a}, \quad (25)$$

where $v \in \mathcal{S}_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, (a_i), a)$. Hence, to complete the proof, we are merely required to show that $\sigma \otimes v \in \mathcal{S}_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, (a_i), a)$.

First, if $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_+$ and $v \in \mathcal{S}_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, (a_i), a)$, then choosing a compact set \mathbf{K} containing the support of σ yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(\sigma \otimes v)(x + \Delta x) - (\sigma \otimes v)(x)}{\Delta x} \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}_+} \sigma(t) \frac{v((x + \Delta x)t) - v(xt)}{\Delta x} dt \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

which is dominated by $\sigma(t)|\mathcal{D}_x v(x)|$. The dominated convergence theorem and the principle of mathematical induction implies

$$\mathcal{D}_x^k(\sigma \otimes v) = \sigma \otimes \mathcal{D}_x^k v. \quad (27)$$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+} |\mathcal{D}^k(\sigma \otimes v)(x)|^r dx &= \int_{\mathbf{R}_+} |(\sigma \otimes \mathcal{D}^k v)(x)|^r dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbf{R}_+} \int_{\mathbf{K}} |\sigma(t) dt \mathcal{D}^k v(xt)|^r dx \\ &\leq M \int_{\mathbf{R}_+} |\mathcal{D}^k v(xt)|^r dx. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Therefore

$$\|\sigma \otimes v\|_{r,a} \leq d\|v\|_{r,a} < da^\alpha \alpha \text{ for some constant } d. \quad (29)$$

Thus $\sigma \otimes v \in \mathcal{S}_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, (a_i), a)$. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 7. Let $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{S}'_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, (a_i), a)$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_+$ and then

- (i) $\alpha f \cdot \sigma = \alpha(f \cdot \sigma)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$,
- (ii) $(f_1 + f_2) \cdot \sigma = f_1 \cdot \sigma_1 + f_2 \cdot \sigma_2$.

Proof of the above Lemma is obvious.

Lemma 8. Let $f_n, f \in \mathcal{S}'_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, (a_i), a)$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_+$ and then

$$f_n \cdot \sigma \longrightarrow f \cdot \sigma. \quad (30)$$

Proof. Let $v \in \mathcal{S}'_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, (a_i), a)$ and then $\sigma \otimes v \in \mathcal{S}'_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, (a_i), a)$. Therefore

$$(f_n \cdot \sigma - f \cdot \sigma)v = ((f_n - f) \cdot \sigma)v = (f_n - f)(\sigma \otimes v). \quad (31)$$

Hence $f_n \cdot \sigma \rightarrow f \cdot \sigma$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Lemma 9. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}'_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, (a_i), a)$ and $(s_n) \in \Delta_+$ then

$$f \cdot s_n \longrightarrow f \text{ in } \mathcal{S}'_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, (a_i), a) \text{ as } n \longrightarrow \infty. \quad (32)$$

Proof. Let $v \in \mathcal{S}_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, (a_i), a)$ and $\text{supp } s_n \subset (0, \varepsilon_n)$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and then

$$(f \cdot s_n)v = f(s_n \otimes v). \quad (33)$$

It is sufficient to establish that $s_n \otimes v \rightarrow v$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By using (27) and Δ_1 imply that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbf{R}_+} |\mathcal{D}_x^k(s_n \otimes v - v)(x)|^r dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}_+} |(s_n \otimes \mathcal{D}_x^k v - \mathcal{D}_x^k v)(x)|^r dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}_+} \left| \int_0^{\varepsilon_n} s_n(t) (\mathcal{D}_x^k v(xt) - \mathcal{D}_x^k v(x)) dt \right|^r dx. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Hence, the mean value theorem implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbf{R}_+} |\mathcal{D}_x^k(s_n \otimes v - v)(x)|^r dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbf{R}_+} \left| \int_0^{\varepsilon_n} \xi s_n(t) \mathcal{D}_x^{k+1} v(x\xi) dt \right|^r dx, \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

$\xi \in (0, t)$. Let $A_1 = \sup_{s \in \mathbf{K}} |\mathcal{D}_x^{k+1} \psi(s)|$, where \mathbf{K} is certain compact set. The calculations show that

$$\|s_n \otimes v - v\|_{r,a} \leq F\varepsilon_n \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \longrightarrow \infty, \quad (36)$$

where F is certain constant. Hence Lemma 9. The Boehmian space β_{s_+} is therefore constructed. \square

6. β_{Z_+} and the Krätzel Transform of Ultra-Boehmians

Denote by $Z(\mathbf{R}_+)$, or Z_+ , the space of functions which are Krätzel transforms of ultradistributions in $\mathcal{S}'_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, (a_i), a)$, and then convergence on Z_+ can be defined in such way that $E_n \rightarrow E_*$ in Z_+ if $f_n \rightarrow f \in \mathcal{S}'_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, (a_i), a)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $E_n = K_v^p f_n$ and $E_* = K_v^p f$. Let $E \in Z_+$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{D}_+$ and then it is proper to define

$$(E \otimes \sigma)(u) = \int_{\mathbf{R}_+} E(ut) \sigma(t) dt \quad (37)$$

for each $u \in \mathbf{R}_+$.

Lemma 10. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}'_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, (a_i), a)$ and $\sigma \in D_+$ and then $K_v^p(f \cdot \sigma) = K_v^p f \otimes \sigma$.

Proof. Let \mathbf{K} be a compact set containing the support of σ , and then from (18) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} K_v^p(f \cdot \sigma)(u) &= \langle (f \cdot \sigma)(y), Z_p^v(uy) \rangle \\ &= \langle f(y), \langle \sigma(t), Z_p^v((ut)y) \rangle \rangle \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}_+} \langle f(y), Z_p^v((ut)y) \rangle \sigma(t) dt = K_v^p f \otimes \sigma. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Hence the lemma follows. \square

Lemma 11. Let $E \in Z_+$ and $\sigma \in D_+$ and then $E \otimes \sigma \in Z_+$.

Proof. $E \in Z_+$ implies $K_v^p f = E$, for some $f \in \mathcal{S}'_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, (a_i), a)$. Hence $E \otimes \sigma = K_v^p f \otimes \sigma = K_v^p(f \cdot \sigma) \in Z_+$. \square

The following are lemmas which can be easily proved by the aid of the corresponding lemmas from the previous section. Detailed proof is avoided. First, if K_v^{-1} is the inverse Krätzel transform of K_v^p , and then

Lemma 12. Let $E \in Z_+$ and $\sigma \in D_+$ and then

$$K_v^{-1}(E \otimes \sigma) = K_v^{-1} E \cdot \sigma. \quad (39)$$

Lemma 13. Let $E_1, E_2 \in Z_+$ and then for all $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in D_+$ we have

- (1) $(E_1 + E_2) \otimes \sigma_1 = E_1 \otimes \sigma_1 + E_2 \otimes \sigma_1$,
- (2) $(aE) \otimes \sigma_1 = a(E \otimes \sigma_1)$.

Lemma 14. Let $E_n \rightarrow E$ and $(s_n) \in \Delta_+$ and then $E_n \otimes s_n \rightarrow E$.

Lemma 15. Let $E_n \rightarrow E$ and $\sigma \in D_+$ and then $E_n \otimes \sigma \rightarrow E \otimes \sigma$.

With the previous analysis, the Boehmian space β_{Z_+} is constructed. The sum of two Boehmians and multiplication by a scalar in β_{Z_+} is defined in a natural way $[f_n/\phi_n] + [g_n/\psi_n] = [(f_n \otimes \psi_n) + (g_n \otimes \phi_n)]/(\phi_n \otimes \psi_n)$ and $\alpha[f_n/\phi_n] = [\alpha(f_n/\phi_n)]$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$.

The operation \otimes and the differentiation are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{f_n}{\phi_n} \right] \otimes \left[\frac{g_n}{\psi_n} \right] &= \left[\frac{f_n \otimes g_n}{\phi_n \otimes \psi_n} \right], & \mathcal{D}^k \left[\frac{f_n}{\phi_n} \right] &= \left[\frac{\mathcal{D}^k f_n}{\phi_n} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

With the aid of Lemma 10 we define the extended Krätzel transform of a Boehmian $[f_n/s_n] \in \beta_{s_+}$ to be a Boehmian in β_{Z_+} expressed by the relation:

$$\vec{K}_v^p \left[\frac{f_n}{s_n} \right] = \left[\frac{K_v^p f_n}{s_n} \right]. \quad (41)$$

Lemma 16. $\vec{K}_v^p : \beta_{s_+} \rightarrow \beta_{Z_+}$ is well defined and linear mapping.

Proof is a straightforward conclusion of definitions.

Definition 17. Let $[E_n/s_n] \in \beta_{Z_+}$ and then the inverse of \vec{K} is defined as follows:

$$\vec{K}_v^{-1} \left[\frac{E_n}{s_n} \right] = \left[\frac{K_v^{-1} E_n}{s_n} \right], \quad (42)$$

for each $(s_n) \in \Delta_+$.

Lemma 18. \vec{K}_v^p is an isomorphism from β_{s_+} into β_{Z_+} .

Proof. Assume $\vec{K}_v^p[f_n/s_n] = \vec{K}_v^p[g_n/t_n]$, then it follows from (41) and the concept of quotients of two sequences $K_v^p f_n \otimes t_m = K_v^p g_m \otimes s_n$. Therefore, Lemma 10 implies $K_v^p(f_n \cdot t_m) = K_v^p(g_m \cdot \phi_n)$. Employing properties of K_v^p implies $f_n \cdot t_m = g_m \cdot s_n$. Thus, $[f_n/s_n] = [g_n/t_n]$. Next we establish that \vec{K}_v^p is onto. Let $[K_v^p f_n/s_n] \in \beta_{Z_+}$ be arbitrary and then $K_v^p f_n \otimes s_m = K_v^p f_m \otimes s_n$ for every $m, n \in \mathbf{N}$. Hence $f_n, f_m \in \mathcal{S}'_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, (a_i), a)$ are such that $K_v^p(f_n \cdot s_m) = K_v^p(f_m \cdot s_n)$. Hence the Boehmian $[f_n/s_n] \in \beta_{s_+}$ satisfies the equation $\vec{K}_v^p[f_n/s_n] = [K_v^p f_n/s_n]$.

This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 19. Let $[E_n/s_n] \in \beta_{Z_+}$, $E_n = K_v^p f_n$ and $\phi \in D_+$ and then

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{K}_v^{-1} \left(\left[\frac{E_n}{s_n} \right] \otimes \phi \right) &= \left[\frac{E_n^{-1}}{s_n} \right] \cdot \phi, \\ \vec{K}_v^p \left(\left[\frac{f_n}{s_n} \right] \cdot \phi \right) &= \left[\frac{E_n}{s_n} \right] \otimes \phi. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

Proof. It follows from (42) that

$$\vec{K}_v^{-1} \left(\left[\frac{E_n}{s_n} \right] \otimes \phi \right) = \vec{K}_v^{-1} \left(\left[\frac{E_n \otimes \phi}{s_n} \right] \right) = \left[\frac{K_v^{-1}(E_n \otimes \phi)}{s_n} \right]. \quad (44)$$

Applying Lemma 12 leads to

$$\vec{K}_v^{-1} \left(\left[\frac{E_n}{s_n} \right] \otimes \phi \right) = \left[\frac{K_v^{-1} E_n}{s_n} \right] \cdot \phi = \left[\frac{E_n^{-1}}{s_n} \right] \cdot \phi. \quad (45)$$

Proof of the second part is similar. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Theorem 20. $\vec{K}_v^p : \beta_{s_+} \rightarrow \beta_{Z_+}$ and $\vec{K}_v^{-1} : \beta_{Z_+} \rightarrow \beta_{s_+}$ are continuous with respect to δ and Δ convergences.

Proof. First of all, we show that $\vec{K}_v^p : \beta_{s_+} \rightarrow \beta_{Z_+}$ and $\vec{K}_v^{-1} : \beta_{Z_+} \rightarrow \beta_{s_+}$ are continuous with respect to δ convergence. Let $\beta_n \xrightarrow{\delta} \beta$ in β_{s_+} as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and then we establish that

$\vec{K}_v^p \beta_n \rightarrow \vec{K}_v^p \beta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In view of [10], there are $f_{n,k}$ and f_k in $\mathcal{S}'_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, (a_i), a)$ such that

$$\beta_n = \left[\frac{f_{n,k}}{s_k} \right], \quad \beta = \left[\frac{f_k}{s_k} \right] \quad (46)$$

such that $f_{n,k} \rightarrow f_k$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for every $k \in \mathbf{N}$. The continuity condition of the Krätzel transform implies $E_{n,k} \rightarrow E_k$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $E_{n,k} = K_v^p f_{n,k}$, and $E_k = K_v^p f_k$ in the space Z_+ . Thus, $[E_{n,k}/s_k] \rightarrow [E_k/s_k]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in β_{Z_+} .

To prove the second part of the lemma, let $g_n \xrightarrow{\delta} g \in \beta_{Z_+}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. From [10], we have $g_n = [E_{n,k}/s_k]$ and $g = [E_k/s_k]$ for some $E_{n,k}, E_k \in Z_+$ where $E_{n,k} \rightarrow E_k$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $K_v^{-1} E_{n,k} \rightarrow K_v^{-1} E_k$ in β_{s_+} as $n \rightarrow \infty$. That is, $[E_{n,k}/s_k] \rightarrow [E_k/s_k]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Using (42) we get $\vec{K}_v^p [E_{n,k}/s_k] \rightarrow \vec{K}_v^p [E_k/s_k]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Now, we establish continuity of \vec{K}_v^p and \vec{K}_v^{-1} with respect to Δ_+ convergence. Let $\beta_n \xrightarrow{\Delta} \beta$ in β_{s_+} as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then, we find $f_n \in \mathcal{S}'_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, (a_i), a)$, and $(s_n) \in \Delta_+$ such that $(\beta_n - \beta) \cdot s_n = [(f_n \cdot s_k)/s_k]$ and $f_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Employing (41) we get

$$\vec{K}_v^p ((\beta_n - \beta) \cdot s_n) = \left[\frac{K_v^p (f_n \cdot s_k)}{s_k} \right]. \quad (47)$$

Hence, from (41) and Lemma 19 we have $\vec{K}_v^p ((\beta_n - \beta) \cdot s_n) = [(E_n \otimes s_k)/s_k] = E_k \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in Z_+ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \vec{K}_v^p ((\beta_n - \beta) \cdot s_n) \\ &= (\vec{K}_v^p \beta_n - \vec{K}_v^p \beta) \otimes s_n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

Hence, $\vec{K}_v^p \beta_n \xrightarrow{\Delta} \vec{K}_v^p \beta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Finally, let $g_n \xrightarrow{\Delta} g$ in β_{Z_+} as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and then we find $E_k \in Z_+$ such that $(g_n - g) \otimes s_n = [(E_k \otimes s_k)/s_k]$ and $E_k \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for some $(s_n) \in \Delta_+$ and $E_k = K_v^p f_n$.

Next, using (42), we obtain $\vec{K}_v^{-1} ((g_n - g) \otimes s_n) = [K_v^{-1} (E_k \otimes s_k)/s_k]$. Lemma 19 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \vec{K}_v^{-1} ((g_n - g) \otimes s_n) \\ &= \left[\frac{f_n \cdot s_k}{s_k} \right] = f_n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } \mathcal{S}'_+(\mathcal{L}^r, \alpha, (a_i), a). \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

Thus $\vec{K}_v^{-1} ((g_n - g) \otimes s_n) = (\vec{K}_v^{-1} g_n - \vec{K}_v^{-1} g) \cdot s_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Hence, we have $\vec{K}_v^p g_n \xrightarrow{\Delta} \vec{K}_v^p g$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in β_{s_+} .

This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Acknowledgment

The authors express their sincere thanks to the referees for the careful and detailed reading of the earlier version of paper

and the very helpful suggestions that improved the paper substantially. The second author also acknowledges that this project was partially supported by University Putra Malaysia under the ERGS Grant Scheme with Project no. 5527068.

References

- [1] E. Krätzel, "Integral transformations of Bessel-type," in *Generalized Functions and Operational Calculus (Proc. Conf., Varna, 1975)*, pp. 148–155, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria, 1979.
- [2] E. Krätzel and H. Menzer, "Verallgemeinerte Hankel-Funktionen," *Publicationes Mathematicae Debrecen*, vol. 18, pp. 139–147, 1971.
- [3] A. H. Zemanian, *Distribution Theory and Transform Analysis*, Dover Publications, New York, NY, USA, 2nd edition, 1987.
- [4] D. I. Cruz-Báez and J. Rodríguez Expósito, "New inversion formulas for the Krätzel transformation," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 253–263, 2001.
- [5] A. H. Zemanian, *Generalized Integral Transformations*, Dover Publications, New York, NY, USA, 2nd edition, 1987.
- [6] J. A. Barrios and J. J. Betancor, "A Krätzel's integral transformation of distributions," *Collectanea Mathematica*, vol. 42, no. 1, pp. 11–32, 1991.
- [7] B. Fisher and A. Kiliçman, "A commutative neutrix product of ultradistributions," *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, vol. 4, no. 1-2, pp. 77–82, 1996.
- [8] C. Roumieu, "Ultra-distributions définies sur R^n et sur certaines classes de variétés différentiables," *Journal d'Analyse Mathématique*, vol. 10, pp. 153–192, 1963.
- [9] C. Roumieu, "Sur quelques extensions de la notion de distribution," *Annales Scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure. Troisième Série*, vol. 77, pp. 41–121, 1960.
- [10] H. Komatsu, "Ultradistributions. I. Structure theorems and a characterization," *Journal of the Faculty of Science. University of Tokyo. Section IA. Mathematics*, vol. 20, pp. 25–105, 1973.
- [11] A. Beurling, *Quasi-Analyticity and Generalized Distributions, Lectures 4 and 5*, A. M. S. Summer Institute, Stanford, Calif, USA, 1961.
- [12] R. D. Carmichael, R. S. Pathak, and S. Pilipović, "Cauchy and Poisson integrals of ultradistributions," *Complex Variables. Theory and Application*, vol. 14, no. 1–4, pp. 85–108, 1990.
- [13] R. S. Pathak, *Integral Transforms of Generalized Functions and Their Applications*, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1997.
- [14] R. S. Pathak, "A distributional generalised Stieltjes transformation," *Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society. Series II*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 15–22, 1976.
- [15] S. K. Q. Al-Omari, "Certain class of kernels for Roumieu-type convolution transform of ultra-distributions of compact support," *Journal of Concrete and Applicable Mathematics*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 310–316, 2009.
- [16] S. K. Q. Al-Omari, "Cauchy and Poisson integrals of tempered ultradistributions of Roumieu and Beurling types," *Journal of Concrete and Applicable Mathematics*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 36–46, 2009.
- [17] T. K. Boehme, "The support of Mikusiński operators," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 176, pp. 319–334, 1973.

- [18] S. K. Q. Al-Omari, D. Loonker, P. K. Banerji, and S. L. Kalla, "Fourier sine (cosine) transform for ultradistributions and their extensions to tempered and ultraBoehmian spaces," *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, vol. 19, no. 5-6, pp. 453–462, 2008.
- [19] P. Mikusiński, "Fourier transform for integrable Boehmians," *The Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 577–582, 1987.
- [20] P. Mikusiński, "Tempered Boehmians and ultradistributions," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 123, no. 3, pp. 813–817, 1995.
- [21] R. Roopkumar, "Mellin transform for Boehmians," *Bulletin of the Institute of Mathematics. Academia Sinica. New Series*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 75–96, 2009.

