Some Remarks on Second Order Linear Difference Equations*

B.G. ZHANG [a],[†] and CHUAN JUN TIAN [b]

[a] *Department of Applied Mathematics, Ocean University of Qingdao, Qingdao 266003, P.R. China;*
[b] *Department of Mathematics, Jinzhou Teacher's College, Jinzhou, Hubei 434100, P.R. China*

We obtain some further results for comparison theorems and oscillation criteria of second order linear difference equations.

Keywords: Oscillations, Comparison theorems, Difference equations

AMS Subject Classifications: 39A10

1. INTRODUCTION

Oscillation and comparison theorems for the linear difference equation

$$c_n x_{n+1} + c_{n-1} x_{n-1} = b_n x_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots, \quad (1.1)$$

has been investigated intensively [1–5].

Equation (1.1) is equivalent to the self adjoint equation

$$\Delta(c_{n-1}\Delta x_{n-1}) + a_n x_n = 0, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots, \quad (1.2)$$

where $a_n = c_n + c_{n-1} - b_n$.

A nontrivial solution $\{x_n\}$ of Eq. (1.1) is said to be oscillatory, if the terms x_n of the solution are neither eventually all positive nor eventually all negative. Otherwise, the solution is called non-oscillatory. It is well known that if one nontrivial solution of (1.1) is oscillatory, then all solutions are oscillatory, and so we can say that (1.1) is oscillatory.

In Section 2, we want to show some further results on the comparison theorem and oscillation criteria for (1.1), which improve some known results. In Section 3, we consider the forced oscillation.

2. COMPARISON THEOREMS AND OSCILLATION

We assume that $a_n > 0$ and $b_n > 0$ for all large n. Let $\{x_n\}$ be an eventually positive solution of (1.1),

---

say $x_n > 0$ for $n \geq N$. Taking Riccati type transformation

$$s_n = (b_{n+1}x_{n+1})/(c_nx_n), \quad n \geq N, \qquad (2.1)$$

(1.1) becomes

$$q_ns_n + 1/s_{n-1} = 1, \quad \text{for } n \geq N+1, \qquad (2.2)$$

where $q_n = c_n^2/(b_nb_{n+1})$.

It is known [1] that (1.1) is nonoscillatory if and only if (2.2) has an eventually positive solution.

We consider (1.1) and (2.2) together with

$$C_ny_{n+1} + C_{n-1}y_{n-1} = B_ny_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots, \qquad (2.3)$$

and

$$Q_nS_n + 1/S_{n-1} = 1, \qquad (2.4)$$

where $Q_n = C_n^2/(B_nB_{n+1})$.

THEOREM 2.1 *Suppose that $Q_nQ_{n+1} \geq q_nq_{n+1}$ and $Q_n + Q_{n+1} \geq q_n + q_{n+1}$ for all large n. If (2.3) is nonoscillatory, so is Eq. (1.1).*

Proof To prove that (1.1) has a positive solution, it is sufficient to prove that (2.2) has a positive solution $\{s_n\}$ for $n \geq N$. Since $q_n + q_{n+1} \leq Q_n + Q_{n+1}$ for all large n, then there exists a positive integer $n_1 > N$ such that $Q_{n_1+1} \geq q_{n_1+1}$. From (2.4), $S_n > 1$ for $n \geq n_1$. Choose $s_{n_1} \geq S_{n_1} > 1$ and define s_{n_1+1} by (2.2). In view of (2.2) and (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} q_{n_1+1}s_{n_1+1} &= 1 - 1/s_{n_1} \\ &= Q_{n_1+1}S_{n_1+1} + 1/S_{n_1} \\ &\quad - 1/s_{n_1} \geq Q_{n_1+1}S_{n_1+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$s_{n_1+1} \geq \frac{Q_{n_1+1}}{q_{n_1+1}}S_{n_1+1} > 0$$

and $s_{n_1}s_{n_1+1} \geq S_{n_1}S_{n_1+1}$. By induction, we can prove that (2.2) has a positive solution $\{s_n\}$, $n \geq n_1$, which implies that (1.1) has a nonoscillatory solution. The proof is complete.

Remark 2.1 Theorem 2.1 improves Theorem 6.8.4 in [1].

We write (1.1) in the form

$$x_{n+1} - \frac{b_n}{c_n}x_n + \frac{c_{n-1}}{c_n}x_{n-1} = 0$$

and let $y_n = \left[\prod_{i=N}^{n-1}(c_i/b_i)\right]x_n$. Then (1.1) becomes

$$y_{n+1} - y_n + q_ny_{n-1} = 0. \qquad (2.5)$$

The oscillation of (1.1) and (2.5) is equivalent. By known results [1, Theorems 6.20.3 and 6.20.4] or [2], if

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} q_n > \tfrac{1}{4}, \qquad (2.6)$$

then (1.1) is oscillatory and if

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} q_n < \tfrac{1}{4}, \qquad (2.7)$$

then (1.1) is nonoscillatory. In particular, the equation

$$y_{n+1} - y_n + \tfrac{1}{4}y_{n-1} = 0 \qquad (2.8)$$

is nonoscillatory.

Combining the above results and Theorem 2.1, we obtain the following corollaries.

COROLLARY 2.1 *If $q_n + q_{n+1} \leq 1/2$ for all large n. Then (1.1) is nonoscillatory.*

In fact, let $Q_n \equiv 1/4$, Corollary 2.1 follows from Theorem 2.1.

Remark 2.2 Corollary 2.1 improves Theorem 6.5.5 in [1].

COROLLARY 2.2 *If $q_nq_{n+1} \geq 1/16 + \epsilon_0$, for some $\epsilon_0 > 0$ and all large n, then (1.1) is oscillatory.*

Proof Let ϵ_1 be a positive number such that $1/(4 - \epsilon_1) \leq \sqrt{1/16 + \epsilon_0}$ and $Q_n = 1/(4 - \epsilon_1)$ for all large n. Then

$$q_nq_{n+1} \geq \frac{1}{16} + \epsilon_0 \geq \frac{1}{(4 - \epsilon_1)^2} = Q_nQ_{n+1}$$

and

$$q_n + q_{n+1} \geq 2\sqrt{q_nq_{n+1}} \geq \frac{2}{4 - \epsilon_1} = Q_n + Q_{n+1}$$

for all large n. Since $Q_n \equiv 1/(4-\epsilon_1)$ implies that (2.3) is oscillatory. By Theorem 2.1, (1.1) is oscillatory also.

Remark 2.3 Corollary 2.2 improves Theorem 6.5.3 in [1].

Example 2.1 Consider the difference equation

$$c_n x_{n+1} + c_{n-1} x_{n-1} = x_n, \qquad (2.9)$$

where

$$c_{n-1} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{14.1/15}, & n\text{: even,} \\ \sqrt{1/15}, & n\text{: odd.} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$q_n = c_{n-1}^2 = \begin{cases} 14.1/15, & n\text{: even,} \\ 1/15, & n\text{: odd.} \end{cases}$$

Hence $q_n q_{n+1} = 14.1/(15)^2 > 1/16$. By Corollary 2.2, every solution of (2.9) is oscillatory.

Oscillation criteria in [1] are not valid for (2.9). Define two sequences $\{R_n\}$ and $\{r_n\}$ as follows:

$$R_n = q_n + q_{n-1} + q_n q_{n+1} + q_{n-1} q_{n-2} + q_n q_{n+1}^2$$
$$+ q_n q_{n+1} q_{n+2} + q_{n-1} q_{n-2}^2 + q_n q_{n+1}^2 q_{n+2}$$
$$+ q_{n-2}^2 q_{n-1} q_{n-3} + q_{n-1} q_{n-2} q_{n-3}, \quad n \geq 4,$$
$$(2.10)$$

and

$$r_n = q_n + q_{n-1} + q_n q_{n+1} + q_{n-1} q_{n-2}, \quad n \geq 3. \quad (2.11)$$

THEOREM 2.2 *Assume that there exists an increasing sequence $\{n_k\}$ such that $R_{n_k} \geq 1$. Then (1.1) is oscillatory.*

Proof Suppose to the contrary, let (1.1) be non-oscillatory. Then (2.2) has a positive solution $\{s_n\}$ defined for $n \geq N$. From (2.2), by the iterating

substitution, we have

$$1 = q_n q_{n+1} s_n s_{n+1} + q_n + q_{n+1} + 1/(s_{n-1} s_{n-2})$$
$$= q_n q_{n+1} s_n s_{n+1} (q_{n+2} s_{n+2} + 1/s_{n+1})$$
$$\quad \times (q_{n+1} s_{n+1} + 1/s_n) + q_n + q_{n-1}$$
$$\quad + (q_{n-1} s_{n-1} + 1/s_{n-2})(q_{n-2} s_{n-2} + 1/s_{n-3})$$
$$\quad /(s_{n-1} s_{n-2})$$
$$= q_n + q_{n-1} + q_n q_{n+1} + q_{n-1} q_{n-2}$$
$$\quad + q_n q_{n+1}^2 s_n s_{n+1} + q_n q_{n+1} q_{n+2} s_{n+1} s_{n+2}$$
$$\quad + q_n q_{n+1}^2 q_{n+2} s_n s_{n+1}^2 s_{n+2} + q_{n-2}/(s_{n-1} s_{n-2})$$
$$\quad + q_{n-1}/(s_{n-2} s_{n-3}) + 1/(s_{n-1} s_{n-2}^2 s_{n-3})$$
$$> q_n + q_{n-1} + q_n q_{n+1} + q_{n-1} q_{n-2} + q_n q_{n+1}^2$$
$$\quad + q_n q_{n+1} q_{n+2} + q_n q_{n+1}^2 q_{n+2} + q_{n-1} q_{n-2}^2$$
$$\quad + q_{n-1} q_{n-2} q_{n-3} + q_{n-1} q_{n-2}^2 q_{n-3}$$
$$= R_n$$

which contradicts the assumption. The proof is complete.

COROLLARY 2.3 *If $\limsup_{n \to \infty} R_n > 1$, then (1.1) is oscillatory.*

It is easy to see that $\limsup_{n \to \infty} r_n > 1$, then $\limsup_{n \to \infty} R_n > 1$.

Example 2.1 satisfies conditions of Corollary 2.3.

Remark 2.3 Corollary 2.3 improves Corollary 6.5.11 in [1].

3. FORCED OSCILLATION

We consider the forced equation

$$\Delta^2 x_n + p_n x_{n+1} = f_n, \quad n = 0, 1, \ldots, \qquad (3.1)$$

and the homogeneous equation

$$\Delta^2 x_n + p_n x_{n+1} = 0. \qquad (3.2)$$

LEMMA 3.1 *Let $\{\phi_n\}$ be a solution of (3.2) and $\{x_n\}$ be a solution of (3.1). Let $x_n = \phi_n y_n$, then $\{y_n\}$ satisfies*

$$\Delta(\phi_n \phi_{n+1} \Delta y_n) = \phi_{n+1} f_n. \qquad (3.3)$$

Proof Clearly,

$$\phi_n \Delta x_n = \phi_n \Delta \phi_n y_n + \phi_n \phi_{n+1} \Delta y_n.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(&\phi_n \phi_{n+1} \Delta y_n) \\
&= \Delta(\phi_n \Delta x_n) - \Delta(\phi_n \Delta \phi_n y_n) \\
&= \phi_{n+1} \Delta^2 x_n + \Delta \phi_n \Delta x_n - \phi_{n+1} \Delta \phi_{n+1} \Delta y_n \\
&\quad - \Delta(\phi_n \Delta \phi_n) y_n \\
&= \phi_{n+1}(f_n - p_n \phi_{n+1} y_{n+1}) \\
&\quad + \Delta \phi_n(\Delta \phi_n y_n + \phi_{n+1} \Delta y_n) \\
&\quad - \phi_{n+1} \Delta \phi_{n+1} \Delta y_n - (\phi_{n+1} \Delta^2 \phi_n + (\Delta \phi_n)^2) y_n \\
&= \phi_{n+1} f_n - \phi_{n+1}^2 y_{n+1} p_n \\
&\quad + \phi_{n+1} \Delta y_n(\Delta \phi_n - \Delta \phi_{n+1}) - \phi_{n+1} \Delta^2 \phi_n y_n \\
&= \phi_{n+1} f_n - \phi_{n+1}^2 y_{n+1} p_n \\
&\quad - \Delta^2 \phi_n \phi_{n+1}(y_{n+1} - y_n) - \phi_{n+1} \Delta^2 \phi_n y_n \\
&= \phi_{n+1} f_n - y_{n+1} \phi_{n+1}(p_n \phi_{n+1} + \Delta^2 \phi_n) \\
&= \phi_{n+1} f_n.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete.

THEOREM 3.1 *Let $\{\phi_n\}$ be a positive solution of* (3.2). *Assume that there exists a positive integer N such that*
(i)

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=N}^{n} \phi_{i+1} f_i = -\infty$$

and

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=N}^{n} \phi_{i+1} f_i = \infty,$$

(ii)

$$\sum_{i=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\phi_i \phi_{i+1}} = \infty,$$

(iii)

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=N}^{n} \frac{1}{\phi_i \phi_{i+1}} \sum_{j=N}^{i-1} \phi_{j+1} f_j = -\infty,$$

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=N}^{n} \frac{1}{\phi_i \phi_{i+1}} \sum_{j=N}^{i-1} \phi_{j+1} f_j = \infty.$$

Then every solution of (3.1) *is oscillatory.*

Proof Suppose to the contrary, let $\{x_n\}$ be a positive solution of (3.1) and $x_n = \phi_n y_n$. By Lemma 3.1, y_n satisfies (3.3).

Summing (3.3) from N to $n-1$, we obtain

$$\phi_n \phi_{n+1} \Delta y_n - \phi_N \phi_{N+1} \Delta y_N = \sum_{i=N}^{n-1} \phi_{i+1} f_i. \quad (3.4)$$

Condition (i) implies that

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \phi_n \phi_{n+1} \Delta y_n = -\infty.$$

Let N_1 be a large integer that $\phi_{N_1} \phi_{N_1+1} \Delta y_{N_1} < -M$, $M > 0$. From (3.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta y_n &= \frac{\phi_{N_1} \phi_{N_1+1} \Delta y_{N_1}}{\phi_n \phi_{n+1}} + \frac{1}{\phi_n \phi_{n+1}} \sum_{i=N_1}^{n-1} \phi_{i+1} f_i \\
&< -\frac{M}{\phi_n \phi_{n+1}} + \frac{1}{\phi_n \phi_{n+1}} \sum_{i=N_1}^{n-1} \phi_{i+1} f_i. \quad (3.5)
\end{aligned}$$

Summing (3.5) from N_1 to $n-1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
y_n - y_{N_1} \leq &- M \sum_{i=N_1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\phi_i \phi_{i+1}} \\
&+ \sum_{i=N_1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\phi_i \phi_{i+1}} \sum_{j=N_1}^{i-1} \phi_{j+1} f_j. \quad (3.6)
\end{aligned}$$

Condition (iii) and (3.6) imply that there exists a sequence $\{n_i\}$ such that $y_{n_i} < 0$ for all large i, which is a contradiction.

We can prove this theorem in a similar manner for negative solutions of (3.1).

From (3.6), we obtain the following result.

THEOREM 3.2 *Let $\{\phi_n\}$ be a positive solution of* (3.2) *with $\sum_{i=N}^{\infty} 1/(\phi_i \phi_{i+1}) < \infty$. Assume that* (iii) *of Theorem 3.1 holds. Then every solution of* (3.1) *is oscillatory.*

Example 3.1 Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta^2 x_n &+ \frac{2}{(n+1)^2(n+3)} x_{n+1} \\
&= (-1)^n \frac{(2n-1)(n+2)}{n+1}, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots \quad (3.7)
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that the equation

$$\Delta^2 x_n + \frac{2}{(n+1)^2(n+3)} x_{n+1} = 0 \qquad (3.8)$$

has a solution $\{\phi_n = n/(n+1)\}$, which satisfies (ii). On the other hand,

$$\sum_{i=N}^{n} \phi_{i+1} f_i = \sum_{i=N}^{n} (-1)^i (2i-1) = (-1)^n n + c, \quad (3.9)$$

where c is a constant. Then (3.9) implies that (i) is satisfied. Also, (iii) is satisfied. By Theorem 3.1, every solution of (3.7) is oscillatory.

Remark 3.1   Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 treat the oscillation of (3.1), which is caused by the forced term.

References

[1] R.P. Agarwal, *Difference Equations and Inequalities*, Marcel Dekker, NY, 1992.

[2] S.S. Cheng, Sturmian comparison theorems for three term recurrence equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **111** (1985), 465–474.

[3] J.W. Hooker and W.T. Patula, Riccati type transformations for second order linear difference equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **82** (1981), 451–462.

[4] W.T. Patula, Growth and oscillation properties of second order linear difference equations, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **10** (1979), 1272–1279.

[5] L.H. Erbe and B.G. Zhang, Oscillation of second order linear difference equations, *Chinese Math. J.*, **16**(4) (1988), 239–252.

[6] L.H. Erbe and B.G. Zhang, Oscillation of discrete analogues of delay equations, *Diff. and Integral Equations*, **2** (1989), 300–309.