Research Article

Periodic Solutions for a Class of *n***-th Order Functional Differential Equations**

Bing Song,1, 2 Lijun Pan,3 and Jinde Cao¹

¹ Department of Mathematics, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, China

³ School of Mathematics, Jia Ying University, Meizhou Guangdong, 514015, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Jinde Cao, jdcao@seu.edu.cn

Received 10 May 2011; Accepted 14 July 2011

Academic Editor: Peiguang Wang

Copyright $@$ 2011 Bing Song et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We study the existence of periodic solutions for *n*-th order functional differential equations $x^{(n)}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b_i [x^{(i)}(t)]^k + f(x(t-\tau(t))) + p(t)$. Some new results on the existence of periodic solutions of the equations are obtained. Our approach is based on the coincidence degree theory of Mawhin.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we are concerned with the existence of periodic solutions of the following *n*-th order functional differential equations:

$$
x^{(n)}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b_i \left[x^{(i)}(t) \right]^k + f(x(t-\tau(t))) + p(t), \tag{1.1}
$$

where b_i , $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 1$ are constants, k is a positive odd, $f \in C^1(R, R)$ for $\forall x \in R$, $p \in C^1(R, R)$ $C(R, R)$ with $p(t+T) = p(t)$.

In recent years, there are many papers studying the existence of periodic solutions of first-, second- or third-order differential equations $[1-12]$. For example, in $[5]$, Zhang and Wang studied the following differential equations:

$$
x'''(t) + ax''^{2k-1}(t) + bx'^{2k-1}(t) + cx^{2k-1}(t) + g(t, x(t-\tau_1), x'(t-\tau_2)) = p(t). \tag{1.2}
$$

² JiangSu Institute of Economic Trade Technology, Nanjing 211168, China

The authors established the existence of periodic solutions of (1.2) under some conditions on *a, b, c*, and 2*k* − 1.

In [13–24], periodic solutions for *n*, $2n$, and $2n + 1$ th order differential equations were discussed. For example, in [22, 24], Pan et al. studied the existence of periodic solutions of higher order differential equations of the form

$$
x^{(n)}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} b_i x^{(i)}(t) + f(t, x(t), x(t-\tau_1(t)), \dots, x(t-\tau_m(t))) + p(t).
$$
 (1.3)

The authors obtained the results based on the damping terms $x^{(i)}(t)$ and the delay $\tau_i(t)$.

In present paper, by using Mawhin's continuation theorem, we will establish some theorems on the existence of periodic solutions of (1.1) . The results are related to not only b_i and $f(t, x)$ but also the positive odd k . In addition, we give an example to illustrate our new results.

2. Some Lemmas

We investigate the theorems based on the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 (see [17]). Let $n_1 > 1$, $\alpha \in [0, +\infty)$ be constants, $s \in C(R, R)$ with $s(t + T) = s(t)$, and $s(t) \in [-\alpha, \alpha]$, for all $t \in [0, T]$. Then for $\forall x \in C^1(R, R)$ with $x(t + T) = x(t)$, one has

$$
\int_0^T |x(t) - x(t - s(t))|^{n_1} dt \le 2\alpha^{n_1} \int_0^T |x'(t)|^{n_1} dt.
$$
 (2.1)

Lemma 2.2. Let $k \geq 1$, $\alpha \in [0, +\infty)$ be constants, $s \in C(R, R)$ with $s(t + T) = s(t)$, and $s(t) \in$ $[-α, α]$, for all $t ∈ [0, T]$. Then for $\forall x ∈ C^1(R, R)$ with $x(t + T) = x(t)$, one has

$$
\int_0^T \left| x^k(t) - x^k(t - s(t)) \right|^{(k+1)/k} dt \le 2\alpha^{(k+1)/k} k^{1/k} \left[(k-1) \int_0^T \left| x(t) \right|^{k+1} dt + \int_0^T \left| x'(t) \right|^{k+1} dt \right].
$$
\n(2.2)

Proof. Let $F(t) = x^k(t)$. By Lemma 2.2, one has

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \left| x^{k}(t) - x^{k}(t-s(t)) \right|^{(k+1)/k} dt = \int_{0}^{T} \left| F(t) - F(t-s(t)) \right|^{(k+1)/k} dt
$$
\n
$$
\leq 2\alpha^{(k+1)/k} \int_{0}^{T} \left| F'(t) \right|^{(k+1)/k} dt
$$
\n
$$
= 2\alpha^{(k+1)/k} \int_{0}^{T} \left| kx^{k-1}(t)x'(t) \right|^{(k+1)/k} dt
$$
\n
$$
= 2\alpha^{(k+1)/k} k^{(k+1)/k} \int_{0}^{T} \left| x(t) \right|^{((k-1)(k+1))/k} \left| x'(t) \right|^{(k+1)/k} dt.
$$
\n(2.3)

By inequality

$$
xy \le \frac{x^p}{p} + \frac{y^q}{q}, \quad x \ge 0, \ y \ge 0, \ \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1,
$$
 (2.4)

one has

$$
|x(t)|^{((k-1)(k+1))/k} |x'(t)|^{(k+1)/k} \le \frac{(k-1)|x(t)|^{k+1}}{k} + \frac{|x'(t)|^{k+1}}{k}.\tag{2.5}
$$

Thus we obtain

$$
\int_0^T \left| x^k(t) - x^k(t - s(t)) \right|^{(k+1)/k} dt \le 2\alpha^{(k+1)/k} k^{1/k} \left[(k-1) \int_0^T |x(t)|^{k+1} dt + \int_0^T |x'(t)|^{k+1} dt \right].
$$
\n(2.6)

Lemma 2.3. *If* $k \ge 1$ *is an integer,* $x \in C^n(R, R)$ *, and* $x(t + T) = x(t)$ *, then*

$$
\left(\int_0^T |x'(t)|^k dt\right)^{1/k} \le T\left(\int_0^T |x''(t)|^k dt\right)^{1/k} \le \cdots \le T^{n-1}\left(\int_0^T \left|x^{(n)}(t)\right|^k dt\right)^{1/k}.\tag{2.7}
$$

The proof of Lemma 2.3 is easy, here we omit it.

We first introduce Mawhin's continuation theorem.

Let *X* and *Y* be Banach spaces, *L* : $D(L) \subset X \to Y$ are a Fredholm operator of index zero, here $D(L)$ denotes the domain of *L*. $P: X \to X$, $Q: Y \to Y$ be projectors such that

$$
\text{Im } P = \text{Ker } L
$$
, $\text{Ker } Q = \text{Im } L$, $X = \text{Ker } L \oplus \text{Ker } P$, $Y = \text{Im } L \oplus \text{Im } Q$. (2.8)

It follows that

$$
L|_{D(L)\cap \text{Ker }P}: D(L)\cap \text{Ker }P \longrightarrow \text{Im }L
$$
\n(2.9)

is invertible, we denote the inverse of that map by K_p . Let $Ω$ be an open bounded subset of *X*, *D*(*L*) $\cap \overline{\Omega} \neq \emptyset$, the map *N* : *X* \rightarrow *Y* will be called *L*-compact in $\overline{\Omega}$, if $QN(\overline{\Omega})$ is bounded and $K_p(I-Q)N : \overline{\Omega} \to X$ is compact.

Lemma 2.4 (see [25]). Let *L* be a Fredholm operator of index zero and let N be *L*-compact on $\overline{\Omega}$ *. Assume that the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i) $Lx \neq \lambda Nx$, for all $x \in \partial\Omega \cap D(L)$, $\lambda \in (0,1)$;
- ii) $QNx ≠ 0$ *, for all* $x ∈ ∂Ω ∩$ Ker *L;*
- (iii) deg{*QNx*, Ω∩ Ker *L*, 0} \neq 0*,*

then the equation $Lx = Nx$ *has at least one solution in* $\overline{\Omega} \cap D(L)$ *.*

Now, we define $Y = \{x \in C(R, R) \mid x(t + T) = x(t)\}$ with the norm $|x|_{\infty} =$ $max_{t \in [0,T]} \{ |x(t)| \}$ and $X = \{ x \in C^{n-1}(R, R) \mid x(t+T) = x(t) \}$ with norm $||x|| =$ max{|*x*| [∞]*,* |*x* | [∞]*,...,* |*xn*−1 | ∞}. It is easy to see that *X, Y* are two Banach spaces. We also define the operators *L* and *N* as follows:

$$
L: D(L) \subset X \longrightarrow Y, \quad Lx = x^{(n)}, \ D(L) = \{x \mid x \in C^n(R, R), \ x(t + T) = x(t)\},
$$

$$
N: X \longrightarrow Y, \quad Nx = -\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} b_i \Big[x^{(i)}(t)\Big]^k - f(t, x(t - \tau(t))) + p(t).
$$
 (2.10)

It is easy to see that (1.1) can be converted to the abstract equation $Lx = Nx$. Moreover, from the definition of *L*, we see that ker *L* = *R*, dim(ker *L*) = 1, Im *L* = { $y | y \in Y$, $\int_0^T y(s) ds = 0$ } is closed, and $\dim(Y\ln L) = 1$, one has $\text{codim}(\text{Im }L) = \dim(\ker L)$. So *L* is a Fredholm operator with index zero. Let

$$
P: X \longrightarrow \ker L, \quad Px = x(0), \quad Q: Y \longrightarrow Y \setminus \operatorname{Im} L, \quad Qy = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T y(t) dt,
$$
 (2.11)

and let

$$
L|_{D(L)\cap \text{Ker }P}: D(L)\cap \text{Ker }P \longrightarrow \text{Im }L. \tag{2.12}
$$

Then $L|_{D(L)\cap \text{Ker }P}$ has a unique continuous inverse K_p . One can easily find that *N* is *L*-compact in $\overline{\Omega}$, where $\overline{\Omega}$ is an open bounded subset of *X*.

3. Main Result

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose* $n = 2m + 1$, $m > 0$ an integer and the following conditions hold:

 (H_1) *The function* f *satisfies*

$$
\lim_{x \to \infty} \left| \frac{f(t, x)}{x^k} \right| \le \gamma,\tag{3.1}
$$

$$
|f(t,x) - f(t,y)| \le \beta |x^k - y^k|,\tag{3.2}
$$

where $\gamma \geq 0$ *.*

 (H_2)

$$
|b_0| > \gamma + \theta_2. \tag{3.3}
$$

(H₃) There is a positive integer $0 < s \le m$ *such that*

$$
b_{2s} \neq 0, \quad \text{if } s = m,\tag{3.4}
$$

$$
b_{2s} \neq 0
$$
, $b_{2s+i} = 0$, $i = 1, 2, ..., 2m - 2s$, if $0 < s < m$.

 (H_4)

$$
A_{2}(2s,k) + \theta_{1}T^{(2s-1)k} + \frac{(\gamma + \theta_{2})(A_{1}(2s,k) + \theta_{1}T^{(2s-1)k})}{|b_{0}| - \gamma - \theta_{2}}
$$

+ $k|b_{0}|T^{2s}\left[\frac{A_{1}(2s,k) + \theta_{1}T^{(2s-1)k}}{|b_{0}| - \gamma - \theta_{2}}\right]^{(k-1)/k} < |b_{2s}|, \quad \text{if } 1 < s \leq m,$ (3.5)
 $\theta_{1}T^{k} + \frac{(\gamma + \theta_{2})(A_{1}(2,k) + \theta_{1}T^{k})}{|b_{0}| - \gamma - \theta_{2}} + k|b_{0}|T^{2}\left[\frac{A_{1}(2,k) + \theta_{1}T^{k}}{|b_{0}| - \gamma - \theta_{2}}\right]^{(k-1)/k} < |b_{2}|, \quad \text{if } s = 1,$

where $A_1(s,k) = \sum_{i=1}^s |b_i| T^{(s-i)k}, A_2(s,k) = \sum_{i=1}^{s-2} |b_i| T^{(s-i)k}, \theta_1 = 2^{k/(k+1)} \beta |\tau(t)|_{\infty} k^{1/(k+1)}, \theta_2 =$ $2^{k/(k+1)}\beta|\tau(t)|_{\infty}k^{1/(k+1)}(k-1)^{k/(k+1)}$. Then (1.1) has at least one *T*-periodic solution.

Proof. Consider the equation

$$
Lx = \lambda Nx, \quad \lambda \in (0, 1), \tag{3.6}
$$

where L and N are defined by (2.10) . Let

$$
\Omega_1 = \left\{ x \in \frac{D(L)}{\text{Ker } L}, Lx = \lambda Nx \quad \text{for some } \lambda \in (0, 1) \right\}.
$$
 (3.7)

For $x \in \Omega_1$, one has

$$
x^{(n)}(t) = \lambda \sum_{i=0}^{2s} b_i \Big[x^{(i)}(t) \Big]^k + \lambda f(t, x(t - \tau(t))) + \lambda p(t), \quad \lambda \in (0, 1).
$$
 (3.8)

Multiplying both sides of (3.8) by $x(t)$, and integrating them on [0, *T*], one has for $\lambda \in (0, 1)$

$$
\int_{0}^{T} x^{(n)}(t)x(t)dt = \lambda \sum_{i=0}^{2s} b_{i} \int_{0}^{T} \left[x^{(i)}(t) \right]^{k} x(t)dt + \lambda \int_{0}^{T} f(t, x(t - \tau(t)))x(t)dt + \lambda \int_{0}^{T} p(t)x(t)dt.
$$
\n(3.9)

Since for any positive integer *i*,

$$
\int_0^T x^{(2i-1)}(t)x(t)dt = 0,
$$
\n(3.10)

and in view of $n = 2m + 1$ and k is odd, it follows from (3.3) and (3.9) that

$$
|b_0| \int_0^T |x(t)|^{k+1} dt
$$

\n
$$
\leq \sum_{i=1}^{2s} |b_i| \int_0^T |x^{(i)}(t)|^k |x(t)| dt + \int_0^T |f(t, x(t-\tau(t)))| |x(t)| dt + \int_0^T |p(t)| |x(t)| dt
$$

\n
$$
\leq \sum_{i=1}^{2s} |b_i| \int_0^T |x^{(i)}(t)|^k |x(t)| dt + \int_0^T |f(t, x(t))| |x(t)| dt
$$

\n
$$
+ \int_0^T |f(t, x) - f(t, x(t-\tau(t)))| |x(t)| dt + \int_0^T |p(t)| |x(t)| dt.
$$
\n(3.11)

By using Hölder inequality and Lemma 2.1, from (3.11), we obtain

$$
|b_{0}| \int_{0}^{T} |x(t)|^{k+1} dt
$$
\n
$$
\leq \left(\int_{0}^{T} |x(t)|^{k+1} dt \right)^{1/(k+1)} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{2s} |b_{i}| \left(\int_{0}^{T} |x^{(i)}(t)|^{k+1} dt \right)^{k/(k+1)} + \left(\int_{0}^{T} |f(t, x(t))|^{(k+1)/k} dt \right)^{k/(k+1)} + \left(\int_{0}^{T} |f(t, x(t))|^{(k+1)/k} dt \right)^{k/(k+1)} + \left(\int_{0}^{T} |p(t)|^{(k+1)/k} dt \right)^{k/(k+1)} \right] + \left(\int_{0}^{T} |p(t)|^{(k+1)/k} dt \right)^{k/(k+1)} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{2s} |b_{i}| T^{(2s-i)k} \left(\int_{0}^{T} |x^{(2s)}(t)|^{k+1} dt \right)^{k/(k+1)} + \left(\int_{0}^{T} |f(t, x(t))|^{(k+1)/k} dt \right)^{k/(k+1)} + \left(\int_{0}^{T} |f(t, x(t))|^{(k+1)/k} dt \right)^{k/(k+1)} + |p(t)|_{\infty} T^{k/(k+1)} \right].
$$

 (3.12)

So

$$
|b_0| \left(\int_0^T |x(t)|^{k+1} dt \right)^{k/(k+1)}
$$

\n
$$
\leq A_1(2s,k) \left(\int_0^T \left| x^{(2s)}(t) \right|^{k+1} dt \right)^{k/(k+1)} + \left(\int_0^T \left| f(t, x(t)) \right|^{(k+1)/k} dt \right)^{k/(k+1)}
$$

\n
$$
+ \left(\int_0^T \left| f(t, x(t)) - f(t, x(t - \tau(t))) \right|^{(k+1)/k} dt \right)^{k/(k+1)} + u_1,
$$
\n(3.13)

where u_1 is a positive constant. Choosing a constant $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$
\gamma + \varepsilon + \theta_2 < |b_0|,\tag{3.14}
$$
\n
$$
A_2(2s, k) + \theta_1 T^{(2s-1)k} + \frac{(\gamma + \varepsilon + \theta_2)(A_1(2s, k) + \theta_1 T^{(2s-1)k})}{|b_0| - (\gamma + \varepsilon) - \theta_2} + k|b_0|T^{2s} \left[\frac{A_1(2s, k) + \theta_1 T^{(2s-1)k}}{|b_0| - (\gamma + \varepsilon) - \theta_2} \right]^{(k-1)/k} < |b_{2s}|, \quad \text{if } 1 < s \le m,
$$
\n
$$
\theta_1 T^k + \frac{(\gamma + \varepsilon + \theta_2)(A_1(2, k) + \theta_1 T^k)}{|b_0| - (\gamma + \varepsilon) - \theta_2} + k|b_0|T^2 \left[\frac{A_1(2, k) + \theta_1 T^k}{|b_0| - (\gamma + \varepsilon) - \theta_2} \right]^{(k-1)/k} < |b_2|, \quad \text{if } s = 1,
$$
\n(3.15)

for the above constant $\varepsilon > 0$, we see from (3.1) that there is a constant $\delta > 0$ such that

$$
\left|f(t, x(t))\right| < \left(\gamma + \varepsilon\right)|x(t)|^k, \quad \text{for } |x(t)| > \delta, \ t \in [0, T].\tag{3.16}
$$

Denote

$$
\Delta_1 = \{ t \in [0, T] : |x(t)| \le \delta \}, \qquad \Delta_2 = \{ t \in [0, T] : |x(t)| > \delta \}. \tag{3.17}
$$

Since

$$
\int_{0}^{T} |f(t, x(t))|^{(k+1)/k} dt \leq \int_{\Delta_{1}} |f(t, x(t))|^{(k+1)/k} dt + \int_{\Delta_{2}} |f(t, x(t))|^{(k+1)/k} dt
$$

$$
\leq (f_{\delta})^{(k+1)/k} T + (\gamma + \varepsilon)^{(k+1)/k} \int_{0}^{T} |x(t)|^{k+1} dt
$$
(3.18)

$$
= (f_{\delta})^{(k+1)/k} T + (\gamma + \varepsilon)^{(k+1)/k} \int_{0}^{T} |x(t)|^{k+1} dt,
$$

using inequality

$$
(a+b)^{l} \le a^{l} + b^{l} \quad \text{for } a \ge 0, \ b \ge 0, \ 0 \le l \le 1,
$$
 (3.19)

it follows from (3.18) that

$$
\left(\int_0^T \left|f(t,x(t))\right|^{(k+1)/k}dt\right)^{k/(k+1)} \le f_\delta T^{k/(k+1)} + (\gamma + \varepsilon) \left(\int_0^T |x(t)|^{k+1}dt\right)^{k/(k+1)}.\tag{3.20}
$$

From (3.2) and by Lemma 2.2, one has

$$
\left(\int_{0}^{T} |f(t, x(t)) - f(t, x(t-\tau(t)))|^{(k+1)/k} dt\right)^{k/(k+1)} \n\leq \beta \left[\int_{0}^{T} |x^{k}(t) - x^{k}(t-\tau(t))|^{(k+1)/k} dt\right]^{k/(k+1)} \n\leq 2^{k/(k+1)} \beta |\tau(t)|_{\infty} k^{1/(k+1)} \left[(k-1) \int_{0}^{T} |x(t)|^{k+1} dt + \int_{0}^{T} |x'(t)|^{k+1} dt\right]^{k/(k+1)} \n\leq 2^{k/(k+1)} \beta |\tau(t)|_{\infty} k^{1/(k+1)} \left[(k-1)^{k/(k+1)} \left(\int_{0}^{T} |x(t)|^{k+1} dt\right)^{k/(k+1)}\right]^{k/(k+1)} \n+ \left(\int_{0}^{T} |x'(t)|^{k+1} dt\right)^{k/(k+1)} \left[\int_{0}^{T} |x'(t)|^{k+1} dt\right]^{k/(k+1)} \n+ 2^{k/(k+1)} \beta |\tau(t)|_{\infty} k^{1/(k+1)} \left(\int_{0}^{T} |x(t)|^{k+1} dt\right)^{k/(k+1)} \n+ 2^{k/(k+1)} \beta |\tau(t)|_{\infty} k^{1/(k+1)} T^{(2s-1)k} \left(\int_{0}^{T} |x^{(2s)}(t)|^{k+1} dt\right)^{k/(k+1)} \n= \theta_{2} \left(\int_{0}^{T} |x(t)|^{k+1} dt\right)^{k/(k+1)} + \theta_{1} T^{(2s-1)k} \left(\int_{0}^{T} |x^{(2s)}(t)|^{k+1} dt\right)^{k/(k+1)}.
$$
\n(3.21)

Substituting the above formula into (3.13), one has

$$
[|b_0| - (\gamma + \varepsilon) - \theta_2] \left(\int_0^T |x(t)|^{k+1} dt \right)^{k/(k+1)}
$$

\n
$$
\leq \left[A_1(2s, k) + \theta_1 T^{(2s-1)k} \right] \left(\int_0^T \left| x^{(2s)}(t) \right|^{k+1} dt \right)^{k/(k+1)} + u_2,
$$
\n(3.22)

where u_2 is a positive constant. That is

$$
\left(\int_0^T |x(t)|^{k+1}dt\right)^{k/(k+1)} \leq \frac{A_1(2s,k) + \theta_1 T^{(2s-1)k}}{|b_0| - (Y+\varepsilon) - \theta_2} \left(\int_0^T \left| x^{(2s)}(t) \right|^{k+1}dt\right)^{k/(k+1)} + u_3,\tag{3.23}
$$

where u_3 is a positive constant.

On the other hand, multiplying both sides of (3.8) by $x^{(2s)}(t)$, and integrating on [0, T], one has

$$
\int_{0}^{T} x^{(n)}(t)x^{(2s)}(t)dt
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{i=0}^{2s} b_{i} \int_{0}^{T} \left[x^{(i)}(t) \right]^{k} x^{(2s)}(t)dt + \int_{0}^{T} f(t, x(t-\tau(t)))x^{(2s)}(t)dt + \int_{0}^{T} p(t)x^{(2s)}(t)dt.
$$
\n(3.24)

If $1 < s \leq m$, since

$$
\int_0^T x^{(2m+1)}(t)x^{(2s)}(t)dt = 0, \qquad \int_0^T \left[x^{(2s-1)}(t) \right]^k x^{(2s)}(t)dt = 0,
$$
\n(3.25)

$$
\int_0^T \left[x(t) \right]^k x^{(2s)}(t) dt = -k \int_0^T \left[x(t) \right]^{k-1} x^{(2s-1)}(t) x'(t) dt, \tag{3.26}
$$

 \Box

by using Hölder inequality and Lemma 2.1, from (3.23), one has

$$
|b_{2s}| \int_{0}^{T} \left| x^{(2s)}(t) \right|^{k+1} dt
$$

\n
$$
\leq \int_{0}^{T} \left| x^{(2s)}(t) \right| \left[\sum_{i=1}^{2s-2} |b_i| |x^{(i)}(t)|^k + |f(t, x(t - \tau(t)))| + |p(t)| \right] dt
$$

\n
$$
+ k|b_0| \int_{0}^{T} |x(t)|^{k-1} |x^{(2s-1)}(t) | |x'(t)| dt
$$

\n
$$
\leq \left(\int_{0}^{T} \left| x^{(2s)}(t) \right|^{k+1} dt \right)^{1/(k+1)} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{2s-2} |b_i| T^{(2s-i)k} \left(\int_{0}^{T} \left| x^{(2s)}(t) \right|^{k+1} dt \right)^{k/(k+1)} + \left(\int_{0}^{T} |f(t, x(t))|^{(k+1)/k} dt \right)^{k/(k+1)} + \left(\int_{0}^{T} |f(t, x(t)) - f(t, x(t - \tau))|^{(k+1)/k} dt \right)^{k/(k+1)} + |p(t)|_{\infty} T^{k/(k+1)} \right]
$$

\n
$$
+ k|b_0| |x'(t)|_{\infty} \int_{0}^{T} |x(t)|^{k-1} |x^{(2s-1)}(t) dt.
$$
 (3.27)

Since $x(0) = x(T)$, there exists $\xi \in [0, T]$ such that $x'(\xi) = 0$. So for $t \in [0, T]$

$$
x'(t) = x'(\xi) + \int_{\xi}^{t} x''(\sigma) d\sigma.
$$
 (3.28)

Using Hölder inequality and Lemma 2.1, one has

$$
\left|x'(t)\right|_{\infty} \le \int_0^T \left|x''(t)\right| dt \le T^{k/(k+1)} \left(\int_0^T \left|x''(t)\right|^{k+1} dt\right)^{1/(k+1)}
$$

$$
\le T^{2s-1-(1/(k+1))} \left(\int_0^T \left|x^{(2s)}(t)\right|^{k+1} dt\right)^{1/(k+1)}.
$$
 (3.29)

Using inequality

$$
\left(\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \left|\left|x(t)\right|^r\right|\right)^{1/r} \le \left(\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \left|\left|x(t)\right|^l\right|\right)^{1/l} \quad \text{for } 0 \le r \le l, \ \forall x \in R. \tag{3.30}
$$

and applying Hölder inequality and by Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$
\int_{0}^{T} |x(t)|^{k-1} |x^{(2s-1)}(t)| dt \leq \left(\int_{0}^{T} |x(t)|^{k} dt \right)^{(k-1)/k} \left(\int_{0}^{T} \left| x^{(2s-1)}(t) \right|^{k} dt \right)^{1/k}
$$

$$
\leq T^{1/(k+1)} \left(\int_{0}^{T} |x(t)|^{k+1} dt \right)^{(k-1)/(k+1)} \left(\int_{0}^{T} \left| x^{(2s-1)}(t) \right|^{k+1} dt \right)^{1/(k+1)}
$$

$$
\leq T^{1+1/(k+1)} \left(\int_{0}^{T} |x(t)|^{k+1} dt \right)^{(k-1)/(k+1)} \left(\int_{0}^{T} \left| x^{(2s)}(t) \right|^{k+1} dt \right)^{1/(k+1)}.
$$

(3.31)

Substituting the above formula, (3.20) , (3.27) , and (3.30) into (3.26) , one has

$$
|b_{2s}| \int_0^T \left| x^{(2s)}(t) \right|^{k+1} dt
$$

\n
$$
\leq \left(\int_0^T \left| x^{(2s)}(t) \right|^{k+1} dt \right)^{1/(k+1)} \left\{ \left[A_2(2s,k) + \theta_1 T^{(2s-1)k} \right] \left(\int_0^T \left| x^{(2s)}(t) \right|^{k+1} dt \right)^{k/(k+1)} + \left[(\gamma + \varepsilon) + \theta_2 \right] \left(\int_0^T \left| x(t) \right|^{k+1} dt \right)^{k/(k+1)} + (|p(t)|_{\infty} + f_{\delta}) T^{k/(k+1)} \right\}
$$

\n
$$
+ k|b_0|T^{2s} \left(\int_0^T \left| x^{(2s)}(t) \right|^{k+1} dt \right)^{2/(k+1)} \left(\int_0^T \left| x(t) \right|^{k+1} dt \right)^{(k-1)/(k+1)}
$$

\n(3.32)

Then, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\left[|b_{2s}| - A_2(2s,k) - \theta_1 T^{(2s-1)k}\right] \left(\int_0^T \left| x^{(2s)}(t) \right|^{k+1} dt\right)^{k/(k+1)} \\
&\leq k|b_0|T^{2s} \left(\int_0^T \left| x^{(2s)}(t) \right|^{k+1} dt\right)^{1/(k+1)} \left(\int_0^T \left| x(t) \right|^{k+1} \right| dt\right)^{(k-1)/(k+1)} \\
&\quad + \left[(\gamma + \varepsilon) + \theta_2 \right] \left(\int_0^T \left| x^{(2s)}(t) \right|^{k+1} dt\right)^{k/(k+1)} + u_4,\n\end{aligned} \tag{3.33}
$$

where u_4 is a positive constant. Using inequality

$$
(a+b)^{l} \le a^{l} + b^{l} \quad \text{for } a \ge 0, \ b \ge 0, \ 0 \le l \le 1,
$$
 (3.34)

it follows from (3.23) that

$$
\left(\int_0^T |x(t)|^{k+1} dt\right)^{(k-1)/(k+1)} \le \left[\frac{A_1(2s,k) + \theta_1 T^{(2s-1)k}}{|b_0| - (\gamma + \varepsilon) - \theta_2}\right]^{(k-1)/k} \left(\int_0^T \left|x^{(2s)}(t)\right|^{k+1} dt\right)^{(k-1)/(k+1)} + u_5,
$$
\n(3.35)

where u_5 is a positive constant. Substituting the above formula and (3.23) into (3.33) , one has

$$
\begin{cases}\n|b_{2s}| - A_2(2s, k) - \theta_1 T^{(2s-1)k} - \frac{(\gamma + \varepsilon + \theta_2)(A_1(2s, k) + \theta_1 T^{(2s-1)k})}{|b_0| - (\gamma + \varepsilon) - \theta_2} \\
\hline\n-k|b_0|T^{2s} \left[\frac{A_1(2s, k) + \theta_1 T^{(2s-1)k}}{|b_0| - (\gamma + \varepsilon) - \theta_2} \right]^{(k-1)/k} \left\{ \left(\int_0^T \left| x^{(2s)}(t) \right|^{k+1} dt \right)^{k/(k+1)} \right\} \\
\leq u_5 k |b_0|T^{2s} \left(\int_0^T \left| x^{(2s)}(t) \right|^{k+1} dt \right)^{1/(k+1)} + u_6,\n\end{cases} \tag{3.36}
$$

where u_6 is a positive constant.

If $s = 1$, since $\int_0^T [x'(t)]^k x''(t) dt = 0$, $\int_0^T [x(t)]^k x''(t) dt = -k \int_0^T [x(t)]^{k-1} [x'(t)]^2 dt$, from 3.24, one has

$$
b_2 \int_0^T \left[x''(t) \right]^{k+1} dt
$$
\n
$$
= -kb_0 \int_0^T \left[x(t) \right]^{k-1} \left[x'(t) \right]^2 dt - \int_0^T f(t, x(t-\tau)) x''(t) dt + \int_0^T p(t) x''(t) dt.
$$
\n(3.37)

Applying the above method, one has

$$
\left\{ |b_2| - \theta_1 T^k - \frac{(\gamma + \varepsilon + \theta_2)(A_1(2, k) + \theta_1 T^k)}{|b_0| - (\gamma + \varepsilon) - \theta_2} - k|b_0|T^2 \left[\frac{A_1(2, k) + \theta_1 T^k}{|b_0| - (\gamma + \varepsilon) - \theta_2} \right]^{(k-1)/k} \right\}
$$

$$
\times \left(\int_0^T |x''(t)|^{k+1} dt \right)^{k/(k+1)} \le u_7 k |b_0| T^2 \left(\int_0^T |x''(t)|^{k+1} dt \right)^{1/(k+1)} + u_8,
$$
 (3.38)

where u_7 , u_8 is a positive constant. Hence there is a constant M_1 , $M_2 > 0$ such that

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \left| x^{(2s)}(t) \right|^{k+1} dt \le M_1,
$$
\n(3.39)

$$
\int_{0}^{T} |x(t)|^{k+1} dt \le M_2.
$$
\n(3.40)

From (3.5), using Hölder inequality and Lemma 2.1, one has

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \left| x^{(n)}(t) \right| dt \leq \sum_{i=0}^{2s} |b_{i}| \int_{0}^{T} \left| x^{(i)}(t) \right|^{k} dt + \int_{0}^{T} \left| f(t, x(t)) \right| dt \n+ \int_{0}^{T} \left| f(t, x(t)) - f(t, x(t - \tau(t))) \right| dt + \int_{0}^{T} \left| p(t) \right| dt \n\leq \left[\sum_{i=1}^{2s} |b_{i}| T^{(2s-i)k+1/(k+1)} + \theta_{1} T^{(2s-1)k+1/(k+1)} \right] \left(\int_{0}^{T} \left| x^{(2s)}(t) \right|^{k+1} dt \right)^{k/(k+1)} \n+ \left[|b_{0}| + (\gamma + \varepsilon) + \theta_{2} \right] T^{1/(k+1)} \left(\int_{0}^{T} \left| x(t) \right|^{k+1} dt \right)^{k/(k+1)} + (\left| p(t) \right|_{\infty} + f_{\delta}) T \n\leq \left[\sum_{i=1}^{2s} |b_{i}| T^{(2s-i)k+1/(k+1)} + \theta_{1} T^{(2s-1)k+1/(k+1)} \right] (M_{1})^{k/(k+1)} \n+ |b_{0}| + (\gamma + \varepsilon) + \theta_{2} (M_{2})^{k/(k+1)} + (\left| p(t) \right|_{\infty} + f_{\delta}) T = M,
$$
\n(3.41)

where *M* is a positive constant. We claim that

$$
\left| x^{(i)}(t) \right| \le T^{n-i-1} \int_0^T \left| x^{(n)}(t) \right| dt, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1. \tag{3.42}
$$

In fact, noting that $x^{(n-2)}(0) = x^{(n-2)}(T)$, there must be a constant $\xi_1 \in [0,T]$ such that *x*^(*n*−1)(ξ₁) = 0, we obtain

$$
\left| x^{(n-1)}(t) \right| = \left| x^{(n-1)}(\xi_1) + \int_{\xi_1}^t x^{(n)}(s)ds \right| \le \left| x^{(n-1)}(\xi_1) \right| + \int_0^T \left| x^{(n)}(t) \right| dt = \int_0^T \left| x^{(n)}(t) \right| dt.
$$
\n(3.43)

Similarly, since $x^{(n-3)}(0) = x^{(n-3)}(T)$, there must be a constant $\xi_2 \in [0, T]$ such that $x^{(n-2)}(\xi_2) =$ 0, from (3.43) we get

$$
\left|x^{(n-2)}(t)\right| = \left|x^{(n-2)}(\xi_2) + \int_{\xi_2}^t x^{(n-1)}(s)ds\right| \le \int_0^T \left|x^{(n-1)}(t)\right| dt \le T \int_0^T \left|x^{(n)}(t)\right| dt. \tag{3.44}
$$

By induction, we conclude that (3.42) holds. Furthermore, one has

$$
\left|x^{(i)}(t)\right|_{\infty} \le T^{n-i-1} \int_0^T \left|x^{(n)}(t)\right| dt \le T^{n-i-1} M, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1. \tag{3.45}
$$

It follows from (3.39) that there exists a $\xi \in [0,T]$ such that $|x(\xi)| \leq M_2^{1/(k+1)}$. Applying Lemma 2.1, we get

$$
|x(t)|_{\infty} \le x(\xi) + \int_{\xi}^{t} x'(t)dt \le M_2^{1/(k+1)}
$$

+ $T^{k/(k+1)} \left(\int_0^T |x'(t)|^{k+1} dt\right)^{1/(k+1)}$
 $\le M_2^{1/(k+1)} + T^{2s-1+(k/(k+1))} \left(\int_0^T |x^{(2s)}(t)|^{k+1} dt\right)^{1/(k+1)}$
= $M_2^{1/(k+1)} + T^{2s-1+(k/(k+1))} M_1^{1/(k+1)}.$ (3.46)

It follows that there is a constant $A > 0$ such that $||x|| \leq A$. Thus Ω_1 is bounded. Let $\Omega_2 = \{x \in \text{Ker } L, QNx = 0\}$. Suppose $x \in \Omega_2$, then $x(t) = d \in R$ and satisfies

$$
QNx = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \left[-b_0 d^k - f(t, d) + p(t) \right] dt = 0.
$$
 (3.47)

We will prove that there exists a constant *B* > 0 such that $|d| \le B$. If $|d| \le \delta$, taking $\delta = B$, we get $|d| \leq B$. If $|d| > \delta$, from (3.47), one has

$$
|b_0||d|^k = \left| \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \left[-f(t, d) + p(t) \right] dt \right|
$$

$$
\leq \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \left| f(t, d) \right| dt + \left| p(t) \right|_\infty \leq (\gamma + \varepsilon) |d|^k + \left| p(t) \right|_\infty.
$$
 (3.48)

Thus

$$
|d| \le \left[\frac{|p(t)|_{\infty}}{|b_0| - (\gamma + \varepsilon)}\right]^{1/k}.\tag{3.49}
$$

Taking $[|p(t)|_{\infty}/(|b_0| - (\gamma + \varepsilon))]^{1/k} = B$, one has $|d| \leq B$, which implies Ω_2 is bounded. Let Ω be a nonempty open bounded subset of *X* such that $\Omega \supset \overline{\Omega_1} \cup \overline{\Omega_2}$. We can easily see that *L* is a Fredholm operator of index zero and *N* is *L*-compact on Ω. Then by the above argument, we have

- (i) $Lx \neq \lambda Nx$, for all $x \in \partial\Omega \cap D(L)$, $\lambda \in (0,1)$,
- ii) *QNx* \neq 0*,* for all *x* ∈ ∂Ω ∩ Ker *L*.

At last we will prove that condition (iii) of Lemma 2.4 is satisfied. We take

$$
H: (\Omega \cap \text{Ker } L) \times [0, 1] \longrightarrow \text{Ker } L,
$$

$$
H(d, \mu) = \mu d + \frac{1 - \mu}{T} \int_0^T \left[-b_0 d^k - f(t, d) + p(t) \right] dt.
$$
 (3.50)

From assumptions *(H₁)* and *(H₂)*, we can easily obtain $H(d, \mu) \neq 0$, for all $(d, \mu) \in \partial\Omega \cap \mathbb{R}$ Ker $L \times [0,1]$, which results in

$$
\deg\{QN, \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L, 0\} = \deg\{H(\cdot, 0), \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L, 0\} = \deg\{H(\cdot, 1), \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L, 0\} \neq 0. \tag{3.51}
$$

Hence, by using Lemma 2.2, we know that (1.1) has at least one *T*-periodic solution.

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose* $n = 4m + 1$, $m > 0$ *an integer and conditions* (H_1) , (H_2) *hold.* If

(H₅) there is a positive integer $0 < s \le m$ *such that*

$$
b_{4s-3} \neq 0, \qquad b_{4s-3+i} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, 4m - 4s + 3,\tag{3.52}
$$

 (H_6)

$$
A_2(4s-3,k) + \theta_1 T^{(4s-4)k} + \frac{(\gamma + \theta_2)(A_1(4s-3,k) + \theta_1 T^{(4s-4)k})}{|b_0| - \gamma - \theta_2}
$$

+ $k|b_0|T^{4s-3}\left[\frac{A_1(4s-3,k) + \theta_1 T^{4s-4}}{|b_0| - \gamma - \theta_2}\right]^{(k-1)/k} < |b_{4s-3}|, \quad \text{if } 1 < s \le m,$ (3.53)
 $\theta_1 + \frac{(\gamma + \theta_2)(A_1(1,k) + \theta_1)}{|b_0| - \gamma - \theta_2} < |b_1|, \quad \text{if } s = 1,$

then 1.1 *has at least one T-periodic solution.*

Proof. From the proof of Theorem 3.1, one has

$$
\left(\int_0^T |x(t)|^{k+1} dt\right)^{k/(k+1)} \le \frac{A_1(4s-3,k) + \theta_1 T^{(4s-4)k}}{|b_0| - (\gamma + \varepsilon) - \theta_2} \left(\int_0^T \left| x^{(4s-3)}(t) \right|^{k+1} dt\right)^{k/(k+1)} + u_9,
$$
\n(3.54)

where *u*₉ is a positive constant. Multiplying both sides of (3.8) by $x^{(4s-3)}(t)$, and integrating on 0*, T*, one has

$$
\int_0^T x^{(n)}(t)x^{(4s-3)}(t)dt = -\lambda \sum_{i=0}^{4s-3} b_i \int_0^T \left[x^{(i)}(t) \right]^k x^{(4s-3)}(t)dt
$$
\n
$$
- \lambda \int_0^T f(t, x(t-\tau)) x^{(4s-3)}(t)dt + \lambda \int_0^T p(t) x^{(4s-3)}(t)dt.
$$
\n(3.55)

Since

$$
\int_0^T x^{(4m+1)}(t)x^{(4s-3)}(t)dt = (-1)^{2m-2s+2} \int_0^T \left[x^{(2m+2s-1)}(t) \right]^2 dt,
$$
\n(3.56)

then it follows from (3.55) and (3.56) that

$$
b_{4s-3} \int_0^T \left| x^{(4s-3)}(t) \right|^{k+1} dt \le - \sum_{i=0}^{4s-4} b_i \int_0^T \left[x^{(i)}(t) \right]^k x^{(4s-3)}(t) dt - \int_0^T f(t, x(t-\tau)) x^{(4s-3)}(t) dt + \int_0^T p(t) x^{(4s-3)}(t) dt.
$$
 (3.57)

By using the same way as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, the following theorems can be proved in case $1 < s \le m$ or $s = 1$.

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose* $n = 4m + 1$, $m > 0$ for a positive integer and conditions (H_1) , (H_2) hold. If

(H₇) there is a positive integer $0 < s \le m$ *such that*

$$
b_{4s-1} \neq 0, \qquad b_{4s-1+i} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, 4m - 4s + 1,\tag{3.58}
$$

 (H_8)

$$
A_2(4s - 1, k) + \theta_1 T^{(4s-2)k} + \frac{(\gamma + \theta_2)(A_1(4s - 1, k) + \theta_1 T^{(4s-2)k})}{|b_0| - \gamma - \theta_2} + k|b_0|T^{4s-1} \left[\frac{A_1(4s - 1, k) + \theta_1 T^{(4s-2)k}}{|b_0| - \gamma - \theta_2} \right]^{(k-1)/k} < |b_{4s-1}|,
$$
\n(3.59)

then 1.1 *has at least one T-periodic solution.*

Theorem 3.4. *Suppose* $n = 4m + 3$, $m \ge 0$ *an integer and conditions* (H_1) , (H_2) *hold.* If

(H₉) there is a positive integer $0 \le s \le m$ *such that*

$$
b_{4s+1} \neq 0, \qquad b_{4s+1+i} = 0, \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, 4m - 4s + 1,\tag{3.60}
$$

 (H_{10})

$$
A_2(4s+1,k) + \theta_1 T^{4sk} + \frac{(\gamma + \theta_2)(A_1(4s+1,k) + \theta_1 T^{4sk})}{|b_0| - \gamma - \theta_2}
$$

+k|b_0|T^{4s+1}\left[\frac{A_1(4s+1,k) + \theta_1 T^{4sk}}{|b_0| - \gamma - \theta_2}\right]^{(k-1)/k} < |b_{4s+1}|, if 0 < s \le m,
\theta_1 + \frac{(\gamma + \theta_2)(A_1(1,k) + \theta_1)}{|b_0| - \gamma - \theta_2} < |b_1|, if s = 0,\n(3.61)

then 1.1 *has at least one T-periodic solution.*

Theorem 3.5. *Suppose* $n = 4m + 3$, $m > 0$ *an integer and conditions* (H_1) , (H_2) *hold.* If

*(H*₁₁*) there is a positive integer* $0 < s \le m$ *such that*

$$
b_{4s-1} \neq 0, \qquad b_{4s-1+i} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, 4m - 4s + 3,
$$
 (3.62)

 (H_{12})

$$
A_2(4-1,k) + \theta_1 T^{(4s-2)k} + \frac{(\gamma + \theta_2)(A_1(4s-1,k) + \theta_1 T^{(4s-2)k})}{|b_0| - \gamma - \theta_2}
$$

+ $k|b_0|T^{4s-1}\left[\frac{A_1(4s-1,k) + \theta_1 T^{(4s-2)k}}{|b_0| - \gamma - \theta_2}\right]^{(k-1)/k} < |b_{4s-1}|,$ (3.63)

then 1.1 *has at least one T-periodic solution.*

Theorem 3.6. *Suppose* $n = 4m$, $m > 0$ *an integer and conditions* (H_1) *hold.* If

 (H_{13})

$$
b_0 > \gamma + \theta_2, \tag{3.64}
$$

*(H*₁₄*) there is a positive integer* $0 < s \le 2m$ *such that*

$$
b_{2s-1} \neq 0, \quad \text{if } s = 2m,
$$

$$
b_{2s-1} \neq 0, \quad b_{2s-1+i} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., 4m - 2s, \text{ if } 0 < s < 2m,
$$

$$
(3.65)
$$

(H_{15})

$$
A_2(2s - 1, k) + \theta_1 T^{(2s-2)k} + \frac{(\gamma + \theta_2)(A_1(2s - 1, k) + \theta_1 T^{(2s-2)k})}{b_0 - \gamma - \theta_2}
$$

+
$$
kb_0 T^{2s-1} \left[\frac{A_1(2s - 1, k) + \theta_1 T^{(2s-2)k}}{b_0 - \gamma - \theta_2} \right]^{(k-1)/k} < |b_{2s-1}|, \quad \text{if } 1 < s \le 2m,
$$

$$
\theta_1 + \frac{(\gamma + \theta_2)(A_1(1, k) + \theta_1)}{b_0 - \gamma - \theta_2} < |b_1|, \quad \text{if } s = 1,
$$
(3.66)

then (1.1) has at least one T-periodic solution.

Theorem 3.7. *Suppose* $n = 4m + 2$, $m > 0$ *an integer and conditions* (H_1) *hold.* If

 (H_{16})

$$
-b_0 > \gamma + \theta_2,\tag{3.67}
$$

*(H*₁₇*) there is a positive integer* $0 < s \le 2m + 1$ *such that*

$$
b_{2s-1} \neq 0, \quad \text{if } s = 2m+1,
$$

\n
$$
b_{2s-1} \neq 0, \quad b_{2s-1+i} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., 4m-2s, \quad \text{if } 0 < s < 2m+1,
$$
\n
$$
(3.68)
$$

 (H_{18})

$$
A_2(2s - 1, k) + \theta_1 T^{(2s-2)k} + \frac{(\gamma + \theta_2)(A_1(2s - 1, k) + \theta_1 T^{(2s-2)k})}{-b_0 - \gamma - \theta_2}
$$

$$
-kb_0 T^{2s-1} \left[\frac{A_1(2s - 1, k) + \theta_1 T^{(2s-2)k}}{-b_0 - \gamma - \theta_2} \right]^{(k-1)/k} < |b_{2s-1}|, \quad \text{if } 1 < s \le 2m + 1,
$$

$$
\theta_1 + \frac{(\gamma + \theta_2)(A_1(1, k) + \theta_1)}{-b_0 - \gamma - \theta_2} < |b_1|, \quad \text{if } s = 1,
$$
(3.69)

then 1.1 *has at least one T-periodic solution.*

Theorem 3.8. *Suppose* $n = 4m$, $m > 0$ *is an integer, and conditions* (H_1) , (H_{13}) *hold.* If

*(H*₁₉*) there is a positive integer* $0 < s \le m$ *such that*

$$
b_{4s-2} \neq 0, \qquad b_{4s-2+i} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, 4m - 4s + 1,\tag{3.70}
$$

 (H_{20})

$$
A_2(4s - 2, k) + \theta_1 T^{(4s-3)k} + \frac{(\gamma + \theta_2)(A_1(4s - 2, k) + \theta_1 T^{(4s-3)k})}{b_0 - \gamma - \theta_2}
$$

+ $k b_0 T^{4s-2} \left[\frac{A_1(4s - 2, k) + \theta_1 T^{(4s-3)k}}{b_0 - \gamma - \theta_2} \right]^{(k-1)/k} < |b_{4s-2}|, \quad \text{if } 1 < s \le m, \quad (3.71)$

$$
\frac{(\gamma + \theta_2)(A_1(2, k) + \theta_1 T^k)}{b_0 - \gamma - \theta_2} + k b_0 T^2 \left[\frac{A_1(2, k) + \theta_1 T^k}{b_0 - \gamma - \theta_2} \right]^{(k-1)/k} < |b_2|, \quad \text{if } s = 1,
$$

then 1.1 *has at least one T-periodic solution.*

Theorem 3.9. *Suppose* $n = 4m$, $m > 1$ *an integer and conditions* (H_1) , (H_{13}) *hold.* If

*(H*₂₁*) there is a positive integer* $1 < s \le m$ *such that*

$$
b_{4s-4} \neq 0, \qquad b_{4s-4+i} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, 4m - 4s + 3,
$$
\n
$$
(3.72)
$$

 (H_{22})

$$
A_2(4s-4,k) + \theta_1 T^{(4s-5)k} + \frac{(\gamma + \theta_2)(A_1(4s-4,k) + \theta_1 T^{(4s-5)k})}{b_0 - \gamma - \theta_2}
$$

+
$$
kb_0 T^{4s-4} \left[\frac{A_1(4s-4,k) + \theta_1 T^{(4s-5)k}}{b_0 - \gamma - \theta_2} \right]^{(k-1)/k} < |b_{4s-4}|,
$$
\n(3.73)

then 1.1 *has at least one T-periodic solution.*

Theorem 3.10. *Suppose* $n = 4m + 2$, $m \ge 1$ *an integer and conditions* (H_1) , (H_{16}) *hold.* If

*(H*₂₃*) there is a positive integer* $1 \le s \le m$ *such that*

$$
b_{4s} \neq 0, \qquad b_{4s+i} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, 4m - 4s + 1,\tag{3.74}
$$

 (H_{24})

$$
A_2(4s,k) + \theta_1 T^{(4s-1)k} + \frac{(\gamma + \theta_2) (A_1(4s,k) + \theta_1 T^{(4s-1)k})}{-b_0 - \gamma - \theta_2}
$$

$$
-kb_0 T^{4s} \left[\frac{A_1(4s,k) + \theta_1 T^{(4s-1)k}}{-b_0 - \gamma - \theta_2} \right]^{(k-1)/k} < |b_{4s}|,
$$
(3.75)

then (1.1) has at least one T-periodic solution.

Theorem 3.11. *Suppose* $n = 4m + 2$, $m \ge 1$ *is an integer, and conditions* (H_1) , (H_{16}) *hold. If*

*(H*₂₅*) there is a positive integer* $1 \leq s \leq m$ *such that*

$$
b_{4s-2} \neq 0, \qquad b_{4s-2+i} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, 4m - 4s + 3,
$$
 (3.76)

 (H_{26})

$$
A_2(4s - 2, k) + \theta_1 T^{(4s-3)k} + \frac{(\gamma + \theta_2)(A_1(4s - 2, k) + \theta_1 T^{(4s-3)k})}{-b_0 - \gamma - \theta_2}
$$

$$
-kb_0 T^{4s-2} \left[\frac{A_1(4s - 2, k) + \theta_1 T^{(4s-3)k}}{-b_0 - \gamma - \theta_2} \right]^{(k-1)/k} < |b_{4s-2}|, \quad \text{if } 1 < s \le m, \quad (3.77)
$$

$$
\theta_1 T^k + \frac{(\gamma + \theta_2)(A_1(2, k) + \theta_1 T^k)}{-b_0 - \gamma - \theta_2} - kb_0 T^2 \left[\frac{A_1(2, k) + \theta_1 T^k}{-b_0 - \gamma - \theta_2} \right]^{k-1/k} < |b_2|, \quad \text{if } s = 1,
$$

then 1.1 *has at least one T-periodic solution.*

The proofs of Theorem 3.3–3.11 are similar to that of Theorem 3.1.

Example 3.12. Consider the following equation:

$$
x^{(5)}(t) + 300[x''(t)]^3 + \frac{1}{50}[x'(t)]^3 + \frac{1}{100}[x(t)]^3 + \frac{1}{300}(\sin t)\left[x\left(t - \frac{\pi}{10}\right)\right]^3 = \cos t,\qquad(3.78)
$$

where $n = 5$, $k = 3$, $b_4 = b_3 = 0$, $b_2 = 300$, $b_1 = 1/50$, $b_0 = 1/100$, $f(t, x) =$ $1/300(\sin t)x^3$, $p(t) = \cos t$, $\tau(t) = \pi/10$. Thus, $T = 2\pi$, $\gamma = 1/300$, $A_1(2, k) = |b_1|(2\pi)^3 + |b_2|$ $1/50 \times (2\pi)^3$ + 200. Obviously assumptions (H₁)–(H₃) hold and

$$
\theta_1 T^k + \frac{(\gamma + \theta_2)(A_1(2, k) + \theta_1 T^k)}{|b_0| - \gamma - \theta_2} + k|b_0|(2\pi)^2 \left[\frac{A_1(2, k) + \theta_1 T^k}{|b_0| - \gamma - \theta_2} \right]^{(k-1)/k} < |b_2|.
$$
 (3.79)

By Theorem 3.1, we know that (3.78) has at least one 2π -periodic solution.

References

- [1] P. Omari, G. Villari, and F. Zanolin, "Periodic solutions of the Lienard equation with one-sided growth restrictions," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 67, no. 2, pp. 278–293, 1987.
- [2] J. Mawhin, "Degré topologique et solutions périodiques des systèmes différentiels non linéaires," *Bulletin de la Societ´ e Royale des Sciences de Li ´ ege `* , vol. 38, pp. 308–398, 1969.
- [3] J. O. C. Ezeilo, "On the existence of periodic solutions of a certain third-order differential equation," *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, vol. 56, pp. 381–389, 1960.
- 4 R. Reissig, "Periodic solutions of a third order nonlinear differential equation," *Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata*, vol. 92, pp. 193–198, 1972.

- 5 Z. Zhang and Z. Wang, "Periodic solutions of the third order functional differential equations," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 292, no. 1, pp. 115–134, 2004.
- [6] S. Lu and W. Ge, "Periodic solutions for a kind of Lienard equation with a deviating argument," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 289, no. 1, pp. 231–243, 2004.
- 7 S. Lu and W. Ge, "Sufficient conditions for the existence of periodic solutions to some second order differential equations with a deviating argument," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 308, no. 2, pp. 393–419, 2005.
- 8 G. Q. Wang, "A priori bounds for periodic solutions of a delay Rayleigh equation," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 41–44, 1999.
- 9 C. Fabry, J. Mawhin, and M. N. Nkashama, "A multiplicity result for periodic solutions of forced nonlinear second order ordinary differential equations," *The Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 173–180, 1986.
- 10 I. T. Kiguradze and B. Puza, "On periodic solutions of systems of differential equations with deviating arguments," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 42, no. 2, pp. 229–242, 2000.
- 11 G. Wang, "Existence theorem of periodic solutions for a delay nonlinear differential equation with piecewise constant arguments," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 298, no. 1, pp. 298–307, 2004.
- 12 J. L. Ren and W. G. Ge, "Existence of periodic solutions for a second-order functional differential equation," *Acta Mathematica Sinica*, vol. 47, no. 3, pp. 569–578, 2004 Chinese.
- 13 F. Cong, "Periodic solutions for 2*k*th order ordinary differential equations with nonresonance," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 32, no. 6, pp. 787–793, 1998.
- 14 F. Cong, "Existence of periodic solutions of 2*k* 1th-order ordinary differential equations," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 17, no. 6, pp. 727–732, 2004.
- 15 W. B. Liu and Y. Li, "Existence of periodic solutions for higher-order Duffing equations," *Acta Mathematica Sinica, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 49-56, 2003 (Chinese).*
- 16 B. W. Liu and L. H. Huang, "Existence of periodic solutions for nonlinear *n*th-order ordinary differential equations," *Acta Mathematica Sinica*, vol. 47, no. 6, pp. 1133-1140, 2004 (Chinese).
- 17 Z. Liu, "Periodic solutions for nonlinear *n*th order ordinary differential equations," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 204, no. 1, pp. 46–64, 1996.
- 18 C. Fuzhong, H. Qingdao, and S. Shaoyun, "Existence and uniqueness of periodic solutions for 2*n*1th order differential equations," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 241, no. 1, pp. 1–9, 2000.
- 19 G. Jiao, "Periodic solutions of 2*n* 1th order ordinary differential equations," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 272, no. 1, pp. 691–699, 2004.
- 20 S. Srzednicki, "On periodic solutions of certain *n*th order differential equations," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 196, no. 2, pp. 666–675, 1995.
- 21 Y. Liu, P. Yang, and W. Ge, "Periodic solutions of higher-order delay differential equations," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 63, no. 1, pp. 136–152, 2005.
- 22 L. Pan, "Periodic solutions for higher order differential equations with deviating argument," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 343, no. 2, pp. 904–918, 2008.
- 23 L. Pan and X. Chen, "Periodic solutions for *n*th order functional differential equations," *Bulletin of the Belgian Mathematical Society. Simon Stevin*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 109–126, 2010.
- 24 X. R. Chen and L. J. Pan, "Existence of periodic solutions for *n*-th order differential equations with deviating argument," *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 55, no. 3, pp. 319–333, 2009.
- 25 R. E. Gaines and J. L. Mawhin, *Coincidence Degree and Nonlinear Differential Equations*, vol. 568 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1977.

http://www.hindawi.com Volume 2014 Operations Research Advances in

The Scientific World Journal

http://www.hindawi.com Volume 2014

http://www.hindawi.com Volume 2014 in Engineering

Journal of
Probability and Statistics http://www.hindawi.com Volume 2014

Differential Equations International Journal of

International Journal of
Combinatorics http://www.hindawi.com Volume 2014

Complex Analysis Journal of

http://www.hindawi.com Volume 2014 - 2014 - 2014 - 2014 - 2014 - 2014 - 2014 - 2014 - 2014 - 2014 - 2014 - 2014

Submit your manuscripts at http://www.hindawi.com

Hindawi

 \bigcirc

http://www.hindawi.com Volume 2014 _{International Journal of
Stochastic Analysis}

http://www.hindawi.com Volume 2014 Function Spaces

Abstract and Applied Analysis

http://www.hindawi.com Volume 2014

http://www.hindawi.com Volume 2014

