

Research Article

The Iterative Method of Generalized u_0 -Concave Operators

Yanqiu Zhou, Jingxian Sun, and Jie Sun

Department of Mathematics, Xuzhou Normal University, Xuzhou 221116, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Jingxian Sun, jxsun7083@sohu.com

Received 16 November 2010; Accepted 12 January 2011

Academic Editor: N. J. Huang

Copyright © 2011 Yanqiu Zhou et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We define the concept of the generalized u_0 -concave operators, which generalize the definition of the u_0 -concave operators. By using the iterative method and the partial ordering method, we prove the existence and uniqueness of fixed points of this class of the operators. As an example of the application of our results, we show the existence and uniqueness of solutions to a class of the Hammerstein integral equations.

1. Introduction and Preliminary

In [1, 2], Collatz divided the typical problems in computation mathematics into five classes, and the first class is how to solve the operator equation

$$Ax = x \tag{1.1}$$

by the iterative method, that is, construct successively the sequence

$$x_{n+1} = Ax_n \tag{1.2}$$

for some initial x_0 to solve (1.1).

Let P be a cone in real Banach space E and the partial ordering \leq defined by P , that is, $x \leq y$ if and only if $y - x \in P$. The concept and properties of the cone can be found in [3–5]. People studied how to solve (1.1) by using the iterative method and the partial ordering method (see [1–11]).

In [7], Krasnosel'skiĭ gave the concept of u_0 -concave operators and studied the existence and uniqueness of the fixed point for the operator by the iterative method. The concept of u_0 -concave operators was defined by Krasnosel'skiĭ as follows.

Let operator $A : P \mapsto P$ and $u_0 > \theta$. Suppose that

(i) for any $x > \theta$, there exist $\alpha = \alpha(x) > 0$ and $\beta = \beta(x) > 0$, such that

$$\alpha u_0 \leq Ax \leq \beta u_0; \quad (1.3)$$

(ii) for any $x \in P$ satisfying $\alpha_1 u_0 \leq x \leq \beta_1 u_0$ ($\alpha_1 = \alpha_1(x) > 0$, $\beta_1 = \beta_1(x) > 0$) and any $0 < t < 1$, there exists $\eta = \eta(x, t) > 0$, such that

$$A(tx) \geq (1 + \eta)tAx. \quad (1.4)$$

Then A is called an u_0 -concave operator.

In many papers, the authors studied u_0 -concave operators and obtained some results (see [3–5, 8–15]). In this paper, we generalize the concept of u_0 -concave operators, give a concept of the generalized u_0 -concave operators, and study the existence and uniqueness of fixed points for this class of operators by the iterative method. Our results generalize the results in [3, 4, 7, 15].

2. Main Result

In this paper, we always let P be a cone in real Banach space E and the partial ordering \leq defined by P . Given $w_0 \in E$, let $P(w_0) = \{x \in E \mid x \geq w_0\}$.

Definition 2.1. Let operator $A : P(w_0) \mapsto P(w_0)$ and $u_0 > \theta$. Suppose that

(i) for any $x > w_0$, there exist $\alpha = \alpha(x) > 0$ and $\beta = \beta(x) > 0$, such that

$$\alpha u_0 + w_0 \leq Ax \leq \beta u_0 + w_0; \quad (2.1)$$

(ii) for any $x \in P(w_0)$ satisfying $\alpha_1 u_0 + w_0 \leq x \leq \beta_1 u_0 + w_0$ ($\alpha_1 = \alpha_1(x) > 0$, $\beta_1 = \beta_1(x) > 0$) and any $0 < t < 1$, there exists $\eta = \eta(x, t) > 0$, such that

$$A[tx + (1 - t)w_0] \geq (1 + \eta)tAx + [1 - (1 + \eta)t]w_0. \quad (2.2)$$

Then A is called a generalized u_0 -concave operator.

Remark 2.2. In Definition 2.1, let $w_0 = \theta$, we get the definition of the u_0 -concave operator.

Theorem 2.3. *Let operator $A : P(w_0) \mapsto P(w_0)$ be generalized u_0 -concave and increasing (i.e., $x \leq y \Rightarrow Ax \leq Ay$), then A has at most one fixed point in $P(w_0) \setminus \{w_0\}$.*

Proof. Let $x^{(1)} > w_0, x^{(2)} > w_0$ be two different fixed points of A , that is, $Ax^{(1)} = x^{(1)}, Ax^{(2)} = x^{(2)}$, and $x^{(1)} \neq x^{(2)}$. By Definition 2.1, there exist real numbers $\alpha_1 = \alpha_1(x^{(1)}) > 0, \beta_1 = \beta_1(x^{(1)}) > 0, \alpha_2 = \alpha_2(x^{(2)}) > 0, \beta_2 = \beta_2(x^{(2)}) > 0$, such that

$$\alpha_1 u_0 + w_0 \leq x^{(1)} \leq \beta_1 u_0 + w_0, \quad \alpha_2 u_0 + w_0 \leq x^{(2)} \leq \beta_2 u_0 + w_0. \quad (2.3)$$

Hence $\alpha_1/\beta_2(x^{(2)} - w_0) + w_0 \leq \alpha_1 u_0 + w_0 \leq x^{(1)} \leq \beta_1 u_0 + w_0 \leq \beta_1/\alpha_2(x^{(2)} - w_0) + w_0$.

Let $\alpha = \alpha_1/\beta_2, \beta = \beta_1/\alpha_2$, we get that $\alpha(x^{(2)} - w_0) + w_0 \leq x^{(1)} \leq \beta(x^{(2)} - w_0) + w_0$, that is, $\alpha x^{(2)} + (1 - \alpha)w_0 \leq x^{(1)} \leq \beta x^{(2)} + (1 - \beta)w_0$. Let

$$t_0 = \sup\{t > 0 \mid tx^{(2)} + (1 - t)w_0 \leq x^{(1)} \leq t^{-1}x^{(2)} + (1 - t^{-1})w_0\}, \quad (2.4)$$

hence $0 < t \leq t^{-1}$, that is, $0 < t \leq 1$, then $t_0 \in (0, 1]$.

Next we will show that $t_0 = 1$. Assume that $t_0 < 1$; by (2.2) and (2.4), there exists $\eta_1 = \eta_1(x^{(2)}, t_0) > 0$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} x^{(1)} &= Ax^{(1)} \geq A[t_0 x^{(2)} + (1 - t_0)w_0] \\ &\geq (1 + \eta_1)t_0 Ax^{(2)} + [1 - (1 + \eta_1)t_0]w_0 \\ &= (1 + \eta_1)t_0 x^{(2)} + [1 - (1 + \eta_1)t_0]w_0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

By (2.2), there exists $\eta_2 = \eta_2(x^{(2)}, t_0) > 0$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} x^{(2)} &= Ax^{(2)} = A\{t_0[t_0^{-1}x^{(2)} + (1 - t_0^{-1})w_0] + (1 - t_0)w_0\} \\ &\geq (1 + \eta_2)t_0 A[t_0^{-1}x^{(2)} + (1 - t_0^{-1})w_0] + [1 - (1 + \eta_2)t_0]w_0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

hence,

$$A[t_0^{-1}x^{(2)} + (1 - t_0^{-1})w_0] \leq (1 + \eta_2)^{-1}t_0^{-1}Ax^{(2)} + [1 - (1 + \eta_2)^{-1}t_0^{-1}]w_0. \quad (2.7)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} x^{(1)} &= Ax^{(1)} \leq A[t_0^{-1}x^{(2)} + (1 - t_0^{-1})w_0] \\ &\leq (1 + \eta_2)^{-1}t_0^{-1}Ax^{(2)} + [1 - (1 + \eta_2)^{-1}t_0^{-1}]w_0 \\ &\leq (1 + \eta_2)^{-1}t_0^{-1}x^{(2)} + [1 - (1 + \eta_2)^{-1}t_0^{-1}]w_0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Obviously, by (2.5) and (2.8), we get

$$(1 + \eta_1)t_0 x^{(2)} + [1 - (1 + \eta_1)t_0]w_0 \leq x^{(1)} \leq (1 + \eta_2)^{-1}t_0^{-1}x^{(2)} + [1 - (1 + \eta_2)^{-1}t_0^{-1}]w_0. \quad (2.9)$$

Let $\eta = \min\{\eta_1, \eta_2\}$, we have

$$(1 + \eta)t_0x^{(2)} + [1 - (1 + \eta)t_0]w_0 \leq x^{(1)} \leq (1 + \eta)^{-1}t_0^{-1}x^{(2)} + [1 - (1 + \eta)^{-1}t_0^{-1}]w_0, \quad (2.10)$$

in contradiction to the definition of t_0 . Therefore, $t_0 = 1$.

By (2.4), $x^{(1)} = x^{(2)}$. The proof is completed. \square

To prove the following Theorem 2.4, we will use the definition of the u_0 -norm as follows.

Given $u_0 > \theta$, set

$$E_{u_0} = \{x \in E \mid \text{there exists a real number } \lambda > 0, \text{ such that } -\lambda u_0 \leq x \leq \lambda u_0\}, \quad (2.11)$$

$$\|x\|_{u_0} = \inf\{\lambda > 0 \mid -\lambda u_0 \leq x \leq \lambda u_0\}, \quad \forall x \in E_{u_0}.$$

It is easy to see that E_{u_0} becomes a normed linear space under the norm $\|\cdot\|_{u_0}$. $\|x\|_{u_0}$ is called the u_0 -norm of the element $x \in E_{u_0}$ (see [3, 4]).

Theorem 2.4. *Let operator $A : P(w_0) \mapsto P(w_0)$ be increasing and generalized u_0 -concave. Suppose that A has a fixed point x^* in $P(w_0) \setminus \{w_0\}$, then, constructing successively the sequence $x_{n+1} = Ax_n$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) for any initial $x_0 \in P(w_0) \setminus \{w_0\}$, we have $\|x_n - x^*\|_{u_0} \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$).*

Proof. Suppose that $\{x_n\}$ is generated from $x_{n+1} = Ax_n$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$). Take $0 < \varepsilon_0 < 1$, such that $\varepsilon_0x^* + (1 - \varepsilon_0)w_0 \leq x_1 \leq \varepsilon_0^{-1}x^* + (1 - \varepsilon_0^{-1})w_0$. Let $y_0 = \varepsilon_0x^* + (1 - \varepsilon_0)w_0$, $z_0 = \varepsilon_0^{-1}x^* + (1 - \varepsilon_0^{-1})w_0$, and constructing successively the sequences $y_{n+1} = Ay_n$, $z_{n+1} = Az_n$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$). Since A is a generalized u_0 -concave operator, we know that there exists $\eta_1 = \eta_1(x^*, \varepsilon_0) > 0$, such that

$$x^* = Ax^* = A\left\{\varepsilon_0\left[\varepsilon_0^{-1}x^* + (1 - \varepsilon_0^{-1})w_0\right] + (1 - \varepsilon_0)w_0\right\} \quad (2.12)$$

$$\geq (1 + \eta_1)\varepsilon_0A\left[\varepsilon_0^{-1}x^* + (1 - \varepsilon_0^{-1})w_0\right] + [1 - (1 + \eta_1)\varepsilon_0]w_0,$$

hence, $A[\varepsilon_0^{-1}x^* + (1 - \varepsilon_0^{-1})w_0] \leq (1 + \eta_1)^{-1}\varepsilon_0^{-1}Ax^* + [1 - (1 + \eta_1)^{-1}\varepsilon_0^{-1}]w_0$, then

$$z_1 = A(z_0) = A\left[\varepsilon_0^{-1}x^* + (1 - \varepsilon_0^{-1})w_0\right] \leq (1 + \eta_1)^{-1}\varepsilon_0^{-1}Ax^* + [1 - (1 + \eta_1)^{-1}\varepsilon_0^{-1}]w_0$$

$$= (1 + \eta_1)^{-1}\varepsilon_0^{-1}(Ax^* - w_0) + w_0 < \varepsilon_0^{-1}(Ax^* - w_0) + w_0 = \varepsilon_0^{-1}Ax^* + (1 - \varepsilon_0^{-1})w_0 \quad (2.13)$$

$$= \varepsilon_0^{-1}x^* + (1 - \varepsilon_0^{-1})w_0 = z_0.$$

By (2.2), we can easily get $y_1 > y_0$. So it is easy to show that

$$y_0 \leq y_1 \leq \dots \leq y_n \leq \dots \leq x^* \leq \dots \leq z_n \leq \dots \leq z_1 \leq z_0. \quad (2.14)$$

Let

$$t_n = \sup \left\{ t > 0 \mid tx^* + (1-t)w_0 \leq y_n, z_n \leq t^{-1}x^* + (1-t^{-1})w_0 \right\} \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots), \quad (2.15)$$

then,

$$0 \leq t_0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_n \leq \dots \leq 1, \quad (2.16)$$

which implies that the limit of $\{t_n\}$ exists. Let $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = t^*$, then $0 < t_n \leq t^* \leq 1$.

Next we will show that $t^* = 1$. Suppose that $0 < t^* < 1$. Since A is a generalized u_0 -concave operator, then there exists $\eta_2 = \eta_2(x^*, t^*) > 0$, such that

$$A[t^*x^* + (1-t^*)w_0] \geq (1+\eta_2)t^*Ax^* + [1-(1+\eta_2)t^*]w_0 = (1+\eta_2)t^*x^* + [1-(1+\eta_2)t^*]w_0. \quad (2.17)$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} x^* &= Ax^* = A \left\{ t^* \left[(t^*)^{-1}x^* + (1-(t^*)^{-1})w_0 \right] + (1-t^*)w_0 \right\} \\ &\geq (1+\eta_2)t^*A \left[(t^*)^{-1}x^* + (1-(t^*)^{-1})w_0 \right] + [1-(1+\eta_2)t^*]w_0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

Therefore,

$$A \left[(t^*)^{-1}x^* + (1-(t^*)^{-1})w_0 \right] \leq (1+\eta_2)^{-1}(t^*)^{-1}x^* + [1-(1+\eta_2)^{-1}(t^*)^{-1}]w_0. \quad (2.19)$$

By (2.17) and (2.19), for any $0 < t \leq t^*$, there exists $\eta_3 = \eta_3(x^*, t) > 0$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} A[tx^* + (1-t)w_0] &\geq (1+\eta_3)tx^* + [1-(1+\eta_3)t]w_0, \\ A[t^{-1}x^* + (1-t^{-1})w_0] &\leq (1+\eta_3)^{-1}t^{-1}x^* + [1-(1+\eta_3)^{-1}t^{-1}]w_0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

Particularly, for any $0 < t_n \leq t^*$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} A[t_nx^* + (1-t_n)w_0] &\geq (1+\eta)t_nx^* + [1-(1+\eta)t_n]w_0, \\ A[t_n^{-1}x^* + (1-t_n^{-1})w_0] &\leq (1+\eta)^{-1}t_n^{-1}x^* + [1-(1+\eta)^{-1}t_n^{-1}]w_0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

where $\eta = \eta(t_n, x^*) > 0$.

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} y_{n+1} &= Ay_n \geq A[t_nx^* + (1-t_n)w_0] \geq (1+\eta)t_nx^* + [1-(1+\eta)t_n]w_0, \\ z_{n+1} &= Az_n \leq A[t_n^{-1}x^* + (1-t_n^{-1})w_0] \leq (1+\eta)^{-1}t_n^{-1}x^* + [1-(1+\eta)^{-1}t_n^{-1}]w_0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

By (2.15), and (2.22), we get $t_{n+1} \geq (1 + \eta)t_n$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) therefore, $t_{n+1} \geq (1 + \eta)^{n+1}t_0$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$), in contradiction to $0 < t_n \leq 1$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). Hence,

$$t^* = 1. \quad (2.23)$$

Since A is a generalized u_0 -concave operator, thus there exist real numbers $\alpha = \alpha(x^*) > 0$, $\beta = \beta(x^*) > 0$, such that $\alpha u_0 + w_0 \leq x^* \leq \beta u_0 + w_0$, and $t_n x^* + (1 - t_n)w_0 \leq y_n \leq x_{n+1} \leq z_n \leq t_n^{-1}x^* + (1 - t_n^{-1})w_0$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$), we have

$$(t_n - 1)x^* + (1 - t_n)w_0 \leq x_{n+1} - x^* \leq (t_n^{-1} - 1)x^* + (1 - t_n^{-1})w_0. \quad (2.24)$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} (t_n - 1)x^* + (1 - t_n)w_0 &\geq (t_n - 1)(\beta u_0 + w_0) + (1 - t_n)w_0 = (t_n - 1)\beta u_0, \\ (t_n^{-1} - 1)x^* + (1 - t_n^{-1})w_0 &\leq (t_n^{-1} - 1)(\beta u_0 + w_0) + (1 - t_n^{-1})w_0 = (t_n^{-1} - 1)\beta u_0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

Hence,

$$(1 - t_n^{-1})\beta u_0 \leq (t_n - 1)\beta u_0 \leq x_{n+1} - x^* \leq (t_n^{-1} - 1)\beta u_0 \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots). \quad (2.26)$$

Consequently, by (2.23), we get $\|x_n - x^*\|_{u_0} \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$).

To prove the following Theorem 2.5, we will use the definition of the normal cone as follows.

Let P be a cone in E . Suppose that there exist constants $N > 0$, such that

$$\theta \leq x \leq y \Rightarrow \|x\| \leq N\|y\|, \quad (2.27)$$

then P is said to be normal, and the smallest N is called the normal constant of P (see [3–5]). \square

Theorem 2.5. *Let P be a normal cone of E . If operator $A : P(w_0) \mapsto P(w_0)$ is increasing and generalized u_0 -concave, and $\eta = \eta(t, x)$ is irrelevant to x in (2.2), then A has the only one fixed point $x^* \in P(w_0) \setminus \{w_0\}$. Moreover, constructing successively the sequence $x_{n+1} = Ax_n$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) for any initial $x_0 > w_0$, we have $\|x_n - x^*\| \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$).*

Proof. Since A is a generalized u_0 -concave operator, hence there exist real numbers $\beta > \alpha > 0$, such that $\alpha u_0 + w_0 \leq A(u_0 + w_0) \leq \beta u_0 + w_0$. Take $t_0 \in (0, 1)$ small enough, then $t_0 u_0 + w_0 \leq A(u_0 + w_0) \leq (1/t_0)u_0 + w_0$.

Therefore, $t_{n+1} \geq t_n$, that is, $\{t_n\}$ is an increasing sequence and $0 < t_n \leq 1$, hence, the limit of $\{t_n\}$ exists. Set $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = t^*$, then $0 < t^* \leq 1$.

Let $\gamma(t) = (1 + \eta(t))t$, where $\eta(t)$ which is irrelevant to x is $\eta(t, x)$ in (2.2), and A is increasing, so $t < \gamma(t) \leq 1$, $A(tx + (1-t)w_0) \geq \gamma(t)Ax + (1-\gamma(t))w_0$, for all $t \in (0, 1)$. By $\gamma(t_0)/t_0 > 1$, we can choose a natural number $k > 0$ big enough, such that

$$\left(\frac{\gamma(t_0)}{t_0}\right)^k > \frac{1}{t_0}. \quad (2.28)$$

Let

$$y_0 = t_0^k u_0 + w_0, \quad z_0 = \frac{1}{t_0^k} u_0 + w_0; \quad y_n = Ay_{n-1}, \quad z_n = Az_{n-1} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots). \quad (2.29)$$

Obviously, $y_0, z_0 \in P(w_0)$, $y_0 < z_0$. Since A is increasing, we have

$$\begin{aligned} y_1 &= Ay_0 = A\left(t_0^k u_0 + w_0\right) = A\left[t_0\left(t_0^{k-1} u_0 + w_0\right) + (1-t_0)w_0\right] \\ &\geq \gamma(t_0)A\left(t_0^{k-1} u_0 + w_0\right) + (1-\gamma(t_0))w_0 \\ &= \gamma(t_0)A\left[t_0\left(t_0^{k-2} u_0 + w_0\right) + (1-t_0)w_0\right] + (1-\gamma(t_0))w_0 \\ &\geq \gamma(t_0)\left[\gamma(t_0)A\left(t_0^{k-2} u_0 + w_0\right) + (1-\gamma(t_0))w_0\right] + (1-\gamma(t_0))w_0 \\ &= \gamma^2(t_0)A\left(t_0^{k-2} u_0 + w_0\right) + \left(1-\gamma^2(t_0)\right)w_0 \geq \dots \geq \gamma^k(t_0)A(u_0 + w_0) + \left(1-\gamma^k(t_0)\right)w_0 \\ &> t_0^{k-1}(t_0 u_0 + w_0) + \left(1-t_0^{k-1}\right)w_0 = t_0^k u_0 + w_0 = y_0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.30)$$

Since $Ax = A\{t_0[t_0^{-1}x + (1-t_0^{-1})w_0] + (1-t_0)w_0\} \geq \gamma(t_0)A[t_0^{-1}x + (1-t_0^{-1})w_0] + (1-\gamma(t_0))w_0$, we get $A[t_0^{-1}x + (1-t_0^{-1})w_0] \leq 1/\gamma(t_0)Ax + (1-1/\gamma(t_0))w_0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} z_1 &= A\left(\frac{1}{t_0^k} u_0 + w_0\right) = A\left[\frac{1}{t_0}\left(\frac{1}{t_0^{k-1}} u_0 + w_0\right) + \left(1-\frac{1}{t_0}\right)w_0\right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\gamma(t_0)}A\left(\frac{1}{t_0^{k-1}} u_0 + w_0\right) + \left(1-\frac{1}{\gamma(t_0)}\right)w_0 \\ &\leq \dots \leq \frac{1}{\gamma^k(t_0)}A(u_0 + w_0) + \left(1-\frac{1}{\gamma^k(t_0)}\right)w_0 \leq \frac{1}{t_0\gamma^k(t_0)}u_0 + w_0 < \frac{1}{t_0^k}u_0 + w_0 = z_0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.31)$$

then $y_0 \leq y_1 \leq z_1 \leq z_0$. It is easy to see

$$y_0 \leq y_1 \leq \dots \leq y_n \leq \dots \leq z_n \leq \dots \leq z_1 \leq z_0. \quad (2.32)$$

Let

$$t_n = \sup\{t > 0 \mid y_n \geq tz_n + (1-t)w_0\}. \quad (2.33)$$

Obviously, $y_n \geq t_n z_n + (1-t_n)w_0$. So $y_{n+1} \geq y_n \geq t_n z_n + (1-t_n)w_0 \geq t_n z_{n+1} + (1-t_n)w_0$.

Therefore, $t_{n+1} \geq t_n$, that is, $\{t_n\}$ is an increasing sequence and $0 < t_n \leq 1$, hence, the limit of $\{t_n\}$ exists. Set $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = t^*$, then $0 < t^* \leq 1$.

Next we will show that $t^* = 1$. Suppose that $0 < t^* < 1$, we have the following.

(i) If for any natural number n , $t_n < t^* < 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} y_{n+1} &= Ay_n \geq A[t_n z_n + (1-t_n)w_0] = A\left\{\frac{t_n}{t^*}[t^* z_n + (1-t^*)w_0] + \left(1 - \frac{t_n}{t^*}\right)w_0\right\} \\ &\geq \gamma\left(\frac{t_n}{t^*}\right)A[t^* z_n + (1-t^*)w_0] + \left(1 - \gamma\left(\frac{t_n}{t^*}\right)\right)w_0 \\ &\geq \gamma\left(\frac{t_n}{t^*}\right)[\gamma(t^*)Az_n + (1-\gamma(t^*))w_0] + \left(1 - \gamma\left(\frac{t_n}{t^*}\right)\right)w_0 \\ &= \gamma\left(\frac{t_n}{t^*}\right)\gamma(t^*)Az_n + \left(1 - \gamma\left(\frac{t_n}{t^*}\right)\gamma(t^*)\right)w_0 = \gamma\left(\frac{t_n}{t^*}\right)\gamma(t^*)z_{n+1} + \left(1 - \gamma\left(\frac{t_n}{t^*}\right)\gamma(t^*)\right)w_0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.34)$$

hence,

$$t_{n+1} \geq \gamma\left(\frac{t_n}{t^*}\right)\gamma(t^*) = \left(1 + \eta\left(\frac{t_n}{t^*}\right)\right)\frac{t_n}{t^*}(1 + \eta(t^*))t^* \geq t_n(1 + \eta(t^*)). \quad (2.35)$$

Taking limits, we have $t^* \geq t^*(1 + \eta(t^*)) > t^*$, a contradiction.

(ii) Suppose that there exists a natural number $N > 0$, such that $t_n = t^*$ ($n > N$).

When $n > N$, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} y_{n+1} &= Ay_n \geq A[t_n z_n + (1-t_n)w_0] = A[t^* z_n + (1-t^*)w_0] \\ &\geq \gamma(t^*)Az_n + (1-\gamma(t^*))w_0 = \gamma(t^*)z_{n+1} + (1-\gamma(t^*))w_0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.36)$$

then $t^* = t_{n+1} \geq \gamma(t^*) = (1 + \eta(t^*))t^* > t^*$, a contradiction.

Therefore, $t^* = 1$.

For any natural numbers n, p , we have

$$\theta \leq y_{n+p} - y_n \leq z_{n+p} - y_n \leq z_n - y_n \leq z_n - [t_n z_n + (1-t_n)w_0] = (1-t_n)(z_n - w_0). \quad (2.37)$$

Similarly, $\theta \leq z_n - z_{n+p} \leq z_n - y_n \leq (1-t_n)(z_n - w_0)$. By the normality of P and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|(y_{n+p} - w_0) - (y_n - w_0)\| &= \|y_{n+p} - y_n\| \leq N(1-t_n)\|z_n - w_0\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty), \\ \|(z_{n+p} - w_0) - (z_n - w_0)\| &= \|z_n - z_{n+p}\| \leq N(1-t_n)\|z_n - w_0\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty), \end{aligned} \quad (2.38)$$

where N is the normal constant of P . Hence the limits of $\{y_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ exist. Let $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = y^*$, and let $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = z^*$, then $y_n \leq y^* \leq z^* \leq z_n$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$), hence,

$$\theta \leq z^* - y^* \leq z_n - y_n \leq (1 - t_n)(z_n - w_0) \rightarrow \theta \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \quad (2.39)$$

That is, $y^* = z^*$. Let $x^* = y^* = z^*$, then $y_{n+1} = Ay_n \leq Ax^* \leq Az_n = z_{n+1}$.

Taking limits, we get $x^* \leq Ax^* \leq x^*$. Hence $Ax^* = x^*$, that is, $x^* \in P(w_0) \setminus \{w_0\}$ is a fixed point of A . By Theorem 2.4, the conclusions of Theorem 2.5 hold. The proof is completed. \square

3. Examples

Example 3.1. Let $I = [0, 1]$, let $C(I) = \{x(t) : I \mapsto R \mid x(t) \text{ is continuous}\}$, let $\|x\| = \sup\{|x(t)| \mid t \in I\}$, let $P = \{x \in C(I) \mid x(t) \geq 0, \forall t \in I\}$, then $C(I)$ is a real Banach space and P is a normal and solid cone in $C(I)$ (P is called solid if it contains interior points, i.e., $\overset{\circ}{P} \neq \emptyset$). Take $a < 0$, let $w_0 = w_0(t) \equiv a$, $P(w_0) = \{x \in C(I) \mid x(t) \geq w_0, \forall t \in I\}$, and $\overset{\circ}{P}(w_0) = \{x + w_0 \in P(w_0) \mid x \in \overset{\circ}{P}\}$.

Considering the Hammerstein integral equation

$$x(t) = \int_0^1 k(t, s)f(s, x(s))ds, \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad (3.1)$$

where $k(t, s) : I \times I \mapsto [0, +\infty)$ is continuous, $f(s, u) : I \times [a, +\infty) \mapsto R$ is increasing for u . Suppose that

- (1) there exist real numbers $0 \leq m \leq M \leq 1$, such that $m \leq k(t, s) \leq M$, for all $(t, s) \in I \times I$, and $f(s, u) \geq a/M$, for all $(s, u) \in I \times [a, +\infty)$,
- (2) for any $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and $u \in (a, +\infty)$, there exists $\eta = \eta(\lambda) > 0$, such that

$$mf[s, \lambda u + (1 - \lambda)a] \geq (1 + \eta)\lambda mf(s, u) + [1 - (1 + \eta)\lambda]a. \quad (3.2)$$

Then (3.1) has the only one solution $x^* \in P(w_0) \setminus \{w_0\}$. Moreover, constructing successively the sequence:

$$x_n(t) = \int_0^1 k(t, s)f(s, x_{n-1}(s))ds, \quad \forall t \in I, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (3.3)$$

for any initial $x_0 \in P(w_0) \setminus \{w_0\}$, we have $\|x_n - x^*\| \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$).

Proof. Considering the operator

$$Ax(t) = \int_0^1 k(t, s)f(s, x(s))ds, \quad t \in I. \quad (3.4)$$

Obviously, $A : P(w_0) \setminus \{w_0\} \mapsto \overset{\circ}{P}(w_0)$ is increasing. Therefore, (i) of Definition 2.1 is satisfied. For any $x \in \overset{\circ}{P}(w_0)$, by (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 A[\lambda x(t) + (1 - \lambda)w_0] &= \int_0^1 k(t, s) f(s, \lambda x(s) + (1 - \lambda)w_0) ds \\
 &= \int_0^1 \frac{1}{m} k(t, s) m f(s, \lambda x(s) + (1 - \lambda)w_0) ds \\
 &\geq (1 + \eta)\lambda \int_0^1 \frac{1}{m} k(t, s) m f(s, x(s)) ds + [1 - (1 + \eta)\lambda] w_0 \int_0^1 \frac{1}{m} k(t, s) ds \\
 &\geq (1 + \eta)\lambda A x(t) + [1 - (1 + \eta)\lambda] w_0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Therefore, (ii) of Definition 2.1 is satisfied. Hence the operator $A : P(w_0) \mapsto P(w_0)$ is generalized u_0 -concave. Consequently, operator A satisfies all conditions of Theorem 2.5, thus the conclusion of Example 3.1 holds. \square

Example 3.2. Let R be a real numbers set, and let $P = \{x \mid x \geq 0, x \in R\}$, then R is a real Banach space and P is a normal and solid cone in R . Let $Ax = (x+2)^{1/2} - 2$. Considering the equation: $x = Ax$. Obviously, A is a generalized u_0 -concave operator and satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 2.5. Hence A has the only one fixed point $x^* \in P(-2) \setminus \{-2\} = (-2, +\infty)$. Moreover, we know $x^* = -1$ by computing.

In Example 3.2, we know that operator $A : [-2, +\infty) \mapsto [-2, +\infty)$ doesn't satisfy the definition of u_0 -concave operators. Therefore, we can't obtain the fixed point of A by the fixed point theorem of u_0 -concave operators. The u_0 -concave operators' fixed points are all positive, but here A 's fixed point is negative.

Acknowledgment

The project is supported by the National Science Foundation of China (10971179), the College Graduate Research and Innovation Plan Project of Jiangsu (CX10S-037Z), the Graduate Research and Innovation Programs of Xuzhou Normal University Innovation Plan (2010YLA001).

References

- [1] L. Collatz, "The theoretical basis of numerical mathematics," *Mathematics Asian Studies*, vol. 4, pp. 1-17, 1966 (Chinese).
- [2] L. Collatz, "Function analysis as the assistant tool for Numerical Mathematics," *Mathematics Asian Studies*, vol. 4, pp. 53-60, 1966 (Chinese).
- [3] D. J. Guo and V. Lakshmikantham, *Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cones*, vol. 5 of *Notes and Reports in Mathematics in Science and Engineering*, Academic Press, Boston, Mass, USA, 1988.
- [4] D. Guo, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Science and Technology Press, Shandong, China, 1985.
- [5] Jingxian Sun, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications*, Science Press, Beijing, China, 2007.
- [6] M. A. Krasnosel'skii et al., *Approximate Solution of Operator Equations*, Wolters-Noordhoff, 1972.
- [7] M. A. Krasnosel'skii and P. P. Zabreiko, *Geometrical Methods of Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 263 of *Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences*, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1984.

- [8] D. Guo, *The partial order in non-linear analysis*, Shandong Science and Technology Press, Ji'nan, China, 2000.
- [9] Z. Zhao, "Uniqueness and existence of fixed point on some mixed monotone mappings in ordered linear spaces," *Journal of Systems Science and Complexity*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 217–224, 1999 (Chinese).
- [10] J. X. Sun and L. S. Liu, "An iterative solution method for nonlinear operator equations and its applications," *Acta Mathematica Scientia. Series A*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 141–145, 1993.
- [11] J. X. Sun, "Some new fixed point theorems of increasing operators and applications," *Applicable Analysis*, vol. 42, no. 3-4, pp. 263–273, 1991.
- [12] W. X. Wang and Z. D. Liang, "Fixed point theorems for a class of nonlinear operators and their applications," *Acta Mathematica Sinica. Chinese Series*, vol. 48, no. 4, pp. 789–800, 2005.
- [13] Z. D. Liang, W. X. Wang, and S. J. Li, "On concave operators," *Acta Mathematica Sinica (English Series)*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 577–582, 2006.
- [14] M. A. Krasnosel'skii, *Positive Solution of Operators Equations*, Noordhoff, Groningen, The Netherlands, 1964.
- [15] C. B. Zhai and Y. J. Li, "Fixed point theorems for u_0 -concave operators and their applications," *Acta Mathematica Scientia. Series A*, vol. 28, no. 6, pp. 1023–1028, 2008.