

Research Article

Sunlet Decomposition of Certain Equipartite Graphs

Abolape D. Akwu¹ and Deborah O. A. Ajayi²

¹ Department of Mathematics, University of Agriculture, Makurdi 970001, Nigeria

² Department of Mathematics, University of Ibadan, Ibadan 200001, Nigeria

Correspondence should be addressed to Deborah O. A. Ajayi; adelajayi@yahoo.com

Received 28 September 2012; Accepted 5 February 2013

Academic Editor: Chris A. Rodger

Copyright © 2013 A. D. Akwu and D. O. A. Ajayi. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Let L_{2n} stand for the sunlet graph which is a graph that consists of a cycle and an edge terminating in a vertex of degree one attached to each vertex of cycle C_n . The necessary condition for the equipartite graph $K_n + I * \bar{K}_m$ to be decomposed into L_{2n} for $n \geq 2$ is that the order of L_{2n} must divide $n^2 m^2 / 2$, the order of $K_n + I * \bar{K}_m$. In this work, we show that this condition is sufficient for the decomposition. The proofs are constructive using graph theory techniques.

1. Introduction

Let C_r , K_n , \bar{K}_m denote cycle of length r , complete graph on n vertices, and complement of complete graph on m vertices. For n even, $K_n + I$ denotes the multigraph obtained by adding the edges of a 1-factor to K_n , thus duplicating $n/2$ edges. The total number of edges in $K_n + I$ is $n^2/2$. The *lexicographic product*, $G * H$, of graphs G and H , is the graph obtained by replacing every vertex of G by a copy of H and every edge of G by the complete bipartite graph $K_{|H|,|H|}$.

For a graph H , an H -decomposition of a graph G , $H | G$, is a set of subgraphs of G , each isomorphic to H , whose edge set partitions the edge set of G . Note that for any graph G and H and any positive integer m , if $H | G$ then $(H * \bar{K}_m) | (G * \bar{K}_m)$.

Let G be a graph of order n and H any graph. The *corona* (crown) of G with H , denoted by $G \odot H$, is the graph obtained by taking one copy of G and n copies of H and joining the i th vertex of G with an edge to every vertex in the i th copy of H . A special corona graph is $C_n \odot K_1$, that is, a cycle with pendant points which has $2n$ vertices. This is called *sunlet* graph and denoted by L_q , $q = 2n$.

Obvious necessary condition for the existence of a k -cycle decomposition of a simple connected graph G is that G has at least k vertices (or trivially, just one vertex), the degree of every vertex in G is even, and the total number of edges in G is a multiple of the cycle length k . These conditions have been

shown to be sufficient in the case that G is the complete graph K_n , the complete graph minus a 1-factor $K_n - I$ [1, 2], and the complete graph plus a 1-factor $K_n + I$ [3].

The study of cycle decomposition of $K_n * \bar{K}_m$ was initiated by Hoffman et al. [4]. The necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a C_p -decomposition of $K_n * \bar{K}_m$, where $p \geq 5$ (p is prime) that (i) $m(n-1)$ is even and (ii) p divides $n(n-1)m^2$, were obtained by Manikandan and Paulraja [5, 6]. Similarly, when $p \geq 3$ is a prime, the necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a C_{2p} -decomposition of $K_n * \bar{K}_m$ were given by Smith [7]. For a prime number $p \geq 3$, Smith [8] showed that C_{3p} -decomposition of $K_n * \bar{K}_m$ exists if the obvious necessary conditions are satisfied. In [9], Anitha and Lekshmi proved that the complete graph K_n and the complete bipartite graph $K_{n,n}$ for n even have decompositions into sunlet graph L_n . Similarly, in [10], it was shown that the complete equipartite graph $K_n * \bar{K}_m$ has a decomposition into sunlet graph of length $2p$, for a prime p .

We extend these results by considering the decomposition of $K_n + I * \bar{K}_m$ into sunlet graphs and prove the following result.

Let $m \geq 2$, $n > 2$, and $q \geq 6$ be even integers. The graph $K_n + I * \bar{K}_m$ can be decomposed into sunlet graph of length q if and only if q divides $n^2 m^2 / 2$, the number of edges in $K_n + I * \bar{K}_m$.

2. Proof of the Result

To prove the result, we need the following.

Lemma 1 (see [10]). For $r \geq 3$, L_{2r} decomposes $C_r * \bar{K}_2$.

Lemma 2. For any integer $r > 2$ and a positive even integer m , the graph $C_r * \bar{K}_m$ has a decomposition into sunlet graph L_q for $q = rm$.

Proof

Case 1 (r is even). First observe that $C_r * \bar{K}_2$ can be decomposed into 2 sunlet graphs with $2r$ vertices. Now, set $m = 2t$ and decompose $C_r * \bar{K}_t$ into cycles C_{rt} . To decompose $C_r * \bar{K}_t$ into t -cycles C_{rt} , denote vertices in i th part of $C_r * \bar{K}_t$ by $x_{i,j}$ for $j = 1, \dots, t$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ and create t base cycles $x_{1,j}x_{2,j}x_{3,j} \cdots x_{r-1,j}x_{r,j}$. Next, combine these base cycles into one cycle C_{rt} by replacing each edge $x_{1,j}x_{2,j}$ with $x_{1,j}x_{2,j+1}$. To create the remaining cycles C_{rt} , we apply mappings ϕ_s for $s = 0, 1, \dots, t-1$ defined on the vertices as follows.

Subcase 1.1 (i odd). Consider

$$\phi_s(x_{i,j}) = x_{i,j}. \quad (1)$$

This is the desired decomposition into cycles C_{rt} .

Subcase 1.2 (i even). Consider

$$\phi_s(x_{i,j}) = x_{i,j+s}. \quad (2)$$

This is the desired decomposition into cycles C_{rt} .

Now take each cycle C_{rt} , and make it back into $C_{rt} * \bar{K}_2$. Each $C_{rt} * \bar{K}_2$ decomposes into 2 sunlet graphs L_{2rt} (by Lemma 1), and we have $C_r * \bar{K}_m$ decomposing into sunlet graphs with length rm for r even. Note that

$$C_r * \bar{K}_{2t} = (C_r * \bar{K}_t) * \bar{K}_2. \quad (3)$$

Case 2 (r is odd)

Subcase 2.1 ($m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$). Set $m = 2t$. First create t cycles $C_{(r-1)t}$ in $C_{r-1} * \bar{K}_t$ as in Case 1. Then, take complete tripartite graph $K_{t,t,t}$ with partite sets $X_i = \{x_{i,j}\}$ for $i = 1, r-1, r$ and $j = 1, \dots, t$ and decompose it into triangles using well-known construction via Latin square, that is, construct $t \times t$ Latin square and consider each element in the form (a, b, c) where a denotes the row, b denotes the column, and c denotes the entry with $1 \leq a, b, c \leq t$. Each cycle is of the form $x_{(1,a)}, x_{(r-1,b)}, x_{(r,c)}$. Then, for every triangle $x_{1,a}x_{r-1,b}x_{r,c}$, replace the edge $x_{1,a}x_{r-1,b}$ in each $C_{(r-1)t}$ by the edges $x_{r-1,b}x_{r,c}$ and $x_{r,c}x_{1,a}$ to obtain cycles C_{rt} . Therefore, $C_{rt} \mid C_r * \bar{K}_t$. Now take each cycle C_{rt} , make it into $C_{rt} * \bar{K}_2$, and by Lemma 1, $C_{rt} * \bar{K}_2$ has a decomposition into sunlet graphs $L_{2rt} = L_q$.

Subcase 2.2 ($m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$). Set $m = 2t$. The graph $C_r * \bar{K}_t$ decomposes into Hamilton cycle C_{rt} by [11]. Next, make each cycle C_{rt} into $C_{rt} * \bar{K}_2$. Each graph $C_{rt} * \bar{K}_2$ decomposes into sunlet graph L_{2rt} by Lemma 1. \square

Theorem 3. Let r, m be positive integers satisfying $r, m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, then L_r decomposes $C_r * \bar{K}_m$.

Proof. Let the partite sets (layers) of the r -partite graph $C_r * \bar{K}_m$ be U_1, U_2, \dots, U_r . Set $m = 2t$. Obtain a new graph from $C_r * \bar{K}_m$ as follows.

Identify the subsets of vertices $\{x_{i,j}\}$, for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $1 \leq j \leq m/2$ into new vertices x_i^1 , and identify the subset of vertices $\{x_{i,j}\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $m/2 + 1 \leq j \leq m$ into new vertices x_i^2 and two of these vertices x_i^k , where $k = 1, 2$, are adjacent if and only if the corresponding subsets of vertices in $C_r * \bar{K}_m$ induce $K_{t,t}$. The resulting graph is isomorphic to $C_r * \bar{K}_2$. Next, decompose $C_r * \bar{K}_2$ into cycles $C_{r/2}$ as follows: $x_{k,1}x_{k+1,1}, \dots, x_{d,1}x_{d-1,2}, \dots, x_{k+1,2}, x_{k,1}$

$$k = 1, \frac{r}{4} + 1, \frac{r}{2} + 1, \frac{3r}{4} + 1, \dots, r - \frac{r}{4} + 1, \quad d = \frac{r}{4} + k, \quad (4)$$

where k, d are calculated modulo r .

To construct the remaining cycles, apply mapping ϕ defined on the vertices.

Subcase 1.1 (i odd in each cycle). Consider

$$\phi(x_{i,j}) = x_{i,j+1}. \quad (5)$$

This is the desired decomposition of $C_r * \bar{K}_2$ into cycles $C_{r/2}$.

Subcase 1.2 (i even in each cycle). Consider

$$\phi(x_{i,j}) = x_{i,j}. \quad (6)$$

This is the desired decomposition of $C_r * \bar{K}_2$ into cycles $C_{r/2}$.

By lifting back these cycles $C_{r/2}$ of $C_r * \bar{K}_2$ to $C_r * \bar{K}_{2t}$, we get edge-disjoint subgraphs isomorphic to $C_{r/2} * \bar{K}_t$. Obtain a new graph again from $C_{r/2} * \bar{K}_t$ as follows.

For each j , $1 \leq j \leq t/2$, identify the subsets of vertices $\{x_{i,2j-1}, x_{i,2j}\}$, where $1 \leq i \leq r/2$ into new vertices x_i^j , and two of these vertices x_i^j are adjacent if and only if the corresponding subsets of vertices in $C_{r/2} * \bar{K}_t$ induce $K_{2,2}$. The resulting graph is isomorphic to $C_{r/2} * \bar{K}_{t/2}$. Then, decompose $C_{r/2} * \bar{K}_{t/2}$ into cycles $C_{r/2}$. Each $C_{r/2} * \bar{K}_{t/2}$ decomposes into cycles $C_{r/2}$ by [12]. By lifting back these cycles $C_{r/2}$ of $C_{r/2} * \bar{K}_{t/2}$ to $C_{r/2} * \bar{K}_t$, we get edge-disjoint subgraph isomorphic to $C_{r/2} * \bar{K}_2$. Finally, each $C_{r/2} * \bar{K}_2$ decomposes into two sunlet graphs L_r (by Lemma 1), and we have $C_r * \bar{K}_m$ decomposing into sunlet graphs L_r as required. \square

Theorem 4 (see [12]). The cycle C_m decomposes $C_k * \bar{K}_m$ for every even $m > 3$.

Theorem 5 (see [12]). If m and $k \geq 3$ are odd integers, then C_m decomposes $C_k * \bar{K}_m$.

Theorem 6. *The sunlet graph L_m decomposes $C_r * \overline{K}_m$ if and only if either one of the following conditions is satisfied.*

- (1) r is a positive odd integer, and m is a positive even integer.
- (2) r, m are positive even integers with $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

Proof. (1) Set $m = 2t$, where t is a positive integer. Let the partite sets (layers) of the r -partite graph $C_r * \overline{K}_m$ be U_1, U_2, \dots, U_r . For each j , where $1 \leq j \leq t$, identify the subsets of vertices $\{x_{i,2j-1}, x_{i,2j}\}$, for $1 \leq i \leq r$ into new vertices x_i^j , and two of these vertices x_i^j are adjacent if and only if the corresponding subsets of vertices in $C_r * \overline{K}_m$ induce $K_{2,2}$. The resulting graph is isomorphic to $C_r * \overline{K}_t$. Then, decompose $C_r * \overline{K}_t$ into cycles C_t , where t is a positive integer.

Now, $C_t \mid C_r * \overline{K}_t$ by Theorems 4 and 5.

By lifting back these t -cycles of $C_r * \overline{K}_t$ to $C_r * \overline{K}_{2t}$, we get edge-disjoint subgraphs isomorphic to $C_t * \overline{K}_2$. Each copy of $C_t * \overline{K}_2$ decomposes into sunlet graphs of length $2t$ (by Lemma 1), and we have $C_r * \overline{K}_m$ decomposing into sunlet graphs of length m as required.

(2) Set $m = 2t$, where t is an even integer since $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

Obtain a new graph $C_r * \overline{K}_t$ from the graph $C_r * \overline{K}_m$ as in Case 1. By Theorem 4, $C_t \mid C_r * \overline{K}_t$. By lifting back these t -cycles of $C_r * \overline{K}_t$ to $C_r * \overline{K}_{2t}$, we get edge-disjoint subgraphs isomorphic to $C_t * \overline{K}_2$. Each copy of $C_t * \overline{K}_2$ decomposes into sunlet graph of length $2t$ (by Lemma 1). Therefore, $L_m \mid C_r * \overline{K}_m$ as required. \square

Remark 7. In [10], it was shown that

$$L_{2r} * \overline{K}_1 \text{ can be decomposed into } l^2 \text{ copies of } L_{2r}. \quad (7)$$

This, coupled with Lemma 1, gives the following.

Theorem 8 (see [10]). *The graph $C_r * \overline{K}_{2l}$ decomposes into sunlet graphs L_{2r} for any positive integer l .*

Lemma 9 (see [3]). *Let $n \geq 4$ be an even integer. Then, $K_n + I$ is C_n -decomposable.*

Lemma 10 (see [3]). *Let m and n be integers with m odd, $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $3 \leq m \leq n < 2m$, and $n^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2m}$. Then, $K_n + I$ is C_m -decomposable.*

Lemma 11 (see [3]). *Let m and n be integers with m odd, $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, $3 \leq m \leq n < 2m$, and $n^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2m}$. Then, $K_n + I$ is C_m -decomposable.*

We can now prove the major result.

Theorem 12. *For any even integers $m \geq 2$, $n > 2$, and $q \geq 6$, the sunlet graph L_q decomposes $K_n + I * \overline{K}_m$ if and only if $n^2 m^2 / 2 \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$.*

Proof. The necessity of the condition is obvious, and so we need only to prove its sufficiency. We split the problem into the following two cases.

Case 1 ($q \mid n$)

Subcase 1.1 ($n > q$). Cycle C_n decomposes $K_n + I$ by Lemma 9, and we have

$$C_n * \overline{K}_m \mid K_n + I * \overline{K}_m. \quad (8)$$

Each graph $C_n * \overline{K}_m$ decomposes into sunlet graph L_q , where $q = nm$ by Lemma 2, and we have $K_n + I * \overline{K}_m$ decomposing into sunlet graph L_q , where $q > n$.

Subcase 1.2 ($q = n$). First, consider $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

Cycle C_q decomposes $K_q + I$ by Lemma 9, and we have

$$C_q * \overline{K}_m \mid K_q + I * \overline{K}_m. \quad (9)$$

Now, sunlet graph $L_q \mid (C_q * \overline{K}_m)$ by Theorem 3, and hence sunlet graph L_q decomposes $K_n + I * \overline{K}_m$.

Also, consider $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$.

Suppose $m = 2t$. Cycle $C_{q/2}$ decomposes $K_q + I$ by Lemma 10, and we have

$$C_{q/2} * \overline{K}_{2t} \mid K_q + I * \overline{K}_{2t}. \quad (10)$$

Now, sunlet graph L_q decomposes $C_{q/2} * \overline{K}_{2t}$ by Theorem 8, and we have $K_n + I * \overline{K}_m$ decomposing into sunlet graph of length q .

Case 2 ($q \mid m$)

Subcase 2.1 ($m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$). Suppose $m = q$, and by Lemma 9, cycle C_n decomposes $K_n + I$, and we have

$$C_n * \overline{K}_q \mid K_n + I * \overline{K}_q. \quad (11)$$

Also, sunlet graph L_q decomposes each $C_n * \overline{K}_q$ by Theorem 6, and we have sunlet graph L_q decomposing $K_n + I * \overline{K}_m$.

Subcase 2.2 ($m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$). Let $m = q$ and $r \leq n$ an odd integer. Cycle C_r decomposes $K_n + I$, by Lemmas 9, 10, and 11, and we have

$$C_r * \overline{K}_q \mid K_n + I * \overline{K}_q. \quad (12)$$

Now, each $C_r * \overline{K}_q$ decomposes into sunlet graph L_q by Theorem 6, and we have $K_n + I * \overline{K}_m$ decomposing into sunlet graph L_q as required.

Subcase 2.3 ($m > q$). Set $m = wq$, where w is any positive integer, then by Subcases 2.1 and 2.2, we have

$$L_q * \overline{K}_w \mid (K_n + I * \overline{K}_q) * \overline{K}_w. \quad (13)$$

Each graph $L_q * \overline{K}_w$ decomposes into sunlet graph L_q by Remark 7, and we have $K_n + I * \overline{K}_m$ decomposing into sunlet graph L_q . \square

References

- [1] B. Alspach and H. Gavlas, "Cycle Decompositions of K_n and K_n-1 ," *Journal of Combinatorial Theory B*, vol. 81, no. 1, pp. 77–99, 2001.
- [2] M. Šajna, "Cycle decompositions. III. Complete graphs and fixed length cycles," *Journal of Combinatorial Designs*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 27–78, 2002.
- [3] M. Šajna, "Decomposition of the complete graph plus a 1-factor into cycles of equal length," *Journal of Combinatorial Designs*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 170–207, 2003.
- [4] D. G. Hoffman, C. C. Lindner, and C. A. Rodger, "On the construction of odd cycle systems," *Journal of Graph Theory*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 417–426, 1989.
- [5] R. S. Manikandan and P. Paulraja, " C_p -decompositions of some regular graphs," *Discrete Mathematics*, vol. 306, no. 4, pp. 429–451, 2006.
- [6] R. S. Manikandan and P. Paulraja, " C_5 -decompositions of the tensor product of complete graphs," *The Australasian Journal of Combinatorics*, vol. 37, pp. 285–293, 2007.
- [7] B. R. Smith, "Decomposing complete equipartite graphs into cycles of length $2p$," *Journal of Combinatorial Designs*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 244–252, 2008.
- [8] B. R. Smith, "Complete equipartite $3p$ -cycle systems," *The Australasian Journal of Combinatorics*, vol. 45, pp. 125–138, 2009.
- [9] R. Anitha and R. S. Lekshmi, " N -sun decomposition of complete, complete bipartite and some Harary graphs," *International Journal of Mathematics Sciences*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 33–38, 2008.
- [10] A. D. Akwu and D. O. A. Ajayi, "Decomposing equipartite graphs into certain corona graphs of length $2p$."
- [11] R. Laskar, "Decomposition of some composite graphs into Hamilton Cycles," in *Proceedings of the 5th Hungarian Colloquium keszthely*, pp. 705–716, North Holland, 1978.
- [12] D. Fronček, P. Kovář, and M. Kubesa, "Decompositions of complete graphs into blown-up cycles $C_m[2]$," *Discrete Mathematics*, vol. 310, no. 5, pp. 1003–1015, 2010.



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

