

# ON POLYNOMIAL EXPANSION OF MULTIVALENT FUNCTIONS

M. M. ELHOSH

Department of Mathematics  
University of College of Wales  
Aberystwyth, Wales  
United Kingdom

(Received April 24, 1987)

**ABSTRACT.** Coefficient bounds for mean  $p$ -valent functions, whose expansion in an ellipse has a Jacobi polynomial series, are given in this paper.

**KEY WORDS AND PHRASES.** Univalent and multivalued functions, orthogonal polynomials.

**1980 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES.** 30C50, 30C55.

## 1. INTRODUCTION.

Let  $E_0 = \{z = \cosh(s_0 + i\tau), 0 < \tau < 2\pi, s_0 = \tanh^{-1}(b/a), a > b > 0\}$  be a fixed ellipse whose foci are  $\pm 1$ . Let also  $r_0 = a+b$  be the sum of the semi-axis of  $E_0$ . It is known (Szegő [1], Theorem 9.1.1], see also p. 245) that a function  $f(z)$  which is regular in  $\text{Int}(E_0)$  (this means the interior of  $E_0$ ) has an expansion of the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n p_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(z) \quad (1.1)$$

where here and throughout this paper  $\alpha, \beta > -1$ . This expansion converges locally uniformly in  $\text{Int}(E_0)$ . In [2] the author has given some coefficient bounds for functions mean  $p$ -valent and has an expansion in terms of Chebyshev polynomials in  $\text{Int}(E_0)$ . Such polynomials are generated by the special case  $\alpha = \beta = -1/2$  in Jacobi polynomials. Other special cases of interest are the Legendre and the ultraspherical polynomials generated by  $\alpha = \beta = 0$  and  $\alpha = \beta$  respectively [1, p. 80-89].

In this paper we generalize results given in [2] to functions of the form (1.1) and mean  $p$ -valent in  $\text{Int}(E_0)$ . In view of [2] we call  $f(z)$  mean  $p$ -valent in  $\text{Int}(E_0)$  if

$$W(R, f) = (1/\pi) \int_0^R \int_0^{2\pi} n(\rho e^{i\phi}, f, \text{Int}(E_0)) \rho d\rho d\phi < pR^2$$

where  $0 < R < \infty$  and  $n(\rho e^{i\phi}, f, \text{Int}(E_0))$  denotes the number of roots of the equation  $f(z) = w$  in Interior  $E_0$ , multiplicity being taken into account.

We first recall from [2]:

**THEOREM A.** Let  $f(z)$  be mean  $p$ -valent in  $\text{Int}(E_0)$ . Then for  $z = \cosh(s+i\tau)$ ,  $\exp(s) = r$  and  $1 < r < r_0$  we have

$$|f(z)| = O(1) (1-r/r_0)^{-2p}$$

where  $O(1)$  depends on  $a, b$  and  $f$  only.

THEOREM B. Let  $f(z)$  be mean  $p$ -valent in  $\text{Int}(E_0)$  and  $M(r, f) < C(1-r/r_0)^{-\gamma}$  where  $c, \gamma > 0$  and  $M(r, f) = \max\{|f(z)| : z \in \text{Int}(E_0)\}$ . Set  $z = \cosh(s+i\tau)$ ,  $\exp(s)=r$ ,  $1 < r < r_0$  and

$$I_1(r, f') = (1/2\pi) \int_0^{2\pi} |f'(\cosh(s+i\tau))| |\sinh(s+i\tau)| d\tau.$$

Then as  $r \rightarrow r_0$  we have

$$I_1(r, f') = \begin{cases} O(1) (1-r/r_0)^{-\gamma}, & (\gamma > 1/2), \\ O(1) (1-r/r_0)^{-1/2} \log(1/(1-r/r_0)), & (\gamma = 1/2), \\ o(1) (1-r/r_0)^{-1/2}, & (\gamma < 1/2), \end{cases}$$

where  $O(1)$  and  $o(1)$  depend on  $a, b, \gamma$  and  $f$  only.

PROOF OF THEOREM B. Using Schwarz's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(r, f') &< [(1/2\pi) \int_0^{2\pi} |f'(\cosh(s+i\tau))|^2 |f(\cosh(s+i\tau))|^{\lambda-2} |\sinh(s+i\tau)|^2 d\tau]^{1/2} \\ &\times [(1/2\pi) \int_0^{2\pi} |f(\cosh(s+i\tau))|^{2-\lambda} d\tau]^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

where  $0 < \lambda < 2$ . Theorem B now follows in the same way as estimating inequality (14) of [2] by using [2, Lemmas 3 and 4].

We now need a suitable coefficient formula.

LEMMA 1.1. Let  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n p_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(z)$  be regular in  $\text{Int}(E_0)$  and

$E = \{z = \cosh(s+i\tau), 0 \leq \tau < 2\pi\}$ . Then for a fixed  $s$  so that  $0 < s < s_0$  we have

$$a_n = (K_n^{(\alpha, \beta)} / h_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}) (1/2\pi i) \int_E \frac{f(z)}{z^{n+1}} dz, \quad (n \geq 0), \quad (1.2)$$

$$\frac{1}{2}(n+\alpha+\beta+1)a_n = (K_n^{(\alpha+1, \beta+1)} / h_{n-1}^{(\alpha+1, \beta+1)}) (1/2\pi i) \int_E \frac{f'(z)}{z^n} dz, \quad (n \geq 1) \quad (1.3)$$

where  $K_n^{(\alpha, \beta)} = 2^{n+\alpha+\beta+1} \Gamma(n+\alpha+1) \Gamma(n+\beta+1) / \Gamma(2n+\alpha+\beta+2)$  and

$$h_n^{(\alpha, \beta)} = 2^{\alpha+\beta+1} \Gamma(n+\alpha+1) \Gamma(n+\beta+1) / ((2n+\alpha+\beta+1) \Gamma(n+1) \Gamma(n+\alpha+\beta+1)).$$

We note here, using Stirling's formula from Titmarsh [3, p. 57], that

$$K_n^{(\alpha, \beta)} / h_n^{(\alpha, \beta)} = O(1) n^{1/2} / 2^n \quad (1.4)$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $O(1)$  depends on  $\alpha, \beta$  only.

PROOF OF LEMMA. We have from [1, p. 245] that

$$a_n = \{\pi i h_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}\}^{-1} \int_E (z-1)^\alpha (z+1)^\beta Q_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(z) f(z) dz \quad (1.5)$$

where  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .

We now see from [1, Theorem 4.61.2], (see also Erdelyi, Magnus, Oberhettinger and Tricomi [4, p. 171], and Freud [5, p. 44] that

$$\begin{aligned} (z-1)^\alpha (z+1)^\beta Q_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(z) &= (1/2) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z^{k+1}} \int_{-1}^1 (1-t)^\alpha (1+t)^\beta t^k p_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t) dt \\ &= K_n^{(\alpha, \beta)} / 2z^{n+1}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

where  $K_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}$  is as defined above. In connection with this, see the argument used in the proof of formula (4.3.3) of [1, p.67].

Using (1.6) in (1.5) we immediately deduce (1.2).

Now differentiating (1.1) we see from equation (4.21.7) of [1] that

$$f'(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} (n+\alpha+\beta+1) a_n p_n^{(\alpha+1, \beta+1)}(z).$$

Again, as in the proof of (1.2), we deduce from this and [1, p. 245] for  $n > 1$ , that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} (n+\alpha+\beta+1) a_n &= \{ \pi i h_{n-1}^{(\alpha+1, \beta+1)} \}^{-1} \int_E (z-1)^{\alpha+1} (z+1)^{\beta+1} Q_{n-1}^{(\alpha+1, \beta+1)}(z) f'(z) dz \\ &= (K_{n-1}^{(\alpha+1, \beta+1)} / h_{n-1}^{(\alpha+1, \beta+1)}) (1/2\pi i) \int_E \frac{f'(z)}{z^n} dz \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the equation  $(z-1)^{\alpha+1} (z+1)^{\beta+1} Q_{n-1}^{(\alpha+1, \beta+1)}(z) = K_{n-1}^{(\alpha+1, \beta+1)} / 2z^n$

which is deduced as in (1.6). This is equation (1.3) and the proof of the lemma is now complete.

## 2. MAIN THEOREM.

**THEOREM 2.1.** Let  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n p_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(z)$  be mean  $p$ -valent in  $\text{Int}(E_0)$  and

$M(r, f) < C(1-r/r_0)^{-\gamma}$  where  $C, \gamma > 0$  and  $M(r, f)$  is as defined above. Then, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we have

$$|a_n| = r_0^{-n} \begin{cases} O(1)n^{-\gamma/2}, & (\gamma < 1/2), \\ O(1)(\log n), & (\gamma = 1/2), \\ o(1), & (\gamma > 1/2), \end{cases}$$

where  $O(1)$  and  $o(1)$  depend on  $a, b, \alpha, \beta, \gamma$  and  $f$  only.

**PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1.** From (1.3) and Theorem B we deduce, using the bounds

$|\sinh(s+i\tau)| > \sinh s$ ,  $|\cosh(s+i\tau)| < \cosh s$  and (1.4), that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} (n+\alpha+\beta+1) |a_n| &< (K_{n-1}^{(\alpha+1, \beta+1)} / h_{n-1}^{(\alpha+1, \beta+1)}) (\cosh I_1(r, f') / \sinh^n s) \\ &< (K_{n-1}^{(\alpha+1, \beta+1)} / h_{n-1}^{(\alpha+1, \beta+1)}) (2^n I_1(r, f') / r^n (1-1/r)) \\ |a_n| = r_0^{-n} &\begin{cases} O(1)n^{-\gamma/2}, & (\gamma > 1/2), \\ O(1)(\log n), & (\gamma = 1/2), \\ o(1), & (\gamma < 1/2), \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where we have chosen  $r = ((n-1)/n)r_0$  and provided that  $1-n/(n-1)r_0 > 0$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

**COROLLARY 2.1.** Let  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n p_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(z)$  be mean  $p$ -valent in  $\text{Int}(E_0)$ . Then, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we have

$$|a_n| = r_0^{-n} \begin{cases} O(1)n^{2p-1/2}, & (p > 1/4), \\ O(1)(\log n), & (p = 1/4), \\ o(1), & (p < 1/4), \end{cases}$$

where  $O(1)$  and  $o(1)$  depend on  $a, b, \alpha, \beta, p$  and  $f$  only. In view of Theorem A, the proof of Corollary 2.1 follows by setting  $\gamma = 2p$  in Theorem 2.1.

COROLLARY 2.2. Let  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n p_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(z)$  be univalent in  $\text{Int}(E_o)$ . Then as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we have

$$|a_n| = O(1)n^{3/2}r_o^{-n}$$

where  $O(1)$  depends on  $\alpha, b, \alpha, \beta$  and  $f$  only.

This corollary follows upon setting  $p = 1$  in Corollary 2.1.

REMARK. Using the formula (4.21.2) of [1] and the argument used in [2, Remark 2] we see by setting  $z = \xi \cosh s_o$  where  $|\xi| = |\cos \tau + i \tanh s_o \sin \tau| < 1$  that

$$\begin{aligned} f(\xi \cosh s_o) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(2n+\alpha+\beta+1)}{n! \Gamma(n+\alpha+\beta+1)} a_n \left(\frac{\cosh s_o}{2}\right)^n \{(\xi - 1/\cosh s_o)^n \\ &\quad + c_1(\xi - 1/\cosh s_o)^{n-1} + \dots + c_n/\cosh^n s_o\} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{a}_n \hat{p}_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\xi)$$

where

$$\hat{p}_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(\xi) = (\xi - 1/\cosh s_o)^n + c_1(\xi - 1/\cosh s_o)^{n-1} + \dots + c_n/\cosh^n s_o$$

and

$$\hat{a}_n = \Gamma(2n+\alpha+\beta+1)a_n \cosh^n s_o / 2^n \Gamma(n+1) \Gamma(n+\alpha+\beta+1).$$

Using this and Stirling's formula and letting  $r_o \rightarrow \infty$  we see that Theorem 2.1 and Corollaries 2.1 and 2.2 correspond to analogous results for the unit disk (see Hayman [6]).

#### REFERENCES

1. SZEGÖ, G., Orthogonal polynomials, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. 23, (1939).
2. ELHOSH, M.M., On mean  $p$ -valent functions in an ellipse, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinb. 92A, (1982), 1-11.
3. TITMARSH, E., The Theory of Functions, Oxford University Press (1939).
4. ERDELYI, A., MAGNUS, W., OBERHETTINGER, F. and TRICOMI, F., Higher Transcendental Functions, II, MacGraw-Hill (1953).
5. FREUD, G., Orthogonal Polynomials, Pergamon Press (1971).
6. HAYMAN, W.K., Coefficient problems for univalent functions and related function classes, J. London Math. Soc. 40 (1965), 385-406.

## Special Issue on Boundary Value Problems on Time Scales

### Call for Papers

The study of dynamic equations on a time scale goes back to its founder Stefan Hilger (1988), and is a new area of still fairly theoretical exploration in mathematics. Motivating the subject is the notion that dynamic equations on time scales can build bridges between continuous and discrete mathematics; moreover, it often reveals the reasons for the discrepancies between two theories.

In recent years, the study of dynamic equations has led to several important applications, for example, in the study of insect population models, neural network, heat transfer, and epidemic models. This special issue will contain new researches and survey articles on Boundary Value Problems on Time Scales. In particular, it will focus on the following topics:

- Existence, uniqueness, and multiplicity of solutions
- Comparison principles
- Variational methods
- Mathematical models
- Biological and medical applications
- Numerical and simulation applications

Before submission authors should carefully read over the journal's Author Guidelines, which are located at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/ade/guidelines.html>. Authors should follow the Advances in Difference Equations manuscript format described at the journal site <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/ade/>. Articles published in this Special Issue shall be subject to a reduced Article Processing Charge of €200 per article. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	April 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	July 1, 2009
Publication Date	October 1, 2009

### Lead Guest Editor

**Alberto Cabada**, Departamento de Análise Matemática, Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, 15782 Santiago de Compostela, Spain; [alberto.cabada@usc.es](mailto:alberto.cabada@usc.es)

### Guest Editor

**Victoria Otero-Espinar**, Departamento de Análise Matemática, Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, 15782 Santiago de Compostela, Spain; [mvictoria.otero@usc.es](mailto:mvictoria.otero@usc.es)