

## QUASIMINIMAL DISTAL FUNCTION SPACE AND ITS SEMIGROUP COMPACTIFICATION

R. D. PANDIAN

Department of Mathematics  
North Central College  
Naperville, IL 60566

(Received July 27, 1993 and in revised form February 12, 1994)

**ABSTRACT.** Quasiminimal distal function on a semitopological semigroup is introduced. The concept of right topological semigroup compactification is employed to study the algebra of quasiminimal distal functions. The universal mapping property of the quasiminimal distal compactification is obtained.

**KEY WORDS AND PHRASES.** Quasiminimal distal flows and distal functions, right topological semigroup compactification, universal mapping property.

**1980 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES.** Primary 43A60, 22A20; secondary 43A07

In a recent paper, we generalized the notion of distal flows and distal functions on an arbitrary semitopological semigroup  $S$  and studied their function spaces [3]. The study was an extension of Junghenn's work on distal functions and their semigroup compactifications [2]. The impetus for the author's work in this area is the study by Berglund *et al.* in which the authors have introduced several kinds of function spaces which are admissible  $C^*$ -subalgebras of  $C(S)$  and studied these function spaces through semigroup compactifications [1]. Interestingly, these compactifications possess certain universal mapping properties, universal in the sense that the compactifications are maximal with respect to these properties. This approach of studying function spaces through semigroup compactifications enables one to take advantage of the universal mapping properties the compactifications enjoy. For example, in the case of distal functions on a semigroup  $S$ , Junghenn has effectively used the universal mapping properties to show that the space of weakly almost periodic distal functions on  $S$  is the direct sum of the algebra of strongly almost periodic functions and two ideals of weakly almost "flight" functions on  $S$  [2]. In this paper, we introduce the quasiminimal distal function space  $QMD(S)$ , and show that this space is an admissible  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $C(S)$ . As a consequence, we obtain the  $QMD(S)$ -compactification of  $S$  which is the spectrum of  $QMD(S)$ . We then characterize this semigroup compactification in terms of the universal mapping property the compactification possesses.

### 1. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout our work,  $S$  denotes a semitopological semigroup with Hausdorff topology and  $C(S)$  denotes the  $C^*$ -algebra of all bounded complex-valued continuous functions on  $S$ . A subspace  $F$  of  $C(S)$  is translation invariant if  $L_s F \subset F$  and  $R_s F \subset F$

where the operators  $L_s$  and  $R_s$  on  $C(S)$  are defined by  $L_s f(t) = f(st)$  and  $R_s f(t) = f(ts)$  for  $s, t \in S$  and  $f \in C(S)$ . We define  $T_x: F \rightarrow B$  by  $T_x f(\cdot) = x(L_\cdot f)$  where  $f \in F$  and  $x \in$  the spectrum of  $F$  which is the space of nonzero continuous complex homomorphisms on  $F$ . A  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $F$  of  $C(S)$  is called admissible if it is translation invariant, contains the constant functions, and  $T_x F \subset F$  for every  $x \in$  spectrum of  $F$ . A pair  $(X, \alpha)$  is called a right topological compactification of  $S$  if  $X$  is a compact right topological semigroup and  $\alpha: S \rightarrow X$  is a continuous homomorphism with dense image such that the mapping  $x \rightarrow \alpha(s)x: X \rightarrow X$  is continuous for each  $s \in S$ . It is called an F-compactification of  $S$  if  $F$  is an admissible subalgebra of  $C(S)$  and  $\alpha^* C(X) = F$ . We call  $X$  the phase space of the compactification. The set of all multiplicative means on  $F$ , denoted by  $MM(F)$ , is a  $w^*$ -compact right topological semigroup with binary operation defined in  $MM(F)$  by  $xy = x \circ T_y$ . If  $e: S \rightarrow MM(F)$  is the evaluation map ( $e(s)(f) = f(s)$ ), then  $e(S)$  is dense in  $MM(F)$  and the pair  $(MM(F), e)$  is an  $F$ -compactification of  $S$ . For a fixed  $F$ , all  $F$ -compactifications are isomorphic both algebraically and topologically. Thus, there is a unique  $F$ -compactification of  $S$ . We call  $(MM(F), e)$ , the canonical  $F$ -compactification of  $S$ . The map  $T_x: F \rightarrow B$  has the following properties (for details see [1, 1-4]). For  $s \in S$ ,  $f \in F$  and  $x, y \in X$ ,  
a)  $T_{xy} = T_x \circ T_y$     b)  $[e(s)x](f) = x(L_s f)$     c)  $[x e(s)](f) = x(R_s f)$ . A right topological compactification  $(X, \alpha)$  of  $S$  is said to be maximal (universal) with respect to a property  $P$  if  $(X, \alpha)$  has the property  $P$  and if  $(Y, \beta)$  is a right topological compactification of  $S$  with property  $P$ , then  $\alpha$  factors  $\beta$  in the sense that  $\alpha = \lambda \circ \beta$  for some continuous homomorphism  $\lambda: X \rightarrow Y$ . A very useful admissible subalgebra of  $C(S)$  is  $LMC(S) = \{f \in C(S) \mid s \rightarrow x(L_s f) \text{ is continuous for every } x \in MM(C(S))\}$ . It is the largest admissible subalgebra of  $C(S)$  and the  $LMC(S)$ -compactification of  $S$  is maximal with respect to the property that it is a right topological compactification of  $S$  [1].

If  $X$  is a compact topological space and  $\pi: S \rightarrow X^X$  is a continuous homomorphism such that  $\pi(s): X \rightarrow X$  is continuous for each  $s \in S$ , then the triple  $(S, X, \pi)$  is called a flow. For convenience, we write  $sx$  for  $\pi(s)(X)$ . The flow is called distal (respectively, quasidistal) if, whenever  $x, y \in X$  such that  $\lim_i s_i x = \lim_i s_i y$  for some net  $(s_i)$  in  $S$ , then  $x = y$  (respectively,  $sx = sy$

for every  $s \in S$ ). A function  $f \in LMC(S)$  is called a distal (respectively, a quasidistal) function if the flow  $(S, Z_f, \pi)$ , where  $Z_f$  is the closure of  $R_s f$  in the topology of pointwise convergence on  $C(S)$  and  $\pi(s): R_s f|_{Z_f}$  is distal

(respectively, a quasidistal). It is called a minimal function if  $g \in Z_f$  implies  $f \in Z_g$ . Junghenn has shown that the set of all minimal distal functions,  $MD(S)$ , is an admissible subalgebra of  $C(S)$  and that  $MD(S)$ -compactification  $(Y, \beta)$  is maximal with respect to the property that  $Y$  is left simple [2]. The author has proved analogous results for quasidistal functions,  $QD(S)$ . For more on these functions and related theorems, the reader is referred to [3, 4].

## 2. QUASIMINIMAL DISTAL FUNCTIONS

DEFINITION 2.1. A function  $f \in LMC(S)$  is quasiminimal if for every  $g \in Z_f$ , there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $T_u f = T_{ux} g$  for every  $u \in X$  where  $X$  is the phase space of the canonical  $LMC(S)$ -compactification  $(X, \alpha)$ .

We denote the set of all quasiminimal functions by  $QM(S)$ .

PROPOSITION 2.2. Let  $f \in \text{LMC}(S)$  and  $(X, \alpha)$  denote the canonical  $\text{LMC}(S)$ -compactification of  $S$ . Then  $f \in \text{QM}(S)$  if and only if for each  $y \in X$ , there exists  $x \in X$  (depending on  $y$  and  $f$ ) such that  $T_{uxy}f = T_yf$  for every  $u \in X$ .

PROOF. Necessity: Assume  $f$  is quasiminimal. Let  $y \in X$ . Then  $T_yf \in \{T_xf \mid x \in X\} = Z_f$ . By definition, there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $T_yf = T_{ux}T_yf = T_{uxy}f$  for every  $u \in X$ .

Sufficiency: Let  $g \in Z_f = \{T_xf \mid x \in X\}$  which implies that  $g = T_yf$  for some  $y \in X$ . For this  $y$ , there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $T_yf = T_{uxy}f = T_{ux}T_yf = T_{ux}g$  for every  $u \in X$ . Then by definition,  $f \in \text{QM}(S)$ .

DEFINITION: 2.3. A function  $f \in \text{LMC}(S)$  is said to be quasiminimal distal if  $f \in \text{QM}(S) \cap \text{QD}(S)$ . We write  $\text{QMD}(S)$  for  $\text{QM}(S) \cap \text{QD}(S)$ .

PROPOSITION 2.4. Let  $f \in \text{LMC}(S)$  and  $(X, \alpha)$  denote the canonical  $\text{LMC}(S)$ -compactification of  $S$ .  $f \in \text{QMD}(S)$  if and only if  $f \in \text{QD}(S)$  and  $v(f) = ve(f)$  for every  $v \in \overline{X^2}$ ,  $e \in E(X)$ .

Remark 2.5. Before we present the proof of this proposition, we state here without proof the author's characterization of quasidistal functions  $\text{QD}(S)$ . A function  $f \in \text{LMC}(S)$  is quasidistal, that is  $f \in \text{QD}(S)$  if and only if  $uev(f) = uv(f)$  for every  $u \in \overline{X^2}$ ,  $v \in X$  and  $e \in E(X)$  where  $X$  is the phase space of the  $\text{LMC}(S)$ -compactification of  $S$ .

PROOF. Necessity. Assume  $f \in \text{QMD}(S) = \text{QD}(S) \cap \text{QM}(S)$ . Let  $e \in E(X) \subseteq X$ . By Proposition 1, there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $T_{uxe}f = T_u f$  for every  $u \in X$  (2.2). Let  $v \in \overline{X^2}$ ; then  $v = v_1v_2$  and  $T_{v_2xe}f = T_{v_2}f$  by (2.2). Therefore,  $v_1(T_{v_2xe}f) = v_1(T_{v_2}f)$  which implies that  $v_1v_2xe(f) = v_1v_2(f)$ . Now,  $v(f) = v_1v_2(f) = v_1v_2xe(f) = v_1v_2exe(f)$  (by 2.1)  $= v_1(T_{v_2exe}f) = v_1(T_{v_2e}f)$  (by 2.2)  $= v_1v_2e(f) = ve(f)$ . That the same result holds for  $v \in \overline{X^2}$  follows from the right topological property of  $X$ .

Sufficiency. It suffices to prove that  $f \in \text{QM}(S)$ . We recall that  $X$  has the smallest ideal  $K(X)$  and for each  $e \in E(K(X))$ ,  $eXe$  is a maximal subgroup of  $X$  with identity  $e$  [5]. Let  $y \in X$ . There exists  $x \in X$  such that  $(exe)(eye) = e$  which implies  $exeye = e$ . Let  $u \in X$ . For any  $v \in X$ ,  $v(T_{uxy}f) = v_{uxy}(f) = v_{uexy}(f) = v_{uexey}(f)$  (the last two equalities by 2.5)  $= v_{uexeye}(f)$  (by hypothesis)  $= v_{ue}(f) = v_u(f)$  (by hypothesis)  $= v(T_u f)$ . Since  $v$  was arbitrary,  $T_{uxy}f = T_u f$ . Therefore by Proposition 2.2,  $f \in \text{QM}(S)$ .

THEOREM 2.6.  $\text{QMD}(S)$  is one admissible subalgebra of  $C(S)$ .

PROOF. Let  $(X, \alpha)$  denote the canonical  $\text{LMC}(S)$ -compactification of  $S$ . Linearity of the space  $\text{QMD}(S)$  is immediate from Proposition 2.4. Let  $f \in \text{QMD}(S)$ ,  $v \in \overline{X^2}$  and  $e \in E(X)$ . For  $s \in S$ ,  $ve(L_s f) = \alpha(s)ve(f) = \alpha(s)v(f)$  (Prop. 2.4)  $= v(L_s f)$  which implies that  $L_s f \in \text{QMD}(S)$ . Now  $ve(R_s f) = v\alpha(s)(f) = v\alpha(s)(f)$  (Remark 2.5)  $= v(R_s f)$  which proves that  $R_s f \in \text{QMD}(S)$ . Thus  $\text{QMD}(S)$  is translation invariant. That  $\text{QMD}(S)$  is an algebra follows from the fact that  $X$  is the set of all multiplicative means on  $\text{LMC}(S)$ . Trivially,  $v(1) = ve(1)$ . So  $\text{QMD}(S)$  contains 1 and hence contains all constant functions. We complete this proof by showing that  $T_z f \in \text{QMD}(S)$  for every  $z \in \text{MM } \text{QMD}(S)$ . Let  $z \in \text{MM } \text{QMD}(S)$  and  $\theta : x \rightarrow \text{MM } \text{QMD}(S)$  be the restriction map. I.e.,  $\theta(x) = x|_{\text{QMD}(S)}$ . Then  $\theta$  is a continuous homomorphism onto  $\text{MM } \text{QMD}(S)$ . There exists  $w \in X$  such that  $\theta(w) = z$ . For  $s \in S$ ,  $T_w f(s) = w(L_s f) = z(L_s f) = T_z f(s)$ . Thus  $T_w f = T_z f$ . Let  $v \in \overline{X^2}$ ,  $u \in X$ ,

and  $e \in E(x)$ . Then  $\text{veu}(T_2 f) = \text{veu}(T_w f) = \text{veuw}(f) = \text{vw}(f)$  (since  $f \in \text{QD}(S)$ ) =  $\text{vu}(T_w f) = \text{vu}(T_2 f)$ . Thus  $T_2 f \in \text{QD}(S)$ . Now  $\text{ve}(T_2 f) = \text{ve}(T_w f) = \text{vew}(f) = \text{vw}(f)$  (since  $f \in \text{QD}(S)$ ) =  $\text{v}(T_w f)$ . Therefore, by Proposition 2.4,  $T_2 f \in \text{QMD}(S)$ .

THEOREM 2.7.  $\text{QMD}(S)$ -compactification  $(Y, \beta)$  of  $S$  maximal with respect to the property that  $\overline{Y^2}$  is left simple.

PROOF. Let  $(X, \alpha)$  denote this  $\text{LMC}(S)$ -compactification of  $S$  and let  $\theta: X \rightarrow Y$  denote the restriction map. Then  $\theta$  is a continuous homomorphism of  $X$  onto  $Y$ . We first prove that  $\overline{Y^2}$  is left simple. Let  $u \in Y^2$  and  $e \in E(\overline{Y^2})$ . There exists  $x \in X^2$ ,  $d \in E(X)$  such that  $\theta(x) = u$  and  $\theta(d) = e$ . (We note that  $\theta^{-1}(e)$  is a compact subsemigroup of  $X$  and hence has an independent  $d$ .) For  $f \in \text{QMD}(S)$ ,  $ue(f) = \theta(x)\theta(d)(f) = \theta(xd)(f) = xd(f) = x(f) = u(f)$ . Therefore  $ue = u$ . Since  $Y$  is right topological,  $ue = u$  for all  $u \in \overline{Y^2}$ . Thus  $\overline{Y^2}$  is left simple. To prove that  $(Y, \beta)$  maximal with respect to this property, we let  $(Y_0, \beta_0)$  be a right topological compactification of  $S$  with the property that  $\overline{Y_0^2}$  is left simple. Then it remains to show that  $\beta_0^* C(Y_0) \subseteq \text{QMD}(S)$  [1, III Theorem 2.4]. Junghenn has shown that  $\beta_0^* C(Y_0) \subseteq \text{LMC}(S)$  [2, page 385]. Therefore, there exists a continuous homomorphism  $\delta: X \rightarrow Y_0$  such that  $\delta_0 \alpha = \beta_0$ . Let  $f \in \beta_0^* C(Y_0)$ . Then  $f = \beta_0^* g$  for some  $g \in C(Y_0)$ . Now  $\alpha(s)(f) = \alpha(s)(\beta_0^* g) = \beta_0^* g(s) = g(\beta_0(s)) = g(\delta(\alpha(s)))$ . Since  $\alpha$  is dense in  $X$ ,  $x(f) = g(\delta(x))$  for every  $x \in X$ . Let  $u \in \overline{X^2}$ ,  $v \in X$  and  $e \in E(X)$ . Then  $uev(f) = g(\delta(uev)) = g(\delta(u)\delta(e)\delta(v)) = g(\delta(u)\delta(v))$  (since  $\overline{Y_0^2}$  is left simple) =  $g(\delta(uv)) = uv(f)$ . Therefore  $f \in \text{QD}(S)$ . Further  $ue(f) = g(\delta(ue)) = g(\delta(u)\delta(e)) = g(\delta(u)) = u(f)$ . Thus  $f \in \text{QMD}(S)$  and the proof is complete.

Remark 2.8. Theorem 2.7 raises a question. Is there an admissible subalgebra  $F_n$  of  $C(S)$  such that the  $F_n$ -compactification  $(Y, \beta)$  of  $S$  is maximal with respect to the property that  $\overline{Y^n}$  is left simple? We proved an analogous result for generalized distal functions [3]. We conjecture that the notion of minimal distal functions could be generalized and that the  $n$ th order minimal distal function space  $\text{MD}^n(S)$ -compactification  $(Y, \beta)$  is maximal with respect to the property that  $\overline{Y^{n+1}}$  is left simple.

#### REFERENCES

1. BERGLUND, J., JUNGHEHN, H. and MILNES, P. Compact Right Topological Semigroups and Generalizations of Almost Periodicity, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 663, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978.
2. JUNGHEHN, H.D. Distal Compactifications of Semigroups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 274 (1982) 379-397.
3. PANDIAN, R.D. Semigroup Compactifications by Generalized Distal Functions and a Fixed Point Theorem, Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci. Vol 14 No. 2 (1991) 253-260.
4. PANDIAN, R.D. Dynamical Properties of Distal Function Spaces and Fixed Point Theorems, Semigroup Forum 31 (1985) 207-218.
5. RUPPERT, W. Rechtstopologische Halbgruppen, J. Reine. Angew. Math. 261 (1973) 123-133.

## Special Issue on Decision Support for Intermodal Transport

### Call for Papers

Intermodal transport refers to the movement of goods in a single loading unit which uses successive various modes of transport (road, rail, water) without handling the goods during mode transfers. Intermodal transport has become an important policy issue, mainly because it is considered to be one of the means to lower the congestion caused by single-mode road transport and to be more environmentally friendly than the single-mode road transport. Both considerations have been followed by an increase in attention toward intermodal freight transportation research.

Various intermodal freight transport decision problems are in demand of mathematical models of supporting them. As the intermodal transport system is more complex than a single-mode system, this fact offers interesting and challenging opportunities to modelers in applied mathematics. This special issue aims to fill in some gaps in the research agenda of decision-making in intermodal transport.

The mathematical models may be of the optimization type or of the evaluation type to gain an insight in intermodal operations. The mathematical models aim to support decisions on the strategic, tactical, and operational levels. The decision-makers belong to the various players in the intermodal transport world, namely, drayage operators, terminal operators, network operators, or intermodal operators.

Topics of relevance to this type of decision-making both in time horizon as in terms of operators are:

- Intermodal terminal design
- Infrastructure network configuration
- Location of terminals
- Cooperation between drayage companies
- Allocation of shippers/receivers to a terminal
- Pricing strategies
- Capacity levels of equipment and labour
- Operational routines and lay-out structure
- Redistribution of load units, railcars, barges, and so forth
- Scheduling of trips or jobs
- Allocation of capacity to jobs
- Loading orders
- Selection of routing and service

Before submission authors should carefully read over the journal's Author Guidelines, which are located at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/jamds/guidelines.html>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/>, according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	June 1, 2009
First Round of Reviews	September 1, 2009
Publication Date	December 1, 2009

### Lead Guest Editor

**Gerrit K. Janssens**, Transportation Research Institute (IMOB), Hasselt University, Agoralaan, Building D, 3590 Diepenbeek (Hasselt), Belgium; [Gerrit.Janssens@uhasselt.be](mailto:Gerrit.Janssens@uhasselt.be)

### Guest Editor

**Cathy Macharis**, Department of Mathematics, Operational Research, Statistics and Information for Systems (MOSI), Transport and Logistics Research Group, Management School, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Pleinlaan 2, 1050 Brussel, Belgium; [Cathy.Macharis@vub.ac.be](mailto:Cathy.Macharis@vub.ac.be)