

# ON ABSOLUTE MATRIX SUMMABILITY METHODS

H. S. ÖZARSLAN AND H. N. ÖĞDÜK

Received 27 October 2004 and in revised form 1 August 2005

We have proved a theorem on  $|T, p_n|_k$  summability methods. This theorem includes a known theorem.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\sum a_n$  be a given infinite series with partial sums  $(s_n)$ . By  $(w_n^\delta)$ , we denote the  $n$ th Cesàro means of order  $\delta (\delta > -1)$  of the sequence  $(s_n)$ . The series  $\sum a_n$  is said to be summable  $|C, \delta|_k, k \geq 1$ , if (see [3])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} |w_n^\delta - w_{n-1}^\delta|^k < \infty. \quad (1.1)$$

In the special case for  $\delta = 1$ ,  $|C, \delta|_k$  summability reduces to  $|C, 1|_k$  summability.

Let  $(p_n)$  be a sequence of positive numbers such that

$$P_n = \sum_{v=0}^n p_v \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (P_{-i} = p_{-i} = 0, i \geq 1). \quad (1.2)$$

The sequence-to-sequence transformation

$$\vartheta_n = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{v=0}^n p_v s_v \quad (1.3)$$

defines the sequence  $(\vartheta_n)$  of the  $(\bar{N}, p_n)$  means of the sequence  $(s_n)$ , generated by the sequence of coefficients  $(p_n)$  (see [4]). The series  $\sum a_n$  is said to be summable  $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k, k \geq 1$ , if (see [1])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{k-1} |\vartheta_n - \vartheta_{n-1}|^k < \infty. \quad (1.4)$$

If we take  $p_n = 1$  for all values of  $n$ , then  $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$  summability is the same as  $|C, 1|_k$  summability.

Given a normal matrix  $T = (t_{nk})$ , we associate two lower semimatrices  $\bar{T} = (\bar{t}_{nk})$  and  $\hat{T} = (\hat{t}_{nk})$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{t}_{nk} &= \sum_{i=k}^n t_{ni}, \quad n, k = 0, 1, \dots, \\ \hat{t}_{00} &= \bar{t}_{00} = t_{00}, \quad \hat{t}_{nk} = \bar{t}_{nk} - \bar{t}_{n-1,k}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

It may be noted that  $\bar{T}$  and  $\hat{T}$  are the well-known matrices of series-to-sequence and series-to-series transformations, respectively. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_n(s) &= \sum_{\nu=0}^n t_{n\nu} s_\nu = \sum_{\nu=0}^n \bar{t}_{n\nu} a_\nu, \\ \bar{\Delta}T_n(s) &= \sum_{\nu=0}^n \hat{t}_{n\nu} a_\nu. \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

The series  $\sum a_n$  is said to be summable  $|T, p_n|_k, k \geq 1$ , if (see [5])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} |\bar{\Delta}T_n(s)|^k < \infty. \tag{1.7}$$

In the special case, for  $t_{n\nu} = p_\nu/P_n$ ,  $|T, p_n|_k$  summability is the same as  $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$  summability.

**2. The main result**

The object of this paper is to prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $k \geq 1$ . Let  $(s_n)$  be a bounded sequence and suppose that  $(\lambda_n)$  is a sequence such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^m \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} |\lambda_n|^k |t_{nn}|^k &= O(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty, \\ \sum_{n=0}^m |\Delta\lambda_n| &= O(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

If

$$\frac{1}{|t_{nn}|} \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} |\Delta_\nu(\hat{t}_{n\nu})| = O(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\sum_{n=\nu+1}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} |\Delta_\nu \hat{t}_{n\nu}| |t_{nn}|^{k-1} = O\left(\left(\frac{P_\nu}{p_\nu}\right)^{k-1} |t_{\nu\nu}|^k\right) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\frac{1}{|t_{nn}|} \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} |\Delta\lambda_v| |\hat{t}_{n,v+1}| = O(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \tag{2.4}$$

$$\sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} |\hat{t}_{n,v+1}| |t_{nn}|^{k-1} = O(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty, \tag{2.5}$$

then the series  $\sum a_n \lambda_n$  is summable  $|T, p_n|_k$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(y_n)$  be the  $T$ -transform of the series  $\sum a_n \lambda_n$ . Then we have, by (1.6),

$$Y_n = y_n - y_{n-1} = \sum_{v=0}^n \hat{t}_{nv} a_v \lambda_v. \tag{2.6}$$

Since  $\hat{t}_{nn} = t_{nn}$ , by Abel’s transformation, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} Y_n &= \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} \Delta_v (\hat{t}_{nv} \lambda_v) s_v + \hat{t}_{nn} \lambda_n s_n \\ &= \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} \Delta\lambda_v \hat{t}_{n,v+1} s_v + \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} \lambda_v \Delta_v (\hat{t}_{nv}) s_v + s_n t_{nn} \lambda_n \\ &= Y_n(1) + Y_n(2) + Y_n(3). \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Using Minkowski’s inequality, it is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} |Y_n(r)|^k < \infty \quad \text{for } r = 1, 2, 3. \tag{2.8}$$

Since  $(s_n)$  is bounded, when  $k > 1$ , applying Hölder’s inequality with indices  $k$  and  $k'$ , where  $1/k + 1/k' = 1$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} |Y_n(1)|^k &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} \left\{ \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} |\Delta\lambda_v| |\hat{t}_{n,v+1}| |s_v| \right\}^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} |\Delta\lambda_v| |\hat{t}_{n,v+1}| |t_{nn}|^{k-1} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \frac{1}{|t_{nn}|} \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} |\Delta\lambda_v| |\hat{t}_{n,v+1}| \right\}^{k-1} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} |\Delta\lambda_v| |\hat{t}_{n,v+1}| |t_{nn}|^{k-1} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=0}^m |\Delta\lambda_v| \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} |\hat{t}_{n,v+1}| |t_{nn}|^{k-1} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=0}^m |\Delta\lambda_v| = O(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

by virtue of the hypothesis of Theorem 2.1.

Again using Hölder’s inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} |Y_n(2)|^k &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} \left\{ \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} |\lambda_v| |\Delta_v \hat{t}_{nv}| |s_v| \right\}^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} |\lambda_v|^k |\Delta_v \hat{t}_{nv}| |t_{nm}|^{k-1} \\
 &\quad \times \left\{ \frac{1}{|t_{nm}|} \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} |\Delta_v \hat{t}_{nv}| \right\}^{k-1} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} |\lambda_v|^k |\Delta_v \hat{t}_{nv}| |t_{nm}|^{k-1} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=0}^m |\lambda_v|^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} |\Delta_v \hat{t}_{nv}| |t_{nm}|^{k-1} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=0}^m \left(\frac{P_v}{p_v}\right)^{k-1} |\lambda_v|^k |t_{vv}|^k = O(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty,
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

by virtue of the hypothesis of Theorem 2.1.

Finally, we have that

$$\sum_{n=1}^m \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} |Y_n(3)|^k = O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} |t_{nm}|^k |\lambda_n|^k = O(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty, \tag{2.11}$$

by virtue of the hypothesis of Theorem 2.1.

Therefore, we get that

$$\sum_{n=1}^m \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} |Y_n(r)|^k = O(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for } r = 1, 2, 3. \tag{2.12}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1. □

### 3. An application

Now we will prove the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 3.1** (see [2]). *Let  $k \geq 1$ . If the sequence  $(s_n)$  is bounded and  $(\lambda_n)$  is a sequence such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{P_n}{p_n} |\lambda_n|^k &= O(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty, \\
 \sum_{n=1}^m |\Delta \lambda_n| &= O(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

then the series  $\sum a_n \lambda_n$  is summable  $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$ .

*Proof.* In Theorem 2.1, let  $t_{nv} = p_v/P_n$ . Then to prove the corollary, it is sufficient to show that the conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied.

If  $t_{nn} = p_n/P_n$ , (2.1) are automatically satisfied.

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta_v \hat{t}_{nv} &= \hat{t}_{nv} - \hat{t}_{n,v+1} \\
 &= \bar{t}_{nv} - \bar{t}_{n-1,v} - \bar{t}_{n,v+1} + \bar{t}_{n-1,v+1} \\
 &= \sum_{i=v}^n t_{ni} - \sum_{i=v}^{n-1} t_{n-1,i} - \sum_{i=v+1}^n t_{ni} + \sum_{i=v+1}^{n-1} t_{n-1,i} \\
 &= \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=v}^n p_i - \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{i=v}^{n-1} p_i - \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=v+1}^n p_i + \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{i=v+1}^{n-1} p_i \\
 &= -\frac{p_n p_v}{P_n P_{n-1}},
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

we get

$$\frac{1}{|t_{nn}|} \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} |\Delta_v \hat{t}_{nv}| = \frac{P_n}{P_n} \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} \frac{p_n p_v}{P_n P_{n-1}} = O(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.3}$$

Thus condition (2.2) is satisfied.

Using  $\Delta_v \hat{t}_{nv}$  and  $t_{nn}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} |\Delta_v \hat{t}_{nv}| |t_{nn}|^{k-1} &= \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} \frac{p_n p_v}{P_n P_{n-1}} \left(\frac{p_n}{P_n}\right)^{k-1} \\
 &= p_v \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} = \frac{p_v}{P_v} \\
 &= \left(\frac{P_v}{p_v}\right)^{k-1} |t_{vv}|^k \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

condition (2.3) is satisfied.

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{t}_{nv} &= \bar{t}_{nv} - \bar{t}_{n-1,v} = \sum_{i=v}^n t_{ni} - \sum_{i=v}^{n-1} t_{n-1,i} \\
 &= \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=v}^n p_i - \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{i=v}^{n-1} p_i \\
 &= P_{v-1} \left( -\frac{1}{P_n} + \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \right) = P_{v-1} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}},
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|t_{nn}|} \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} |\Delta\lambda_v| |\hat{t}_{n,v+1}| &= \frac{P_n}{p_n} \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} |\Delta\lambda_v| P_v \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \\ &= \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} |\Delta\lambda_v| P_v = O(1) \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} |\Delta\lambda_v| = O(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

and condition (2.4) is satisfied.

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{k-1} |\hat{t}_{n,v+1}| |t_{nn}|^{k-1} &= \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{k-1} \frac{P_v P_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \left( \frac{p_n}{P_n} \right)^{k-1} \\ &= P_v \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} = O(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

so condition (2.5) is satisfied.

This completes the proof of the corollary.  $\square$

## References

- [1] H. Bor, *On two summability methods*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **97** (1985), no. 1, 147–149.
- [2] ———, *A note on  $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$  summability factors*, Rend. Mat. Appl. (7) **12** (1992), no. 4, 937–942 (1993).
- [3] T. M. Flett, *On an extension of absolute summability and some theorems of Littlewood and Paley*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **7** (1957), 113–141.
- [4] G. H. Hardy, *Divergent Series*, Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1949.
- [5] W. T. Sulaiman, *Inclusion theorems for absolute matrix summability methods of an infinite series*. IV, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **34** (2003), no. 11, 1547–1557.

H. S. Özarıslan: Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Erciyes University, 38039 Kayseri, Turkey

*E-mail address:* seyhan@erciyes.edu.tr

H. N. Öđđük: Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Erciyes University, 38039 Kayseri, Turkey

*E-mail address:* nogduk@erciyes.edu.tr