

Research Article

Harmonic Maps and Stability on f -Kenmotsu Manifolds

Vittorio Mangione

Università degli Studi di Parma, Viale G.P. Usberti 53-A, 43100 Parma, Italy

Correspondence should be addressed to Vittorio Mangione, vittorio.mangione@unipr.it

Received 13 June 2007; Accepted 9 January 2008

Recommended by Mircea-Eugen Craioveanu

The purpose of this paper is to study some submanifolds and Riemannian submersions on an f -Kenmotsu manifold. The stability of a φ -holomorphic map from a compact f -Kenmotsu manifold to a Kählerian manifold is proven.

Copyright © 2008 Vittorio Mangione. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

In Section 2, we give preliminaries on f -Kenmotsu manifolds. The concept of f -Kenmotsu manifold, where f is a real constant, appears for the first time in the paper of Janssens and Vanhecke [1]. More recently, Olszak and Roşca [2] defined and studied the f -Kenmotsu manifold by the formula (2.3), where f is a function on M such that $df \wedge \eta = 0$. Here, η is the dual 1-form corresponding to the characteristic vector field ξ of an almost contact metric structure on M . The condition $df \wedge \eta = 0$ follows in fact from (2.3) if $\dim M \geq 5$. This does not hold in general if $\dim M = 3$.

A 1-Kenmotsu manifold is a Kenmotsu manifold (see Kenmotsu [3, 4]). Theorem 2.1 provides a geometric interpretation of an f -Kenmotsu structure.

In Section 3, we initiate a study of harmonic maps when the domain is a compact f -Kenmotsu manifold and the target is a Kähler manifold.

Ianus and Pastore [5, 6] defined a (φ, J) -holomorphic map between an almost contact metric manifold $M(\varphi, \eta, \xi, g)$ and an almost Hermitian manifold $N(J, h)$ as a smooth map $F : M \rightarrow N$ such that the condition $F_* \circ \varphi = J \circ F_*$ is satisfied. Then, the formula $J(\tau(F)) = F_*(\operatorname{div} \varphi) - \operatorname{Tr}_g \beta$ holds, where $\tau(F)$ is the tension field of F and $\beta(X, Y) = (\tilde{\nabla}_X J)(F_* Y)$, $\tilde{\nabla}$ being the connection induced in the pull-back bundle $F^*(TN)$ (see [7]). It is easy to see that in our assumptions $\operatorname{div} \varphi = 0$ and $\operatorname{Tr}_g \beta = 0$ so that a (φ, J) -holomorphic map between an

f -Kenmotsu manifold M and a Kähler manifold N is a harmonic map. If M is a compact manifold, a second-order elliptic operator J_F , called the Jacobi operator, is associated to the harmonic map F . It is well known that the spectrum of J_F consists only of a discrete set of an infinite number of eigenvalues with finite multiplicities, bounded by the first one. We define the *Morse index* of the harmonic map F as the sum of multiplicities of negative eigenvalues of the Jacobi operator J_F [8, 9]. A harmonic map is called *stable* if the Morse index is zero. We have proven that any (φ, J) -holomorphic map from a compact f -Kenmotsu manifold to a Kähler manifold is a stable harmonic map (see [10]).

2. f -Kenmotsu manifolds

A differentiable $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional manifold M is said to have a (φ, ξ, η) -structure or an almost contact structure if there exist a tensor field φ of type $(1, 1)$, a vector field ξ , and a 1-form η on M satisfying

$$\varphi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1, \quad (2.1)$$

where I denotes the identity transformation.

It seems natural to include also $\varphi\xi = 0$ and $\eta \circ \varphi = 0$; both can be derived from (2.1).

Let g be an associated Riemannian metric on M such that

$$g(X, Y) = g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y). \quad (2.2)$$

Putting $Y = \xi$ in (2.2) and using (2.1), we get $\eta(X) = g(X, \xi)$, for any vector field X on M .

In this paper, we denote by $C^\infty(M)$ and $\Gamma(E)$ the algebra of smooth functions on M and the $C^\infty(M)$ -module of smooth sections of a vector bundle E , respectively. All manifolds are assumed to be connected and of class C^∞ . Tensors fields, distribution, and so on are assumed to be of class C^∞ if not stated otherwise.

We say that M is an f -Kenmotsu manifold if there exists an almost contact metric structure (φ, ξ, η, g) on M satisfying

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \varphi)Y = f(g(\varphi X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\varphi X) \quad (2.3)$$

for $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$, where f is a smooth function on M such that $df \wedge \eta = 0$.

A 1-Kenmotsu manifold is a Kenmotsu manifold [2, 3].

The following theorem provides a geometric interpretation of any f -Kenmotsu structure.

Theorem 2.1 (Olszak-Roşca). *Let M be an almost contact metric manifold. Then, M is f -Kenmotsu if and only if it satisfies the following conditions:*

- (a) *the distribution $D = \text{Ker } \eta$ is integrable and any leaf of the foliation \mathcal{F} corresponding to D is a totally umbilical hypersurface with constant mean curvature;*
- (b) *the almost Hermitian structure (J, g) induced on an arbitrary leaf is Kähler;*
- (c) $\nabla_\xi \xi = 0$ and $L_\xi \varphi = 0$.

Moreover, we have

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi = f(X - \eta(X)\xi) \quad (2.4)$$

which gives $\operatorname{div} \xi = 2nf$.

The characteristic vector field of an f -Kenmotsu manifold also satisfies

$$R(X, Y)\xi = f^2(\eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X). \quad (2.5)$$

Levy proven that a second-order symmetric parallel nonsingular tensor on a space of constant curvature is a constant multiple of the metric tensor [11]. On the other hand, Sharma proven that there is no nonzero skew-symmetric second-order parallel tensor on a Sasakian manifold [12]. For an f -Kenmotsu manifold we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. *There is no nonzero parallel 2-form on an f -Kenmotsu manifold.*

Proof. We omit it. □

A plane section p in $T_x \tilde{M}$, $x \in \tilde{M}$, of a Kenmotsu manifold ($f = 1$) is called a φ -section if it spanned by a vector X orthogonal to ξ and φX . A connected Kenmotsu manifold \tilde{M} is called a *Kenmotsu space form* and it is denoted by $\tilde{M}(c)$ if it has the constant φ -sectional curvature c . The curvature tensor of a Kenmotsu space form $\tilde{M}(c)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} 4R(X, Y)Z = & (c - 3)\{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y\} \\ & + (c + 1)\{\eta(X)\eta(Z)Y + -\eta(Y)\eta(Z)X + \eta(Y)g(X, Z)\xi - \eta(X)g(Y, Z)\eta \\ & + g(X, \varphi Z)\varphi Y - g(Y, \varphi Z)\varphi X + 2g(X, \varphi Y)\varphi Z\} \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

for any $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(T\tilde{M})$.

Now, let $M(J, g')$ be a $2m$ -dimensional almost Hermitian manifold. A surjective map $\pi : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ is called a *contact-complex Riemannian submersion* if it is a Riemannian submersion and satisfies [10]

$$\pi_* \circ \varphi = J \circ \pi_* \quad (2.7)$$

In [13], we have proven the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\pi : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ be a contact-complex Riemannian submersion from a $(2m + 1)$ -dimensional Kenmotsu manifold \tilde{M} to a $2m$ -dimensional almost Hermitian manifold M . Then, M is a Kählerian manifold. Moreover, \tilde{M} is a Kenmotsu space form if and only if M is a complex space form.*

3. Harmonic maps and stability

Let (M, g) and (N, h) be two Riemannian manifolds and $F : M \rightarrow N$ a differentiable map. Then, the second fundamental form α_F of F is defined by

$$\alpha_F(X, Y) = \tilde{\nabla}_X F_* Y - F_*(\nabla_X Y), \quad (3.1)$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection on M and $\tilde{\nabla}$ is the connection induced by F on the bundle $F^{-1}(TN)$, which is the pull-back of the Levi-Civita connection ∇' on N , and satisfies the following formula (see [8]):

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X F_* Y - \tilde{\nabla}_Y F_* X = F_*([X, Y]), \quad X, Y \in \Gamma(TM). \quad (3.2)$$

The tension field $\tau(F)$ of F is defined as the trace of the second fundamental form α_F , that is $\tau(F)_x = \sum \alpha_F(e_i, e_i)(x)$, where (e_1, \dots, e_m) is an orthonormal basis for $T_x M$ at $x \in M$.

In what follows, we will use Einstein summation convention, so we will omit the sigma symbol.

We say that a map $F : M \rightarrow N$ is a *harmonic map* $\tau(F) = 0$ at $x \in M$.

Examples. (1) If M is the circle S^1 , a map $F : S^1 \rightarrow (N, g)$ is harmonic if and only if it is a geodesic parametrized proportionally to arc length. (2) If $N = \mathbb{R}$, a harmonic map $F : (M, g) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a harmonic function. (3) A holomorphic map between two Kähler manifolds is harmonic [8]. For examples in the contact metric geometry, see [5, 6, 14].

Now let us consider a variation $F_{s,t} \in C^\infty(M, N)$, with $s, t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ and $F_{0,0} = F$. If the corresponding variation vector fields are denoted by V and W , the Hessian of F is given by

$$H_F(V, W) = \int_M h(J_F(V), W) \mathcal{U}_g, \quad (3.3)$$

where \mathcal{U}_g is the canonical measure associated to the Riemannian metric g and $J_F(V)$ is a second-order self-adjoint operator acting on $\Gamma(F^{-1}(TN))$ by

$$J_F(V) = \sum_i (\tilde{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_i}} e_i - \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i}) V - \sum_i R'(V, F_* e_i) F_* e_i, \quad (3.4)$$

where R' is the curvature operator on (N, h) .

We say that a map $f : (M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g) \rightarrow (N, J, h)$ from an almost contact metric manifold to an almost Hermitian manifold is a (φ, J) -holomorphic map if and only if $F_* \circ \varphi = J \circ F_*$.

If $M(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is a Sasaki manifold and $N(J, h)$ is a Kähler manifold, then any (φ, J) -holomorphic map from M to N is a harmonic map [14].

Then, we can prove the same result for any (φ, J) -holomorphic map from an f -Kenmotsu manifold to a Kähler manifold (see also [15]).

Our main result is the following.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $M(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a compact f -Kenmotsu manifold and let $N(J, h)$ be a Kähler manifold. Then, any (φ, J) -holomorphic map $F : M \rightarrow N$ is stable.*

If M is compact, the spectrum of J_F consists only of a discrete set of an infinite number of eigenvalues with finite multiplicities, bounded below by the first one. We define the *Morse index* of the harmonic map $F : M \rightarrow N$ as the *sum of multiplicities of negative eigenvalues of the Jacobi operator J_F* . Equivalently, the Morse index of F equals the dimension of the largest subspace of $\Gamma(F^{-1}(TN))$ on which the Hessian H_F is negative definite (see [8, 9]).

We recall the following formula (see [5, 9]):

$$H_F(V, W) = \int_M (h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_a} V, \tilde{\nabla}_{e_a} W) + h(R'(F_* e_a, V) F_* e_a, W)) \mathcal{U}_g, \quad (3.5)$$

where we omitted the summation symbol for repeated indices $a = 1, \dots, n$, $n = \dim M$ [5].

Now, let $(e_1, \dots, e_m; f_1, \dots, f_m, \xi)$ be a local orthonormal φ -basis on $M(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ such that $f_i = \varphi e_i$, $i = 1, \dots, m$.

From the (φ, J) -holomorphicity of F and by $\varphi \xi = 0$, we have $F_* \xi = 0$. Thus, from (3.5), we obtain the following.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $F : M \rightarrow N$ be a (φ, J) -holomorphic map from an f -Kenmotsu manifold M to a Kähler manifold N . Then, one has*

$$\begin{aligned} H_F(V, V) &= \int_M (h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} V, \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} V) + h(\tilde{\nabla}_{f_i} V, \tilde{\nabla}_{f_i} V)) \mathcal{U}_g + \int_M (h(R'(F_* e_i, V) F_* e_i, V) + h(R'(F_* f_i, V) F_* f_i, V)) \mathcal{U}_g. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Lemma 3.3. *Let T be a vector field on M such that*

$$g(T, X) = h(\tilde{\nabla}_{\varphi X} V, JV) \quad (3.7)$$

for any $X \in \Gamma(D)$, where $D = \text{Ker } \eta$ and $g(T, \xi) = 0$. Then,

$$\text{div}(T) = h(R'(F_* e_i, F_* f_i) V, JV) + 2h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} JV, \tilde{\nabla}_{f_i} V). \quad (3.8)$$

Proof. Let

$$\begin{aligned} h(R'(F_* e_i, F_* f_i) V, JV) &= h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} \tilde{\nabla}_{f_i} V - \tilde{\nabla}_{f_i} \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} V - \tilde{\nabla}_{[e_i, f_i]} V, JV) \\ &= e_i h(\tilde{\nabla}_{f_i} V, JV) - h(\tilde{\nabla}_{f_i} V, \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} JV) - f_i h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} V, JV) \\ &\quad + h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} V, \tilde{\nabla}_{f_i} JV) - h(\tilde{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_i} f_i} V, JV) + h(\tilde{\nabla}_{\nabla_{f_i} e_i} V, JV). \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

By using (3.7) and (2.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{div}(T) &= g(\nabla_{e_i} T, e_i) + g(\nabla_{f_i} T, f_i) + g(\nabla_{\xi} T, \xi) \\ &= e_i g(T, e_i) - g(T, \nabla_{e_i} e_i) + f_i g(T, f_i) - g(T, \nabla_{f_i} f_i) \\ &= e_i h(\tilde{\nabla}_{f_i} V, JV) - f_i h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} V, JV) + h(\tilde{\nabla}_{\nabla_{f_i} e_i} V, JV) + h(\tilde{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_i} f_i} V, JV) \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

and (3.8) follows. \square

Proposition 3.4. *Let $M(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a compact f -Kenmotsu manifold. Then, the function f satisfies*

$$\int_M f \mathcal{U}_g = 0. \quad (3.11)$$

Proof. We have

$$\operatorname{div}(\xi) = g(e_i, \nabla_{e_i}\xi) + g(f_i, \nabla_{f_i}\xi) + g(\xi, \nabla_\xi\xi). \quad (3.12)$$

Using (2.1)–(2.4), we obtain $\operatorname{div}(\xi) = -2nf$. Since M is a compact manifold (without boundary), using Stokes's theorem, we have

$$\int_M \operatorname{div}(\xi)\mathcal{U}_g = 0, \quad (3.13)$$

so that (3.11) follows from (3.13). \square

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 3.1. Since F is a (φ, J) -holomorphic map, by using the curvature Kähler identity $R'(U, V)JW = JR'(U, V)W$ on $N(J, h)$ and Bianchi's identity, we have

$$R'(F_*e_i, V)F_*e_i + R'(F_*f_i, V)F_*f_i = -JR'(F_*e_i, F_*f_iV). \quad (3.14)$$

For any $V \in \Gamma(F^{-1}(TN))$, we define the operator

$$DV : \Gamma(TM) \longrightarrow \Gamma(F^{-1}(TN)) \quad (3.15)$$

by the formula

$$DV(X) = \tilde{\nabla}_{\varphi X}V - J\tilde{\nabla}_XV, \quad (3.16)$$

for any $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ (see [5]).

Using Lemmas 3.2, 3.3, and (3.14), by a straightforward calculation, we obtain

$$H_F(V, V) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M (h(DV(e_i), DV(e_i)) + h(DV(f_i), DV(f_i)))\mathcal{U}_g \quad (3.17)$$

because $\int_M \operatorname{div}(T)\mathcal{U}_g = 0$.

Thus, we have $H_F(V, V) \geq 0$ for any $V \in \Gamma(F^{-1}(TN))$, so that F is a stable harmonic map.

Acknowledgment

This work was partially supported by F.I.L., Parma University.

References

- [1] D. Janssens and L. Vanhecke, "Almost contact structures and curvature tensors," *Kodai Mathematical Journal*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 1–27, 1981.
- [2] Z. Olszak and R. Roşca, "Normal locally conformal almost cosymplectic manifolds," *Publicationes Mathematicae Debrecen*, vol. 39, no. 3-4, pp. 315–323, 1991.
- [3] K. Kenmotsu, "A class of almost contact Riemannian manifolds," *Tohoku Mathematical Journal. Second Series*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 93–103, 1972.
- [4] M. Kobayashi, "Contact normal submanifolds and contact generic normal submanifolds in Kenmotsu manifolds," *Revista Matemática de la Universidad Complutense de Madrid*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 73–95, 1991.

- [5] S. Ianus and A. M. Pastore, "Harmonic maps on Sasakian and cosymplectic manifolds," in *Proceedings of the 24th National Conference of Geometry and Topology (NCGT '94)*, pp. 139–155, Timisoara, Romania, July 1994.
- [6] S. Ianus and A. M. Pastore, "Harmonic maps on contact metric manifolds," *Annales Mathématiques Blaise Pascal*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 43–53, 1995.
- [7] C. Gherghe, S. Ianus, and A. M. Pastore, "CR-manifolds, harmonic maps and stability," *Journal of Geometry*, vol. 71, no. 1-2, pp. 42–53, 2001.
- [8] P. Baird and J. C. Wood, *Harmonic Morphisms between Riemannian Manifolds*, vol. 29 of *London Mathematical Society Monographs*, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 2003.
- [9] H. Urakawa, *Calculus of Variations and Harmonic Maps*, vol. 132 of *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, USA, 1993.
- [10] M. Falcitelli, S. Ianus, and A. M. Pastore, *Riemannian Submersions and Related Topics*, World Scientific, River Edge, NJ, USA, 2004.
- [11] R. Sharma, "Second order parallel tensors on contact manifolds," *Algebras, Groups and Geometries*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 145–152, 1990.
- [12] H. Levy, "Symmetric tensors of the second order whose covariant derivatives vanish," *The Annals of Mathematics. 2nd Series*, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 91–98, 1925.
- [13] V. Mangione, "Totally geodesic submanifolds of a Kenmotsu space form," *Mathematical Reports (București)*, vol. 7(57), no. 4, pp. 315–324, 2005.
- [14] C. Gherghe, "Harmonic maps on Kenmotsu manifolds," *Revue Roumaine de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées*, vol. 45, no. 3, pp. 447–453, 2000.
- [15] S. Dragomir and J. C. Wood, *Sottovarietà minimali ed applicazioni armoniche*, vol. 35 of *Quaderni dell'Unione Matematica Italiana*, Pitagora Editrice, Bologna, Italy, 1989.