

## A NOTE ON A RESULT OF SINGH AND KULKARNI

MINGLIANG FANG

(Received 22 June 1998)

**ABSTRACT.** We prove that if  $f$  is a transcendental meromorphic function of finite order and  $\sum_{a \neq \infty} \delta(a, f) + \delta(\infty, f) = 2$ , then

$$K(f^{(k)}) = \frac{2k(1 - \delta(\infty, f))}{1 + k - k\delta(\infty, f)},$$

where

$$K(f^{(k)}) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, 1/f^{(k)}) + N(r, f^{(k)})}{T(r, f^{(k)})}.$$

This result improves a result by Singh and Kulkarni.

**Keywords and phrases.** Meromorphic functions, Nevanlinna's deficiency, maximum deficiency sum.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 30D35.

**1. Introduction and the main result.** Let  $f(z)$  be a meromorphic function in the complex plane. We use the following notations of value distribution theory (see [2])

$$T(r, f), m(r, f), N(r, f), \bar{N}(r, f), \dots \quad (1.1)$$

and denote by  $S(r, f)$  a function with the property that  $S(r, f) = o(T(r, f))$ ,  $r \rightarrow \infty$  (outside an exceptional set of finite linear measure, if  $f$  is of infinite order). The Nevanlinna's deficiency of  $f$  with respect to a finite complex number  $a$  is defined by

$$\delta(a, f) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(r, 1/(f - a))}{T(r, f)}. \quad (1.2)$$

If  $a = \infty$ , then one should replace  $m(r, 1/(f - a))$  in the above formula by  $m(r, f)$ . The well known Nevanlinna's deficiency relation states that

$$\sum_{a \neq \infty} \delta(a, f) + \delta(\infty, f) \leq 2. \quad (1.3)$$

If the above inequality holds, then we say that  $f$  has maximum deficiency sum.

In [3], Singh and Kulkarni proved the following result.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Suppose that  $f$  is a transcendental meromorphic function of finite order and  $\sum_{a \neq \infty} \delta(a, f) + \delta(\infty, f) = 2$ , then*

$$\frac{1 - \delta(\infty, f)}{2 - \delta(\infty, f)} \leq K(f') \leq \frac{2(1 - \delta(\infty, f))}{2 - \delta(\infty, f)}, \quad (1.4)$$

where

$$K(f') = \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, 1/f') + N(r, f')}{T(r, f')}. \tag{1.5}$$

In this note, we prove the following.

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Suppose that  $f$  is a transcendental meromorphic function of finite order and  $\sum_{a \neq \infty} \delta(a, f) + \delta(\infty, f) = 2$ , then*

$$K(f^{(k)}) = \frac{2k(1 - \delta(\infty, f))}{1 + k - k\delta(\infty, f)}, \tag{1.6}$$

where

$$K(f^{(k)}) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, 1/f^{(k)}) + N(r, f^{(k)})}{T(r, f^{(k)})}. \tag{1.7}$$

**2. An important lemma**

**LEMMA 2.1** [1]. *Let  $f(z)$  be a transcendental meromorphic function, then for each positive number  $\epsilon$  and each positive integer  $k$ , we have*

$$k\overline{N}(r, f) \leq N(r, 1/f^{(k)}) + N(r, f) + \epsilon T(r, f) + S(r, f). \tag{2.1}$$

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2.** First, we prove that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f^{(k)})}{T(r, f)} = 1 + k - k\delta(\infty, f), \quad r \rightarrow \infty. \tag{2.2}$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that  $f$  has infinitely many finite deficient values  $a_1, a_2, \dots$ . It follows from Littlewood’s inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^p m\left(r, \frac{1}{f - a_n}\right) &\leq m\left(r, \frac{1}{f'}\right) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq T(r, f) + \overline{N}(r, f) + S(r, f), \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

that

$$\sum_{n=1}^p \delta(a_n, f) \leq 1 + \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{N}(r, f)}{T(r, f)} \leq 1 + \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, f)}{T(r, f)} = 2 - \delta(\infty, f). \tag{2.4}$$

By the assumption, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta(a_n, f) = 2 - \delta(\infty, f). \tag{2.5}$$

Let  $p \rightarrow \infty$  in (2.4) and use (2.5) to obtain

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\overline{N}(r, f)}{T(r, f)} = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, f)}{T(r, f)} = 1 - \delta(\infty, f). \tag{2.6}$$

Replacing  $f'$  in (2.3) by  $f^{(k)}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^p m\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a_n}\right) &\leq m\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq T(r, f^{(k)}) - N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) + S(r, f). \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

It follows from (2.7) and (2.1) that

$$\sum_{n=1}^p m\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a_n}\right) \leq T(r, f^{(k)}) + N(r, f) - k\bar{N}(r, f) + \epsilon T(r, f) + S(r, f). \tag{2.8}$$

Consequently, because of (2.6), we have

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f^{(k)})}{T(r, f)} \geq (k-1)(1 - \delta(\infty, f)) + \sum_{n=1}^p \delta(a_n, f) - \epsilon. \tag{2.9}$$

Now, let  $p \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  and use (2.5) to obtain

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f^{(k)})}{T(r, f)} \geq 1 + k - k\delta(\infty, f). \tag{2.10}$$

On the other side,

$$T(r, f^{(k)}) \leq T(r, f) + k\bar{N}(r, f) + S(r, f). \tag{2.11}$$

Therefore, because of (2.6),

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f^{(k)})}{T(r, f)} \leq 1 + k - k\delta(\infty, f). \tag{2.12}$$

Equation (2.2) follows from the above estimates.

Next, we prove that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, 1/f^{(k)})}{T(r, f^{(k)})} = \frac{(k-1)(1 - \delta(\infty, f))}{1 + k - k\delta(\infty, f)}. \tag{2.13}$$

From the first inequality of (2.7), we have

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(r, 1/f^{(k)})}{T(r, f)} \geq \sum_{n=1}^p \delta(a_n, f). \tag{2.14}$$

Consequently, if we let  $p \rightarrow +\infty$  and use (2.5), we get

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(r, 1/f^{(k)})}{T(r, f)} \geq 2 - \delta(\infty, f). \tag{2.15}$$

On the other side, from (2.1) and (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} m\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) &\leq T(r, f^{(k)}) - N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq T(r, f) + k\bar{N}(r, f) - N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq T(r, f) + N(r, f) + \epsilon T(r, f) + S(r, f), \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

hence,

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(r, 1/f^{(k)})}{T(r, f)} \leq 2 - \delta(\infty, f) + \epsilon, \quad (2.17)$$

if we let  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we get

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(r, 1/f^{(k)})}{T(r, f)} \leq 2 - \delta(\infty, f). \quad (2.18)$$

Thus, from (2.15) and (2.18), we obtain

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(r, 1/f^{(k)})}{T(r, f)} = 2 - \delta(\infty, f). \quad (2.19)$$

Hence, from (2.2), (2.18), and (2.19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, 1/f^{(k)})}{T(r, f^{(k)})} &= 1 - \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(r, 1/f^{(k)})}{T(r, f^{(k)})} \\ &= 1 - \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(r, (1/f^{(k)}))}{T(r, f)} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f)}{T(r, f^{(k)})} \\ &= 1 - \frac{2 - \delta(\infty, f)}{1 + k - k\delta(\infty, f)} = \frac{(k-1)(1 - \delta(\infty, f))}{1 + k - k\delta(\infty, f)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

Finally, from (2.2) and (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, f^{(k)})}{T(r, f^{(k)})} &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, f) + k\bar{N}(r, f)}{T(r, f^{(k)})} \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, f) + k\bar{N}(r, f)}{T(r, f)} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f)}{T(r, f^{(k)})} \\ &= \frac{(k+1)(1 - \delta(\infty, f))}{1 + k - k\delta(\infty, f)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

Therefore, we deduce, from (2.20) and (2.21), that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, 1/f^{(k)}) + N(r, f^{(k)})}{T(r, f^{(k)})} = \frac{2k(1 - \delta(\infty, f))}{1 + k - k\delta(\infty, f)}. \quad (2.22)$$

Thus, the proof of Theorem 1.2 is complete.  $\square$

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.** The author is very grateful to Prof. Wei Hong for his help. This work is supported in part by National Natural Science Foundation of China.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] G. Frank and G. Weissenborn, *Rational deficient functions of meromorphic functions*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **18** (1986), no. 1, 29–33. MR 87i:30055. Zbl 586.30025.
- [2] W. K. Hayman, *Meromorphic Functions*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964. MR 29#1337. Zbl 115.06203.
- [3] S. K. Singh and V. N. Kulkarni, *Characteristic function of a meromorphic function and its derivative*, Ann. Polon. Math. **28** (1973), 123–133. MR 48#4315. Zbl 273.30025.

FANG: STATE KEY LABORATORY OF MILLIMETER WAVES, SOUTHEAST UNIVERSITY, NANJING 210096, CHINA