

A NOTE ON CENTRALIZERS

HOWARD E. BELL

(Received 3 January 2000)

ABSTRACT. For prime rings R , we characterize the set $U \cap C_R([U, U])$, where U is a right ideal of R ; and we apply our result to obtain a commutativity-or-finiteness theorem. We include extensions to semiprime rings.

Keywords and phrases. Prime rings, semiprime rings, centralizers.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 16N60, 16U80.

Let R be an arbitrary ring with center Z . For $x, y \in R$, denote by $[x, y]$ the commutator $xy - yx$; and for an arbitrary nonempty subset S of R , denote by $[S, S]$ the set $\{[x, y] \mid x, y \in S\}$. Denote by $C_R(S)$ the centralizer of S in R —i.e., the set $\{x \in R \mid [x, s] = 0 \text{ for all } s \in S\}$.

It is proved in [2] that if R is semiprime and I is a nonzero ideal of R , then $C_R([I, I]) \subseteq C_R(I)$. It follows that $C([I, I]) \cap I \subseteq Z$, since in a semiprime ring R the center of a nonzero right ideal is contained in the center of R . The first goal of this note is to study the subring $H = C_R([U, U]) \cap U$, where R is prime or semiprime and U is a nonzero right ideal. The information obtained is used to prove commutativity-or-finiteness results extending [1, Theorem 3].

1. Preliminaries. We shall use standard notation for annihilators—that is, for a nonempty subset S of R , $A_l(S)$ and $A(S)$ will be the left and two-sided annihilators of S . A subring S will be said to have finite index in R if $(S, +)$ is of finite index in $(R, +)$. We shall use without explicit mention the commutator identities $[xy, z] = x[y, z] + [x, z]y$ and $[x, yz] = y[x, z] + [x, y]z$.

We begin with a revealing example.

EXAMPLE 1.1. Let F be an arbitrary field, let R be the ring of 2×2 matrices over F , and let $U = e_{11}R$. Then R is prime, U is a right ideal, and $[U, U] = Fe_{12}$. Note that $C_R([U, U]) \cap U = Fe_{12} = A([U, U]) \cap U$, and note that this set does not centralize U . Thus, the result in [2] for two-sided ideals does not hold for one-sided ideals, even in the case of prime rings.

2. The case of R prime

THEOREM 2.1. *Let R be a prime ring, U a right ideal of R , and $H = C_R([U, U]) \cap U$. Then either $H = U \cap Z$, or H is a zero ring and $H = A([U, U]) \cap U$. In any case, H is a commutative subring of R .*

PROOF. We begin as in the proof of [2, Lemma 1]. Let $z \in C_R([U, U])$. Then for all $x, y \in U$, $z[x, xy] = [x, xy]z$; hence $zx[x, y] = x[x, y]z = xz[x, y]$ and therefore $[z, x][x, y] = 0$. Replacing y by yz , we get $[z, x]U[z, x] = \{0\}$ for all $x \in U$; and since $[z, x]U$ is a nilpotent right ideal, we have $[z, x]U = \{0\}$ for all $z \in C_R([U, U])$ and $x \in U$. Taking $z \in H$, we obtain $[z, x]z = 0 = z[z, x]$ for all $z \in H$ and $x \in U$; and replacing x by xr for arbitrary $r \in R$ yields $zU[z, r] = \{0\}$, hence

$$zUR[z, r] = \{0\} \quad \text{for all } z \in H \text{ and } r \in R. \quad (2.1)$$

Since R is prime, (2.1) shows that either $z \in Z$ or $zU = \{0\}$; hence $H = (H \cap Z) \cup (H \cap A_l(U))$. Since the abelian group H cannot be the union of two proper subgroups, we have $H = H \cap Z$ or $H = H \cap A_l(U)$, so that $H \subseteq Z$ or $H \subseteq A_l(U)$. In the first case, H is clearly equal to $U \cap Z$, so suppose $H \subseteq A_l(U)$. Since $H \subseteq U$, $H^2 = \{0\}$; moreover, $H \subseteq A_l([U, U]) \cap C_R([U, U])$, so $H \subseteq A([U, U])$ and hence $H = A([U, U]) \cap U$.

We now proceed to a commutativity-or-finiteness result. \square

THEOREM 2.2. *Let R be a prime ring and U a right ideal of finite index in R . If $[U, U]$ is finite, then R is either finite or commutative.*

PROOF. Suppose that $[U, U] = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$. For each $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ define $\Phi_i : U \rightarrow U$ by $\Phi_i(x) = [x_i, x]$ for all $x \in U$. Then $\Phi_i(U)$ is finite, hence $\text{Ker } \Phi_i$ is of finite index in U . Letting $H = \bigcap_{i=1}^m \text{Ker } \Phi_i$, we see that $H = U \cap C_R([U, U])$ and that H is of finite index in U . Now U is of finite index in R , so H is of finite index in R . It follows by a theorem of Lewin [3] that H contains an ideal I of R which is also of finite index in R . If $I = \{0\}$, then R is finite; if $I \neq \{0\}$, Theorem 2.1 implies that R has a nonzero commutative ideal and hence R is commutative. \square

3. The case of R semiprime. Let R be semiprime, U a right ideal, and $H = U \cap C_R([U, U])$. Let $\{P_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be a collection of prime ideals such that $\bigcap P_\alpha = \{0\}$. Now (2.1) holds in R , hence for each $\alpha \in \Lambda$ and each $z \in H$, either $[z, R] \subseteq P_\alpha$ or $zU \subseteq P_\alpha$. Since each of these conditions defines an additive subgroup of H , we see that $[H, R] \subseteq P_\alpha$ or $HU \subseteq P_\alpha$; therefore $[H, H] \subseteq P_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$. Thus $[H, H] = \{0\}$ —that is, H is a commutative subring of R .

Revisiting the proof of Theorem 2.2, we see that in the semiprime case, either R is finite or R contains a nonzero commutative ideal I . But in a semiprime ring, a commutative ideal is central; hence we have the following extension of Theorem 2.2.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let R be a semiprime ring and U a right ideal of finite index in R . If $[U, U]$ is finite, then either R is finite or R contains a nonzero central ideal.*

REFERENCES

- [1] H. E. Bell and A. A. Klein, *On rings with Engel cycles. II*, Results Math. **21** (1992), no. 3-4, 264-273. MR 93d:16039. Zbl 786.16015.
- [2] M. N. Daif and H. E. Bell, *Remarks on derivations on semiprime rings*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. **15** (1992), no. 1, 205-206. CMP 1 143 947. Zbl 746.16029.

- [3] J. Lewin, *Subrings of finite index in finitely generated rings*, J. Algebra 5 (1967), 84-88.
MR 34#196. Zbl 143.05303.

HOWARD E. BELL: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BROCK UNIVERSITY, ST. CATHARINES, ONTARIO L2S 3A1, CANADA

E-mail address: hbell@spartan.ac.brocku.ca