

## THE PRODUCT OF $r^{-k}$ AND $\nabla\delta$ ON $\mathbb{R}^m$

C. K. LI

(Received 21 December 1999 and in revised form 4 January 2000)

**ABSTRACT.** In the theory of distributions, there is a general lack of definitions for products and powers of distributions. In physics (Gasiorowicz (1967), page 141), one finds the need to evaluate  $\delta^2$  when calculating the transition rates of certain particle interactions and using some products such as  $(1/x) \cdot \delta$ . In 1990, Li and Fisher introduced a “computable” delta sequence in an  $m$ -dimensional space to obtain a noncommutative neutrix product of  $r^{-k}$  and  $\Delta\delta$  ( $\Delta$  denotes the Laplacian) for any positive integer  $k$  between 1 and  $m - 1$  inclusive. Cheng and Li (1991) utilized a net  $\delta_\varepsilon(x)$  (similar to the  $\delta_n(x)$ ) and the normalization procedure of  $\mu(x)x_\pm^\lambda$  to deduce a commutative neutrix product of  $r^{-k}$  and  $\delta$  for any positive real number  $k$ . The object of this paper is to apply Pizetti’s formula and the normalization procedure to derive the product of  $r^{-k}$  and  $\nabla\delta$  ( $\nabla$  is the gradient operator) on  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . The nice properties of the  $\delta$ -sequence are fully shown and used in the proof of our theorem.

**Keywords and phrases.** Pizetti’s formula, delta sequence, neutrix limit and distribution.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46F10.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $\rho(x)$  be a fixed infinitely differentiable function with the following properties:

- (i)  $\rho(x) \geq 0$ ,
- (ii)  $\rho(x) = 0$  for  $|x| \geq 1$ ,
- (iii)  $\rho(x) = \rho(-x)$ ,
- (iv)  $\int_{-1}^1 \rho(x) dx = 1$ .

The function  $\delta_n(x)$  is defined by  $\delta_n(x) = n\rho(nx)$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . It follows that  $\{\delta_n(x)\}$  is a regular sequence of infinitely differentiable functions converging to the Dirac delta-function  $\delta(x)$ .

Now let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the space of infinitely differentiable functions of a single variable with compact support and let  $\mathcal{D}'$  be the space of distributions defined on  $\mathcal{D}$ . Then if  $f$  is an arbitrary distribution in  $\mathcal{D}'$ , we define

$$f_n(x) = (f * \delta_n)(x) = (f(t), \delta_n(x-t)) \quad (1.1)$$

for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . It follows that  $\{f_n(x)\}$  is a regular sequence of infinitely differentiable functions converging to the distribution  $f(x)$  in  $\mathcal{D}'$ .

The following definition for the noncommutative neutrix product  $f \cdot g$  of two distributions  $f$  and  $g$  in  $\mathcal{D}'$  was given by Fisher in [2].

**DEFINITION 1.1.** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be distributions in  $\mathcal{D}'$  and let  $g_n = g * \delta_n$ . We say that the neutrix product  $f \cdot g$  of  $f$  and  $g$  exists and is equal to  $h$  if

$$N - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f g_n, \phi) = (h, \phi) \tag{1.2}$$

for all functions  $\phi$  in  $\mathcal{D}$ , where  $N$  is the neutrix (see [6]) having domain  $N' = \{1, 2, \dots\}$  and range  $N''$  the real numbers, with negligible functions that are finite linear sums of the functions

$$n^\lambda \ln^{r-1} n, \ln^r n \quad (\lambda > 0, r = 1, 2, \dots) \tag{1.3}$$

and all functions of  $n$  which converge to zero in the normal sense as  $n$  tends to infinity.

The product of Definition 1.1 is not symmetric and hence  $f \cdot g \neq g \cdot f$  in general.

Extending definitions of products from one-dimensional space  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $m$ -dimensional space  $\mathbb{R}^m$  by using appropriate delta-sequences has recently been an interesting topic in distribution theory. In order to define a neutrix product of two separable forms of distributions in  $\mathcal{D}'_m$  (an  $m$ -dimensional space of distributions), Fisher and Li provided the following definition in [3].

**DEFINITION 1.2.** Let  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  be distributions in  $\mathcal{D}'_m$ , where  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$ . The function  $g_n(x)$  is defined by

$$g_n(x) = (g * \delta_n)(x), \tag{1.4}$$

where  $\delta_n(x) = \delta_{n_1}(x_1) \cdots \delta_{n_m}(x_m) = n_1 \rho(n_1 x_1) \cdots n_m \rho(n_m x_m)$ . Hence  $\{\delta_n(x)\}$  is a regular sequence converging to the Dirac delta-function  $\delta(x)$ . The neutrix product  $f \cdot g$  is defined to be equal to  $h$  if

$$N - \lim_{n_1 \rightarrow \infty} \cdots N - \lim_{n_m \rightarrow \infty} (f g_n, \phi) = (h, \phi) \tag{1.5}$$

for all  $\phi$  in  $\mathcal{D}_m$  (an  $m$ -dimensional Schwartz space).

With Definition 1.2, Fisher and Li (also in [3]) show the following results.

Let

$$x^r = x_1^{-r_1} \cdots x_m^{-r_m} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta^{(p)}(x) = \delta^{(p_1)}(x_1) \cdots \delta^{(p_m)}(x_m). \tag{1.6}$$

Then the noncommutative neutrix product  $x^{-r} \cdot \delta^{(p)}(x)$  exists and

$$x^{-r} \cdot \delta^{(p)}(x) = \frac{(-1)^r p!}{(p+r)!} \delta^{(p+r)}(x) \tag{1.7}$$

for  $r_1, \dots, r_m = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $p_1, \dots, p_m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

The following work on the commutative neutrix product of distributions on  $\mathbb{R}^m$  is due to Cheng and Li (see [1]).

Let  $\mathbb{R}^m$  be an Euclidean space with dimension  $m$ , and let  $\rho(s)$ , for  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , be a fixed infinitely differentiable function having the properties:

- (i)  $\rho(s) \geq 0$ ,
- (ii)  $\rho(s) = 0$  for  $|s| \geq 1$ ,
- (iii)  $\rho(s) = \rho(-s)$ ,

(iv)  $\int_{|x|\leq 1} \rho(|x|^2) dx = 1, x \in \mathbb{R}^m.$

The property (iv) in the spherical coordinates is represented as

(v)  $\Omega_m \int_0^1 \rho(s^2) s^{m-1} ds = 1,$

where  $\Omega_m$  is the surface area of the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . Putting  $\delta_\epsilon(x) = \epsilon^{-m} \rho(|\epsilon^{-1}x|^2)$ , where  $\epsilon > 0$ , it follows that  $\epsilon$ -net  $\{\delta_\epsilon(x)\}$  converges to the Dirac delta-function  $\delta(x)$ .

**DEFINITION 1.3.** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be arbitrary distributions in  $\mathcal{D}'_m$  and let

$$f_\epsilon = f * \delta_\epsilon, \quad g_\epsilon = g * \delta_\epsilon. \tag{1.8}$$

We say that the neutrix product  $f \cdot g$  of  $f$  and  $g$  exists and is equal to  $h$  on the open domain  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$  if the neutrix limit

$$N - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2} \{ (f \cdot g_\epsilon, \phi) + (g \cdot f_\epsilon, \phi) \} = (h, \phi) \tag{1.9}$$

for all test functions  $\phi$  with compact support contained in the domain  $\Omega$ , where  $N$  is the neutrix having domain  $N' = \mathbb{R}^+$ , the positive numbers, and range  $N'' = \mathbb{R}$ , the real numbers, with negligible functions that are linear sums of the functions

$$\epsilon^{-\lambda} \ln^{r-1} \epsilon, \quad \ln^r \epsilon \tag{1.10}$$

for  $\lambda > 0$  and  $r = 1, 2, \dots$ , and all functions of  $\epsilon$  which converge to zero as  $\epsilon$  tends to zero.

In this paper, we would like to give a definition for the noncommutative neutrix product  $f \cdot g$  of two distributions  $f$  and  $g$  in  $\mathcal{D}'_m$  by applying the below  $\delta$ -sequence. This definition is particularly useful in computing products of distributions of the variable  $r$  (radius).

From now on we let  $\rho(s)$  be a fixed infinitely differentiable function defined on  $\mathbb{R}^+ = [0, \infty)$  having the properties:

- (i)  $\rho(s) \geq 0,$
- (ii)  $\rho(s) = 0$  for  $s \geq 1,$
- (iii)  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \delta_n(x) dx = 1,$

where  $\delta_n(x) = C_m n^m \rho(n^2 r^2)$  and  $C_m$  is the constant satisfying (iii).

It follows that  $\{\delta_n(x)\}$  is a regular  $\delta$ -sequence of infinitely differentiable functions converging to  $\delta(x)$  because of the above three conditions. The following definition will be applied in Section 3 to evaluate our product mentioned in the abstract.

**DEFINITION 1.4.** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be distributions in  $\mathcal{D}'(m)$  and let

$$g_n(x) = (g * \delta_n)(x) = (g(x-t), \delta_n(t)), \tag{1.11}$$

where  $t = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m)$ . We say that the neutrix product  $f \cdot g$  of  $f$  and  $g$  exists and is equal to  $h$  if

$$N - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f g_n, \phi) = (h, \phi), \tag{1.12}$$

where  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_m$ .

**2. The distribution  $r^\lambda$ .** Let  $r = (x_1^2 + \dots + x_m^2)^{1/2}$  and consider the functional  $r^\lambda$  (see [5]) defined by

$$(r^\lambda, \phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} r^\lambda \phi(x) dx, \tag{2.1}$$

where  $\text{Re } \lambda > -m$  and  $\phi(x) \in \mathcal{D}_m$ . Because the derivative

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} (r^\lambda, \phi) = \int r^\lambda \ln r \phi(x) dx \tag{2.2}$$

exists, the functional  $r^\lambda$  is an analytic function of  $\lambda$  for  $\text{Re } \lambda > -m$ .

For  $\text{Re } \lambda \leq -m$ , we should use the following identity (2.4) to define its analytic continuation. For  $\text{Re } \lambda > 0$ , we could deduce

$$\Delta(r^{\lambda+2}) = (\lambda+2)(\lambda+m)r^\lambda \tag{2.3}$$

simply by calculating the left-hand side, where  $\Delta$  is the Laplacian operator. By iteration we find, for any integer  $k$ , that

$$r^\lambda = \frac{\Delta^k r^{\lambda+2k}}{(\lambda+2) \dots (\lambda+2k)(\lambda+m) \dots (\lambda+m+2k-2)}. \tag{2.4}$$

On making substitution of spherical coordinates in (2.1), we come to

$$(r^\lambda, \phi) = \int_0^\infty r^\lambda \left\{ \int_{r=1} \phi(r\omega) d\omega \right\} r^{m-1} dr, \tag{2.5}$$

where  $d\omega$  is the hypersurface element on the unit sphere. The integral appearing in the above integrand can be written in the form

$$\int_{r=1} \phi(r\omega) d\omega = \Omega_m S_\phi(r), \tag{2.6}$$

where  $\Omega_m$  is the hypersurface area of the unit sphere imbedded in Euclidean space of  $m$  dimensions, and  $S_\phi(r)$  is the mean value of  $\phi$  on the sphere of radius  $r$ .

It was proved in [5] that  $S_\phi(r)$  is infinitely differentiable for  $r \geq 0$ , has bounded support, and that

$$S_\phi(r) = \phi(0) + a_1 r^2 + a_2 r^4 + \dots + a_k r^{2k} + o(r^{2k}) \tag{2.7}$$

for any positive integer  $k$ . From (2.5) and (2.6), we obtain

$$(r^\lambda, \phi) = \Omega_m \int_0^\infty r^{\lambda+m-1} S_\phi(r) dr \tag{2.8}$$

which indicates the application of  $\Omega_m x_+^\mu$  with  $\mu = \lambda + m - 1$  to the testing function  $S_\phi(r)$ . Using the following Laurent series for  $x_+^\lambda$  about  $\lambda = -k$ ,

$$x_+^\lambda = \frac{(-1)^{k-1} \delta^{(k-1)}(x)}{(k-1)!(\lambda+k)} + x_+^{-k} + (\lambda+k)x_+^{-k} \ln x + \dots \tag{2.9}$$

we could show that the residue of  $(r^\lambda, \phi(x))$  at  $\lambda = -m - 2k$  for nonnegative integer  $k$  is given by

$$\Omega_m \frac{(\delta^{(2k)}, \phi(x))}{(2k)!} = \Omega_m \frac{S_\phi^{(2k)}(0)}{(2k)!}. \tag{2.10}$$

On the other hand, the residue of the function  $r^\lambda$  of (2.4) for the same value of  $\lambda$  is

$$\frac{\Omega_m \Delta^k \delta(x)}{2^k k! m(m+2) \cdots (m+2k-2)}. \tag{2.11}$$

(See [5].) Therefore we get

$$S_\phi^{(2k)}(0) = \frac{(2k)! \Delta^k \phi(0)}{2^k k! m(m+2) \cdots (m+2k-2)}. \tag{2.12}$$

This result can be used to write out the Taylor's series for  $S_\phi(r)$ , namely

$$\begin{aligned} S_\phi(r) &= \phi(0) + \frac{1}{2!} S_\phi''(0) r^2 + \cdots + \frac{1}{(2k)!} S_\phi^{(2k)}(0) r^{2k} + \cdots \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta^k \phi(0) r^{2k}}{2^k k! m(m+2) \cdots (m+2k-2)} \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

which is the well-known Pizetti's formula.

**3. The product  $r^{-k}$  and  $\nabla\delta$ .** The following normalization procedure is needed in the proof of our theorem regarding the product of  $r^{-k}$  and  $\nabla\delta$ .

**THE DISTRIBUTION  $\mu(x)x_+^\lambda$ .** Let  $\mu(x)$  be an infinitely differentiable function on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  having properties:

- (i)  $\mu(x) \geq 0$ ,
- (ii)  $\mu(0) \neq 0$ ,
- (iii)  $\mu(x) = 0$  for  $x \geq 1$ .

Let  $\phi(x)$  be a testing function. Then the functional

$$(\mu(x)x_+^\lambda, \phi) = \int_0^1 \mu(x)x^\lambda \phi(x) dx \tag{3.1}$$

is regular for  $\text{Re } \lambda > -1$ . It can be extended to the domain  $\text{Re } \lambda > -n - 1$  ( $\lambda \neq -1, -2, \dots$ ) by analytic continuation along Gelfand and Shilov (see [5]):

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu(x)x_+^\lambda, \phi) &= \int_0^1 \mu(x)x^\lambda \phi(x) dx \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\phi^{(k-1)}(0)\mu(\theta_{k-1})}{(k-1)!(\lambda+k)} \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 \mu(x)x^\lambda \left[ \phi(x) - \phi(0) - x\phi'(0) - \cdots - \frac{x^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \phi^{(n-1)}(0) \right] dx \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

on applying the mean value theorem with  $0 < \theta_{k-1} < 1$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . This means that the generalized function  $\mu(x)x_+^\lambda$  is well defined for  $\lambda \neq -1, -2, \dots$

We thus normalize the value of the functional  $(\mu(x)x_+^\lambda, \phi)$  at  $-n$  by

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\mu(x)x_+^{-n}, \phi) &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\phi^{(k-1)}(0)\mu(\theta_{k-1})}{(k-1)!(-n+k)} \\
 &\quad + \int_0^1 \mu(x)x^{-n} \left[ \phi(x) - \phi(0) - x\phi'(0) - \dots - \frac{x^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}\phi^{(n-1)}(0) \right] dx.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.3}$$

**THEOREM 3.1.** *The noncommutative neutrix product  $r^{-k} \cdot \nabla \delta$  exists. Furthermore*

$$\begin{aligned}
 r^{-2k} \nabla \delta &= -\frac{1}{2^{k+1}(k+1)!(m+2) \cdots (m+2k)} \sum_{i=1}^m (x_i \Delta^{k+1} \delta), \\
 r^{1-2k} \cdot \nabla \delta &= 0,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.4}$$

where  $k$  is a positive integer and  $\nabla$  is the gradient operator.

**PROOF.** Since  $\nabla = \partial/\partial x_1 + \dots + \partial/\partial x_m = \sum_{i=1}^m \partial/\partial x_i$ , we have

$$\nabla \delta_n(x) = 2C_m n^{m+2} \sum_{i=1}^m \rho'(n^2 r^2) x_i = 2C_m n^{m+2} \rho'(n^2 r^2) \sum_{i=1}^m x_i.
 \tag{3.5}$$

We note that  $r^{-k}$  is a locally summable function on  $\mathbb{R}^m$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 I &= (r^{-k} \cdot \nabla \delta_n, \phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} r^{-k} \nabla \delta_n(x) \phi(x) dx \\
 &= 2C_m n^{m+2} \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} r^{-k} \rho'(n^2 r^2) x_i \phi(x) dx.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.6}$$

On changing to spherical polar coordinates and then making the substitution  $t = nr$ , we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
 I &= 2C_m \Omega_m n^{m+2} \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^{1/n} r^{m-k-1} \rho'(n^2 r^2) S_{\psi_i}(r) dr \\
 &= 2C_m \Omega_m n^{k+2} \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^1 t^{m-k-1} \rho'(t^2) S_{\psi_i} \left( \frac{t}{n} \right) dt,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.7}$$

where  $\psi_i(x) = x_i \phi(x)$ . By Taylor's formula, we obtain

$$S_{\psi_i}(r) = \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} \frac{S_{\psi_i}^{(j)}(0)}{j!} r^j + \frac{S_{\psi_i}^{(k+2)}(0)}{(k+2)!} r^{k+2} + \frac{S_{\psi_i}^{(k+3)}(\zeta r)}{(k+3)!} r^{k+3},
 \tag{3.8}$$

where  $0 < \zeta < 1$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 I &= 2C_m\Omega_m n^{m+2} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} \frac{S_{\psi_i}^{(j)}(0)}{j!} \int_0^{1/n} r^{m-k-1} \rho'(n^2 r^2) r^j dr \\
 &\quad + 2C_m\Omega_m n^{m+2} \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^{1/n} r^{m-k-1} \rho'(n^2 r^2) \frac{S_{\psi_i}^{(k+2)}(0)}{(k+2)!} r^{k+2} dr \\
 &\quad + 2C_m\Omega_m n^{m+2} \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^{1/n} r^{m-k-1} \rho'(n^2 r^2) \frac{S_{\psi_i}^{(k+3)}(\zeta r)}{(k+3)!} r^{k+3} dr \\
 &= I_1 + I_2 + I_3,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

respectively. Employing  $t = nr$  again, we get

$$I_1 = 2C_m\Omega_m \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} n^{k+2-j} \frac{S_{\psi_i}^{(j)}(0)}{j!} \int_0^1 t^{m+j-k-1} \rho'(t^2) dt \tag{3.10}$$

whence

$$N - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_1 = 0 \tag{3.11}$$

as for

$$I_2 = 2C_m\Omega_m \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{S_{\psi_i}^{(k+2)}(0)}{(k+2)!} \int_0^1 t^{m+1} \rho'(t^2) dt \tag{3.12}$$

integrating by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 2C_m\Omega_m \int_0^1 t^{m+1} \rho'(t^2) dt &= C_m\Omega_m \int_0^1 t^m d\rho(t^2) \\
 &= -C_m\Omega_m \cdot m \int_0^1 t^{m-1} \rho(t^2) dt \\
 &= -m \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \delta_n(x) dx = -m.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Hence

$$I_2 = -m \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{S_{\psi_i}^{(k+2)}(0)}{(k+2)!} = -\frac{m}{(k+2)!} \sum_{i=1}^m S_{\psi_i}^{(k+2)}(0). \tag{3.14}$$

Putting

$$M = \sup \left\{ \left| S_{\psi_i}^{(k+3)}(r) \right| : r \in \mathbb{R}^+ \text{ and } 1 \leq i \leq m \right\}, \tag{3.15}$$

we obtain that

$$|I_3| \leq 2C_m\Omega_m \frac{mM}{n(k+3)!} \int_0^1 t^{m+2} |\rho'(t^2)| dt \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.16}$$

Hence it follows from above that

$$N - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I = I_2 = -\frac{m}{(k+2)!} \sum_{i=1}^m S_{\psi_i}^{(k+2)}(0). \tag{3.17}$$

We now turn our attention to the product  $r^{-k} \cdot \nabla \delta$  for  $k \geq m$ . Note that, in this case, the functional  $r^{-k}$  is not locally summable. We assume  $k = m + q$  for  $q = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , then  $-k + m - 1 \leq -1$ . We apply the regularization in (3.3) to  $I$  of (3.7) to deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
 I &= 2C_m \Omega_m n^{k+2} \sum_{i=1}^m \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{q+k-m} \frac{S_{\psi_i}^{(j-1)}(0) \rho'(\theta_{j-1}^2)}{(j-1)!(m-k-1+j)} \quad (= I_1) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \int_0^1 \rho'(t^2) t^{m-k-1} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times \left[ S_{\psi_i} \left( \frac{t}{n} \right) - S_{\psi_i}(0) - \dots - \frac{t^q}{n^q q!} S_{\psi_i}^{(q)}(0) \right] dt \right\} \quad (= I_2) \\
 &= I_1 + I_2,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

respectively.

Clearly,

$$N - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_1 = 0. \tag{3.19}$$

Applying Taylor's theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_2 &= 2C_m \Omega_m n^{k+2} \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^1 \rho'(t^2) t^{m-k-1} \left[ \frac{t^{q+1}}{n^{q+1} (q+1)!} S_{\psi_i}^{(q+1)}(0) + \dots \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{t^{q+m+2}}{n^{q+m+2} (q+m+2)!} S_{\psi_i}^{(q+m+2)}(0) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{t^{q+m+3}}{n^{q+m+3} (q+m+3)!} S_{\psi_i}^{(q+m+3)} \left( \frac{\theta t}{n} \right) \right] dt,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

where  $0 < \theta < 1$ . Similarly, we could prove

$$\begin{aligned}
 N - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_2 &= 2C_m \Omega_m \int_0^1 \rho'(t^2) t^{m+1} dt \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{S_{\psi_i}^{(q+m+2)}(0)}{(q+m+2)!} \\
 &= -\frac{m}{(q+m+2)!} \sum_{i=1}^m S_{\psi_i}^{(q+m+2)}(0) \\
 &= -\frac{m}{(k+2)!} \sum_{i=1}^m S_{\psi_i}^{(k+2)}(0)
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

because the other terms vanish upon taking their  $N$ -limits.

Using Pizetti's formula, we get

$$S_{\psi_i}^{(k+2)}(0) = \begin{cases} \frac{(2l+2)! \Delta^{l+1} \psi_i(0)}{2^{l+1} (l+1)! m(m+2) \cdots (m+2l)} & \text{if } k = 2l \text{ for } l = 0, 1, \dots, \\ 0 & \text{if } k = 2l - 1 \text{ for } l = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases} \tag{3.22}$$

This completes the proof. □

**REMARK 3.2.** The multiplication of  $x_i$  and  $\Delta^{k+1}\delta$  in our theorem is well defined since

$$(x_i \Delta^{k+1} \delta, \phi) = (\delta, \Delta^{k+1}(x_i \phi)). \quad (3.23)$$

In particular, we have the following

$$\frac{1}{x^2} \cdot \delta'(x) = \frac{1}{6} \delta^{(3)}(x) \quad (3.24)$$

by setting  $m = 1$  and  $k = 1$  in the theorem, which identically coincides with equation (1.7) with  $m = 1$ ,  $r = 2$ , and  $p = 1$ .

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.** The author is grateful to Professor D. Grant who made several productive suggestions, which improved the quality of this paper. This research is supported by a UCCB research grant.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] L. Z. Cheng and C. K. Li, *A commutative neutrix product of distributions on  $\mathbb{R}^m$* , Math. Nachr. **151** (1991), 345–355. MR 92j:46067. Zbl 743.46026.
- [2] B. Fisher, *On defining the convolution of distributions*, Math. Nachr. **106** (1982), 261–269. MR 83m:46066. Zbl 499.46024.
- [3] B. Fisher and C. K. Li, *On defining a noncommutative product of distributions in  $m$  variables*, J. Natur. Sci. Math. **31** (1991), no. 2, 95–102. MR 93a:46073. Zbl 772.46016.
- [4] S. Gasiorowicz, *Elementary Particle Physics*, vol. 20, John Wiley & Sons, New York, London, Sydney, 1967. Zbl 166.23505.
- [5] I. M. Gel'fand and G. E. Shilov, *Generalized Functions. Vol. 1*, Academic Press [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers], New York, 1964 [1977]. MR 55#8786a. Zbl 115.33101.
- [6] J. G. van der Corput, *Introduction to the neutrix calculus*, J. Analyse Math. **7** (1959/1960), 281–399. MR 23#A1989. Zbl 097.10503.

C. K. LI: UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF CAPE BRETON, MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE,  
 SYDNEY, NOVA SCOTIA, CANADA B1P 6L2  
*E-mail address:* cli@uccb.ns.ca