

Research Article

A Class of Three-Step Derivative-Free Root Solvers with Optimal Convergence Order

F. Soleymani,¹ S. Karimi Vanani,² and M. Jamali Paghaleh¹

¹ Young Researchers Club, Islamic Azad University, Zahedan Branch, Zahedan, Iran

² Department of Mathematics, Islamic Azad University, Zahedan Branch, Zahedan, Iran

Correspondence should be addressed to F. Soleymani, fazlollah.soleymani@gmail.com

Received 8 October 2011; Accepted 1 November 2011

Academic Editor: Yeong-Cheng Liou

Copyright © 2012 F. Soleymani et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

A class of three-step eighth-order root solvers is constructed in this study. Our aim is fulfilled by using an interpolatory rational function in the third step of a three-step cycle. Each method of the class reaches the optimal efficiency index according to the Kung-Traub conjecture concerning multipoint iterative methods without memory. Moreover, the class is free from derivative calculation per full iteration, which is important in engineering problems. One method of the class is established analytically. To test the derived methods from the class, we apply them to a lot of nonlinear scalar equations. Numerical examples suggest that the novel class of derivative-free methods is better than the existing methods of the same type in the literature.

1. Introduction

One of the common problems encountered in engineering problems is that given a single variable function $f(x)$, find the values of x for which $f(x) = 0$. The solutions (values of x) are known as the roots of the equation $f(x) = 0$, or the zeros of the function $f(x)$. The root of such nonlinear equations may be real or complex. In general, an equation may have any number of (real) roots or no roots at all. There are two general types of methods available to find the roots of algebraic and transcendental equations. First, direct methods, which are not always applicable to find the roots, and second, iterative methods based on the concept of successive approximations. In this case, the general procedure is to start with one or more initial approximation(s) to the root and attain a sequence of iterates, which in the limit converges to the true solution [1].

Here, we focus on the simple roots of nonlinear scalar equations by iterative methods. The prominent one-point (or one-step) Newton's method of order two, which is a basic tool

in numerical analysis and numerous applications, has widely been applied and discussed in the literature; see, for example, [2–7]. Newton’s iteration and any of its variants, include derivative calculation per full cycle to proceed, which is not useful in engineering problems. Since the calculation of more derivatives often takes up an eye-catching time.

To remedy this, first Steffensen coined the following quadratical scheme: $x_{n+1} = x_n - f(x_n)^2 / (f(x_n + f(x_n)) - f(x_n))$. Inspired by this method, so many techniques with better orders of convergence have been provided through two- or three-step cycles; see [8] and the bibliographies therein. In between, the concept of optimality, which was mooted by Kung-Traub [9], also plays a crucial role; a multipoint method for solving nonlinear scalar equations without memory has the optimal order $2^{(n-1)/n}$, where n is the total number of evaluations per full cycle. In what follows, we review some of the significant derivative-free iterations.

Peng et al. in [10] investigated an optimal two-step derivative-free technique as comes next

$$\begin{aligned} w_n &= x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{g(x_n)}, \\ x_{n+1} &= x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{g(x_n)} \left[1 + \frac{f(w_n)}{f(x_n)} + \left(1 + \frac{1}{1 - t_n g(x_n)} \right) \left(\frac{f(w_n)}{f(x_n)} \right)^2 \right], \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

where $g(x_n) = (f(x_n) - f(x_n - t_n f(x_n))) / (t_n f(x_n))$ and t_n is adaptively determined.

Ren et al. in [11] furnished an optimal quartical scheme using divided differences as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} y_n &= x_n - \frac{f(x_n)^2}{f(x_n + f(x_n)) - f(x_n)}, \\ x_{n+1} &= y_n - \frac{f(y_n)}{f[x_n, y_n] + f[y_n, w_n] - f[x_n, w_n] + a(y_n - x_n)(y_n - w_n)}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

where $w_n = x_n + f(x_n)$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

Taking into account the divided differences, [12] contributed the following two-step optimal method:

$$\begin{aligned} y_n &= x_n - \frac{f(x_n)^2}{f(x_n + f(x_n)) - f(x_n)}, \\ x_{n+1} &= y_n - \frac{f[x_n, y_n] - f[y_n, w_n] + f[x_n, w_n]}{f[x_n, y_n]^2} f(y_n), \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

where $w_n = x_n + f(x_n)$. Note that the notation of divided differences will be used throughout this paper. Therefore, we have $f[x_i, x_j] = (f(x_i) - f(x_j)) / (x_i - x_j)$, $\forall i, j \in N$, $i \neq j$.

In 2011, Khattri and Argyros [13] formulated a sixth-order method as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} y_n &= x_n - \frac{f(x_n)^2}{f(x_n) - f(x_n - f(x_n))}, \\ z_n &= y_n - \frac{f(x_n)f(y_n)}{f(x_n) - f(x_n - f(x_n))} \left[1 + \frac{f(y_n)}{f(x_n)} + \frac{f(y_n)}{f(x_n - f(x_n))} \right], \\ x_{n+1} &= z_n - \frac{f(x_n)f(z_n)}{f(x_n) - f(x_n - f(x_n))} \left[1 + \frac{f(y_n)}{f(x_n)} + \frac{f(y_n)}{f(x_n - f(x_n))} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

Very recently, Thukral in [14] gave the following optimal eighth-order derivative-free iterations without memory:

$$\begin{aligned} y_n &= x_n - \frac{f(x_n)^2}{f(w_n) - f(x_n)}, \quad w_n = x_n + f(x_n), \\ z_n &= y_n - \frac{f[x_n, w_n]f(y_n)}{f[x_n, y_n]f[w_n, y_n]}, \\ x_{n+1} &= z_n - \frac{f(z_n)}{f[y_n, z_n] - f[x_n, y_n] + f[x_n, z_n]} \left[1 - \frac{f(z_n)}{f(w_n)} \right]^{-1} \left[1 + \frac{2f(y_n)^3}{f(w_n)^2 f(x_n)} \right]^{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned} y_n &= x_n - \frac{f(x_n)^2}{f(w_n) - f(x_n)}, \quad w_n = x_n + \beta f(x_n), \quad \beta \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}, \\ z_n &= y_n - \frac{f[x_n, y_n] - f[y_n, w_n] + f[x_n, w_n]}{f[x_n, y_n]^2} f(y_n), \\ x_{n+1} &= z_n - \frac{f(z_n)}{f[y_n, z_n] - f[x_n, y_n] + f[x_n, z_n]} \left[1 - \frac{f(z_n)}{f(w_n)} \right]^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \left[1 - \left(\frac{f(y_n)}{f(z_n)} \right)^3 \right] \left[1 + \frac{f(y_n)^3}{f(z_n)^2 f(x_n)} \right]^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

Unfortunately, by error analysis, we have found that (1.6) (relation (2.32) in [14]) does not possess the convergence order eight. Thus, it is not optimal in the sense of Kung-Traub's conjecture. Hence, (1.6) is excluded from our list of optimal eighth-order derivative-free methods. Note that (2.27) of [14] has also an eye-catching typo in its structure, which does not produce optimal order.

Derivative-free methods [15–17] have so many applications in contrast to the derivative-involved methods. Anyhow, there are some other factors, except being free from derivative or high order of convergence in choosing a method for root finding; for example, we refer the readers to [18], to see the importance of initial guesses in this subject matter. For further reading, one may refer to [19–26].

This research contributes a general class of three-step methods without memory using four points (we mean the evaluations of the function need to be computed four times per step) and four evaluations per full cycle for solving single-variable nonlinear equations. The contributed class has some important features in what follows. First, it reaches the optimal efficiency index 1.682. Second, it is free from derivative calculation per full cycle, which is so much fruitful for engineering and optimization problems. Third, using any optimal quartically convergent derivative-free two-step method in its first two steps will yield a new optimal eighth-order derivative-free iteration without memory in the sense of Kung-Traub's conjecture [9]. Finally, we will find that the new eighth-order derivative-free methods are fast and convergent.

2. Main Contribution

Consider the nonlinear scalar function $f : D \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that is sufficiently smooth in the neighborhood D , of a simple zero α . To construct derivative-free methods of optimal order eight, we consider a three-step cycle in which the first two steps are any of the optimal two-step derivative-free schemes without memory as follows:

$$\text{Optimal two-step derivative-free method} \begin{cases} x_n \text{ and } w_n \text{ are available,} \\ y_n \text{ is available,} \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

$$x_{n+1} = z_n - \frac{f(z_n)}{f'(z_n)}.$$

Please note that $w_n = x_n + \beta f(x_n)$ or $w_n = x_n - \beta f(x_n)$, where $\beta \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$ is specified by the user. The value of w_n completely relies on the two-point method allocated in the first two steps of (2.1). The purpose of this paper is to establish new derivative-free methods with optimal order; hence, we reduce the number of evaluations from five to four by using a suitable approximation of the new-appeared derivative. Now to attain a class of optimal eighth-order techniques free from derivative, we should approximate $f'(z_n)$ using the known values, that is, $f(x_n)$, $f(w_n)$, $f(y_n)$, and $f(z_n)$. We do this procedure by applying the nonlinear rational fraction inspired by Padé approximant as follows:

$$p(t) = \frac{a_0 + a_1(t - x_n) + a_2(t - x_n)^2}{1 + a_3(t - x_n)}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $a_1 - a_0 a_3 \neq 0$.

The general setup in approximation theory is that a function f is given and next a user wants to estimate it with a simpler function g but in such a way that the difference between f and g is small. The advantage is that the simpler function g can be handled without too many difficulties. Polynomials are not always a good class of functions if one desires to estimate scalar functions with singularities, because polynomials are entire functions without singularities. They are only useful up to the first singularity of f near the singularity point. Rational functions (the concept of the Padé approximant) are the simplest functions with singularities. The idea is that the poles of the rational functions will move to the singularities of the function f , and hence the domain of convergence can be enlarged. The $[m, n]$ Padé approximant of f is the rational function Q_m/P_n , where Q_m is a polynomial of degree $\leq m$

and P_n is a polynomial of degree $\leq n$, in which the interpolation condition is satisfied. As a matter of fact, (2.2) is an interpolatory rational function, which is inspired by the Padé approximant.

Hence, by substituting the known values in (2.2), that is $f(x_n) = p(x_n)$, $f(w_n) = p(w_n)$, $f(y_n) = p(y_n)$, and $f(z_n) = p(z_n)$, we have the following system of three linear equations with three unknowns ($a_0 = f(x_n)$ is obvious):

$$\begin{pmatrix} (w_n - x_n) & (w_n - x_n)^2 & -f(w_n)(w_n - x_n) \\ (y_n - x_n) & (y_n - x_n)^2 & -f(y_n)(y_n - x_n) \\ (z_n - x_n) & (z_n - x_n)^2 & -f(z_n)(z_n - x_n) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f(w_n) - f(x_n) \\ f(y_n) - f(x_n) \\ f(z_n) - f(x_n) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.3)$$

which has the follow-up solution after simplifying

$$\begin{aligned} a_3 &= \frac{w_n(f[z_n, x_n] - f[y_n, x_n]) - f[z_n, x_n]y_n + f[w_n, x_n](y_n - z_n) + f[y_n, x_n]z_n}{(z_n - y_n)f(w_n) + (w_n - z_n)f(y_n) + (y_n - w_n)f(z_n)}, \\ a_2 &= \frac{f[w_n, x_n] - f[y_n, x_n] + a_3(f(w_n) - f(y_n))}{(w_n - y_n)} = f[w_n, x_n, y_n] + a_3f[w_n, y_n], \\ a_1 &= f[w_n, x_n] + f(w_n)a_3 - (w_n - x_n)a_2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

At present, by using (2.1) and (2.4), we have the following efficient and accurate class

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Optimal two-step derivative-free method} & \begin{cases} x_n \text{ and } w_n \text{ are available,} \\ y_n \text{ is available,} \end{cases} \\ x_{n+1} &= z_n - \frac{(1 + a_3(z_n - x_n))^2 f(z_n)}{a_1 - a_0 a_3 + 2a_2(z_n - x_n) + a_2 a_3(z_n - x_n)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

By considering any optimal two-step derivative-free method in the first two steps of (2.5), we attain a new optimal derivative-free eighth-order technique. Applying (1.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} y_n &= x_n - \frac{f(x_n)^2}{f(w_n) - f(x_n)}, & w_n &= x_n + f(x_n), \\ z_n &= y_n - \frac{f[x_n, y_n] - f[y_n, w_n] + f[x_n, w_n]}{f[x_n, y_n]^2} f(y_n), \\ x_{n+1} &= z_n - \frac{(1 + a_3(z_n - x_n))^2 f(z_n)}{a_1 - a_0 a_3 + 2a_2(z_n - x_n) + a_2 a_3(z_n - x_n)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

To obtain the solution of any nonlinear scalar equation by the new derivative-free methods, we must set a particular initial approximation x_0 , ideally close to the simple root. In numerical analysis, it is very useful and essential to know the behavior of an approximate method. Therefore, we are about to prove the convergence order of (2.6).

Theorem 2.1. Let α be a simple root of the sufficiently differentiable function f in an open interval D . If x_0 is sufficiently close to α , then (2.6) is of eighth order and satisfies the error equation below

$$\begin{aligned} e_{n+1} &= \frac{(1+c_1)^2 c_2 ((2+c_1)c_2^2 - c_1(1+c_1)c_3) ((2+c_1)c_2^4 - c_1(1+c_1)c_2^2 c_3 - c_1^2(1+c_1)c_3^2 + c_1^2(1+c_1)c_2 c_4)}{c_1^7} \\ &\quad \times e_n^8 + O(e_n^9), \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

where $e_n = x_n - \alpha$, and $c_k = f^{(k)}(\alpha)/k!$, $\forall k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Proof. We provide the Taylor series expansion of any term involved in (2.6). By Taylor expanding around the simple root in the n th iterate, we have $f(x_n) = c_1 e_n + c_2 e_n^2 + c_3 e_n^3 + c_4 e_n^4 + c_5 e_n^5 + c_6 e_n^6 + c_7 e_n^7 + c_8 e_n^8 + O(e_n^9)$. Furthermore, it is easy to find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f(x_n)^2}{f(w_n) - f(x_n)} &= e_n^1 - \frac{(1+c_1)c_2}{c_1} e_n^2 + \frac{((2+c_1(2+c_1))c_2^2 - c_1(1+c_1)(2+c_1)c_3)}{c_1^2} e_n^3 + \dots + O(e_n^9). \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

By considering this relation and the first step of (2.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} y_n = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f[x_n, w_n]} &= \alpha + \left(1 + \frac{1}{c_1}\right) c_2 e_n^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{(-(2 + (-2 + c_1)c_1)c_2^2 + c_1(1+c_1)(2+c_1)c_3)}{c_1^2} e_n^3 + \dots + O(e_n^9). \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

At this time, we should expand $f(y_n)$ around the root by taking into consideration (2.9). Accordingly, we have

$$f(y_n) = (1+c_1)c_2 e_n^2 + \left(-\frac{(2+c_1(2+c_1))c_2^2}{c_1} + (1+c_1)(2+c_1)c_3\right) e_n^3 + \dots + O(e_n^9). \quad (2.10)$$

Using (2.9) and (2.10) in the second step of (2.6) results in

$$\begin{aligned}
z_n - \alpha &= \frac{(1 + c_1)c_2((2 + c_1)c_2^2 - c_1(1 + c_1)c_3)}{c_1^3} e_n^4 \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{c_1^4} \left((10 + c_1(16 + c_1(9 + 2c_1)))c_2^4 - c_1(1 + c_1)(14 + c_1(13 + 4c_1))c_2^2c_3 + c_1^2(1 + c_1)^2(2 + c_1)c_3^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + c_1^2(1 + c_1)^2(2 + c_1)c_2c_4 \right) e_n^5 \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{c_1^5} \left((31 + c_1(53 + c_1(39 + c_1(16 + 3c_1))))c_2^5 - c_1(4 + 3c_1)(18 + c_1(22 + 3c_1(4 + c_1)))c_2^3c_3 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + c_1^2(1 + c_1)(21 + 4c_1(7 + c_1(4 + c_1)))c_2^2c_4 - c_1^3(1 + c_1)^2(7 + c_1(7 + 2c_1))c_3c_4 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + c_1^2(1 + c_1)c_2 \left((2 + c_1)(15 + c_1(13 + 5c_1))c_3^2 - c_1(1 + c_1)(3 + c_1(3 + c_1))c_5 \right) \right) \\
&\quad \times e_n^6 + \dots + O(e_n^9).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$f(z_n) = \frac{(1 + c_1)c_2((2 + c_1)c_2^2 - c_1(1 + c_1)c_3)}{c_1^2} e_n^4 + \dots + O(e_n^9). \tag{2.12}$$

We also have the following Taylor series expansion for the approximation of $f'(z_n)$ in the third step using (2.11):

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{a_1 - a_0a_3 + 2a_2(z_n - x_n) + a_2a_3(z_n - x_n)^2}{(1 + a_3(z_n - x_n))^2} \\
&= c_1 + \frac{1}{c_1^3} (1 + c_1) \left(2(2 + c_1)c_2^4 - 2c_1(1 + c_1)c_2^2c_3 - c_1^2(1 + c_1)c_3^2 + c_1^2(1 + c_1)c_2c_4 \right) e_n^4 \\
&\quad + \dots + O(e_n^9).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

Now by applying (2.12) and (2.13), we attain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{(1 + a_3(z_n - x_n))^2 f(z_n)}{a_1 - a_0a_3 + 2a_2(z_n - x_n) + a_2a_3(z_n - x_n)^2} \\
&= \frac{(1 + c_1)c_2((2 + c_1)c_2^2 - c_1(1 + c_1)c_3)}{c_1^3} e_n^4 \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{c_1^4} \left((10 + c_1(16 + c_1(9 + 2c_1)))c_2^4 \right. \\
&\quad \left. - c_1(1 + c_1)(14 + c_1(13 + 4c_1))c_2^2c_3 + c_1^2(1 + c_1)^2(2 + c_1)c_3^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + c_1^2(1 + c_1)^2(2 + c_1)c_2c_4 \right) e_n^5 + \dots + O(e_n^9).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

At this time, by applying (2.14) in (2.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 e_{n+1} &= \frac{(1+c_1)^2 c_2 ((2+c_1)c_2^2 - c_1(1+c_1)c_3) ((2+c_1)c_2^4 - c_1(1+c_1)c_2^2 c_3 - c_1^2(1+c_1)c_3^2 + c_1^2(1+c_1)c_2 c_4)}{c_1^7} \\
 &\quad \times e_n^8 + O(e_n^9).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

This ends the proof and shows that (2.6) is an optimal eighth-order derivative-free scheme without memory. \square

As a consequence using (1.2), we attain the following biparametric eighth-order family

$$\begin{aligned}
 y_n &= x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f[x_n, w_n]}, & w_n &= x_n + \beta f(x_n), \\
 z_n &= y_n - \frac{f(y_n)}{f[x_n, y_n] + f[y_n, w_n] - f[x_n, w_n] + a(y_n - x_n)(y_n - w_n)}, \\
 x_{n+1} &= z_n - \frac{(1 + a_3(z_n - x_n))^2 f(z_n)}{a_1 - a_0 a_3 + 2a_2(z_n - x_n) + a_2 a_3(z_n - x_n)^2},
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

which satisfies the following error equation:

$$e_{n+1} = \frac{(1 + \beta c_1)^4 c_2 (c_2^2 + c_1(-c_3 + a)) (c_2^4 - c_1^2 c_3^2 + c_1^2 c_2 c_4 + c_1 c_2^2 (-c_3 + a))}{c_1^7} e_n^8 + O(e_n^9), \tag{2.17}$$

with $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$. In what follows, we give other methods that can simply be constructed using the approach given above and just by varying the first two steps and using optimal derivative-free quartical iterations. Therefore, as other examples, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 y_n &= x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f[x_n, w_n]}, & w_n &= x_n - \beta f(x_n), \\
 z_n &= y_n - \frac{f(y_n)}{f[x_n, y_n] + f[y_n, w_n] - f[x_n, w_n] + a(y_n - x_n)(y_n - w_n)}, \\
 x_{n+1} &= z_n - \frac{(1 + a_3(z_n - x_n))^2 f(z_n)}{a_1 - a_0 a_3 + 2a_2(z_n - x_n) + a_2 a_3(z_n - x_n)^2},
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

which satisfies the following error equation:

$$e_{n+1} = \frac{(-1 + \beta c_1)^4 c_2 (c_2^2 + c_1(-c_3 + a)) (c_2^4 - c_1^2 c_3^2 + c_1^2 c_2 c_4 + c_1 c_2^2 (-c_3 + a))}{c_1^7} e_n^8 + O(e_n^9). \tag{2.19}$$

By considering $G(0) = 1$, $G'(0) = (2 + \beta f[x_n, w_n]) / (1 + \beta f[x_n, w_n])$, $|G''(0)| < \infty$ in [17], we can have

$$\begin{aligned} y_n &= x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f[x_n, w_n]}, & w_n &= x_n + \beta f(x_n), \\ z_n &= y_n - \frac{f(y_n)}{f[x_n, w_n]} G(t_n), & t_n &= \frac{f(y_n)}{f(x_n)}, \\ x_{n+1} &= z_n - \frac{(1 + a_3(z_n - x_n))^2 f(z_n)}{a_1 - a_0 a_3 + 2a_2(z_n - x_n) + a_2 a_3(z_n - x_n)^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

with the follow-up error relation

$$\begin{aligned} e_{n+1} &= \frac{1}{4c_1^7} c_2 (1 + c_1 \beta)^2 \left(2c_1 c_3 (1 + c_1 \beta) + c_2^2 \left(-2(5 + c_1 \beta(5 + c_1 \beta)) + (1 + c_1 \beta)^2 G''(0) \right) \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(2c_1 c_2^2 c_3 (1 + c_1 \beta) + 2c_1^2 c_3^2 (1 + c_1 \beta) - 2c_1^2 c_2 c_4 (1 + c_1 \beta) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + c_2^4 \left(-2(5 + c_1 \beta(5 + c_1 \beta)) + (1 + c_1 \beta)^2 G''(0) \right) \right) e_n^8 + O(e_n^9). \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

We can also present by considering $G(0) = 1$, $G'(0) = (2 - \beta f[x_n, w_n]) / (1 - \beta f[x_n, w_n])$, $|G''(0)| < \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} y_n &= x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f[x_n, w_n]}, & w_n &= x_n - \beta f(x_n), \\ z_n &= y_n - \frac{f(y_n)}{f[x_n, w_n]} G(t_n), & t_n &= \frac{f(y_n)}{f(x_n)}, \\ x_{n+1} &= z_n - \frac{(1 + a_3(z_n - x_n))^2 f(z_n)}{a_1 - a_0 a_3 + 2a_2(z_n - x_n) + a_2 a_3(z_n - x_n)^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

where $\beta \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} e_{n+1} &= \frac{1}{4c_1^7} c_2 (-1 + c_1 \beta)^2 \left(-2 \left(c_1^2 c_2 c_4 (1 - c_1 \beta) + c_1 c_2^2 c_3 (-1 + c_1 \beta) + c_1^2 c_3^2 (-1 + c_1 \beta) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + c_2^4 (5 + c_1 \beta(-5 + c_1 \beta)) \right) \right) + c_2^4 (-1 + c_1 \beta)^2 G''(0) \\ &\quad \times \left(-2c_1 c_3 (-1 + c_1 \beta) + c_2^2 \left(-2(5 + c_1 \beta(-5 + c_1 \beta)) + (-1 + c_1 \beta)^2 G''(0) \right) \right) e_n^8 + O(e_n^9), \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

is its error equation.

In Table 1, a comparison of efficiency indices for different derivative-free methods of various orders is given. Equations (2.6), (2.16) or any optimal eighth-order scheme resulting from our class reaches the efficiency index $8^{1/4} \approx 1.682$, which is optimal according to

Table 1: Comparison of efficiency indices for different derivative-free methods.

Methods	Steffensen	(1.1)	(1.2)	(1.3)	(1.4)	(2.6)	(2.16)
Efficiency indices	1.414	1.587	1.587	1.587	1.565	1.682	1.682

the Kung-Traub hypothesis for multipoint iterative methods without memory for solving nonlinear scalar equations.

Remark 2.2. The introduced approximation for $f'(z_n)$ in the third step of (2.5) always doubles the convergence rate of the two-step method without memory given in its first two steps. That is, using any optimal fourth-order derivative-free method in its first two steps yields a novel three-step optimal eighth-order method without memory free from derivative. This makes our class interesting and accurate. Note that using any cubical derivative-free method without memory using three evaluations in the first two steps of (2.5) ends in a sixth-order derivative-free technique. This also shows that the introduced approximation for $f'(z_n)$ doubles the convergence rate.

The free nonzero parameter β plays a crucial rule for obtaining new numerical and theoretical results. In fact, if the user approximate β per cycle with another iteration using only the available data at the first step, the convergence behavior of the refined method(s) will be improved. That is to say, a more efficient refined version of the attained optimal eighth-order derivative-free methods can be constructed by accepting an iteration for β inside the real iterative scheme, as well as more computational burden. This way of obtaining new methods is called “with memory” iterations. Such developments can be considered as future improvements in this field. We here mention that according to the experimental results, choosing very small value for β , such as 10^{-10} , will mostly decrease the error equation, and thus the numerical output results will be more accurate and reliable without much more computational load.

In what follows, the findings are generalized by illustrating the effectiveness of the eighth-order methods for determining the simple root of a nonlinear equation.

3. Numerical Testing

The results given in Section 2 are supported through the numerical works. We here also mention a well-known derivative-involved method for comparisons to show the reliability of our derivative-free methods.

Wang and Liu [27] suggested an optimal derivative-involved eighth-order method as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 y_n &= x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}, \\
 z_n &= x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)} \frac{f(x_n) - f(y_n)}{f(x_n) - 2f(y_n)}, \\
 x_{n+1} &= z_n - \frac{f(z_n)}{f'(x_n)} \left\{ \frac{5f(x_n)^2 - 2f(x_n)f(y_n) + f(y_n)^2}{5f(x_n)^2 - 12f(x_n)f(y_n)} + \left[1 + 4 \frac{f(y_n)}{f(x_n)} \right] \frac{f(z_n)}{f(y_n)} \right\}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Iteration (3.1) consists of derivative evaluation per full cycle, which is not good in engineering problems. Three methods of our class, (2.6), (2.16) with $\beta = 1$, $a = 0$, (2.16) with

Table 2: Test functions and their roots.

Nonlinear functions	Roots
$f_1(x) = \sin(x) - x/100$	0
$f_2(x) = 1/3x^4 - x^2 - 1/3x + 1$	1
$f_3(x) = e^{\sin(x)} - 1 - x/5$	0
$f_4(x) = x + \sin(x^2/\pi)$	0
$f_5(x) = \sqrt{x^4 + 8} \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{(x^2 + 2)}\right) + \frac{x^3}{x^4 + 1} - \sqrt{6} + \frac{8}{17}$	-2
$f_6(x) = \arcsin(x^2 - 1) - \frac{x}{2} + 1$	0.594810968398369
$f_7(x) = \cos(x) - x$	0.739085133215160
$f_8(x) = e^x + \cos x$	-1.7461395304080124

$\beta = 0.01$, $a = 0$, are compared with the quartically schemes of Liu et al. (1.3) and Ren et al. (1.2) with $a = 0$, the sixth-order scheme of Khattri and Argyros (1.4), the optimal eighth-order methods of Thukral (1.5). The comparison was also made by the derivative-involved method (3.1).

All the computations reported here were done using MATLAB 7.6 using VPA command, where for convergence we have selected the distance of two consecutive approximations to be less than $\varepsilon = 1.E-6000$. That is, $|x_{n+1} - x_n| \leq 10^{-6000}$ or $|f(x_n)| \leq 10^{-6000}$. Scientific computations in many branches of science and technology demand very high precision degree of numerical precision. The test nonlinear scalar functions are listed in Table 2.

The results of comparisons for the test functions are provided in Table 3. It can be seen that the resulting methods from our class are accurate and efficient in terms of number of accurate decimal places to find the roots after some iterations. In terms of computational cost, our class is much better than the compared methods. The class includes four evaluations of the function per full iteration to reach the efficiency index 1.682.

An important problem that appears in practical application of multipoint methods is that a quick convergence, one of the merits of multipoint methods, can be attained only if initial approximations are sufficiently close to the sought roots; otherwise, it is not possible to realize the expected convergence speed in practice. For this reason, in applying multipoint root-finding methods, a special attention should be paid to find good initial approximations. Yun in [28] outlined a noniterative way for this purpose as comes next

$$x_0 \approx \frac{1}{2} \left\{ a + b + \text{sign}(f(a)) \times \int_a^b \tanh(\delta f(x)) dx \right\}, \quad (3.2)$$

where x_0 is a simple root (an approximation of it) of $f(x) = 0$ on the interval $[a, b]$ with $f(a)f(b) < 0$, and δ is a positive number in the set of natural numbers.

If the cost of derivatives is greater than that of function evaluation, then (3.1) or any optimal eighth-order derivative-involved method is not effective. To compare (2.5) with optimal eighth-order derivative-involved methods, we can use the (original) computational efficiency definition due to Traub [29]:

$$CE_T = p^{1/\sum_{j=1}^n \Lambda_j}, \quad (3.3)$$

Table 3: Results of comparisons for test functions.

Methods	$ f(x_1) $	$ f(x_2) $	$ f(x_3) $	$ f(x_4) $	$ f(x_5) $
f_1 with $x_0 = 0.7$					
(1.2)	0.1	$0.9e - 5$	$0.2e - 25$	$0.2e - 128$	$0.1e - 643$
(1.3)	0.1	$0.3e - 4$	$0.3e - 22$	$0.8e - 113$	$0.1e - 565$
(1.4)	$0.2e - 5$	$0.1e - 47$	$0.9e - 344$	$0.2e - 2416$	0
(1.5)	0.1	$0.9e - 11$	$0.2e - 122$	$0.5e - 1351$	0
(3.1)	$0.8e - 4$	$0.6e - 39$	$0.2e - 355$	$0.2e - 3203$	0
(2.6)	$0.1e - 1$	$0.1e - 18$	$0.4e - 171$	$0.5e - 1543$	0
(2.16)	$0.8e - 2$	$0.7e - 20$	$0.2e - 182$	$0.2e - 1645$	0
(2.16), $\beta = 0.01$	$0.1e - 3$	$0.1e - 37$	$0.8e - 342$	$0.2e - 3081$	0
f_2 with $x_0 = 1.2$					
(1.2)	$0.8e - 3$	$0.6e - 18$	$0.1e - 108$	$0.2e - 652$	0
(1.3)	$0.3e - 2$	$0.6e - 12$	$0.8e - 61$	$0.5e - 305$	$0.4e - 1526$
(1.4)	Div.	Div.	Div.	Div.	Div.
(1.5)	$0.4e - 4$	$0.6e - 47$	$0.4e - 518$	$0.1e - 5700$	0
(3.1)	$0.1e - 2$	$0.6e - 20$	$0.1e - 159$	$0.5e - 1278$	0
(2.6)	$0.1e - 4$	$0.7e - 49$	$0.6e - 491$	$0.6e - 4912$	0
(2.16)	$0.4e - 6$	$0.1e - 75$	$0.2e - 909$	0	0
(2.16), $\beta = 0.01$	$0.4e - 3$	$0.4e - 26$	$0.1e - 210$	$0.1e - 1685$	0
f_3 with $x_0 = -0.55$					
(1.2)	0.1	$0.9e - 3$	$0.1e - 11$	$0.2e - 47$	$0.8e - 190$
(1.3)	0.5	$0.9e - 2$	$0.1e - 7$	$0.3e - 30$	$0.4e - 121$
(1.4)	0.2	$0.1e - 5$	$0.2e - 35$	$0.1e - 214$	$0.5e - 1290$
(1.5)	0.6	$0.7e - 6$	$0.1e - 47$	$0.1e - 381$	$0.2e - 3053$
(3.1)	$0.9e - 2$	$0.1e - 16$	$0.2e - 135$	$0.2e - 1085$	0
(2.6)	$0.1e - 1$	$0.3e - 11$	$0.4e - 91$	$0.1e - 729$	$0.2e - 5839$
(2.16)	$0.6e - 2$	$0.2e - 17$	$0.4e - 141$	$0.8e - 1131$	0
(2.16), $\beta = 0.01$	$0.1e - 2$	$0.1e - 24$	$0.1e - 199$	$0.3e - 1600$	0
f_4 with $x_0 = 0.1$					
(1.2)	$0.1e - 4$	$0.1e - 20$	$0.1e - 83$	$0.1e - 335$	$0.1e - 1343$
(1.3)	$0.1e - 4$	$0.1e - 19$	$0.5e - 80$	$0.1e - 321$	$0.1e - 1287$
(1.4)	$0.7e - 10$	$0.9e - 74$	$0.7e - 521$	$0.1e - 3650$	0
(1.5)	$0.1e - 9$	$0.1e - 80$	$0.1e - 648$	$0.3e - 5193$	0
(3.1)	$0.6e - 11$	$0.2e - 85$	$0.8e - 462$	$0.6e - 2344$	0
(2.6)	$0.7e - 10$	$0.1e - 82$	$0.1e - 665$	$0.3e - 5328$	0
(2.16)	$0.3e - 10$	$0.8e - 86$	$0.1e - 690$	$0.8e - 5529$	0
(2.16), $\beta = 0.01$	$0.1e - 11$	$0.1e - 97$	$0.2e - 785$	0	0
f_5 with $x_0 = -3$					
(1.2)	$0.2e - 2$	$0.2e - 9$	$0.1e - 37$	$0.3e - 150$	$0.8e - 601$
(1.3)	$0.2e - 2$	$0.4e - 9$	$0.1e - 36$	$0.1e - 146$	$0.7e - 586$
(1.4)	$0.6e - 2$	$0.5e - 10$	$0.2e - 58$	$0.4e - 349$	$0.2e - 2093$
(1.5)	$0.3e - 4$	$0.7e - 34$	$0.1e - 271$	$0.5e - 2172$	0
(3.1)	$0.3e - 4$	$0.3e - 32$	$0.1e - 256$	$0.7e - 2051$	0
(2.6)	$0.1e - 3$	$0.2e - 30$	$0.1e - 243$	$0.6e - 1950$	0
(2.16)	$0.1e - 3$	$0.2e - 30$	$0.1e - 243$	$0.2e - 1950$	0
(2.16), $\beta = 0.01$	$0.8e - 3$	$0.1e - 22$	$0.1e - 180$	$0.6e - 1445$	0

Table 3: Continued.

Methods	$ f(x_1) $	$ f(x_2) $	$ f(x_3) $	$ f(x_4) $	$ f(x_5) $
f_6 with $x_0 = 0.9$					
(1.2)	$0.7e - 2$	$0.5e - 9$	$0.1e - 37$	$0.8e - 152$	$0.8e - 609$
(1.3)	$0.2e - 2$	$0.5e - 10$	$0.1e - 41$	$0.2e - 168$	$0.3e - 675$
(1.4)	$0.4e - 6$	$0.6e - 42$	$0.3e - 357$	$0.1e - 1548$	0
(1.5)	$0.2e - 5$	$0.3e - 48$	$0.2e - 390$	$0.5e - 3127$	0
(3.1)	$0.3e - 6$	$0.5e - 54$	$0.7e - 437$	$0.7e - 3500$	0
(2.6)	$0.4e - 5$	$0.3e - 44$	$0.8e - 358$	$0.2e - 2866$	0
(2.16)	$0.1e - 4$	$0.2e - 40$	$0.1e - 326$	$0.4e - 2616$	0
(2.16), $\beta = 0.01$	$0.2e - 6$	$0.2e - 55$	$0.4e - 448$	$0.3e - 3589$	0
f_7 with $x_0 = 1.5$					
(1.2)	$0.1e - 1$	$0.3e - 10$	$0.2e - 44$	$0.1e - 180$	$0.3e - 725$
(1.3)	$0.5e - 2$	$0.8e - 12$	$0.5e - 51$	$0.5e - 208$	$0.1e - 835$
(1.4)	$0.2e - 2$	$0.3e - 17$	$0.7e - 106$	$0.1e - 637$	$0.5e - 3553$
(1.5)	$0.5e - 4$	$0.1e - 39$	$0.2e - 325$	$0.1e - 2609$	0
(3.1)	$0.7e - 5$	$0.4e - 47$	$0.3e - 385$	$0.7e - 3090$	0
(2.6)	$0.1e - 4$	$0.4e - 43$	$0.2e - 351$	$0.3e - 2817$	0
(2.16)	$0.8e - 5$	$0.9e - 47$	$0.2e - 382$	$0.2e - 3067$	0
(2.16), $\beta = 0.01$	$0.7e - 5$	$0.1e - 46$	$0.6e - 380$	$0.5e - 3047$	0
f_8 with $x_0 = -2.3$					
(1.2)	$0.6e - 1$	$0.9e - 6$	$0.4e - 25$	$0.2e - 102$	$0.2e - 411$
(1.3)	$0.9e - 1$	$0.4e - 5$	$0.1e - 22$	$0.1e - 91$	$0.7e - 369$
(1.4)	$0.4e - 6$	$0.5e - 44$	$0.2e - 271$	$0.1e - 1635$	0
(1.5)	$0.7e - 1$	$0.9e - 12$	$0.4e - 99$	$0.1e - 797$	0
(3.1)	$0.2e - 4$	$0.4e - 40$	$0.6e - 326$	$0.7e - 2613$	0
(2.6)	$0.7e - 5$	$0.1e - 43$	$0.2e - 354$	$0.3e - 2839$	0
(2.16)	$0.2e - 3$	$0.1e - 31$	$0.9e - 258$	$0.1e - 2066$	0
(2.16), $\beta = 0.01$	$0.6e - 5$	$0.4e - 45$	$0.5e - 367$	$0.5e - 2942$	0

where Λ_j is the cost of evaluating $f^{(j)}$, $j \geq 0$. If we take $\Lambda_0 = 1$, we have $CE_T(2.5) \approx 8^{1/4}$ and $CE_T(3.1) \approx 8^{1/(3+\Lambda_1)}$, so that $CE(3.1) < CE(2.5)$ if $\Lambda_1 > 1$.

4. Concluding Notes

The design of iterative formulas for solving nonlinear scalar equations is an interesting task in mathematics. On the other hand, the analytic methods for solving such equations are almost nonexistent, and therefore, it is only possible to obtain approximate solutions by relying on numerical methods based upon iterative procedures. In this work, we have contributed a simple yet powerful class of iterative methods for the solution of nonlinear scalar equations. The class was obtained by using the concept of Padé approximation (an interpolatory rational function) in the third step of a three-step cycle, in which the first two steps are available by any of the existing optimal fourth-order derivative-free methods without memory. We have seen that the introduced approximation of the first derivative of the function in the third step doubles the convergence rate. The analytical proof for one method of our novel class was written. Per full cycle, our class consists of four evaluations of the function and reaches the optimal order 8. Hence, the efficiency index of the class is 1.682, that is, the optimal

efficiency index. The effectiveness of the developed methods from the class was illustrated by solving some test functions and comparing to the well-known derivative-free and derivative-involved methods. The results of comparisons were fully given in Table 3. Finally, it could be concluded that the novel class is accurate and efficient in contrast to the existing methods in the literature.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to record their cordial thanks to the reviewer for his/her constructive remarks, which have considerably contributed to the readability of this paper.

References

- [1] A. Iliev and N. Kyurkchiev, *Nontrivial Methods in Numerical Analysis: Selected Topics in Numerical Analysis*, LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing, 2010.
- [2] M. A. Hernández and N. Romero, "On the efficiency index of one-point iterative processes," *Numerical Algorithms*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 35–44, 2007.
- [3] F. Soleymani and M. Sharifi, "On a general efficient class of four-step root-finding methods," *International Journal of Mathematics and Computers in Simulation*, vol. 5, pp. 181–189, 2011.
- [4] F. Soleymani, "New optimal iterative methods in solving nonlinear equations," *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 72, no. 2, pp. 195–202, 2011.
- [5] F. Soleymani, S. Karimi Vanani, and A. Afghani, "A general three-step class of optimal iterations for nonlinear equations," *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, vol. 2011, Article ID 469512, 10 pages, 2011.
- [6] P. Sargolzaei and F. Soleymani, "Accurate fourteenth-order methods for solving nonlinear equations," *Numerical Algorithms*, vol. 58, no. 4, pp. 513–527, 2011.
- [7] F. Soleymani, "A novel and precise sixth-order method for solving nonlinear equations," *International Journal of Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences*, vol. 5, pp. 730–737, 2011.
- [8] F. Soleymani, "On a bi-parametric class of optimal eighth-order derivative-free methods," *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 72, pp. 27–37, 2011.
- [9] H. T. Kung and J. F. Traub, "Optimal order of one-point and multipoint iteration," *Journal of the Association for Computing Machinery*, vol. 21, pp. 643–651, 1974.
- [10] Y. Peng, H. Feng, Q. Li, and X. Zhang, "A fourth-order derivative-free algorithm for nonlinear equations," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 235, no. 8, pp. 2551–2559, 2011.
- [11] H. Ren, Q. Wu, and W. Bi, "A class of two-step Steffensen type methods with fourth-order convergence," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 209, no. 2, pp. 206–210, 2009.
- [12] Z. Liu, Q. Zheng, and P. Zhao, "A variant of Steffensen's method of fourth-order convergence and its applications," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 216, no. 7, pp. 1978–1983, 2010.
- [13] S. K. Khattri and I. K. Argyros, "Sixth order derivative free family of iterative methods," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 217, no. 12, pp. 5500–5507, 2011.
- [14] R. Thukral, "Eighth-order iterative methods without derivatives for solving nonlinear equations," *ISRN Applied Mathematics*, vol. 2011, Article ID 693787, 12 pages, 2011.
- [15] F. Soleymani and V. Hosseinabadi, "New third- and sixth-order derivative-free techniques for nonlinear equations," *Journal of Mathematics Research*, vol. 3, pp. 107–112, 2011.
- [16] F. Soleymani and S. Karimi Vanani, "Optimal Steffensen-type methods with eighth order of convergence," *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 62, no. 12, pp. 4619–4626, 2011.
- [17] F. Soleymani, "Two classes of iterative schemes for approximating simple roots," *Journal of Applied Sciences*, vol. 11, no. 19, pp. 3442–3446, 2011.
- [18] F. Soleymani, "Regarding the accuracy of optimal eighth-order methods," *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 53, no. 5-6, pp. 1351–1357, 2011.
- [19] F. Soleymani, S. K. Khattri, and S. Karimi Vanani, "Two new classes of optimal Jarratt-type fourth-order methods," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 25, no. 5, pp. 847–853, 2012.
- [20] F. Soleymani, "Revisit of Jarratt method for solving nonlinear equations," *Numerical Algorithms*, vol. 57, no. 3, pp. 377–388, 2011.

- [21] D. K. R. Babajee, *Analysis of higher order variants of Newton's method and their applications to differential and integral equations and in ocean acidification*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Mauritius, 2010.
- [22] F. Soleymani, "On a novel optimal quartically class of methods," *Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences (FJMS)*, vol. 58, no. 2, pp. 199–206, 2011.
- [23] F. Soleymani, S. Karimi Vanani, M. Khan, and M. Sharifi, "Some modifications of King's family with optimal eighth order of convergence," *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 55, no. 3-4, pp. 1373–1380, 2012.
- [24] F. Soleymani and B. S. Mousavi, "A novel computational technique for finding simple roots of nonlinear equations," *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, vol. 5, pp. 1813–1819, 2011.
- [25] F. Soleymani and M. Sharifi, "On a class of fifteenth-order iterative formulas for simple roots," *International Electronic Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 3, pp. 245–252, 2011.
- [26] L. D. Petković, M. S. Petković, and J. Džunić, "A class of three-point root-solvers of optimal order of convergence," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 216, no. 2, pp. 671–676, 2010.
- [27] X. Wang and L. Liu, "New eighth-order iterative methods for solving nonlinear equations," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 234, no. 5, pp. 1611–1620, 2010.
- [28] B. I. Yun, "A non-iterative method for solving non-linear equations," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 198, no. 2, pp. 691–699, 2008.
- [29] J. F. Traub, *Iterative Methods for the Solution of Equations*, Chelsea Publishing Company, New York, NY, USA, 1982.



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

