

Research Article

Extinction and Decay Estimates of Solutions for a Class of Porous Medium Equations

Wenjun Liu, Mingxin Wang, and Bin Wu

Received 3 April 2007; Accepted 6 September 2007

Recommended by Michel Chipot

The extinction phenomenon of solutions for the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary value problem of the porous medium equation $u_t = \Delta u^m + \lambda|u|^{p-1}u - \beta u, 0 < m < 1$, is studied. Sufficient conditions about the extinction and decay estimates of solutions are obtained by using L^p -integral model estimate methods and two crucial lemmas on differential inequality.

Copyright © 2007 Wenjun Liu et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction and main results

This paper is devoted to the extinction and decay estimates for the porous medium equation

$$u_t = \Delta u^m + \lambda|u|^{p-1}u - \beta u, \quad x \in \Omega, t > 0, \quad (1.1)$$

$$u(x, t) = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, t > 0, \quad (1.2)$$

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \geq 0, \quad x \in \overline{\Omega}, \quad (1.3)$$

with $0 < m < 1$ and $p, \lambda, \beta > 0$, where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N (N > 2)$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary.

The phenomenon of extinction is an important property of solutions for many evolutionary equations which have been studied extensively by many researchers. Especially, there are also some papers concerning the extinction for the porous medium equation. For instance, in [1–3], the authors studied the extinction and large-time behavior of solution of (1.1) for the case $\beta = 0$ and $\lambda < 0$; and in [4], the authors obtained conditions for the extinction of solutions of (1.1) without absorption by using sub- and supersolution

methods and an eigenfunction argument. But as far as we know, few works are concerned with the decay estimates of solutions for the porous medium equation.

The existence and uniqueness of nonnegative solution for problem (1.1)–(1.3) have been studied in [5, 6]. The purpose of the present paper is to establish sufficient conditions about the extinction and decay estimations of solutions for problem (1.1)–(1.3). For the proof of our result, we employ L^p -integral model estimate methods and two crucial lemmas on differential inequality.

Our main results read as follows.

THEOREM 1.1. *Assume that $0 \leq u_0(x) \in L^\infty(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$, $0 < m = p < 1$, and λ_1 is the first eigenvalue of*

$$-\Delta\psi(x) = \lambda\psi(x), \quad \psi|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \tag{1.4}$$

and $\varphi_1(x) \geq 0$ with $\|\varphi_1\|_\infty = 1$ is the eigenfunction corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_1 .

(1) *If $\lambda < 4m\lambda_1/(m+1)^2$, then the weak solution of problem (1.1)–(1.3) vanishes in the sense of $\|\cdot\|_2$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.*

(2) *If $(N-2)/(N+2) \leq m < 1$ with $\lambda < \lambda_1$ or $0 < m < (N-2)/(N+2)$ with $\lambda < \lambda^*$, then the weak solution of problem (1.1)–(1.3) vanishes in finite time, and*

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{m+1} &\leq \left[\left(\|u_0\|_{m+1}^{1-m} + \frac{C_1}{\beta} \right) e^{(m-1)\beta t} - \frac{C_1}{\beta} \right]^{1/(1-m)}, \quad \frac{N-2}{N+2} \leq m < 1, \\ \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{r+1} &\leq \left[\left(\|u_0\|_{r+1}^{1-m} + \frac{C_2}{\beta} \right) e^{(m-1)\beta t} - \frac{C_2}{\beta} \right]^{1/(1-m)}, \quad 0 < m < \frac{N-2}{N+2}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

for $t \in [0, T^*)$, where

$$0 < T^* \leq \begin{cases} T_1, & \frac{N-2}{N+2} \leq m < 1, \\ T_2, & 0 < m < \frac{N-2}{N+2}, \end{cases} \tag{1.6}$$

$$r = \frac{N(1-m)}{2} - 1, \tag{1.7}$$

$$\lambda^* = \frac{(r+m)^2\lambda}{4rm} < \lambda_1,$$

and C_1, C_2, T_1 , and T_2 are given by (2.18), (2.24), (2.20), and (2.26), respectively.

THEOREM 1.2. *Let $0 < m < 1$, $m < p$. Then the weak solution of problem (1.1)–(1.3) vanishes in finite time, and*

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{m+1} &\leq B_1 e^{-\alpha_1 t}, \quad t \in [0, T_{01}), \\ \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{m+1} &\leq \left[\left(\|u(\cdot, T_{01})\|_{m+1}^{1-m} + \frac{C_3}{\beta} \right) e^{(m-1)\beta(t-T_{01})} - \frac{C_3}{\beta} \right]^{1/(1-m)}, \quad t \in [T_{01}, T_3), \\ \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{m+1} &\equiv 0, \quad t \in [T_3, +\infty), \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

for $(N-2)/(N+2) \leq m < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{r+1} &\leq B_2 e^{-\alpha_2 t}, \quad t \in [0, T_{02}), \\ \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{r+1} &\leq \left[\left(\|u(\cdot, T_{02})\|_{r+1}^{1-m} + \frac{C_4}{\beta} \right) e^{(m-1)\beta(t-T_{02})} - \frac{C_4}{\beta} \right]^{1/(1-m)}, \quad t \in [T_{02}, T_4), \\ \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{r+1} &\equiv 0, \quad t \in [T_4, +\infty), \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

for $0 < m < (N-2)/(N+2)$, where C_3 , C_4 , T_3 , and T_4 are given by (2.29), (2.34), (2.31), and (2.36), respectively.

To obtain the above results, we will use the following lemmas which are of crucial importance in the proofs of decay estimates.

LEMMA 1.3 [7]. *Let $y(t) \geq 0$ be a solution of the differential inequality*

$$\frac{dy}{dt} + Cy^k + \beta y \leq 0 \quad (t \geq 0), \quad y(T_0) \geq 0, \quad (1.10)$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant and $k \in (0, 1)$. Then one has the decay estimate

$$\begin{aligned} y(t) &\leq \left[\left(y(T_0)^{1-k} + \frac{C}{\beta} \right) e^{(k-1)\beta(t-T_0)} - \frac{C}{\beta} \right]^{1/(1-k)}, \quad t \in [T_0, T_*), \\ y(t) &\equiv 0, \quad t \in [T_*, +\infty), \end{aligned} \quad (1.11)$$

where $T_* = (1/(1-k)\beta) \ln(1 + (\beta/C)y(T_0)^{1-k})$.

LEMMA 1.4 [8]. *Let $0 < k < p$, and let $y(t) \geq 0$ be a solution of the differential inequality*

$$\frac{dy}{dt} + Cy^k + \beta y \leq \gamma y^p \quad (t \geq 0), \quad y(0) \geq 0, \quad (1.12)$$

where $C, \gamma > 0$ and $k \in (0, 1)$. Then there exist $\alpha > \beta$, $B > 0$, such that

$$0 \leq y(t) \leq Be^{-\alpha t}, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (1.13)$$

2. Proofs of theorems

In this section, we will give detailed proofs for our result. Let $\|\cdot\|_p$ and $\|\cdot\|_{1,p}$ denote $L^p(\Omega)$ and $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ norms, respectively, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

2.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1. (1) First of all, we show that

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_\infty \leq \|u_0(\cdot)\|_\infty := M. \tag{2.1}$$

Multiplying (1.1) by $(u - M)_+$ and integrating over Ω , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_\Omega (u - M)_+^2 dx + \int_{A_M(t)} \nabla u^m \cdot \nabla u dx \\ & \leq \lambda \int_\Omega u^m (u - M)_+ dx - \beta \int_\Omega u (u - M)_+ dx \leq \lambda \int_{A_M(t)} u^{m+1} dx, \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where $A_M(t) = \{x \in \Omega \mid u(x, t) > M\}$. Since λ_1 is the first eigenvalue, then we have

$$\int_\Omega \nabla u^m \cdot \nabla u dx \geq \frac{4m}{(m+1)^2} \lambda_1 \int_\Omega u^{m+1} dx, \tag{2.3}$$

for any $u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$. We further have

$$\int_{A_M(t)} \nabla u^m \cdot \nabla u dx \geq \frac{4m}{(m+1)^2} \lambda_1 \int_{A_M(t)} u^{m+1} dx. \tag{2.4}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_\Omega (u - M)_+^2 dx \leq 0. \tag{2.5}$$

Since $\int_\Omega (u_0 - M)_+^2 dx = 0$, it follows that

$$\int_\Omega (u - M)_+^2 dx \equiv 0, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \tag{2.6}$$

which implies that $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_\infty \leq \|u_0(\cdot)\|_\infty$.

Multiplying (1.1) by u and integrating over Ω , we conclude that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_\Omega u^2 dx + \int_\Omega \nabla u^m \cdot \nabla u dx \leq \lambda \int_\Omega u^{m+1} dx - \beta \int_\Omega u^2 dx. \tag{2.7}$$

We further have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_\Omega u^2 dx + (m\lambda_1 - \lambda) \int_\Omega u^{m+1} dx + \beta \int_\Omega u^2 dx \leq 0. \tag{2.8}$$

Let $v = u/M$. Then, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_\Omega v^2 dx + 2M^{m-1} (m\lambda_1 - \lambda) \int_\Omega v^{m+1} dx + 2\beta M^{m-1} \int_\Omega v^2 dx \leq 0. \tag{2.9}$$

Since $0 < m < 1$, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} v^2 dx + 2M^{m-1} (m\lambda_1 - \lambda + \beta) \int_{\Omega} v^2 dx \leq 0, \quad (2.10)$$

which implies that

$$\int_{\Omega} v^2 dx \leq e^{-2M^{m-1} (m\lambda_1 - \lambda + \beta)t} \int_{\Omega} v_0^2 dx, \quad (2.11)$$

that is,

$$\int_{\Omega} u^2 dx \leq e^{-2\|u_0\|_{\infty}^{m-1} (m\lambda_1 - \lambda + \beta)t} \int_{\Omega} u_0^2 dx. \quad (2.12)$$

Therefore, we conclude that $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

(2) We consider first the case $(N-2)/(N+2) \leq m < 1$.

Multiplying (1.1) by u^m and integrating over Ω , we have [9]

$$\frac{1}{m+1} \frac{d}{dt} \|u\|_{m+1}^{m+1} + \|u^m\|_{1,2}^2 = \lambda \|u\|_{2m}^{2m} - \beta \|u\|_{m+1}^{m+1}. \quad (2.13)$$

Noticing that $\lambda_1 = \inf_{v \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega), v \neq 0} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 dx / \int_{\Omega} v^2 dx$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{m+1} \frac{d}{dt} \|u\|_{m+1}^{m+1} + \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1}\right) \|u^m\|_{1,2}^2 + \beta \|u\|_{m+1}^{m+1} \leq 0. \quad (2.14)$$

By the Hölder inequality, we have

$$\|u\|_{m+1}^{m+1} = \int_{\Omega} 1 \cdot u^m \cdot u dx \leq |\Omega|^{m/(m+1) - (N-2)/2N} \|u^m\|_{2N/(N-2)} \|u\|_{m+1}. \quad (2.15)$$

The embedding theorem gives that

$$\|u\|_{m+1}^m \leq |\Omega|^{m/(m+1) - (N-2)/2N} \|u^m\|_{2N/(N-2)} \leq C_0 |\Omega|^{m/(m+1) - (N-2)/2N} \|u^m\|_{1,2}, \quad (2.16)$$

where C_0 is the embedding constant. By (2.14)–(2.16), we obtain the differential inequality

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u\|_{m+1} + C_1 \|u\|_{m+1}^m + \beta \|u\|_{m+1} \leq 0, \quad (2.17)$$

where

$$C_1 = C_0^{-2} |\Omega|^{(N-2)/N - 2m/(m+1)} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1}\right). \quad (2.18)$$

Setting $y(t) = \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{m+1}$, $y(0) = \|u_0(\cdot)\|_{m+1}$, by Lemma 1.3, we obtain

$$\|u\|_{m+1} \leq \left[\left(\|u_0\|_{m+1}^{1-m} + \frac{C_1}{\beta} \right) e^{(m-1)\beta t} - \frac{C_1}{\beta} \right]^{1/(1-m)}, \quad t \in [0, T_1), \quad (2.19)$$

$$\|u\|_{m+1} \equiv 0, \quad t \in [T_1, +\infty),$$

where

$$T_1 = \frac{1}{(1-m)\beta} \ln \left(1 + \frac{\beta}{C_1} \|u_0\|_{m+1}^{1-m} \right). \tag{2.20}$$

We now turn to the case $0 < m < (N-2)/(N+2)$ with $\lambda < \lambda^* = (r+m)^2\lambda/4rm < \lambda_1$. Multiplying (1.1) by u^r ($r = N(1-m)/2 - 1$) and integrating over Ω , we have

$$\frac{1}{r+1} \frac{d}{dt} \|u\|_{r+1}^{r+1} + \left(\frac{4rm}{(r+m)^2} - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1} \right) \|u^{(r+m)/2}\|_{1,2}^2 + \beta \|u\|_{r+1}^{r+1} \leq 0. \tag{2.21}$$

By the embedding theorem and the specific choice of r , we obtain

$$\|u\|_{r+1}^{(r+m)/2} = \left(\int_{\Omega} u^{((r+m)/2) \cdot (2N/(N-2))} dx \right)^{(N-2)/2N} \leq C_0 \|u^{(r+m)/2}\|_{1,2}. \tag{2.22}$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u\|_{r+1} + C_2 \|u\|_{r+1}^m + \beta \|u\|_{r+1} \leq 0, \tag{2.23}$$

where

$$C_2 = C_0^{-2} \left(\frac{4rm}{(r+m)^2} - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_1} \right) > 0. \tag{2.24}$$

Setting $y(t) = \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{r+1}$, $y(0) = \|u_0(\cdot)\|_{r+1}$, by Lemma 1.3, we obtain

$$\|u\|_{r+1} \leq \left[\left(\|u_0\|_{r+1}^{1-m} + \frac{C_2}{\beta} \right) e^{(m-1)\beta t} - \frac{C_2}{\beta} \right]^{1/(1-m)}, \quad t \in [0, T_2), \tag{2.25}$$

$$\|u\|_{r+1} \equiv 0, \quad t \in [T_2, +\infty),$$

where

$$T_2 = \frac{1}{(1-m)\beta} \ln \left(1 + \frac{\beta}{C_2} \|u_0\|_{m+1}^{1-m} \right). \tag{2.26}$$

2.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2. We consider first the case $p \leq 1$. When $(N-2)/(N+2) \leq m < 1$, multiplying (1.1) by u^m , and by the embedding theorem and the Hölder inequality, we can easily obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u\|_{m+1} + C_0^{-2} |\Omega|^{(N-2)/N - 2m/(m+1)} \|u\|_{m+1}^m + \beta \|u\|_{m+1} \leq \lambda |\Omega|^{1-(m+p)/(m+1)} \|u\|_{m+1}^p. \tag{2.27}$$

By Lemma 1.4, there exist $\alpha_1 > \beta$, $B_1 > 0$, such that

$$0 \leq \|u\|_{m+1} \leq B_1 e^{-\alpha_1 t}, \quad t \geq 0. \tag{2.28}$$

Furthermore, there exist T_{01} , such that

$$\begin{aligned} & C_0^{-2} |\Omega|^{(N-2)/N-2m/(m+1)} - \lambda |\Omega|^{1-(m+p)/(m+1)} \|u\|_{m+1}^{p-m} \\ & \geq C_0^{-2} |\Omega|^{(N-2)/N-2m/(m+1)} - \lambda |\Omega|^{1-(m+p)/(m+1)} (B_1 e^{-\alpha_1 T_{01}})^{p-m} := C_3 > 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

holds for $t \in [T_{01}, +\infty)$. Therefore, (2.27) turns to

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u\|_{m+1} + C_3 \|u\|_{m+1}^m + \beta \|u\|_{m+1} \leq 0. \quad (2.30)$$

By Lemma 1.3, we can obtain the desire decay estimate for

$$T_3 = \frac{1}{(1-m)\beta} \ln \left(1 + \frac{\beta}{C_3} \|u(\cdot, T_{01})\|_{m+1}^{1-m} \right). \quad (2.31)$$

For the case $0 < m < (N-2)/(N+2)$, we multiply (1.1) by u^r and obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u\|_{r+1} + C_0^{-2} \frac{4rm}{(r+m)^2} \|u\|_{r+1}^m + \beta \|u\|_{r+1} \leq \lambda |\Omega|^{1-(r+p)/(r+1)} \|u\|_{r+1}^p. \quad (2.32)$$

By Lemma 1.4, there exist $\alpha_2 > \beta$, $B_2 > 0$, such that

$$0 \leq \|u\|_{r+1} \leq B_2 e^{-\alpha_2 t}, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (2.33)$$

Furthermore, there exist T_{02} , such that

$$\begin{aligned} & C_0^{-2} \frac{4rm}{(r+m)^2} - \lambda |\Omega|^{1-(r+p)/(r+1)} \|u\|_{r+1}^{p-m} \\ & \geq C_0^{-2} \frac{4rm}{(r+m)^2} - \lambda |\Omega|^{1-(r+p)/(r+1)} (B_2 e^{-\alpha_2 T_{02}})^{p-m} := C_4 > 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.34)$$

holds for $t \in [T_{02}, +\infty)$. Therefore, (2.32) turns to

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u\|_{r+1} + C_4 \|u\|_{r+1}^m + \beta \|u\|_{r+1} \leq 0. \quad (2.35)$$

By Lemma 1.3, we can obtain the desire decay estimate for

$$T_4 = \frac{1}{(1-m)\beta} \ln \left(1 + \frac{\beta}{C_4} \|u(\cdot, T_{02})\|_{r+1}^{1-m} \right). \quad (2.36)$$

For the case $p > 1$, we can rewrite (2.27) and (2.32) as (e.g., (2.27))

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u\|_{m+1} + C_0^{-2} |\Omega|^{(N-2)/N-2m/(m+1)} \|u\|_{m+1}^m + \beta \|u\|_{m+1} \leq \lambda k^{p-1} \|u\|_{m+1}^{m+1} \quad (2.37)$$

since $k\varphi_1^{1/m}(x)$ is a supersolution of problem (1.1)–(1.3), where $\varphi_1(x)$ is given in Theorem 1.1. The above argument can also be applied, and hence we omit it.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National NSF of China (10471022), the NSF of Jiangsu Province (BK2006088), the NSF of Jiangsu Province Education Department (07KJD510133), and the Science Research Foundation of NUIST.

References

- [1] R. Ferreira and J. L. Vazquez, "Extinction behaviour for fast diffusion equations with absorption," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 43, no. 8, pp. 943–985, 2001.
- [2] G. Leoni, "A very singular solution for the porous media equation $u_t = \Delta(u^m) - u^p$ when $0 < m < 1$," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 132, no. 2, pp. 353–376, 1996.
- [3] L. A. Peletier and J. N. Zhao, "Source-type solutions of the porous media equation with absorption: the fast diffusion case," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 107–121, 1990.
- [4] Y. Li and J. Wu, "Extinction for fast diffusion equations with nonlinear sources," *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 2005, no. 23, pp. 1–7, 2005.
- [5] J. R. Anderson, "Local existence and uniqueness of solutions of degenerate parabolic equations," *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 105–143, 1991.
- [6] J. R. Anderson, "Necessary and sufficient conditions for the unique solvability of a nonlinear reaction-diffusion model," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 228, no. 2, pp. 483–494, 1998.
- [7] S. L. Chen, "The extinction behavior of the solutions for a class of reaction-diffusion equations," *Applied Mathematics and Mechanics*, vol. 22, no. 11, pp. 1352–1356, 2001.
- [8] S. L. Chen, "The extinction behavior of solutions for a reaction-diffusion equation," *Journal of Mathematical Research and Exposition*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 583–586, 1998 (Chinese).
- [9] W. Liu, "Periodic solutions of evolution m -laplacian equations with a nonlinear convection term," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 2007, Article ID 27368, 10 pages, 2007.

Wenjun Liu: Department of Mathematics, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, China;
College of Mathematics and Physics, Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology,
Nanjing 210044, China
Email address: lwjboy@126.com

Mingxin Wang: Department of Mathematics, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, China
Email address: mxwang@seu.edu.cn

Bin Wu: College of Mathematics and Physics, Nanjing University of Information Science and
Technology, Nanjing 210044, China
Email address: wubing790831@126.com