

*Research Article*

## Note on the $q$ -Extension of Barnes' Type Multiple Euler Polynomials

Leechae Jang,<sup>1</sup> Taekyun Kim,<sup>2</sup> Young-Hee Kim,<sup>2</sup> and  
Kyung-Won Hwang<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Konkuk University, Chungju 130-701, South Korea

<sup>2</sup> Division of General Education-Mathematics, Kwangwoon University, Seoul 139-701, South Korea

<sup>3</sup> Department of General Education, Kookmin University, Seoul 136-702, South Korea

Correspondence should be addressed to Young-Hee Kim, yhkim@kw.ac.kr and  
Kyung-Won Hwang, khwang7@kookmin.ac.kr

Received 30 August 2009; Accepted 28 September 2009

Recommended by Vijay Gupta

We construct the  $q$ -Euler numbers and polynomials of higher order, which are related to Barnes' type multiple Euler polynomials. We also derive many properties and formulae for our  $q$ -Euler polynomials of higher order by using the multiple integral equations on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

Copyright © 2009 Leechae Jang et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $p$  be a fixed odd prime number. Throughout this paper, symbols  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , and  $\mathbb{C}_p$  will denote the ring of rational integers, the ring of  $p$ -adic integers, the field of  $p$ -adic rational numbers, and the completion of algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , respectively. Let  $\mathbb{N}$  be the set of natural numbers and  $\mathbb{Z}_+ = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Let  $v_p$  be the normalized exponential valuation of  $\mathbb{C}_p$  with  $|p|_p = p^{-v_p(p)} = 1/p$ . When one talks of  $q$ -extension,  $q$  is variously considered as an indeterminate, a complex number  $q \in \mathbb{C}$ , or a  $p$ -adic number  $q \in \mathbb{C}_p$ . If  $q \in \mathbb{C}$ , one normally assumes  $|q| < 1$ . If  $q \in \mathbb{C}_p$ , then one normally assumes  $|1 - q|_p < 1$ . We use the following notations:

$$[x]_q = \frac{1 - q^x}{1 - q}, \quad [x]_{-q} = \frac{1 - (-q)^x}{1 + q}, \quad (1.1)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  (see [1–6]).

Let  $d$  a fixed positive odd integer with  $(p, d) = 1$ . For  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , we set

$$\begin{aligned} X &= X_d = \frac{\lim_{\overrightarrow{N}} \mathbb{Z}}{dp^N \mathbb{Z}}, & X_1 &= \mathbb{Z}_p, \\ X^* &= \bigcup_{\substack{0 < a < dp \\ (a, p) = 1}} (a + dp \mathbb{Z}_p), \\ a + dp^N \mathbb{Z}_p &= \left\{ x \in X \mid x \equiv a \pmod{dp^N} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

where  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  lies in  $0 \leq a < dp^N$ . The fermionic  $p$ -adic  $q$ -measures on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  are defined as

$$\mu_{-q}(a + dp^N \mathbb{Z}_p) = \frac{(-q)^a}{[dp^N]_{-q}}, \quad (1.3)$$

(see [5]).

We say that  $f$  is a uniformly differentiable function at a point  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  and write  $f \in UD(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ , if the difference quotients  $F_f(x, y) = (f(x) - f(y))/(x - y)$  have a limit  $f'(a)$  as  $(x, y) \rightarrow (a, a)$ . For  $f \in UD(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ , let us begin with expression

$$\frac{1}{[p^N]_{-q}} \sum_{0 \leq j < p^N} (-q)^j f(j) = \sum_{0 \leq j < p^N} f(j) \mu_{-q}(j + p^N \mathbb{Z}_p), \quad (1.4)$$

which represents a  $q$ -analogue of Riemann sums for  $f$  in the fermionic sense (see [4, 5]). The integral of  $f$  on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is defined by the limit of these sums (as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) if this limit exists. The fermionic invariant  $p$ -adic  $q$ -integral of function  $f \in UD(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  is defined as

$$I_{-q}(f) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f(x) d\mu_{-q}(x) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{[2]_q}{1 + q^{p^N}} \sum_{x=0}^{p^N-1} f(x) (-q)^x. \quad (1.5)$$

Note that if  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $UD(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ , then

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f_n(x) d\mu_{-q}(x) \longrightarrow \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f(x) d\mu_{-q}(x), \quad \int_{\overline{X}} f(x) d\mu_{-q}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f(x) d\mu_{-q}(x). \quad (1.6)$$

The Barnes' type Euler polynomials are considered as follows:

$$2^k \prod_{j=1}^k \left( \frac{1}{e^{w_j t} + 1} \right) e^{xt} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n^{(k)}(x \mid w_1, \dots, w_k) \frac{t^n}{n!}, \quad (1.7)$$

where  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k \in \mathbb{Z}$  (cf. [7]).

From (1.5), we can derive the fermionic invariant integral on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  as follows:

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} I_{-q}(f) = I_{-1}(f) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f(x) d\mu_{-1}(x). \tag{1.8}$$

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $f_n(x) = f(x + n)$ , one has

$$I_{-1}(f_n) = (-1)^n I_{-1}(f) + 2 \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-1-l} f(l). \tag{1.9}$$

By (1.9), we see that

$$e^{xt} \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p}}_{k\text{-times}} e^{(w_1x_1 + \cdots + w_kx_k)t} d\mu_{-1}(x_1) \cdots d\mu_{-1}(x_k) = 2^k \prod_{j=1}^k \left( \frac{1}{e^{w_jt} + 1} \right). \tag{1.10}$$

From (1.10), we note that

$$\underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p}}_{k\text{-times}} (x + w_1x_1 + \cdots + w_kx_k)^n d\mu_{-1}(x_1) \cdots d\mu_{-1}(x_k) = E_n^{(k)}(x \mid w_1, \dots, w_k). \tag{1.11}$$

In the view point of (1.11), we try to study the  $q$ -extension of Barnes' type Euler polynomials by using the  $q$ -extension of fermionic  $p$ -adic invariant integral on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

The purpose of this paper is to construct the  $q$ -Euler numbers and polynomials of higher order, which are related to Barnes' type multiple Euler numbers and polynomials. Also, we give many properties and formulae for our  $q$ -Euler polynomials of higher order. Finally, we give the generating function for these  $q$ -Euler polynomials of higher order.

## 2. Barnes' Type Multiple $q$ -Euler Polynomials

Let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . For  $w \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $q \in \mathbb{C}_p$  with  $|1 - q|_p < 1$ , we define the Barnes' type multiple  $q$ -Euler polynomials as follows:

$$E_{n,q}^{(k)}(w \mid a_1, \dots, a_k; b_1, \dots, b_k) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^k} q^{\sum_{j=1}^k (b_j-1)x_j} \left[ w + \sum_{j=1}^k a_j x_j \right]_q^n d\mu_{-q}(x), \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^k} f(x_1, \dots, x_k) d\mu_{-q}(x) = \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p}}_{k\text{-times}} f(x_1, \dots, x_k) d\mu_{-q}(x_1) \cdots d\mu_{-q}(x_k) \quad (2.2)$$

(see [1, 5]).

In the special case  $w = 0$ ,  $E_n^{(k)}(a_1, \dots, a_k; b_1, \dots, b_k)$  are called the Barnes' type multiple  $q$ -Euler numbers. From (2.1), one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^k} q^{\sum_{j=1}^k (b_j-1)x_j} \left[ w + \sum_{j=1}^k a_j x_j \right]_q^n d\mu_{-q}(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-q)^n} \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} (-q^w)^r \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{1+q}{1+q^{p^N}} \right)^{p^{N-1}} \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_k=0}^{p^N-1} q^{\sum_{j=1}^k (a_j r + b_j) x_j} (-1)^{x_1 + \dots + x_k} \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-q)^n} \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} (-q^w)^r [2]_q^k \prod_{j=1}^k \left( \frac{1}{1+q^{a_j r + b_j}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Therefore, we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $w \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . For  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , one has

$$E_{n,q}^{(k)}(w \mid a_1, \dots, a_k; b_1, \dots, b_k) = \frac{[2]_q^k}{(1-q)^n} \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} (-q^w)^r \prod_{j=1}^k \left( \frac{1}{1+q^{a_j r + b_j}} \right). \quad (2.4)$$

By (1.7), we easily see that

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} E_{n,q}^{(k)}(w \mid a_1, \dots, a_k; b_1, \dots, b_k) = E_n^{(k)}(w \mid a_1, \dots, a_k). \quad (2.5)$$

From (1.7), we can derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^k} q^{\sum_{j=1}^k (b_j-1)x_j} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^k a_j x_j \right]_q^n d\mu_{-q}(x) \\ &= (q-1) \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^k} q^{\sum_{j=1}^k (b_j-a_j-1)x_j} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^k a_j x_j \right]_q^{n+1} d\mu_{-q}(x) + \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^k} q^{\sum_{j=1}^k (b_j-a_j-1)x_j} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^k a_j x_j \right]_q^n d\mu_{-q}(x). \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

By (2.6), one has

$$E_{n,q}^{(k)}(a_1, \dots, a_k; b_1, \dots, b_k) = (q-1)E_{n+1,q}^{(k)}(a_1, \dots, a_k; b_1 - a_1, \dots, b_k - a_k) + E_{n,q}^{(k)}(a_1, \dots, a_k; b_1 - a_1, \dots, b_k - a_k). \tag{2.7}$$

Hence we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.2.** For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , one has

$$E_{n,q}^{(k)}(a_1, \dots, a_k; b_1, \dots, b_k) = (q-1)E_{n+1,q}^{(k)}(a_1, \dots, a_k; b_1 - a_1, \dots, b_k - a_k) + E_{n,q}^{(k)}(a_1, \dots, a_k; b_1 - a_1, \dots, b_k - a_k). \tag{2.8}$$

It is not difficult to show that the following integral equation is satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=0}^i \binom{i}{j} (q-1)^j \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^k} [a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_k x_k]_q^{n-i+j} q^{\sum_{l=1}^k (b_l-1)x_l} d\mu_{-q}(x) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^i \binom{i-m}{j} (q-1)^j \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^k} [a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_k x_k]_q^{n-i+j} q^{\sum_{l=1}^k (b_l+ma_l-1)x_l} d\mu_{-q}(x), \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

where  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $i \geq m$ . By (2.9), we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . For  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $i \geq m$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=0}^i \binom{i}{j} (q-1)^j E_{n-i+j,q}^{(k)}(a_1, \dots, a_k; b_1, \dots, b_k) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^i \binom{i-m}{j} (q-1)^j E_{n-i+j,q}^{(k)}(a_1, \dots, a_k; b_1 + ma_1, \dots, b_k + ma_k). \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

For the special case  $k = 1$  in Theorem 2.3, one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} (q-1)^j E_{j,q}^{(1)}(a_1; b_1) = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n-m}{j} (q-1)^j E_{j,q}^{(1)}(a_1; b_1 + ma_1) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} q^{(na_1+b_1-1)x} d\mu_{-q}(x) = \frac{[2]_q}{1 + q^{na_1+b_1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

By (2.1), (2.3), and (2.9), we obtain the following *a* corollary.

**Corollary 2.4.** For  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $w \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} E_{n,q}^{(k)}(w \mid a_1, \dots, a_k; b_1, \dots, b_k) &= \frac{[2]_q^k}{(1-q)^n} \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} (-q^w)^r \prod_{j=1}^k \left( \frac{1}{1+q^{a_j r + b_j}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} [w]_q^{n-i} q^{wi} E_{i,q}^{(k)}(w \mid a_1, \dots, a_k; b_1, \dots, b_k). \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

From (2.3), we note that

$$\begin{aligned} & q^w \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^k} \left[ w + \sum_{j=1}^k a_j x_j \right]_q^m q^{\sum_{j=1}^k (b_j-1)x_j} d\mu_{-q}(x) \\ &= (q-1) \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^k} \left[ w + \sum_{j=1}^k a_j x_j \right]_q^{m+1} q^{\sum_{j=1}^k (b_j-a_j-1)x_j} d\mu_{-q}(x) \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^k} \left[ w + \sum_{j=1}^k a_j x_j \right]_q^m q^{\sum_{j=1}^k (b_j-a_j-1)x_j} d\mu_{-q}(x), \\ & \int_{X^k} \left[ w + \sum_{j=1}^k a_j x_j \right]_q^m q^{\sum_{j=1}^k (b_j-1)x_j} d\mu_{-q}(x) \\ &= [d]_q^m [2]_q^k \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k=0}^{d-1} q^{\sum_{j=1}^k b_j i_j} \\ &\quad \times (-1)^{i_1 + \dots + i_k} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^k} \left[ \frac{w + \sum_{j=1}^k a_j i_j}{d} + \sum_{j=1}^k a_j x_j \right]_{q^d}^m q^{d \sum_{j=1}^k (b_j-1)x_j} d\mu_{-q^d}(x), \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

where  $d$  is an odd positive integer. By (2.13), we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.5.** For  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $d \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} & E_{m,q}^{(k)}(w \mid a_1, \dots, a_k; b_1, \dots, b_k) \\ &= [d]_q^m [2]_q^k \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k=0}^{d-1} q^{\sum_{j=1}^k b_j i_j} (-1)^{i_1 + \dots + i_k} E_{m,q^d}^{(k)} \left( \frac{w + \sum_{j=1}^k a_j i_j}{d} \mid a_1, \dots, a_k; b_1, \dots, b_k \right), \\ & q^w E_{m,q}^{(k)}(w \mid a_1, \dots, a_k; b_1, \dots, b_k) \\ &= (q-1) E_{m+1,q}^{(k)}(w \mid a_1, \dots, a_k; b_1 - a_1, \dots, b_k - a_k) \\ &\quad + E_{m,q}^{(k)}(w \mid a_1, \dots, a_k; b_1 - a_1, \dots, b_k - a_k). \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

*Remark 2.6.* Let

$$F_q(w, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n,q}^{(k)}(w \mid a_1, \dots, a_k; b_1, \dots, b_k) \frac{t^n}{n!}. \quad (2.15)$$

From (2.4), we can easily derive the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} F_q(w, (q-1)t) &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (q-1)^m E_{m,q}^{(k)}(w \mid a_1, \dots, a_k; b_1, \dots, b_k) \frac{t^m}{m!} \\ &= [2]_q^k e^{-t} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left( \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{1 + q^{a_j i + b_j}} \right) q^{wi} \frac{t^i}{i!}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

By differentiating both sides of (2.16) with respect to  $t$  and comparing coefficients on both sides, one has

$$\begin{aligned} q^w E_{m,q}^{(k)}(w \mid a_1, \dots, a_k; b_1, \dots, b_k) - E_{m,q}^{(k)}(w \mid a_1, \dots, a_k; b_1 - a_1, \dots, b_k - a_k) \\ = (q-1) E_{m+1,q}^{(k)}(w \mid a_1, \dots, a_k; b_1 - a_1, \dots, b_k - a_k). \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

The inversion formula of Equation (2.4) at  $w = 0$  is given by

$$\sum_{i=0}^m \binom{m}{i} (q-1)^i \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^k} [a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_k x_k]_q^i q^{\sum_{j=1}^k (b_j - 1)x_j} d\mu_{-q}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^k} q^{\sum_{j=1}^k (ma_j + b_j - 1)x_j} d\mu_{-q}(x). \quad (2.18)$$

Thus, one has

$$\sum_{i=0}^m \binom{m}{i} (q-1)^i E_{i,q}^{(k)}(a_1, \dots, a_k; b_1, \dots, b_k) = [2]_q^k \prod_{j=1}^k \left( \frac{1}{1 + q^{ma_j + b_j}} \right). \quad (2.19)$$

## Acknowledgment

This paper was supported by Konkuk University (2009).

## References

- [1] M. Acikgoz and Y. Simsek, "On multiple interpolation functions of the Nörlund-type  $q$ -Euler polynomials," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2009, Article ID 382574, 14 pages, 2009.
- [2] I. N. Cangul, V. Kurt, H. Ozden, and Y. Simsek, "On the higher-order  $w$ - $q$ -genocchi numbers," *Advanced Studies in Contemporary Mathematics*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 39–57, 2009.
- [3] N. K. Govil and V. Gupta, "Convergence of  $q$ -Meyer-König-Zeller-Durrmeyer operators," *Advanced Studies in Contemporary Mathematics*, vol. 19, pp. 97–108, 2009.
- [4] T. Kim, " $q$ -Volkenborn integration," *Russian Journal of Mathematical Physics*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 288–299, 2002.

- [5] T. Kim, "Some identities on the  $q$ -Euler polynomials of higher order and  $q$ -stirling numbers by the fermionic  $p$ -adic integral on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ ," *Russian Journal of Mathematical Physics*, vol. 17, 2010.
- [6] Y.-H. Kim, W. Kim, and C. S. Ryoo, "On the twisted  $q$ -Euler zeta function associated with twisted  $q$ -Euler numbers," *Proceedings of the Jangjeon Mathematical Society*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 93–100, 2009.
- [7] Y. Simsek, T. Kim, and I. S. Pyung, "Barnes' type multiple Changhee  $q$ -zeta functions," *Advanced Studies in Contemporary Mathematics*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 121–129, 2005.