

Research Article

Conditions for Carathéodory Functions

Nak Eun Cho and In Hwa Kim

Department of Applied Mathematics, Pukyong National University, Busan 608-737, South Korea

Correspondence should be addressed to Nak Eun Cho, necho@pknu.ac.kr

Received 12 April 2009; Accepted 13 October 2009

Recommended by Yong Zhou

The purpose of the present paper is to derive some sufficient conditions for Carathéodory functions in the open unit disk. Our results include several interesting corollaries as special cases.

Copyright © 2009 N. E. Cho and I. H. Kim. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{P} be the class of functions p of the form

$$p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n, \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. If p in \mathcal{P} satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re}\{p(z)\} > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (1.2)$$

then we say that p is the Carathéodory function.

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of all functions f analytic in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ with the usual normalization $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$. If f and g are analytic in \mathbb{U} , we say that f is subordinate to g , written $f < g$ or $f(z) < g(z)$, if g is univalent, $f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U})$.

For $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, let $\mathcal{STC}(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{STL}(\alpha)$ denote the classes of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ which are strongly convex and starlike of order α ; that is, which satisfy

$$1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} < \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^\alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (1.3)$$

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} < \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^\alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (1.4)$$

respectively. We note that (1.3) and (1.4) can be expressed, equivalently, by the argument functions. The classes $\mathcal{STC}(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{STS}(\alpha)$ were introduced by Brannan and Kirwan [1] and studied by Mocanu [2] and Nunokawa [3, 4]. Also, we note that if $\alpha = 1$, then $\mathcal{STS}(\alpha)$ coincides with \mathcal{S}^* , the well-known class of starlike(univalent) functions with respect to origin, and if $0 < \alpha < 1$, then $\mathcal{STS}(\alpha)$ consists only of bounded starlike functions [1], and hence the inclusion relation $\mathcal{STS}(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{S}^*$ is proper. Furthermore, Nunokawa and Thomas [4] (see also [5]) found the value $\beta(\alpha)$ such that $\mathcal{STC}(\beta(\alpha)) \subset \mathcal{STS}(\alpha)$.

In the present paper, we consider general forms which cover the results by Mocanu [6] and Nunokawa and Thomas [4]. An application of a certain integral operator is also considered. Moreover, we give some sufficient conditions for univalent (close-to-convex) and (strongly) starlike functions (of order β) as special cases of main results.

2. Main Results

To prove our results, we need the following lemma due to Nunokawa [3].

Lemma 2.1. *Let p be analytic in \mathbb{U} , $p(0) = 1$ and $p(z) \neq 0$ in \mathbb{U} . Suppose that there exists a point $z_0 \in \mathbb{U}$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} |\arg p(z)| &< \frac{\pi}{2}\alpha \quad \text{for } |z| < |z_0|, \\ |\arg p(z_0)| &= \frac{\pi}{2}\alpha \quad (0 < \alpha \leq 1). \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

Then we have

$$\frac{z_0 p'(z_0)}{p(z_0)} = i\alpha k, \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} k &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left(x + \frac{1}{x} \right) \quad \text{when } \arg p(z_0) = \frac{\pi}{2}\alpha, \\ k &\leq -\frac{1}{2} \left(x + \frac{1}{x} \right) \quad \text{when } \arg p(z_0) = -\frac{\pi}{2}\alpha, \\ \{p(z_0)\}^{1/\alpha} &= \pm ix \quad (x > 0). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

With the help of Lemma 2.1, we now derive the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. *Let p be nonzero analytic in \mathbb{U} with $p(0) = 1$ and let p satisfy the differential equation*

$$\eta z p'(z) + B(z)p(z) = a + ibA(z), \quad (2.4)$$

where $\eta > 0$, $a \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $0 \leq b \leq a \tan(\pi/2)\alpha$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, $A(z) = \text{sign}(\text{Im } p(z))$ and $B(z)$ is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $B(0) = a$. If

$$|\arg B(z)| < \frac{\pi}{2}\beta(\eta, \alpha, a, b) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$\beta(\eta, \alpha, a, b) = \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left\{ \frac{S(\alpha)T(\alpha)(a \sin(\pi/2)\alpha - b \cos(\pi/2)\alpha) + \eta\alpha}{S(\alpha)T(\alpha)(a \cos(\pi/2)\alpha + b \sin(\pi/2)\alpha)} \right\}, \quad (2.6)$$

$$S(\alpha) = (1 + \alpha)^{(1+\alpha)/2}, \quad T(\alpha) = (1 - \alpha)^{(1-\alpha)/2}, \quad (2.7)$$

then

$$|\arg p(z)| < \frac{\pi}{2}\alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (2.8)$$

Proof. If there exists a point $z_0 \in \mathbb{U}$ such that the conditions (2.1) are satisfied, then (by Lemma 2.1) we obtain (2.2) under the restrictions (2.3). Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A(z_0) &= \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } p(z_0) = (ix)^\alpha, \\ -1, & \text{if } p(z_0) = (-ix)^\alpha, \end{cases} \\ B(z_0) &= \frac{a + ibA(z_0)}{p(z_0)} - \eta \frac{z_0 p'(z_0)}{p(z_0)} \\ &= (a + ibA(z_0))(\pm ix)^{-\alpha} - i\eta\alpha k \\ &= \left(\frac{a}{x^\alpha} \cos \frac{\pi}{2}\alpha + \frac{b}{x^\alpha} A(z_0) \sin\left(\pm \frac{\pi}{2}\alpha\right) \right) \\ &\quad + i \left(\frac{b}{x^\alpha} A(z_0) \cos \frac{\pi}{2}\alpha - \frac{a}{x^\alpha} \sin\left(\pm \frac{\pi}{2}\alpha\right) - \eta\alpha k \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Now we suppose that

$$\{p(z_0)\}^{1/\alpha} = ix \quad (x > 0). \quad (2.10)$$

Then we have

$$\arg B(z_0) = -\tan^{-1} \left\{ \frac{a \sin(\pi/2)\alpha - b \cos(\pi/2)\alpha + \eta\alpha x^\alpha k}{a \cos(\pi/2)\alpha + b \sin(\pi/2)\alpha} \right\}, \quad (2.11)$$

where

$$kx^\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}(x^{\alpha+1} + x^{\alpha-1}) \equiv g(x) \quad (x > 0). \quad (2.12)$$

Then, by a simple calculation, we see that the function $g(x)$ takes the minimum value at $x = \sqrt{(1-\alpha)/(1+\alpha)}$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \arg B(z_0) &\leq -\tan^{-1} \left\{ \frac{(1+\alpha)^{(1+\alpha)/2}(1-\alpha)^{(1-\alpha)/2}(a \sin(\pi/2)\alpha - b \cos(\pi/2)\alpha) + \eta\alpha}{(1+\alpha)^{(1+\alpha)/2}(1-\alpha)^{(1-\alpha)/2}(a \cos(\pi/2)\alpha + b \sin(\pi/2)\alpha)} \right\} \\ &= -\frac{\pi}{2}\beta(\eta, \alpha, a, b), \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

where $\beta(\eta, \alpha, a, b)$ is given by (2.6). This evidently contradicts the assumption of Theorem 2.2. Next, we suppose that

$$\{p(z_0)\}^{1/\alpha} = -ix \quad (x > 0). \quad (2.14)$$

Applying the same method as the above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \arg B(z_0) &\geq \tan^{-1} \left\{ \frac{(1+\alpha)^{(1+\alpha)/2}(1-\alpha)^{(1-\alpha)/2}(a \sin(\pi/2)\alpha - b \cos(\pi/2)\alpha) + \eta\alpha}{(1+\alpha)^{(1+\alpha)/2}(1-\alpha)^{(1-\alpha)/2}(a \cos(\pi/2)\alpha + b \sin(\pi/2)\alpha)} \right\} \\ &= \frac{\pi}{2}\beta(\eta, \alpha, a, b), \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

where $\beta(\eta, \alpha, a, b)$ is given by (2.6), which is a contradiction to the assumption of Theorem 2.2. Therefore, we complete the proof of Theorem 2.2. \square

Corollary 2.3. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\eta > 0$, $0 < \alpha < 1$. If

$$\left| \arg \left\{ (1-\eta) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \eta \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right\} \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\beta(\eta, \alpha) \quad (z \in U), \quad (2.16)$$

where $\beta(\eta, \alpha)$ is given by (2.6) with $a = 1$ and $b = 0$, then $f \in \mathcal{STC}(\alpha)$.

Proof. Taking

$$p(z) = \frac{f(z)}{zf'(z)}, \quad B(z) = (1-\eta) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \eta \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \quad (2.17)$$

in Theorem 2.2, we can see that (2.4) is satisfied. Therefore, the result follows from Theorem 2.2. \square

Corollary 2.4. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then $\mathcal{STC}(\beta(\alpha)) \subset \mathcal{STC}(\alpha)$, where $\beta(\alpha)$ is given by (2.6) with $\eta = a = 1$ and $b = 0$.

By a similar method of the proof in Theorem 2.2, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.5. Let p be nonzero analytic in \mathbb{U} with $p(0) = 1$ and let p satisfy the differential equation

$$\frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} + B(z) = a + ibA(z), \quad (2.18)$$

where $a \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $b \in \mathbb{R}^- \cup \{0\}$, $A(z) = \text{sign}(\text{Im } p(z))$, and $B(z)$ is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $B(0) = a$. If

$$|\arg B(z)| < \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha(\delta, a, b) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (2.19)$$

where

$$\alpha(\delta) := \alpha(\delta, a, b) = \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \frac{\delta - b}{a} \quad (\delta > 0), \quad (2.20)$$

then

$$|\arg p(z)| < \frac{\pi}{2} \delta \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (2.21)$$

Corollary 2.6. Let $f \in \mathcal{STC}(\alpha(\delta))$, where $\alpha(\delta)$ is given by (2.20) with $a = 1$ and $b = 0$. Then

$$\left| \arg \frac{f(z)}{z} \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \delta \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (2.22)$$

Proof. Letting

$$p(z) = \frac{z}{f(z)}, \quad B(z) = \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \quad (2.23)$$

in Theorem 2.5, we have Corollary 2.6 immediately. \square

If we combine Corollaries 2.4 and 2.6, then we obtain the following result obtained by Nunokawa and Thomas [4].

Corollary 2.7. Let $f \in \mathcal{STC}(\beta(\delta))$, where

$$\beta(\delta) = \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left\{ \tan \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha(\delta) + \frac{\alpha(\delta)}{(1 + \alpha(\delta))^{(1+\alpha(\delta))/2} (1 - \alpha(\delta))^{(1-\alpha(\delta))/2} \cos(\pi/2) \alpha(\delta)} \right\} \quad (2.24)$$

and $\alpha(\delta)$ is given by (2.20). Then

$$\left| \arg \frac{f(z)}{z} \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \delta \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (2.25)$$

Corollary 2.8. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $0 < \alpha < 1$ and β, γ be real numbers with $\beta \neq 0$ and $\beta + \gamma > 0$. If

$$\left| \arg \left(\beta \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \gamma \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \delta(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (2.26)$$

where

$$\delta(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left\{ \tan \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha + \frac{\alpha}{(\beta + \gamma)(1 + \alpha)^{(1+\alpha)/2} (1 - \alpha)^{(1-\alpha)/2} \cos(\pi/2)\alpha} \right\}, \quad (2.27)$$

then

$$\left| \arg \left(\beta \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} + \gamma \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (2.28)$$

where F is the integral operator defined by

$$F(z) = \left(\frac{\beta + \gamma}{z^\gamma} \int_0^z f^\beta(t) t^{\gamma-1} dt \right)^{1/\beta} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (2.29)$$

Proof. Let

$$B(z) = \frac{1}{\beta + \gamma} \left(\beta \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \gamma \right), \quad (2.30)$$

$$p(z) = \frac{\beta + \gamma}{z^\gamma f^\beta(z)} \int_0^z f^\beta(t) t^{\gamma-1} dt. \quad (2.31)$$

Then $B(z)$ and $p(z)$ are analytic in \mathbb{U} with $B(0) = p(0) = 1$. By a simple calculation, we have

$$\frac{1}{\beta + \gamma} zp'(z) + B(z)p(z) = 1. \quad (2.32)$$

Using a similar method of the proof in Theorem 2.2, we can obtain that

$$|\arg p(z)| < \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (2.33)$$

From (2.29) and (2.31), we easily see that

$$F(z) = f(z) \{p(z)\}^{1/\beta}. \quad (2.34)$$

Since

$$\beta \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} + \gamma = \frac{\beta + \gamma}{p(z)}, \quad (2.35)$$

the conclusion of Corollary 2.8 immediately follows. \square

Remark 2.9. Letting $\alpha \rightarrow 1$ in Corollary 2.8, we have the result obtained by Miller and Mocanu [7].

The proof of the following theorem below is much akin to that of Theorem 2.2 and so we omit for details involved.

Theorem 2.10. *Let p be nonzero analytic in \mathbb{U} with $p(0) = 1$ and let p satisfy the differential equation*

$$\frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} + B(z)p(z) = a + ibA(z), \quad (2.36)$$

where $a \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $b \in \mathbb{R}^- \cup \{0\}$, $A(z) = \text{sign}(\text{Im } p(z))$ and $B(z)$ is analytic in \mathbb{U} with $B(0) = a$. If

$$|\arg B(z)| < \frac{\pi}{2} \beta(\alpha, a, b) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (2.37)$$

where

$$\beta(\alpha, a, b) = \alpha + \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \frac{\alpha - b}{a} \quad (0 < \alpha \leq 1), \quad (2.38)$$

then

$$|\arg p(z)| < \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (2.39)$$

Corollary 2.11. *Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ with $f'(z) \neq 0$ in \mathbb{U} and $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. If*

$$|\arg(f'(z) + zf''(z))| < \frac{\pi}{2} \beta(\alpha) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (2.40)$$

where $\beta(\alpha)$ is given by (2.38) with $a = 1$ and $b = 0$, then

$$|\arg f'(z)| < \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (2.41)$$

that is, f is univalent (close-to-convex) in \mathbb{U} .

Proof. Let

$$p(z) = \frac{1}{f'(z)}, \quad B(z) = f'(z) + zf''(z) \quad (2.42)$$

in Theorem 2.10. Then (2.36) is satisfied and so the result follows. \square

By applying Theorem 2.10, we have the following result obtained by Mocanu [6].

Corollary 2.12. *Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ with $f(z)/z \neq 0$ and α_0 be the solution of the equation given by*

$$2\alpha + \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \alpha = 1 \quad (0 < \alpha < 1). \quad (2.43)$$

If

$$|\arg f'(z)| < \frac{\pi}{2}(1 - \alpha_0) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (2.44)$$

then $f \in \mathcal{S}^$.*

Proof. Let

$$p(z) = \frac{z}{f(z)}, \quad B(z) = f'(z). \quad (2.45)$$

Then, by Theorem 2.10, condition (2.44) implies that

$$\left| \arg \frac{z}{f(z)} \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha_0. \quad (2.46)$$

Therefore, we have

$$\left| \arg \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right| \leq |\arg f'(z)| + \left| \arg \frac{z}{f(z)} \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad (2.47)$$

which completes the proof of Corollary 2.12. \square

Corollary 2.13. *Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ with $f(z)f'(z)/z \neq 0$ in \mathbb{U} and $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. If*

$$\left| \arg \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \left(2 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \beta(\alpha) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (2.48)$$

where $\beta(\alpha)$ is given by (2.38), then $f \in \mathcal{STC}(\alpha)$.

Finally, we have the following result.

Theorem 2.14. *Let p be nonzero analytic in \mathbb{U} with $p(0) = 1$. If*

$$|\arg((1 - \lambda)p(z) + \lambda zp'(z))| < \frac{\pi}{2}\beta(\lambda, \alpha), \quad (2.49)$$

$$\beta(\lambda, \alpha) = \alpha + \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \frac{\lambda\alpha}{1 - \lambda} \quad (0 \leq \lambda < 1; 0 < \alpha < 1), \quad (2.50)$$

then

$$|\arg p(z)| < \frac{\pi}{2}\alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}). \quad (2.51)$$

Proof. If there exists a point $z_0 \in \mathbb{U}$ satisfying the conditions of Lemma 2.1, then we have

$$(1 - \lambda)p(z_0) + \lambda z_0 p'(z_0) = (\pm ix)^\alpha (1 - \lambda + i\lambda\alpha k). \quad (2.52)$$

Now we suppose that

$$\{p(z_0)\}^{1/\alpha} = ix \quad (x > 0). \quad (2.53)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \arg((1 - \lambda)p(z_0) + \lambda z_0 p'(z_0)) &= \frac{\pi}{2}\alpha + \tan^{-1} \frac{\lambda\alpha k}{1 - \lambda} \\ &\geq \frac{\pi}{2} \left(\alpha + \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \frac{\lambda\alpha}{1 - \lambda} \right) \\ &= \frac{\pi}{2}\beta(\lambda, \alpha), \end{aligned} \quad (2.54)$$

where $\beta(\lambda, \alpha)$ is given by (2.50). Also, for the case

$$\{p(z_0)\}^{1/\alpha} = -ix \quad (x > 0), \quad (2.55)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \arg((1 - \lambda)p(z_0) + \lambda z_0 p'(z_0)) &\leq -\frac{\pi}{2} \left(\alpha + \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \frac{\lambda\alpha}{1 - \lambda} \right) \\ &= -\frac{\pi}{2}\beta(\lambda, \alpha), \end{aligned} \quad (2.56)$$

where $\beta(\lambda, \alpha)$ is given by (2.50). These contradict the assumption of Theorem 2.14 and so we complete the proof of Theorem 2.14. \square

Corollary 2.15. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ with $f(z)f'(z)/z \neq 0$ in \mathbb{U} and $0 < \alpha < 1$. If

$$\left| \arg \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) \right\} \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}(\alpha + 1) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}), \quad (2.57)$$

then $f \in \mathcal{ST}S(\alpha)$.

Acknowledgment

This research was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (No. 2009-0066192).

References

- [1] D. A. Brannan and W. E. Kirwan, "On some classes of bounded univalent functions," *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 1, pp. 431–443, 1969.
- [2] P. T. Mocanu, "On strongly-starlike and strongly-convex functions," *Studia Universitatis Babeş-Bolyai—Series Mathematica*, vol. 31, no. 4, pp. 16–21, 1986.
- [3] M. Nunokawa, "On the order of strongly starlikeness of strongly convex functions," *Proceedings of the Japan Academy, Series A*, vol. 69, no. 7, pp. 234–237, 1993.
- [4] M. Nunokawa and D. K. Thomas, "On convex and starlike functions in a sector," *Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society (Series A)*, vol. 60, no. 3, pp. 363–368, 1996.
- [5] P. T. Mocanu, "Alpha-convex integral operator and strongly-starlike functions," *Studia Universitatis Babeş-Bolyai—Series Mathematica*, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 19–24, 1989.
- [6] P. T. Mocanu, "Some starlikeness conditions for analytic functions," *Revue Roumaine de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées*, vol. 33, no. 1-2, pp. 117–124, 1988.
- [7] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, "Univalent solutions of Briot-Bouquet differential equations," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 56, no. 3, pp. 297–309, 1985.