

Research Article

On Some New Sequence Spaces in 2-Normed Spaces Using Ideal Convergence and an Orlicz Function

E. Savaş

Department of Mathematics, Istanbul Ticaret University, Üsküdar, 34672 Istanbul, Turkey

Correspondence should be addressed to E. Savaş, ekremsavas@yahoo.com

Received 25 July 2010; Accepted 17 August 2010

Academic Editor: Radu Precup

Copyright © 2010 E. Savaş. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The purpose of this paper is to introduce certain new sequence spaces using ideal convergence and an Orlicz function in 2-normed spaces and examine some of their properties.

1. Introduction

The notion of ideal convergence was introduced first by Kostyrko et al. [1] as a generalization of statistical convergence which was further studied in topological spaces [2]. More applications of ideals can be seen in [3, 4].

The concept of 2-normed space was initially introduced by Gähler [5] as an interesting nonlinear generalization of a normed linear space which was subsequently studied by many authors (see, [6, 7]). Recently, a lot of activities have started to study summability, sequence spaces and related topics in these nonlinear spaces (see, [8–10]).

Recall in [11] that an Orlicz function $M : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is continuous, convex, nondecreasing function such that $M(0) = 0$ and $M(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$, and $M(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Subsequently Orlicz function was used to define sequence spaces by Parashar and Choudhary [12] and others.

If convexity of Orlicz function, M is replaced by $M(x + y) \leq M(x) + M(y)$, then this function is called Modulus function, which was presented and discussed by Ruckle [13] and Maddox [14].

Note that if M is an Orlicz function then $M(\lambda x) \leq \lambda M(x)$ for all λ with $0 < \lambda < 1$.

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space. Recall that a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements of X is called to be statistically convergent to $x \in X$ if the set $A(\varepsilon) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|x_n - x\| \geq \varepsilon\}$ has natural density zero for each $\varepsilon > 0$.

A family $\mathcal{O} \subset 2^Y$ of subsets a nonempty set Y is said to be an ideal in Y if (i) $\emptyset \in \mathcal{O}$; (ii) $A, B \in \mathcal{O}$ imply $A \cup B \in \mathcal{O}$; (iii) $A \in \mathcal{O}, B \subset A$ imply $B \in \mathcal{O}$, while an admissible ideal \mathcal{O} of Y further satisfies $\{x\} \in \mathcal{O}$ for each $x \in Y$, [9, 10].

Given $\mathcal{O} \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ is a nontrivial ideal in \mathbb{N} . The sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X is said to be \mathcal{O} -convergent to $x \in X$, if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ the set $A(\varepsilon) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|x_n - x\| \geq \varepsilon\}$ belongs to \mathcal{O} , [1, 3].

Let X be a real vector space of dimension d , where $2 \leq d < \infty$. A 2-norm on X is a function $\|\cdot, \cdot\| : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies (i) $\|x, y\| = 0$ if and only if x and y are linearly dependent, (ii) $\|x, y\| = \|y, x\|$, (iii) $\|\alpha x, y\| = |\alpha| \|x, y\|$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, and (iv) $\|x, y + z\| \leq \|x, y\| + \|x, z\|$. The pair $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is then called a 2-normed space [6].

Recall that $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is a 2-Banach space if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent to some x in X .

Quite recently Savaş [15] defined some sequence spaces by using Orlicz function and ideals in 2-normed spaces.

In this paper, we continue to study certain new sequence spaces by using Orlicz function and ideals in 2-normed spaces. In this context it should be noted that though sequence spaces have been studied before they have not been studied in nonlinear structures like 2-normed spaces and their ideals were not used.

2. Main Results

Let $\Lambda = (\lambda_n)$ be a nondecreasing sequence of positive numbers tending to ∞ such that $\lambda_{n+1} \geq \lambda_n + 1, \lambda_1 = 0$ and let I be an admissible ideal of \mathbb{N} , let M be an Orlicz function, and let $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ be a 2-normed space. Further, let $p = (p_k)$ be a bounded sequence of positive real numbers. By $S(2 - X)$ we denote the space of all sequences defined over $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$. Now, we define the following sequence spaces:

$$W^I(\lambda, M, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|) \\ = \left\{ x \in S(2 - X) : \forall \varepsilon > 0 \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[M \left(\left\| \frac{x_k - L}{\rho}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in I \right. \\ \left. \text{for some } \rho > 0, L \in X \text{ and each } z \in X \right\},$$

$$W_0^I(\lambda, M, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|) \\ = \left\{ x \in S(2 - X) : \forall \varepsilon > 0 \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[M \left(\left\| \frac{x_k}{\rho}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in I \right. \\ \left. \text{for some } \rho > 0, \text{ and each } z \in X \right\},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& W_\infty(\lambda, M, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|) \\
&= \left\{ x \in S(2-X) : \exists K > 0 \text{ s.t. } \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[M\left(\left\| \frac{x_k}{\rho}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq K \\
&\quad \text{for some } \rho > 0, \text{ and each } z \in X \left. \right\}, \\
& W_\infty^I(\lambda, M, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|) \\
&= \left\{ x \in S(2-X) : \exists K > 0 \text{ s.t. } \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[M\left(\left\| \frac{x_k}{\rho}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq K \right\} \in I \right. \\
&\quad \left. \text{for some } \rho > 0, \text{ and each } z \in X \right\},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where $I_n = [n - \lambda_n + 1, n]$.

The following well-known inequality [16, page 190] will be used in the study.

$$\text{If } 0 \leq p_k \leq \sup p_k = H, \quad D = \max(1, 2^{H-1}) \tag{2.2}$$

then

$$|a_k + b_k|^{p_k} \leq D\{|a_k|^{p_k} + |b_k|^{p_k}\} \tag{2.3}$$

for all k and $a_k, b_k \in \mathbb{C}$. Also $|a|^{p_k} \leq \max(1, |a|^H)$ for all $a \in \mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 2.1. $W^I(\lambda, M, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$, $W_0^I(\lambda, M, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$, and $W_\infty^I(\lambda, M, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ are linear spaces.

Proof. We will prove the assertion for $W_0^I(\lambda, M, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ only and the others can be proved similarly. Assume that $x, y \in W_0^I(\lambda, M, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, so

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[M\left(\left\| \frac{x_k}{\rho_1}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in I \quad \text{for some } \rho_1 > 0, \\
& \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[M\left(\left\| \frac{x_k}{\rho_2}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in I \quad \text{for some } \rho_2 > 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Since $\|\cdot, \cdot\|$ is a 2-norm, and M is an Orlicz function the following inequality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[M \left(\left\| \frac{(\alpha x_k + \beta y_k)}{(|\alpha|\rho_1 + |\beta|\rho_2)}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq D \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[\frac{|\alpha|}{(|\alpha|\rho_1 + |\beta|\rho_2)} M \left(\left\| \frac{x_k}{\rho_1}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \quad + D \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[\frac{|\beta|}{(|\alpha|\rho_1 + |\beta|\rho_2)} M \left(\left\| \frac{y_k}{\rho_2}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq DF \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[M \left(\left\| \frac{x_k}{\rho_1}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} + DF \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[M \left(\left\| \frac{y_k}{\rho_2}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$F = \max \left[1, \left(\frac{|\alpha|}{(|\alpha|\rho_1 + |\beta|\rho_2)} \right)^H, \left(\frac{|\beta|}{(|\alpha|\rho_1 + |\beta|\rho_2)} \right)^H \right]. \quad (2.6)$$

From the above inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[M \left(\left\| \frac{(\alpha x_k + \beta y_k)}{(|\alpha|\rho_1 + |\beta|\rho_2)}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \\ & \subseteq \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : DF \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[M \left(\left\| \frac{x_k}{\rho_1}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\} \\ & \cup \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : DF \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[M \left(\left\| \frac{y_k}{\rho_2}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Two sets on the right hand side belong to I and this completes the proof. \square

It is also easy to see that the space $W_\infty(\lambda, M, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is also a linear space and we now have the following.

Theorem 2.2. For any fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $W_\infty(\lambda, M, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is paranormed space with respect to the paranorm defined by

$$g_n(x) = \inf \left\{ \rho^{p_n/H} : \rho > 0 \text{ s.t. } \left(\sup_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[M \left(\left\| \frac{x_k}{\rho}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \right)^{1/H} \leq 1, \forall z \in X \right\}. \quad (2.8)$$

Proof. That $g_n(\theta) = 0$ and $g_n(-x) = g_n(x)$ are easy to prove. So we omit them.

(iii) Let us take $x = (x_k)$ and $y = (y_k)$ in $W_\infty(\lambda, M, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$. Let

$$\begin{aligned}
 A(x) &= \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sup_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[M \left(\left\| \frac{x_k}{\rho}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1, \forall z \in X \right\}, \\
 A(y) &= \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sup_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[M \left(\left\| \frac{y_k}{\rho}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq 1, \forall z \in X \right\}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.9}$$

Let $\rho_1 \in A(x)$ and $\rho_2 \in A(y)$, then if $\rho = \rho_1 + \rho_2$, then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sup_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{n \in I_n} M \left(\left\| \frac{(x_k + y_k)}{\rho}, z \right\| \right) &\leq \frac{\rho_1}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \sup_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} M \left(\left\| \frac{x_k}{\rho_1}, z \right\| \right) \\
 &+ \frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \sup_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} M \left(\left\| \frac{y_k}{\rho_2}, z \right\| \right).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.10}$$

Thus, $\sup_n (1/\lambda_n) \sum_{n \in I_n} M(\|(x_k + y_k)/(\rho_1 + \rho_2), z\|)^{p_k} \leq 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_n(x + y) &\leq \inf \left\{ (\rho_1 + \rho_2)^{p_n/H} : \rho_1 \in A(x), \rho_2 \in A(y) \right\} \\
 &\leq \inf \left\{ \rho_1^{p_n/H} : \rho_1 \in A(x) \right\} + \inf \left\{ \rho_2^{p_n/H} : \rho_2 \in A(y) \right\} \\
 &= g_n(x) + g_n(y).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.11}$$

(iv) Finally using the same technique of Theorem 2 of Savaş [15] it can be easily seen that scalar multiplication is continuous. This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 2.3. *It should be noted that for a fixed $F \in I$ the space*

$$\begin{aligned}
 &W_\infty(F)(\lambda, M, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|) \\
 &= \left\{ x \in S(2 - X) : \exists K > 0 \text{ s.t. } \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}-F} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[M \left(\left\| \frac{x_k}{\rho}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq K \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \text{for some } \rho > 0, \text{ and each } z \in X \right\},
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.12}$$

which is a subspace of the space $W_\infty^I(\lambda, M, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is a paranormed space with the paranorms g_n for $n \notin F$ and $g^F = \inf_{n \in (\mathbb{N}-F)} g_n$.

Theorem 2.4. *Let M, M_1, M_2 , be Orlicz functions. Then we have*

- (i) $W_0^I(\lambda, M_1, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|) \subseteq W_0^I(\lambda, M \circ M_1, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ provided (p_k) is such that $H_0 = \inf p_k > 0$.
- (ii) $W_0^I(\lambda, M_1, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|) \cap W_0^I(\lambda, M_2, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|) \subseteq W_0^I(\lambda, M_1 + M_2, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$.

Proof. (i) For given $\varepsilon > 0$, first choose $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that $\max\{\varepsilon_0^H, \varepsilon_0^{H_0}\} < \varepsilon$. Now using the continuity of M choose $0 < \delta < 1$ such that $0 < t < \delta \Rightarrow M(t) < \varepsilon_0$. Let $(x_k) \in W_0(\lambda, M_1, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$. Now from the definition

$$A(\delta) = \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[M_1 \left(\left\| \frac{x_k}{\rho}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \delta^H \right\} \in I. \quad (2.13)$$

Thus if $n \notin A(\delta)$ then

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[M_1 \left(\left\| \frac{x_k}{\rho}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} < \delta^H, \quad (2.14)$$

that is,

$$\sum_{k \in I_n} \left[M_1 \left(\left\| \frac{x_k}{\rho}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} < \lambda_n \delta^H, \quad (2.15)$$

that is,

$$\left[M_1 \left(\left\| \frac{x_k}{\rho}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} < \delta^H, \quad \forall k \in I_n, \quad (2.16)$$

that is,

$$M_1 \left(\left\| \frac{x_k}{\rho}, z \right\| \right) < \delta, \quad \forall k \in I_n. \quad (2.17)$$

Hence from above using the continuity of M we must have

$$M \left(M_1 \left(\left\| \frac{x_k}{\rho}, z \right\| \right) \right) < \varepsilon_0, \quad \forall k \in I_n, \quad (2.18)$$

which consequently implies that

$$\sum_{k \in I_n} \left[M \left(M_1 \left(\left\| \frac{x_k}{\rho}, z \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} < \lambda_n \max\{\varepsilon_0^H, \varepsilon_0^{H_0}\} < \lambda_n \varepsilon, \quad (2.19)$$

that is,

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[M \left(M_1 \left(\left\| \frac{x_k}{\rho}, z \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_k} < \varepsilon. \quad (2.20)$$

This shows that

$$\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[M \left(M_1 \left(\left\| \frac{x_k}{\rho}, z \right\| \right) \right)^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \subset A(\delta) \quad (2.21)$$

and so belongs to I . This proves the result.

(ii) Let $(x_k) \in W_0^I(M_1, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|) \cap W_0^I(M_2, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$, then the fact

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \left[M_1 + M_2 \left(\left\| \frac{x_k}{\rho}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \leq D \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \left[M_1 \left(\left\| \frac{x_k}{\rho}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} + D \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \left[M_2 \left(\left\| \frac{x_k}{\rho}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \quad (2.22)$$

gives us the result. \square

Definition 2.5. Let X be a sequence space. Then X is called solid if $(\alpha_k x_k) \in X$ whenever $(x_k) \in X$ for all sequences (α_k) of scalars with $|\alpha_k| \leq 1$ for all $k \in N$.

Theorem 2.6. The sequence spaces $W_0^I(\lambda, M, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$, $W_\infty^I(\lambda, M, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ are solid.

Proof. We give the proof for $W_0^I(\lambda, M, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ only. Let $(x_k) \in W_0^I(\lambda, M, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ and let (α_k) be a sequence of scalars such that $|\alpha_k| \leq 1$ for all $k \in N$. Then we have

$$\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[M \left(\left\| \frac{(\alpha_k x_k)}{\rho}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \subset \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{C}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} \left[M \left(\left\| \frac{x_k}{\rho}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \in I, \quad (2.23)$$

where $C = \max_k \{1, |\alpha_k|^H\}$. Hence $(\alpha_k x_k) \in W_0^I(\lambda, M, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ for all sequences of scalars (α_k) with $|\alpha_k| \leq 1$ for all $k \in N$ whenever $(x_k) \in W_0^I(\lambda, M, p, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$. \square

References

- [1] P. Kostyrko, T. Šalát, and W. Wilczyński, "I-convergence," *Real Analysis Exchange*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 669–686, 2000.
- [2] B. K. Lahiri and P. Das, "I and I*-convergence in topological spaces," *Mathematica Bohemica*, vol. 130, no. 2, pp. 153–160, 2005.
- [3] P. Kostyrko, M. Mačaj, T. Šalát, and M. Sleziak, "I-convergence and extremal I-limit points," *Mathematica Slovaca*, vol. 55, no. 4, pp. 443–464, 2005.
- [4] P. Das and P. Malik, "On the statistical and I-variation of double sequences," *Real Analysis Exchange*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 351–364, 2008.
- [5] S. Gähler, "2-metrische Räume und ihre topologische Struktur," *Mathematische Nachrichten*, vol. 26, pp. 115–148, 1963.
- [6] H. Gunawan and Mashadi, "On finite-dimensional 2-normed spaces," *Soochow Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 321–329, 2001.
- [7] R. W. Freese and Y. J. Cho, *Geometry of Linear 2-Normed Spaces*, Nova Science, Hauppauge, NY, USA, 2001.
- [8] A. Şahiner, M. Gürdal, S. Saltan, and H. Gunawan, "Ideal convergence in 2-normed spaces," *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 11, no. 5, pp. 1477–1484, 2007.
- [9] M. Gürdal and S. Pehlivan, "Statistical convergence in 2-normed spaces," *Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 257–264, 2009.

- [10] M. Gürdal, A. Şahiner, and I. Açıık, "Approximation theory in 2-Banach spaces," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 71, no. 5-6, pp. 1654–1661, 2009.
- [11] M. A. Krasnoselskii and Y. B. Rutisky, *Convex Function and Orlicz Spaces*, Noordhoff, Groningen, The Netherlands, 1961.
- [12] S. D. Parashar and B. Choudhary, "Sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions," *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 419–428, 1994.
- [13] W. H. Ruckle, "FK spaces in which the sequence of coordinate vectors is bounded," *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 25, pp. 973–978, 1973.
- [14] I. J. Maddox, "Sequence spaces defined by a modulus," *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, vol. 100, no. 1, pp. 161–166, 1986.
- [15] E. Savaş, " Δ^m -strongly summable sequences spaces in 2-normed spaces defined by ideal convergence and an Orlicz function," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 217, no. 1, pp. 271–276, 2010.
- [16] I. J. Maddox, *Elements of Functional Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, London, UK, 1970.