

A Refinement of Various Mean Inequalities*

TAKUYA HARA^a, MITSURU UCHIYAMA^a and SIN-EI TAKAHASI^{b,†}

^a*Department of Mathematics, Fukuoka University of Education, Munakata, Fukuoka 811-4192, Japan;* ^b*Department of Basic Technology, Applied Mathematics and Physics, Yamagata University, Yonezawa 992-8510, Japan*

(Received 7 November 1997; Revised 9 January 1998)

A new refinement of the classical arithmetic mean and geometric mean inequality is given. Moreover, a new interpretation of the classical mean is given and this refinement theorem is generalized.

Keywords: Arithmetic mean and geometric mean inequality; Harmonic mean; Refinement

AMS 1991 Subject Classifications: Primary 26D15

1 INTRODUCTION

Faiziev [3] obtained a refinement of the classical arithmetic mean and geometric mean inequality. Also Alzer [1] obtained a continuous version of Faiziev's refinement and Pečarić [4] gave a simple proof of the above Alzer–Faiziev inequality. Recently Takahasi and Miura [5] obtained a generalization of the Alzer–Faiziev inequality.

Our main purpose of this paper is to give a new refinement of the classical arithmetic mean and geometric mean inequality (Theorem 2.1).

* The original concept of this research was inspired by the discussion held during the second author's visit to the Faculty of Engineering of Yamagata University.

† Corresponding author.

Furthermore we give a new interpretation of the classical mean and generalize this refinement theorem (Theorem 3.2).

2 A REFINEMENT OF THE CLASSICAL MEAN INEQUALITY

Let \mathbb{R}_+ denote the set of all positive real numbers and \mathbb{R}_+^n its n -product. Recall the arithmetic mean, geometric mean, and harmonic mean;

$$\begin{aligned} A_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) &\equiv \frac{x_1 + \dots + x_n}{n}, \\ G_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) &\equiv (x_1 \cdots x_n)^{1/n}, \\ H_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) &\equiv \frac{1}{(1/n)(1/x_1 + \dots + 1/x_n)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$. The order relation among these means is well-known;

$$H_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq G_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq A_n(x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad (1)$$

and the equality holds if and only if $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n$ (see for instance [2]).

Given any $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ and k with $1 \leq k \leq n$ we first take the geometric means of any k terms and then consider the arithmetic mean of these ${}_nC_k$ numbers. So we obtain

$$u(A, G, \mathbf{x}; k) \equiv \frac{1}{{}_nC_k} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} (x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k})^{1/k}, \quad (2)$$

and by the similar procedure

$$u(G, A, \mathbf{x}; k) \equiv \left\{ \prod_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \frac{x_{i_1} + \dots + x_{i_k}}{k} \right\}^{1/{}_nC_k}. \quad (3)$$

By the definitions (2) and (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} u(A, G, \mathbf{x}; 1) &= u(G, A, \mathbf{x}; n) = A_n(x_1, \dots, x_n), \\ u(A, G, \mathbf{x}; n) &= u(G, A, \mathbf{x}; 1) = G_n(x_1, \dots, x_n), \end{aligned}$$

so $u(A, G, \mathbf{x}; 1) \geq u(A, G, \mathbf{x}; n)$ and $u(G, A, \mathbf{x}; 1) \leq u(G, A, \mathbf{x}; n)$. We will prove that $u(A, G, \mathbf{x}; k)$ and $u(G, A, \mathbf{x}; k)$ monotonously lie between A_n and G_n .

THEOREM 2.1 Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$. The refinement $u(A, G, \mathbf{x}; k)$ is nonincreasing and $u(G, A, \mathbf{x}; k)$ is nondecreasing with respect to k ($1 \leq k \leq n$), that is,

$$A_n = u(A, G, \mathbf{x}; 1) \geq u(A, G, \mathbf{x}; 2) \geq \dots \geq u(A, G, \mathbf{x}; n - 1) \geq u(A, G, \mathbf{x}; n) = G_n, \tag{4}$$

$$G_n = u(G, A, \mathbf{x}; 1) \leq u(G, A, \mathbf{x}; 2) \leq \dots \leq u(G, A, \mathbf{x}; n - 1) \leq u(G, A, \mathbf{x}; n) = A_n. \tag{5}$$

In the above inequalities one equality occurs only if $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n$.

Proof For any k with $2 \leq k \leq n$, by the inequality (1)

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} (x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_k})^{1/k} \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \{ (x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_k})^{1/(k-1)} \cdot (x_{i_1} x_{i_3} \dots x_{i_k})^{1/(k-1)} \\ & \quad \dots (x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_{k-1}})^{1/(k-1)} \}^{1/k} \\ &\leq \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \frac{1}{k} \{ (x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_k})^{1/(k-1)} + (x_{i_1} x_{i_3} \dots x_{i_k})^{1/(k-1)} \\ & \quad + \dots + (x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_{k-1}})^{1/(k-1)} \} \\ &= \frac{1}{k} \{ n - (k - 1) \} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{k-1} \leq n} (x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_{k-1}})^{1/(k-1)}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} u(A, G, \mathbf{x}; k) &= \frac{1}{nC_k} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} (x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_k})^{1/k} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{nC_k} \cdot \frac{n - (k - 1)}{k} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{k-1} \leq n} (x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_{k-1}})^{1/(k-1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{nC_{k-1}} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{k-1} \leq n} (x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_{k-1}})^{1/(k-1)} \\ &= u(A, G, \mathbf{x}; k - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $u(A, G, \mathbf{x}; k)$ is nonincreasing, and (5) is proved similarly.

Next we consider the equality case. If $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n$ then $G_n = A_n$, so all values $u(A, G, \mathbf{x}; k)$ and $u(H, G, \mathbf{x}; k)$ are equal. Suppose that there exists k satisfying $u(A, G, \mathbf{x}; k) = u(A, G, \mathbf{x}; k-1)$. Then for any i_1, \dots, i_k with $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n$

$$x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_k} = x_{i_1} x_{i_3} \cdots x_{i_k} = \dots = x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_{k-1}},$$

which implies $x_{i_1} = x_{i_2} = \dots = x_{i_k}$. Hence $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n$.

Using the geometric mean and harmonic mean we obtain

$$u(G, H, \mathbf{x}; k) \equiv \left\{ \prod_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \frac{1}{(1/k)(1/x_{i_1} + \dots + 1/x_{i_k})} \right\}^{1/nC_k}, \quad (6)$$

$$u(H, G, \mathbf{x}; k) \equiv \left\{ \frac{1}{nC_k} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \frac{1}{(x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k})^{1/k}} \right\}^{-1}. \quad (7)$$

As Theorem 2.1 we can prove that $u(G, H, \mathbf{x}; k)$ is nonincreasing and $u(H, G, \mathbf{x}; k)$ is nondecreasing.

3 A REFINEMENT OF A GENERALIZED MEAN

In order to generalize the previous inequalities we will regard the mean as the sequence of positive functions. Let f_k be a positive function on \mathbb{R}_+^k ($k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$). The sequence of functions $\mathcal{F} = \{f_k\}$ is called *mean* if the following conditions (M-1)–(M-5) hold;

(M-1) $f_1(a) = a$ ($\forall a > 0$),

(M-2) for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$f_k(x_1, \dots, x_k) \leq f_k(y_1, \dots, y_k) \quad \text{if } 0 < x_i \leq y_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, k),$$

(M-3) for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and permutation σ of k elements

$$f_k(x_1, \dots, x_k) = f_k(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(k)}),$$

(M-4) for any $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{R}_+^k$

$$f_k(x_1, \dots, x_k) = f_{kl}(\overbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}^l, \overbrace{x_2, \dots, x_2}^l, \dots, \overbrace{x_k, \dots, x_k}^l),$$

(M-5) for any $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \leq l \leq k$ and $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{R}_+^k$

$$f_k(x_1, \dots, x_l, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_k) = f_k(\overbrace{f_l(x_1, \dots, x_l), \dots, f_l(x_1, \dots, x_l)}^l, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_k).$$

The sequences generated by arithmetic, geometric, and harmonic means, $\{A_n\}$, $\{G_n\}$, and $\{H_n\}$, satisfy the above conditions (M-1)–(M-5). So the above mean is a generalization of well-known three means.

We first remark that by the condition (M-4) with $k = 1$

$$f_l(\overbrace{a, \dots, a}^l) = f_l(a) = a \quad (\forall l \in \mathbb{N}, \forall a \in \mathbb{R}_+). \tag{8}$$

Consider another condition (M-6);

(M-6) for any $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1l}, \dots, x_{k1}, \dots, x_{kl}) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{kl}$

$$f_k(f_l(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1l}), \dots, f_l(x_{k1}, \dots, x_{kl})) = f_{kl}(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1l}, \dots, x_{k1}, \dots, x_{kl}). \tag{9}$$

We will show that (M-4) and (M-5) are equivalent to (M-6) under the condition (M-3) and (8) above.

PROPOSITION 3.1 *Let $\mathcal{F} = \{f_k\}$ be a sequence of positive functions. If $\mathcal{F} = \{f_k\}$ is a mean then \mathcal{F} satisfies (M-6). Conversely if $\mathcal{F} = \{f_k\}$ satisfies the conditions (8), (M-3), and (M-6) then (M-4) and (M-5) are valid.*

Proof If \mathcal{F} is a mean then

$$\begin{aligned} & f_{kl}(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1l}, x_{21}, \dots, x_{2l}, \dots, x_{k1}, \dots, x_{kl}) \\ &= f_{kl}(\overbrace{f_l(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1l}), \dots, f_l(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1l}),}^l, \\ & \quad x_{21}, \dots, x_{2l}, \dots, x_{k1}, \dots, x_{kl}) \qquad \text{by (M-5)} \\ & \quad \vdots \\ &= f_{kl}(\overbrace{f_l(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1l}), \dots, f_l(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1l}),}^l, \\ & \quad \dots, \overbrace{f_l(x_{k1}, \dots, x_{kl}), \dots, f_l(x_{k1}, \dots, x_{kl})}^l) \quad \text{by (M-3), (M-5)} \\ &= f_k(f_l(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1l}), \dots, f_l(x_{k1}, \dots, x_{kl})), \quad \text{by (M-4)} \end{aligned}$$

so (M-6) holds. Conversely suppose that \mathcal{F} satisfies (8), (M-3), and (M-6). Put $x_{ij} = x_i$ ($j = 1, \dots, l$) in (M-6) then (M-4) holds by (8). For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and l with $1 \leq l \leq k$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & f_k(\overbrace{f_l(x_1, \dots, x_l), \dots, f_l(x_1, \dots, x_l)}^l, x_{l+1}, \dots, x_k) \\
 &= f_k(f_l(x_1, \dots, x_l), \dots, f_l(x_1, \dots, x_l), \\
 &\quad f_l(\overbrace{x_{l+1}, \dots, x_{l+1}}^l), \dots, f_l(\overbrace{x_k, \dots, x_k}^l)) \quad \text{by (8)} \\
 &= f_{kl}(x_1, \dots, x_l, \dots, x_1, \dots, x_l, \overbrace{x_{l+1}, \dots, x_{l+1}}^l, \\
 &\quad \dots, \overbrace{x_k, \dots, x_k}^l) \quad \text{by (M-6)} \\
 &= f_{kl}(\overbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}^l, \overbrace{x_2, \dots, x_2}^l, \dots, \overbrace{x_k, \dots, x_k}^l) \quad \text{by (M-3)} \\
 &= f_k(x_1, \dots, x_k), \quad \text{by (M-4)}
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies (M-5).

The order relation of two means $\mathcal{F} = \{f_k\}$ and $\mathcal{G} = \{g_k\}$ is defined in each coordinate, that is, $\mathcal{F} \leq \mathcal{G}$ if

$$f_k(x_1, \dots, x_k) \leq g_k(x_1, \dots, x_k) \quad (\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \forall (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{R}_+^k).$$

Consider two means $\mathcal{F} = \{f_k\}$, $\mathcal{G} = \{g_k\}$ and fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For any k with $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$, as (2) and (3), we define

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathbf{x}; k) &\equiv f_n C_k(g_k(x_1, \dots, x_k), \dots, g_k(x_{n-k+1}, \dots, x_n)), \\
 u(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{x}; k) &\equiv g_n C_k(f_k(x_1, \dots, x_k), \dots, f_k(x_{n-k+1}, \dots, x_n)). \quad (10)
 \end{aligned}$$

By the definition

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathbf{x}; 1) &= u(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{x}; n) = f_n(x_1, \dots, x_n), \\
 u(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathbf{x}; n) &= u(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{x}; 1) = g_n(x_1, \dots, x_n),
 \end{aligned}$$

so if $\mathcal{F} \leq \mathcal{G}$ then

$$u(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{x}; 1) \geq u(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{x}; n), \quad u(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathbf{x}; 1) \leq u(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathbf{x}; n).$$

The following is a generalization of Theorem 2.1.

THEOREM 3.2 Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$. If $\mathcal{F} \leq \mathcal{G}$ then the refinement $u(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{x}; k)$ is nonincreasing and $u(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathbf{x}; k)$ is nondecreasing with respect to k ($1 \leq k \leq n$), that is,

$$u(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{x}; 1) \geq u(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{x}; 2) \geq \dots \geq u(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{x}; n - 1) \geq u(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{x}; n), \tag{11}$$

$$u(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathbf{x}; 1) \leq u(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathbf{x}; 2) \leq \dots \leq u(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathbf{x}; n - 1) \leq u(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathbf{x}; n). \tag{12}$$

Proof Choose k with $2 \leq k \leq n$. Since for any $(y_1, \dots, y_k) \in \mathbb{R}_+^k$

$$\begin{aligned} & f_k(f_{k-1}(y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}), f_{k-1}(y_1, \dots, y_{k-2}, y_k), \dots, f_{k-1}(y_2, \dots, y_k)) \\ &= f_{k(k-1)}(y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}, y_1, \dots, y_{k-2}, y_k, \dots, y_2, \dots, y_k) \quad \text{by (9)} \\ &= f_{k(k-1)}(\overbrace{y_1, \dots, y_1}^{k-1}, \dots, \overbrace{y_k, \dots, y_k}^{k-1}) \quad \text{by (M-3)} \\ &= f_k(y_1, \dots, y_k) \quad \text{by (M-4),} \end{aligned}$$

we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} u(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{x}; k) &= g_{nC_k}(f_k(x_1, \dots, x_k), \dots, f_k(x_{n-k+1}, \dots, x_n)) \\ &= g_{nC_k}(f_k(f_{k-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}), f_{k-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{k-2}, x_k), \dots, \\ &\quad f_{k-1}(x_2, \dots, x_k)), \dots, f_k(f_{k-1}(x_{n-k+1}, \dots, x_{n-1}), \dots, \\ &\quad f_{k-1}(x_{n-k+2}, \dots, x_n))). \end{aligned}$$

According to the inequality $\mathcal{F} \leq \mathcal{G}$ and (M-2)

$$\begin{aligned} u(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{x}; k) &\leq g_{nC_k}(g_k(f_{k-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}), f_{k-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{k-2}, x_k), \dots, \\ &\quad f_{k-1}(x_2, \dots, x_k)), \dots, g_k(f_{k-1}(x_{n-k+1}, \dots, x_{n-1}), \dots, \\ &\quad f_{k-1}(x_{n-k+2}, \dots, x_n))) \\ &= g_{nC_k} \cdot k(f_{k-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}), f_{k-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{k-2}, x_k), \\ &\quad \dots, f_{k-1}(x_2, \dots, x_k), \dots, f_{k-1}(x_{n-k+1}, \dots, x_{n-1}), \\ &\quad \dots, f_{k-1}(x_{n-k+2}, \dots, x_n)) \quad \text{by (9)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= g_{nC_k} \cdot k \left(\overbrace{f_{k-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}), \dots, f_{k-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1})}^{n-k+1}, \dots, \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \overbrace{f_{k-1}(x_{n-k+2}, \dots, x_n), \dots, f_{k-1}(x_{n-k+2}, \dots, x_n)}^{n-k+1} \right) \quad \text{by (M-3)} \\
 &= g_{nC_{k-1} \cdot \{n-(k-1)\}} \left(\overbrace{f_{k-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}), \dots, f_{k-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1})}^{n-k+1}, \dots, \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \overbrace{f_{k-1}(x_{n-k+2}, \dots, x_n), \dots, f_{k-1}(x_{n-k+2}, \dots, x_n)}^{n-k+1} \right) \\
 &= g_{nC_{k-1}} (f_{k-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}), \dots, f_{k-1}(x_{n-k+2}, \dots, x_n)) \quad \text{by (M-4)} \\
 &= u(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{x}; k - 1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $u(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{x}; k)$ is nonincreasing and (12) is proved similarly.

Remark For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \neq 0$ consider the function M_n^t defined by

$$M_n^t(x_1, \dots, x_n) \equiv \left(\frac{x_1^t + \dots + x_n^t}{n} \right)^{1/t} \quad (\forall (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n).$$

Because $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} M_n^t(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_1 \cdots x_n)^{1/n}$, we define

$$M_n^0(x_1, \dots, x_n) \equiv (x_1 \cdots x_n)^{1/n} \quad (\forall (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n).$$

For a given n and (x_1, \dots, x_n) , $M_n^t(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is nondecreasing with respect to t . In particular, M_n^{-1} , M_n^0 , and M_n^1 are the harmonic, geometric, and arithmetic mean, respectively. So the functions M_n^t are interpolated in the harmonic, geometric, and arithmetic mean (see [2] for a detail of the function M_n^t). For a fixed t , the sequence $\{M_n^t\}_n$ satisfies the conditions (M-1)–(M-5). So $\mathcal{M}^t = \{M_n^t\}$ is also a mean in our sense.

Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ and choose k with $1 \leq k \leq n$. For any $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ let us consider

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(s, t, \mathbf{x}; k) &= u(\mathcal{M}^s, \mathcal{M}^t, \mathbf{x}; k) \\
 &= M_{nC_k}^s (M_k^t(x_1, \dots, x_k), \dots, M_k^t(x_{n-k+1}, \dots, x_n)).
 \end{aligned}$$

If $s \leq t$ then $\mathcal{M}^s \leq \mathcal{M}^t$, so by Theorem 3.2 we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} u(t, s, \mathbf{x}; 1) &\geq u(t, s, \mathbf{x}; 2) \geq \cdots \geq u(t, s, \mathbf{x}; n-1) \geq u(t, s, \mathbf{x}; n), \\ u(s, t, \mathbf{x}; 1) &\leq u(s, t, \mathbf{x}; 2) \leq \cdots \leq u(s, t, \mathbf{x}; n-1) \leq u(s, t, \mathbf{x}; n). \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgments

The authors are partly supported by the Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research, The Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, Japan.

References

- [1] H. Alzer, A sharpening of the arithmetic mean-geometric mean inequality, *Utilitas Math.* **41** (1992), 249–252.
- [2] E.F. Beckenbach and R. Bellman, *Inequalities*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–Göttingen–Heidelberg, 1961.
- [3] R.F. Faiziev, A number of new general inequalities and identities, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk. Tadzhik SSR* **32** (1989), 577–581.
- [4] J. Pečarić, On a recent sharpening of the arithmetic mean-geometric mean inequality, *Utilitas Math.* **48** (1995), 3–4.
- [5] S.-E. Takahasi and Y. Miura, A generalization of the Alzer–Faiziev inequality, *Utilitas Math.* **51** (1997), 3–8.