

Some Remarks on Kato's Inequality

TOSHIO HORIUCHI

*Department of Mathematical Sciences, Ibaraki University,
Mito, Ibaraki, 310, Japan*

(Received 30 August 1999; Revised 4 November 1999)

Let $N \geq 1$ and $p > 1$. Let Ω be a domain of \mathbb{R}^N . In this article we shall establish Kato's inequalities for p -harmonic operators L_p . Here L_p is defined as $L_p u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$ for $u \in K_p(\Omega)$, where $K_p(\Omega)$ is an admissible class. If $p=2$ for example, then we have $K_2(\Omega) = \{u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega) : \partial_j u, \partial_{jk}^2 u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega) \text{ for } j, k = 1, 2, \dots, N\}$. Then we shall prove that $L_p|u| \geq (\operatorname{sgn} u) L_p u$ and $L_p u^+ \geq (\operatorname{sgn}^+ u)^{p-1} L_p u$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ with $u \in K_p(\Omega)$. These inequalities are called Kato's inequalities provided that $p=2$.

Keywords: Kato's inequality; p -Harmonic operators

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35J70, 35J60

1 INTRODUCTION

Let $N \geq 1$. Let Ω be a domain of \mathbb{R}^N . Define

$$M(x, \partial_x) = \partial_{x_j} (a_{jk}(x) \partial_{x_k}), \quad (1.1)$$

where $a_{jk}(x) \in C^1(\Omega)$ is positive definite in the following sense.

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^N a_{jk}(x) \xi_j \xi_k \geq C |\xi|^2, \quad \text{for any } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\} \text{ and } x \in \Omega. \quad (1.2)$$

Here C is a positive number independent if each x and ξ . First we recall well-known Kato's inequalities. (For the proof, see [1]).

THEOREM 1.1 *For u and $M(x, \partial_x)u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$, we have*

$$M(x, \partial_x)|u| \geq (M(x, \partial_x)u) \operatorname{sgn} u \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega), \quad (1.3)$$

$$M(x, \partial_x)u_+ \geq (M(x, \partial_x)u) \operatorname{sgn}^+ u \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega). \quad (1.4)$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{sgn} u(x) &= \begin{cases} \frac{u(x)}{|u(x)|}, & \text{for } u \neq 0, \\ 0, & \text{for } u = 0, \end{cases} \\ \operatorname{sgn}^+ u(x) &= \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } u > 0, \\ 1/2, & \text{for } u = 0, \\ 0, & \text{for } u < 0, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

and $u_+ = \max[u(x), 0]$. By $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ we denote the set of all distributions on Ω .

In this paper we shall consider the operators defined by

$$\begin{aligned} L_p u &= \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u), \\ &= |\nabla u|^{p-2} \Delta u + (p-2) |\nabla u|^{p-4} \sum_{j,k=1}^N \partial_j u \partial_k u \partial_{j,k}^2 u, \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

where $p > 1$ and $\partial_j u = \partial u / \partial x_j$, $\partial_{j,k}^2 u = \partial^2 u / (\partial x_j \partial x_k)$ for $j, k = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Then we shall generalize Theorem 1.1 for the operators L_p in place of linear elliptic operators represented by the Laplacian.

This paper is organized in the following way. In Section 2 we prepare basic inequalities including the p -harmonic operators L_p . In Section 3 we shall state our main result, and the proof is also given there.

2 PRELIMINARY

We shall establish some fundamental inequalities for smooth functions u , which are useful to prove our main result.

LEMMA 2.1 *Assume that $u \in C^2(\Omega)$. Then it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} L_p |u| &\geq (\operatorname{sgn} u) L_p u && \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega), \\ L_p u_+ &\geq (\operatorname{sgn}^+ u)^{p-1} L_p u && \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega). \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

Here by $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ we denote the set of all distributions on Ω .

Proof For any $\varepsilon > 0$ we set

$$u_\varepsilon = (u^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{1/2}. \quad (2.2)$$

Then we see

$$\partial_j u_\varepsilon = \frac{u}{u_\varepsilon} \partial_j u, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\partial_j^2 u_\varepsilon = \frac{u}{u_\varepsilon} \partial_j^2 u + \frac{1}{u_\varepsilon} \left(1 - \left(\frac{u}{u_\varepsilon} \right)^2 \right) (\partial_j u)^2 \geq \frac{u}{u_\varepsilon} \partial_j^2 u. \quad (2.4)$$

Here $\partial_j^2 u = \partial^2 u / \partial x_j^2, j = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Using these we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_p u_\varepsilon &= \left| \frac{u}{u_\varepsilon} \right|^{p-2} \left(\frac{u}{u_\varepsilon} L_p u + (p-1) \frac{1}{u_\varepsilon} \left(1 - \left(\frac{u}{u_\varepsilon} \right)^2 |\nabla u|^p \right) \right) \\ &\geq \left| \frac{u}{u_\varepsilon} \right|^{p-2} \frac{u}{u_\varepsilon} L_p u. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

In a similar way we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_p \left(\frac{u + u_\varepsilon}{2} \right) &= \left(\frac{1 + (u/u_\varepsilon)}{2} \right)^{p-1} \left(L_p u + (p-1) \frac{2}{u_\varepsilon} \left(1 - \frac{u}{u_\varepsilon} \right) |\nabla u|^p \right) \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1 + (u/u_\varepsilon)}{2} \right)^{p-1} L_p u. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Since $2u_+ = u + |u|$ holds, letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we have the desired inequalities.

In the next we shall consider the operators $L_{p,\eta}$ for $\eta \geq 0$ defined by

$$L_{p,\eta} u = \operatorname{div} \left((\eta^2 + |\nabla u|^2)^{(p-2)/2} \nabla u \right). \quad (2.7)$$

Then we see

$$\begin{aligned} L_{p,\eta} u_\varepsilon &= \frac{u}{u_\varepsilon} (\eta^2 + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{(p-2)/2} \left(\Delta u + (p-2) \left(\frac{u}{u_\varepsilon} \right)^2 \frac{\partial_j u \partial_k u \partial_{j,k} u}{\eta^2 + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{u_\varepsilon} \left(1 - \left(\frac{u}{u_\varepsilon} \right)^2 \right) (\eta^2 + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{(p-2)/2} |\nabla u|^2 \\ &\quad \times \left(1 + (p-2) \left(\frac{u}{u_\varepsilon} \right)^2 \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{\eta^2 + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{u}{u_\varepsilon} (\eta^2 + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{(p-2)/2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\Delta u + (p-2) \left(\frac{u}{u_\varepsilon} \right)^2 \frac{\sum_{j,k=1}^N \partial_j u \partial_k u \partial_{j,k} u}{\eta^2 + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Similarly we can compute $L_{p,\eta}((u + u_\varepsilon)/2)$ to obtain the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{p,\eta}\left(\frac{u + u_\varepsilon}{2}\right) &= w_\varepsilon(\eta^2 + w_\varepsilon^2|\nabla u|^2)^{(p-2)/2} \left(\Delta u + (p-2)w_\varepsilon^2 \frac{\sum_{j,k=1}^N \partial_{j,k} u \partial_{j,k} u}{\eta^2 + w_\varepsilon^2|\nabla u|^2} \right) \\
&\quad + (\nabla w_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla u)(\eta^2 + w_\varepsilon^2|\nabla u|^2)^{(p-2)/2} \left(1 + (p-2)w_\varepsilon^2 \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{\eta^2 + w_\varepsilon^2|\nabla u|^2} \right). \tag{2.9}
\end{aligned}$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned}
w_\varepsilon &= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{u}{u_\varepsilon} \right), \\
\nabla w_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla u &= \frac{w_\varepsilon}{u_\varepsilon} \left(1 - \frac{u}{u_\varepsilon} \right) |\nabla u|^2. \tag{2.10}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

LEMMA 2.2 *For $u \in C^2(\Omega)$ it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{p,\eta}u_\varepsilon &\geq \frac{u}{u_\varepsilon} (\eta^2 + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{(p-2)/2} \\
&\quad \times \left(\Delta u + (p-2) \left(\frac{u}{u_\varepsilon} \right)^2 \frac{\sum_{j,k=1}^N \partial_{j,k} u \partial_{j,k} u}{\eta^2 + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2} \right), \tag{2.11}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{p,\eta}\left(\frac{u + u_\varepsilon}{2}\right) &\geq w_\varepsilon(\eta^2 + w_\varepsilon^2|\nabla u|^2)^{(p-2)/2} \\
&\quad \times \left(\Delta u + (p-2)w_\varepsilon^2 \frac{\sum_{j,k=1}^N \partial_{j,k} u \partial_{j,k} u}{\eta^2 + w_\varepsilon^2|\nabla u|^2} \right). \tag{2.12}
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have for $u \in C^2(\Omega)$

LEMMA 2.3 *For $u \in C^2(\Omega)$ it holds that in $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$*

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{p,\eta}|u| &\geq (\operatorname{sgn} u)(\eta^2 + |\nabla u|^2)^{(p-2)/2} \left(\Delta u + (p-2) \frac{\sum_{j,k=1}^N \partial_{j,k} u \partial_{j,k} u}{\eta^2 + |\nabla u|^2} \right) \\
&= (\operatorname{sgn} u)L_{p,\eta}u, \tag{2.13}
\end{aligned}$$

$$L_{p,\eta}u_+ \geq (\operatorname{sgn}^+u)(\eta^2 + (\operatorname{sgn}^+u)^2|\nabla u|^2)^{(p-2)/2} \\ \times \left(\Delta u + (p-2)(\operatorname{sgn}^+u)^2 \frac{\sum_{j,k=1}^N \partial_{j,k}u \partial_j u \partial_k u}{\eta^2 + (\operatorname{sgn}^+u)^2|\nabla u|^2} \right). \quad (2.14)$$

3 MAIN RESULT

We introduce an admissible class $K_p(\Omega)$ for the operators L_p .

DEFINITION 3.1 *Let $p > 1$ and $p^* = \max(p-1, 1)$. Let us set*

$$K_p(\Omega) = \{u \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega): \partial_j u, \partial_{jk}^2 u \in L_{\text{loc}}^{p^*}(\Omega), \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} |\partial_{jk}^2 u| \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega) \text{ for } j, k = 1, 2, \dots, N\}. \quad (3.1)$$

Now we are in a position to state our main result.

THEOREM 3.1 *Let $p > 1$. Assume that $u \in K_p(\Omega)$, then it holds that in $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$*

$$L_p|u| \geq (\operatorname{sgn} u)L_p u, \\ L_p u_+ \geq (\operatorname{sgn}^+ u)^{p-1} L_p u. \quad (3.2)$$

Remark 1 (1) If $p=2$, then $K_2(\Omega) = \{u \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega): \partial_j u, \partial_{jk}^2 u \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega), \text{ for } j, k = 1, 2, \dots, N\}$. Since $L_2 = \Delta$ in this case, it is known that Kato's inequalities hold under the assumptions that $u, \Delta u \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega)$. But if $p \neq 2$, the operator L_p is nonlinear. Hence it was needed to introduce the class K_p . If $p > 2$, we see $|\nabla u|^{p-2} |\partial_{jk}^2 u| \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega)$ by a Young's inequality.

(2) We can also establish the same type results for the operators with variable coefficients.

Proof Without loss of generality, we assume that $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$. If $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then the assertions follow from Lemma 2.1. Hence we approximate a locally integrable function u by smooth functions u_ρ ($\rho > 0$) as follows: Let us set $B_r = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N: |x| < r\}$. Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfy $\varphi \geq 0$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi(x) dx = 1$ and $\varphi = 0$ in B_2^c . Now we set

$\varphi_\rho(x) = \rho^{-N} \varphi(x/\rho)$ for $\rho > 0$ and define

$$u_\rho(x) = u * \varphi_\rho(x) \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(x-y) \varphi_\rho(y) \, dy. \quad (3.3)$$

Then it is clear from the assumptions on u that as $\rho \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{aligned} u_\rho &\rightarrow u \quad \text{almost everywhere} \\ u_\rho, \partial_j u_\rho, \partial_{j,k}^2 u_\rho &\rightarrow u, \partial_j u, \partial_{j,k}^2 u \quad \text{in } L_{\text{loc}}^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \text{respectively.} \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Moreover we shall show that as $\rho \rightarrow 0$

$$L_p u_\rho \rightarrow L_p u \quad \text{in } L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^N). \quad (3.5)$$

First it follows from the definition of the operator L_p that for a smooth function v

$$|L_p v| \leq (p-1) |\nabla v|^{p-2} \sum_{j=1}^N |\partial_{j,k}^2 v|. \quad (3.6)$$

Therefore we see $L_p u \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Now we assume that $p \geq 2$. Then from Hölder's inequality it holds that for any $\rho > 0$ and any compact set K

$$\begin{aligned} \int_K |L_p u_\rho| \, dx &\leq (p-1) \int_K |\nabla u_\rho|^{p-2} \sum_{j=1}^N |\partial_{j,k}^2 u_\rho| \, dx \\ &\leq (p-1) \sum_{j,k=1}^N \left(\int_K |\nabla u_\rho|^{p-1} \, dx \right)^{(p-2)/(p-1)} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_K |\partial_{j,k}^2 u_\rho|^{p-1} \, dx \right)^{1/(p-1)} \\ &\leq C(K) < +\infty. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Here $C(K)$ is a positive number independent of each $\rho > 0$. Hence by (3.4) and the dominated convergence theorem we have $L_p u_\rho \rightarrow L_p u$ in $L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$

as $\rho \rightarrow 0$. From Lemma 1.1 and the dominated convergence theorem we see

$$L_p(u_\rho)_\varepsilon \geq \left| \frac{u_\rho}{(u_\rho)_\varepsilon} \right|^{p-2} \frac{u_\rho}{(u_\rho)_\varepsilon} L_p u_\rho \quad (3.8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left| \frac{u_\rho}{(u_\rho)_\varepsilon} \right|^{p-2} \frac{u_\rho}{(u_\rho)_\varepsilon} (L_p u_\rho - L_p u) \\ &\quad + L_p u \left(\left| \frac{u_\rho}{(u_\rho)_\varepsilon} \right|^{p-2} \frac{u_\rho}{(u_\rho)_\varepsilon} - \left| \frac{u}{(u)_\varepsilon} \right|^{p-2} \frac{u}{(u)_\varepsilon} \right) \\ &\quad + \left| \frac{u}{(u)_\varepsilon} \right|^{p-2} \frac{u}{(u)_\varepsilon} L_p u \\ &\rightarrow \left| \frac{u}{(u)_\varepsilon} \right|^{p-2} \frac{u}{(u)_\varepsilon} L_p u, \quad \text{as } \rho \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Since $L_p(u_\rho)_\varepsilon \rightarrow L_p u_\varepsilon$ in the sense of the distribution, we get

$$L_p u_\varepsilon \geq \left| \frac{u}{(u)_\varepsilon} \right|^{p-2} \frac{u}{(u)_\varepsilon} L_p u \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^N). \quad (3.10)$$

Then by letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we see $L_p u_\varepsilon \rightarrow L_p |u|$ in the sense of the distribution, and the right-hand side tends to $(\text{sgn } u) L_p u$ in $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Therefore we get the desired inequality.

We proceed to the case that $1 < p < 2$. In this case we make use of $L_{p,\eta}$ instead. First we see for any compact set K of \mathbb{R}^N and any $\eta > 0$,

$$\int_K |L_{p,\eta} u| \, dx \leq (p-1) \int_K (\eta^2 + |\nabla u|^2)^{(p-2)/2} \sum_{j,k=1}^N |\partial_{j,k}^2 u| \, dx < \infty. \quad (3.11)$$

Here we note that $1 < p < 2$ and $\partial_{j,k}^2 u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $j, k = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Let u_ρ be defined by (3.3). Then it follows from Lemma 2.2 that $(u_\rho)_\varepsilon$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} L_{p,\eta}(u_\rho)_\varepsilon &\geq \frac{u_\rho}{(u_\rho)_\varepsilon} (\eta^2 + |\nabla(u_\rho)_\varepsilon|^2)^{(p-2)/2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\Delta u_\rho + (p-2) \left(\frac{u_\rho}{(u_\rho)_\varepsilon} \right)^2 \frac{\sum_{j,k=1}^N \partial_j u_\rho \partial_k u_\rho \partial_{j,k} u_\rho}{\eta^2 + |\nabla(u_\rho)_\varepsilon|^2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

As $\rho \rightarrow 0$, we see $L_{p,\eta}(u_\rho)_\varepsilon \rightarrow L_{p,\eta}(u)_\varepsilon$ in the sense of distribution, and the terms in the right-hand side also converges in $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Therefore we get in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^N)$

$$\begin{aligned} L_{p,\eta}u_\varepsilon &\geq \frac{u}{u_\varepsilon}(\eta^2 + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2)^{(p-2)/2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\Delta u + (p-2) \left(\frac{u}{u_\varepsilon} \right)^2 \frac{\sum_{j,k=1}^N \partial_j u \partial_k u \partial_{j,k} u}{\eta^2 + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we have in a similar way in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^N)$

$$\begin{aligned} L_{p,\eta}|u| &\geq (\text{sgn } u)(\eta^2 + |\nabla u|^2)^{(p-2)/2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\Delta u + (p-2) \frac{\sum_{j,k=1}^N \partial_j u \partial_k u \partial_{j,k} u}{\eta^2 + |\nabla u|^2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Finally by letting $\eta \rightarrow 0$, we have in the sense of distribution $L_{p,\eta}|u| \rightarrow L_p|u|$, and the right-hand side also converges in $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. After all we get

$$L_p|u| \geq (\text{sgn } u)L_p u \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^N). \quad (3.15)$$

In a similar way we can show

$$L_p u_+ \geq (\text{sgn}^+ u)^{p-1} L_p u \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad (3.16)$$

by making use of Lemma 2.2. Therefore the assertions are proved.

Reference

- [1] T. Kato, Schrödinger operators with singular potentials, *Israel J. Math.*, **13** (1972), 135–148.