



A NOTE ON STIRLING SERIES

Markus Kuba¹

*Institut für Diskrete Mathematik und Geometrie, Technische Universität Wien,
Wien, Austria*

kuba@dmg.tuwien.ac.at

Helmut Prodinger

Department of Mathematics, University of Stellenbosch, South Africa

hproding@sun.ac.za

Received: 4/8/09, Revised: 3/30/10, Accepted: 4/8/10, Published: 9/8/10

Abstract

We study sums $S = S(d, n, k) = \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{\binom{[d]}{j}}{j^k \binom{n+j}{j} j!}$ with $d \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ and $n, k \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ and relate them to (finite) multiple zeta functions. As a byproduct of our results we obtain asymptotic expansions of $\zeta(d+1) - H_n^{(d+1)}$ as n tends to infinity. Furthermore, we relate sums S to Nielsen's polylogarithm.

1. Introduction

The unsigned Stirling numbers of the first kind, also called Stirling cycle numbers, are defined by the recurrence relation

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = (n-1) \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad n \geq 1, \quad \text{with} \quad \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \delta_{n,0}, \quad n \geq 0,$$

where $\delta_{i,j}$ denotes the Kronecker delta function. Throughout this work we use Knuth's notation $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}$. It is well-known that Stirling numbers of the first kind are closely related to harmonic numbers, i.e. $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = (n-1)!H_{n-1}$, $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = (n-1)!(H_{n-1}^2 - H_n^{(2)})/2$, where for $s, n \in \mathbb{N}$ the values $H_n^{(s)} = \sum_{\ell=1}^n 1/\ell^s$ denote n -th harmonic numbers of order s , $H_n = H_n^{(1)}$. Furthermore, it is known (e.g., see Adamchik [1]) that Stirling numbers of the first kind are expressible in terms of (finite) multiple zeta functions defined by

$$\zeta_N(a_1, \dots, a_\ell) = \sum_{N \geq n_1 > n_2 > \dots > n_\ell \geq 1} \frac{1}{n_1^{a_1} n_2^{a_2} \dots n_\ell^{a_\ell}},$$

$$\zeta(a_1, \dots, a_\ell) = \sum_{n_1 > n_2 > \dots > n_\ell \geq 1} \frac{1}{n_1^{a_1} n_2^{a_2} \dots n_\ell^{a_\ell}},$$

¹Supported by the Austrian Science Foundation FWF, grant S9608-N13

by the following formula:

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = (n-1)! \zeta_{n-1}(\underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{k-1}) = (n-1)! \cdot \zeta_{n-1}(\{1\}_{k-1}).$$

We use the shorthand notations $\zeta(\cup_{i=1}^r \{a_i\}) = \zeta(a_1, \dots, a_r)$, and $\zeta(\cup_{i=1}^r \{a_i\}) = \zeta(\{a\}_r)$. Note that for $n, s \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ we have $\zeta_n(s) = H_n^{(s)}$. We are interested in evaluations of sums $S = \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{\binom{[d]}{j}}{j^k \binom{n+j}{j} j!}$ with $d \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ and $n, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. We assume that n and k are chosen in such a way that $n + k \geq 1$ in order to ensure that the sum converges. Special instances of this family of sums have been studied by Adamchik [1], and also by Choi and Srivastava [6] (see, e.g., page 252).

2. Evaluation of Sum S

We obtain the following result.

Theorem 1. *The sum $S = S(d, n, k)$ with $d \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ and $n, k \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ can be evaluated in terms of harmonic numbers and (finite) multiple zeta functions,*

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \sum_{m=2}^{k+1} (-1)^{k+1-m} \zeta(m, \{1\}_{d-1}) \sum_{\substack{m_1, \dots, m_{k+1-m} \geq 0 \\ \sum_{i=1}^{k+1-m} i \cdot m_i = k+1-m}} \prod_{r=1}^{k+1-m} \frac{(H_n^{(r)})^{m_r}}{r^{m_r} m_r!} \\ &+ (-1)^k \sum_{h=1}^k \sum_{1 \leq \ell_1 < \ell_2 < \dots < \ell_{h-1} < k} \zeta_n(\ell_1, \ell_2 - \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{h-1} - \ell_{h-2}, d + k - \ell_{h-1}), \end{aligned}$$

subject to $\ell_0 := 0$. We have the short equivalent expression

$$S = (-1)^k \zeta_n^*(\{1\}_{k-1}, d+1) + \sum_{m=2}^{k+1} (-1)^{k+1-m} \zeta(m, \{1\}_{d-1}) \zeta_n^*(\{1\}_{k+1-m}).$$

Remark 2. The second expression for the sum S is given according to a variant of finite multiple zeta functions, $\zeta_N^*(a_1, \dots, a_k)$, which recently attracted some

interest [2, 12, 9, 7], where the summation indices satisfy $N \geq n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots \geq n_k \geq 1$ in contrast to $N \geq n_1 > n_2 > \dots > n_k > 1$, as in the usual definition (1),

$$\zeta_N^*(a_1, \dots, a_k) = \sum_{N \geq n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots \geq n_k \geq 1} \frac{1}{n_1^{a_1} n_2^{a_2} \dots n_k^{a_k}}.$$

The form stated above is due to the conversion formula below applied to $\zeta_n^*(\{1\}_{k-1}, d+1)$,

$$\zeta_N^*(a_1, \dots, a_k) = \sum_{h=1}^k \sum_{\substack{1 \leq \ell_1 < \ell_2 < \dots < \ell_{h-1} < k \\ \ell_0=0}} \zeta_N \left(\sum_{i_1=1}^{\ell_1} a_{i_1}, \sum_{i_2=\ell_1+1}^{\ell_2} a_{i_2}, \dots, \sum_{i_h=\ell_{h-1}+1}^k a_{i_h} \right).$$

Note that the first term $h = 1$ should be interpreted as $\zeta_N(\sum_{i_1=\ell_0+1}^k a_{i_1})$, subject to $\ell_0 = 0$. The notation $\zeta_N^*(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ is chosen in analogy with Aoki and Ohno [2] where infinite counterparts of $\zeta_N^*(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ have been treated; see also Ohno [12].

Remark 3. The sum $\zeta(m, \{1\}_{d-1})$ can be completely transformed into single zeta values. By results of Borwein, Bradley and Broadhoarst [3]

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(2, \{1\}_d) &= \zeta(d+2) \\ \zeta(3, \{1\}_d) &= \frac{d+2}{2} \zeta(d+3) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell=1}^d \zeta(\ell+1) \zeta(d+2-\ell). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, in the general case of $\zeta(m+2, \{1\}_d) = \zeta(d+2, \{1\}_m)$ one obtains products of up to $\min\{m+1, d+1\}$ zeta values, according to the generating function, see [3],

$$\sum_{m, n \geq 0} \zeta(m+2, \{1\}_n) x^{m+1} y^{n+1} = 1 - \exp \left(\sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{x^k + y^k - (x+y)^k}{k} \zeta(k) \right). \tag{1}$$

Below we state three specific evaluations of the sum S for special choices of d, n, k .

Corollary 4. For $k = 0$ and arbitrary $n, d \in \mathbb{N}$ we get

$$S(d, n, 0) = \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{\binom{[d]}{j}}{\binom{n+j}{j} j!} = \frac{1}{n^d}.$$

For $k = 1$ and arbitrary $n, d \in \mathbb{N}$ we get

$$S(d, n, 1) = \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{\begin{bmatrix} j \\ d \end{bmatrix}}{j \binom{n+j}{j} j!} = \zeta(2, \{1\}_{d-1}) - \zeta_n(d+1) = \zeta(d+1) - H_n^{(d+1)}, \quad (2)$$

For $n = 0$ and arbitrary $d, k \in \mathbb{N}$ we get

$$S(d, 0, k) = \zeta(k+1, \{1\}_{d-1}).$$

In order to prove the results above we proceed as follows. Since

$$\frac{1}{\binom{n+j}{j}} = \frac{n!}{(n+j)!} = \sum_{\ell=1}^n n \binom{n-1}{\ell-1} \frac{(-1)^{\ell-1}}{j+\ell},$$

we obtain

$$S = \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{\begin{bmatrix} j \\ d \end{bmatrix}}{j^k \binom{n+j}{j} j!} = \sum_{\ell=1}^n n \binom{n-1}{\ell-1} (-1)^{\ell-1} \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{\begin{bmatrix} j \\ d \end{bmatrix}}{j! j^k (j+\ell)}.$$

We use partial fraction decomposition and obtain

$$\frac{1}{j^k (j+\ell)} = \sum_{m=2}^k \frac{(-1)^{k-m}}{j^m \ell^{k+1-m}} + \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{\ell^k} \left(\frac{1}{j} - \frac{1}{j+\ell} \right).$$

Consequently, by using the partial fraction decomposition above and the representation of Stirling numbers by finite multiple zeta functions, we get

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \sum_{\ell=1}^n n \binom{n-1}{\ell-1} (-1)^{\ell-1} \sum_{m=2}^{k+1} \frac{(-1)^{k+1-m}}{\ell^{k+2-m}} \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{\zeta_{j-1}(\{1\}_{d-1})}{j^m} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell=1}^n n \binom{n-1}{\ell-1} (-1)^{\ell-1} \frac{(-1)^k}{\ell^{k+1}} \sum_{j \geq 1} \zeta_{j-1}(\{1\}_{d-1}) \left(\frac{1}{j} - \frac{1}{j+\ell} \right) = S_1 + S_2. \end{aligned}$$

By definition of the multiple zeta function we get

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \sum_{\ell=1}^n n \binom{n-1}{\ell-1} (-1)^{\ell-1} \sum_{m=2}^{k+1} \frac{(-1)^{k+1-m}}{\ell^{k+2-m}} \zeta(m, \{1\}_{d-1}) \\ &= \sum_{m=2}^{k+1} (-1)^{k+1-m} \zeta(m, \{1\}_{d-1}) \sum_{\ell=1}^n n \binom{n-1}{\ell-1} \frac{(-1)^{\ell-1}}{\ell^{k+2-m}}. \end{aligned}$$

We rewrite the inner sum as

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^n n \binom{n-1}{\ell-1} \frac{(-1)^{\ell-1}}{\ell^{k+2-m}} = \sum_{\ell=1}^n \binom{n}{\ell} \frac{(-1)^{\ell-1}}{\ell^{k+1-m}}.$$

This sum can be evaluated by using the following result of Flajolet and Sedgewick [8]:

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^n \binom{n}{\ell} \frac{(-1)^{\ell-1}}{\ell^m} = \sum_{\sum_{i=1}^m i \cdot m_i = m} \prod_{r=1}^m \frac{(H_n^{(r)})^{m_r}}{r^{m_r} m_r!}.$$

We recall that $H_n^{(s)} = \sum_{\ell=1}^n 1/\ell^s$ denotes the n -th harmonic number of order s ; in other words we have $H_n^{(s)} = \zeta_n(s)$, according to our previous definition of finite multiple zeta functions (1). Furthermore, it is well-known that $\sum_{\ell=1}^n \binom{n}{\ell} \frac{(-1)^{\ell-1}}{\ell^m} = \zeta_n^*(\{1\}_m)$, which can immediately be deduced by repeated usage of the formula $\binom{n}{k} = \sum_{\ell=k}^n \binom{\ell-1}{k-1}$. The multiple zeta function $\zeta(m, \{1\}_d)$ is evaluated using a result of Borwein, Bradley and Broadhoarst [3] (see Remark 3). Consequently, we can write sum S_1 as a finite sum involving higher order harmonic numbers and products of zeta functions and obtain the first part of our result. For the simplification of the inner sum

$$S_2 = \sum_{\ell=1}^n n \binom{n-1}{\ell-1} (-1)^{\ell-1} \frac{(-1)^k}{\ell^{k+1}} \sum_{j \geq 1} \zeta_{j-1}(\{1\}_{d-1}) \left(\frac{1}{j} - \frac{1}{j+\ell} \right),$$

we use the notation $T_{m,\ell} = \sum_{j \geq 1} \zeta_{j-1}(\{1\}_m) \left(\frac{1}{j} - \frac{1}{j+\ell} \right)$. Subsequently, we interchange summation (compare with [11]). First we start with the simple case $m = 1$ and calculate $T_{1,\ell}$, since it is most instructive.

$$T_{1,\ell} = \sum_{j \geq 1} H_{j-1} \left(\frac{1}{j} - \frac{1}{j+\ell} \right) = \sum_{j \geq 1} H_j \left(\frac{1}{j+1} - \frac{1}{j+1+\ell} \right).$$

Since by definition $H_j = \sum_{h=1}^j 1/h$ we obtain after summation change (partial summation)

$$T_{1,\ell} = \sum_{h \geq 1} \frac{1}{h} \sum_{j \geq h} \left(\frac{1}{j+1} - \frac{1}{j+1+\ell} \right) = \sum_{h \geq 1} \frac{1}{h} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{j+h}.$$

By partial fraction decomposition we get

$$T_{1,\ell} = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{j} \sum_{h \geq 1} \left(\frac{1}{h} - \frac{1}{j+h} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \frac{H_j}{j} = \frac{H_{\ell}^2 + H_{\ell}^{(2)}}{2}.$$

Now we turn to the general case $T_{m,\ell}$. Shifting the index as before, and changing the order of summation leads to

$$T_{m,\ell} = \sum_{h \geq 1} \frac{\zeta_{h-1}(\{1\}_{m-1})}{h} \sum_{j \geq h} \left(\frac{1}{j+1} - \frac{1}{j+1+\ell} \right)$$

Consequently,

$$T_{m,\ell} = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{j} \sum_{h \geq 1} \zeta_{h-1}(\{1\}_{m-1}) \left(\frac{1}{h} - \frac{1}{h+j} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{j} T_{m-1,j}.$$

Hence, the value $T_{m,\ell}$ is a variant of the finite multiple zeta function $\zeta_{\ell}(\{1\}_{m+1})$, where the summation indices satisfy $N \geq n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots \geq n_m \geq n_{m+1} \geq 1$ instead of $N \geq n_1 > n_2 > \dots > n_m > n_{m+1} > 1$, see Remark 2, such that $T_{m,\ell} = \zeta_{\ell}^*(\{1\}_{m+1})$. We further obtain

$$T_{m,\ell} = \zeta_{\ell}^*(\{1\}_{m+1}) = \sum_{h=1}^{\ell} \binom{\ell}{h} \frac{(-1)^{h-1}}{h^{m+1}},$$

according to the well-known formula $\binom{n}{k} = \sum_{\ell=k}^n \binom{\ell-1}{k-1}$. Consequently, the sum S_2 simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} S_2 &= (-1)^k \sum_{\ell=1}^n \binom{n}{\ell} \frac{(-1)^{\ell-1}}{\ell^k} \sum_{h=1}^{\ell} \binom{\ell}{h} \frac{(-1)^{h-1}}{h^d} \\ &= (-1)^k \sum_{h=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{h-1}}{h^d} \sum_{\ell=h}^n \binom{n}{\ell} \binom{\ell}{h} \frac{(-1)^{\ell-1}}{\ell^k}, \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently

$$S_2 = (-1)^k \sum_{\ell=1}^n \binom{n}{\ell} \frac{(-1)^{\ell-1}}{\ell^k} \zeta_{\ell}^*(\{1\}_d).$$

In order to obtain the final form of S_2 for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we combine our previous considerations as follows:

$$S_2 = (-1)^k \sum_{h_1=1}^n \frac{1}{h_1} \sum_{h_2=1}^{h_1} \frac{1}{h_2} \dots \sum_{h_{k+1}=1}^{h_k} \binom{h_k}{h_{k+1}} (-1)^{h_{k+1}-1} \zeta_{h_{k+1}}^*(\{1\}_d).$$

We use the fact that $\sum_{\ell=h}^n \binom{n}{\ell} \binom{\ell}{h} (-1)^{\ell-1} = \delta_{h,n} (-1)^{n-1}$ and the sum S_2 simplifies to

$$S_2 = (-1)^k \zeta_n^*(\{1\}_{k-1}, d+1).$$

In the case $k = 0$ we use

$$S_2 = \sum_{h=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{h-1}}{h^d} \sum_{\ell=h}^n \binom{n}{\ell} \binom{\ell}{h} (-1)^{\ell-1} = \frac{1}{n^d}.$$

2.1. An Application: Asymptotic Expansions

Following Romik [13] we note that the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{[d]}{j^k \binom{n+j}{j} j!} = 0$ provides information about the convergence of the two sums appearing in the results for $S = S_1 + S_2$, stated in Theorem 1. This is of particular interest in the special case $k = 1$ and arbitrary $n, d \in \mathbb{N}$, where we have obtained $\zeta(d + 1) - H_n^{(d+1)} = \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{[d]}{j \binom{n+j}{j} j!}$ (see Corollary 4).

Proposition 5. *We have the following asymptotic expansions for $n \rightarrow \infty$*

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^k \zeta_n^*(\{1\}_{k-1}, d + 1) + \sum_{m=2}^{k+1} (-1)^{k+1-m} \zeta(m, \{1\}_{d-1}) \zeta_n^*(\{1\}_{k+1-m}) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{[d]}{j^k \binom{n+j}{j} j!} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}2^n}\right). \end{aligned}$$

In the case of $S = S(d, n, 1)$ with $k = 1$ and arbitrary $n, d \in \mathbb{N}$ we obtain in particular:

$$\zeta(d + 1) - H_n^{(d+1)} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{[d]}{j \binom{n+j}{j} j!} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}2^n}\right), \quad \text{for } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. We can split the summation range $j \geq 1$ into $1 \leq j \leq N$ and $j \geq N + 1 > k$. We get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j \geq N+1} \frac{[d]}{j^k \binom{n+j}{j} j!} &< \sum_{j \geq N+1} \frac{2}{j^k \binom{n+j}{j}} < \sum_{j \geq N+1} \frac{2}{k! \binom{j}{k} \binom{n+j}{j}} \\ &= \frac{(n + 1 + N)}{k!(k - 1 + N) \binom{n+1+N}{n} \binom{N+1}{k}}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we readily obtain, setting $N = n$ and using Stirling’s formula,

$$n! = \frac{n^n}{e^n} \sqrt{2\pi n} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right),$$

the stated asymptotic expansions. □

3. Relation to Nielsen’s Polylogarithm

Nielsen’s polylogarithm $L_{k,d}(z)$ is defined by

$$L_{k,d}(z) = \frac{(-1)^{k-1+d}}{(k-1)!d!} \int_0^1 \frac{\log^{k-1}(t) \log^d(1-zt)}{t} dt.$$

By definition of the generating function of the Stirling cycle numbers

$$\sum_{n \geq k} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} \frac{z^n}{n!} = \frac{(-1)^k \log^k(1-z)}{k!},$$

it is evident that $L_{k,d}(z) = \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{[d]z^j}{j^k j!}$. Hence, we obtain the following result.

Proposition 6. *The series $S(z) = S_{d,n,k}(z) = \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{[d]z^j}{j^k \binom{n+j}{j} j!}$ can be expressed by Nielsen’s polylogarithm $L_{k,d}(z)$ in the following way.*

$$\sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{[d]z^j}{j^k \binom{n+j}{j} j!} = \frac{n}{z} \int_0^z \left(1 - \frac{u}{z}\right)^{n-1} L_{k,d}(u) du.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} S_{d,n,k}(z) &= \sum_{\ell=1}^n \ell (-1)^{\ell-1} \binom{n}{\ell} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!d!} \frac{1}{z^\ell} \int_0^z u^{\ell-1} \int_0^1 \frac{\log^{k-1}(t) \log^d(1-ut)}{t} dt du \\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^n \ell (-1)^{\ell-1} \binom{n}{\ell} \frac{1}{z^\ell} \int_0^z u^{\ell-1} L_{k,d}(u) du. \end{aligned}$$

Interchanging summation and integration gives the desired result.

3.1. Generalized r -Stirling Numbers of the First Kind

In a recent work Mezó [10] considered series involving so-called r -Stirling numbers of the first kind (see Broder [5]). For any positive integer $r \in \mathbb{N}$ the quantity $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix}_r$ denotes the number of permutations of the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ having m cycles

such that the first r elements are in distinct cycles. These numbers obey the recurrence relation

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_r &= (n-1) \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_r + \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix}_r \quad (n > r), \\ \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_r &= \delta_{k,r} \quad (n = r), \\ \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_r &= 0 \quad (n < r). \end{aligned}$$

For $r = 0$ and $r = 1$ these numbers coincide with the ordinary Stirling numbers of the first kind. We will consider the series

$$S^{(r)}(z) = S_{d,n,k,\ell}^{(r)}(z) = \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{\begin{bmatrix} j+\ell+r \\ d+r \end{bmatrix}_r z^j}{j^k \binom{n+j}{j} j!},$$

which generalizes the series considered by Mezö [10] (case $n = 0$) and our previously considered series S (case $\ell = r = 0$). Subsequently, we obtain representations of $S_{d,n,k,0}^{(r)}(z)$ and also of $S_{d,n,k,\ell}^{(r)}(z)$. We introduce the quantity $L_{n,k}^{(r)}(z)$, which generalizes Nielsen's polylogarithm:

$$L_{k,d}^{(r)}(z) = \frac{(-1)^{k-1+d}}{(k-1)!d!} \int_0^1 \frac{\log^{k-1}(t) \log^d(1-zt)}{(1-zt)^r t} dt.$$

Proposition 7. *The series $S_{d,n,k,0}^{(r)}(z) = \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{\begin{bmatrix} j+r \\ d+r \end{bmatrix}_r z^j}{j^k \binom{n+j}{j} j!}$ can be expressed by $L_{k,d}^{(r)}(z)$ in the following way.*

$$S_{d,n,k,0}^{(r)}(z) = \frac{n}{z} \int_0^z \left(1 - \frac{u}{z}\right)^{n-1} L_{k,d}^{(r)}(u) du.$$

The series $S_{d,n,k,\ell}^{(r)}(z)$ can be expressed as a linear combination of the sums $S_{h,n,k,0}^{(r+\ell)}(z)$, with $0 \leq h \leq d$.

First we note that the r -Stirling numbers of the first kind have the generating function

$$\sum_{n \geq k} \begin{bmatrix} n+r \\ k+r \end{bmatrix}_r \frac{z^n}{n!} = \frac{(-1)^k \log^k(1-z)}{k!(1-z)^r}.$$

We observe that

$$L_{k,d}^{(r)}(z) = \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{[d+r]_r z^j}{j^k \binom{n+j}{j} j!} = S_{d,0,k,0}^{(r)}(z).$$

Consequently, we get

$$S_{d,n,k,0}^{(r)}(z) = \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{[d+r]_r z^j}{j^k \binom{n+j}{j} j!} = \int_0^z \frac{n(1-\frac{u}{z})^n}{(z-u)} L_{k,d}^{(r)}(u) du.$$

Next we turn to the general case $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Since

$$\sum_{n \geq k} \binom{n+r}{d+r}_r \frac{z^n}{n!} = \frac{(-1)^d \log^d(1-z)}{d!(1-z)^r},$$

we obtain the exponential generating function of $\binom{n+\ell+r}{d+r}_r$ by differentiating $\frac{(-1)^d \log^d(1-z)}{d!(1-z)^r}$ ℓ times with respect to z and a subsequent shift of the index:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial z^\ell} \frac{(-1)^d \log^d(1-z)}{d!(1-z)^r} &= \sum_{n \geq d+\ell} \binom{n+r}{d+r}_r \frac{z^{n-\ell}}{(n-\ell)!} \\ &= \sum_{n \geq \max\{d-\ell, 0\}} \binom{n+\ell+r}{d+r}_r \frac{z^n}{n!}. \end{aligned}$$

By Faà di Bruno's formula we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial z^\ell} \frac{(-1)^d \log^d(1-z)}{d!(1-z)^r} &= \sum_{h=0}^{\ell} \frac{d^h (-1)^h \log^{d-h}(1-z)}{(1-z)^{r+\ell}} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{i=h}^{\ell} r^{\ell-i} B_{i,h}(0!, 1!, 2!, \dots, (i-h)!), \end{aligned}$$

where $B_{i,h}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-h+1})$ denote the Bell polynomials. Consequently, we can express the sum $S_{d,n,k,\ell}^{(r)}(z)$ as a linear combination of the sums $S_{h,n,k,0}^{(r)}(z)$, with $0 \leq h \leq d$, which proves the stated result.

Remark 8. Note that the sums $S_{d,n,k,\ell}^{(r)}(1) = \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{\binom{j+\ell+r}{d+r}_r z^j}{j^k \binom{n+j}{j} j!}$ can in principle also be treated using our previous approach; however, the expression become much more involved, therefore we refrain from going into this matter. Furthermore, one can evaluate sums of the form $\sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{\binom{j}{d}}{j^k \binom{n+j}{j}^g j!}$, with $g \in \mathbb{N}$; however, the expressions get more and more involved.

4. A Generalization of Series S

In the following we will briefly consider the more general series V defined by

$$V = V(a_1, \dots, a_r, n, k) = \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{\zeta_{j-1}(a_1, \dots, a_r)}{j^{k+1} \binom{n+j}{j}},$$

with $a_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$, and $n, k \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ such that $n + k \geq 1$. We reobtain our previous Stirling cycle number series S choosing $r = d - 1$ and $a_i = 1$, $1 \leq i \leq d - 1$. Before we state our result for the series V we introduce one more series, namely a variant of the finite multiple zeta star function

$$\begin{aligned} A_N^*(a_1, \dots, a_r) &= \sum_{N \geq n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots \geq n_r \geq 1} \binom{N}{n_1} \frac{(-1)^{a_1-1}}{n_1^{a_1} n_2^{a_2} \dots n_r^{a_r}} \\ &= \sum_{n_1=1}^N \binom{N}{n_1} \frac{(-1)^{a_1-1}}{n_1^{a_1}} \zeta_{n_1}^*(a_2, \dots, a_r), \end{aligned}$$

which can be expressed in terms of $\zeta_N^*(a_1, \dots, a_r)$ by the relation

$$\begin{aligned} A_N^* \left(a_1, \{1\}_{b_1-1}, \bigcup_{i=2}^r \{a_i + 1, \{1\}_{b_i-1}\} \right) \\ = \zeta_N^* \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{r-1} \{\{1\}_{a_i-1}, b_j + 1\}, \{1\}_{a_r-1}, b_r \right), \end{aligned}$$

which is due to Bradley [4].

Theorem 9. *The sum $V = V(a_1, \dots, a_r, n, k)$ with $a_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$, and $n, k \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ such that $n + k \geq 1$, can be evaluated in terms of*

(finitely many) multiple zeta functions,

$$\begin{aligned}
 V = & (-1)^k \sum_{g=1}^r (-1)^{\sum_{f=1}^{g-1} (a_f+1)} \sum_{m=2}^{a_g} (-1)^{a_g-m} \zeta \left(m, \bigcup_{i=g+1}^r \{a_i\} \right) \times \\
 & A_n \left(k, \bigcup_{i=1}^{g-1} \{a_i\}, a_g + 1 - m \right) \\
 & + (-1)^{k+r+\sum_{f=1}^r a_f} A_n \left(k, \bigcup_{i=1}^r \{a_i\}, 1 \right) \\
 & + \sum_{m=2}^{k+1} (-1)^{k+1-m} \zeta(m, a_1, \dots, a_r) \zeta_n^*(\{1\}_{k+1-m}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof (Sketch). The proof is analogous to the proof of Theorem 1; therefore it is only sketched. We elaborate only on the main new difficulty – the evaluation of the sum $T_{a_1, \dots, a_r; \ell} = \sum_{j \geq 1} \zeta_{j-1}(a_1, \dots, a_r) (\frac{1}{j} - \frac{1}{j+\ell})$. Proceeding as before, i.e., interchanging summation and using partial fraction decomposition, we obtain the recurrence relation

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_{a_1, \dots, a_r; \ell} &= \sum_{m=2}^{a_1} (-1)^{a_1-m} \zeta(m, a_2, \dots, a_r) \zeta_\ell^*(a_1 + 1 - m) \\
 &+ (-1)^{a_1+1} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{i^{a_1}} T_{a_2, \dots, a_r; i}.
 \end{aligned}$$

One can show that

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_{a_1, \dots, a_r; \ell} &= \sum_{g=1}^r (-1)^{\sum_{f=1}^{g-1} (a_f+1)} \sum_{m=2}^{a_g} (-1)^{a_g-m} \zeta(m, \bigcup_{i=g+1}^r \{a_i\}) \\
 &\quad \times \zeta_\ell^*(\bigcup_{i=1}^{g-1} \{a_i\}, a_g + 1 - m) \\
 &+ (-1)^{r+\sum_{f=1}^r a_f} \zeta_\ell^*(\bigcup_{i=1}^r \{a_i\}, 1),
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies the stated result for the series V . □

5. Historical Remark and Acknowledgement

The author H.P. has found the formula (2) empirically in 2003. He contacted several specialists about it and got feedback from Christian Krattenthaler who provided a *hypergeometric* proof for it. Eventually it turned out that it was known already [6], page 252, Equation 16. We are happy that in 2009 we could put new life into this project.

The authors warmly thank the referee for valuable remarks improving the presentation of this work.

References

- [1] V. Adamchik, On Stirling numbers and Euler sums, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, **79** (1997), 119–130.
- [2] T. Aoki and Y. Ohno, Sum relations for multiple zeta values and connection formulas for the Gauss hypergeometric functions, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, **41** (2005), 329–337.
- [3] J. Borwein, D. Bradley and D. Broadhoarst, Evaluations of k -fold Euler/Zagier sums: a compendium of results for arbitrary k , *Electronic journal of combinatorics*. **4** (1997), R5, 21 pp.
- [4] D. M. Bradley, Duality for finite multiple harmonic q -series, *Discrete Mathematics* **300** (2004), 44–56.
- [5] A. Z. Broder, The r -Stirling numbers, *Discrete Mathematics* **49** (1984), 241–25.
- [6] J. Choi and H.M. Srivastava, *Series associated with the zeta and related functions*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, x+388 pp., 2001.
- [7] M. Eie, W.-C. Liawa and Y. L. Ong, A restricted sum formula among multiple zeta values, *Journal of Number Theory* **129** (2009), 908–921.
- [8] P. Flajolet and R. Sedgewick, Mellin Transforms and Asymptotics: Finite Differences and Rice’s Integrals. *Theoretical Computer Science* **144** (1995) 101–124.
- [9] M. E. Hoffmanm, Multiple harmonic series. *Pacific J. Math.* **152** (1992), 275–290.
- [10] I. Mező, New properties of r -Stirling series, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **119** (2008), 341–358.
- [11] A. Panholzer and H. Prodinger, Computer-free evaluation of a double infinite sum via Euler sums, *Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire*, B55a, 2005.
- [12] Y. Ohno, A Generalization of the Duality and Sum Formulas on the Multiple Zeta Values, *Journal of Number Theory* **74** (1999), 39–43.

- [13] D. Romik, Some comments on Eulers series for $\pi^2/6$. *Math. Gazette*, 281–284, 2002.